https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Z5PAJ2EDhDE
[00:04 -> 04:10]
Ross Coulthart:
Hello and welcome to Reality Check. I'm Ross Coulthart, and what a week it's been—very, very busy indeed. Of course, this show will focus strongly on the interview I did with Lue Elizondo, the former Pentagon UFO investigator, and his book, "Imminent." We're bringing in my good friend and colleague, Marik von Rennenkampff, to help me analyze it. But before we roll Marik in, I want to discuss the breaking news regarding the appointment of a new AARO director. This is the Pentagon's UFO UAP investigation office, AARO, the All Domain Anomaly Resolution Office. The interim director since October 2023, Dr. Shaun Kirkpatrick, has been replaced by Dr. John T. Kloski, who comes to us from the National Security Agency.

I have to say, Dr. John Kloski is highly regarded by people I respect and admire in the UAP field. They say he is very genuine about bringing a new approach to AARO—not that he is critical of his predecessors, but he does want to restore public confidence in AARO after what has frankly been months of turmoil. One of the first tests for Dr. Kloski will be whether he retains Dr. Shaun Kirkpatrick in the rather unusual role he has had as a kind of semi-consultant to AARO, where he has been commenting in his capacity as a former director of AARO. I believe he has received undue emphasis due to his past role.

It will be very interesting to see whether Dr. Kirkpatrick is kept on in that role by Kloski. What I can tell you about Kloski is that he is quite impressive. He comes from the NSA, which is one of America's premier spy agencies. He has been involved in what sounds like incomprehensibly complicated research, leading mission-oriented searches in the fields of networking and computing. He is a subject matter expert in the area of free-space optics—whatever that is—advising various DOD agencies in addition to his optics research and cryptomathematics. Dr. Kloski invented an advanced language-agnostic search engine and served at the DOD Special Communications Enterprise Office.

I have no idea what that means. I took a peek earlier at two patents that Dr. Kloski has filed and found them so incomprehensible that I'm not even going to try to explain them. He holds a Bachelor's degree in mathematics and physics from California State University, San Bernardino, and a PhD in electrical engineering from Johns Hopkins University. To summarize his work, the focus of his doctoral research was the invention of novel devices that leverage principles from quantum optics to receive very weak phase-encoded signals. Clear as mud, right?

What I can tell you that does make sense is that Kloski is very well spoken of by people who want change and a greater degree of transparency and openness from the Pentagon. Frankly, while I'm not a huge fan of AARO and have been very critical of it, I think this is potentially a very positive move. It will be incumbent upon all of us to give Dr. Kloski a chance. Let's show him good faith and see how he moves. By the way, if anybody wants a job, I noticed that...

[04:15 -> 07:46]
Ross Coulthart:
There's also an AARO Deputy Chief of Staff position available. You can be based in Virginia or Alexandria, and you would be the Chief of Staff for AARO. You would need a top-secret clearance, so if anybody is interested in the job, it's posted on the .gov website under AARO Chief of Staff. The start date is October 20th, so it looks like they're hiring, which is good news.

Now, before we get into this week's show, I want to share an interview segment that we didn't run in the Lue Elizondo interview purely because of time constraints. A lot of people have been asking me why Lue Elizondo made no comment about David Grusch. Before the rumor mill starts, I want to clarify that Lue spoke extremely highly of David Grusch. The decision not to include the particular comment that I'm about to show you in the program was purely a matter of time. However, I think many people have attached a lot of importance to the fact that there was no mention of David Grusch in the Lue Elizondo interview. Therefore, I thought it would be important for people to see just how highly Lue regards David Grusch and how he empathizes with the struggles and pain that David has endured as a whistleblower.

David, of course, is the individual I interviewed in June last year for NewsNation when he went public as a former senior intelligence officer with the National Geospatial Intelligence Agency, discussing his knowledge of a retrieval and reverse engineering program involving UAPs. Here's what Lue Elizondo said:

"This is a dangerous battle you're fighting, Lue. There are powerful forces that don't want this story told. Oh yeah, and they go to great lengths to keep it a secret. Look what happened to poor Dave. You know, I worked with David at Space Force. I don't know if that's public knowledge, but I'll say it—what the hell. He and I were working on the UAP topic for Space Force with a very senior guy, by the way, and this was all in the open. This was acknowledged at the time. He did the right thing.

A lot of our servicemen and women suffer deeply from PTSD, and until recently, we were always told that seeking psychological help could affect your security clearance. Then something changed. Someone I respected quite a bit, thankfully, was General Clapper. When he became the DNI, he said we're not going to hold our men and women responsible if they seek mental counseling and self-refer because of PTSD. The horrible things they endure in combat should not penalize them regarding their security clearance.

So what happened with Dave Grusch? When he did the right thing, he did exactly what he was supposed to do. Some tabloid reporter got information from two people inside the government saying, 'Hey, Dave had counseling.' Well, wait a minute—that's exactly what you want someone to do if they need it because the suicide rate for our men and women in uniform is through the roof, and you know what? No one seems to really care. This is why we had to change the policy. So for David,

doing the right thing, the very first thing he does within 24 hours...

[07:49 -> 09:39]
Ross Coulthart:
I start seeing dissension, and they begin spreading misinformation, trying to question his loyalty and credibility. David Grusch is a patriot, and in my book, he's a damn fine human being and a hero for what he did. And what was his reward for that? Immediately, the antibodies came out, and they tried to discredit him, just like they tried to do to me, just like they try to do to anyone else who steps out of line. So, as you can see, that's a glowing endorsement from Lue Elizondo for David Grusch. Now, let's move on to today's show, and boy, we've got a lot ahead of us. Here we go.

[08:28 -> 09:39]
Ross Coulthart:
Lue sat down with me last Friday and gave a very detailed one-on-one interview that aired in prime time on NewsNation. We've had an overwhelming response, and in order to analyze that show and follow up on some of the issues that Lue raised, I'm bringing in Marik von Rennenkampff, who is a former National Security advisor to the State Department and an Obama administration appointee to the Pentagon, the Department of Defense. But before I bring him in, I want to tell you about a product that is happily sponsoring Reality Check and which also addresses some of the egregious food that Americans happen to eat. It's all about the Gut Cleanse Bio Complete 3. The theory pushed by the Gut Cleanse Bio Complete 3 product is that there is a potential toxin in all of the so-called healthy foods that scientists have been telling us to eat, thanks to a fraudulent food pyramid for some considerable time. One day, I will do a story on Reality Check about the American ultra-processed food diet and why I fear for this beautiful country that seems to be suffering from the appalling food it consumes. It needs a fix. Well, maybe that fix is the Gut Cleanse Bio Complete 3. There's Dr. Gundry, a world-renowned cardiologist, who is saying that there is a potential toxin in our food that's causing huge digestive issues and affecting millions of people worldwide. The warning signs include weight gain, fatigue, digestive disorders, stiff joints, and even skin problems. Dr. Gundry explains that these side effects are often mistaken for normal signs of aging because digestive issues develop usually over a matter of years and sometimes even decades. I can assure you that the damage we're talking about is probably caused by these supposed health foods, and it's far from normal. The good news is you can fix this problem from your own home. It's very simple; you just have to know which foods are actually healthy and which contain this hidden potential toxin. You can find this information at gutcleanseprotocol.com/Ross. If you go there, you'll find that after years of research, Dr. Gundry has decided to release an informative video to the public for free and uninterrupted, showcasing exactly which foods you need to avoid. Go find that video at gutcleanseprotocol.com/Ross and click on the link in the description below. Okay, let's get into the show. Marik von Rennenkampff, welcome to Reality Check, sir. How are you today? What did you think of the Lue Elizondo interview?

[11:42 -> 15:18]
Ross Coulthart:
We put a special to air last week. Well, first of all, thank you very much for having me on, and congratulations on another extraordinary interview that, in my mind, really pushes this conversation forward. I'll quickly state at the outset that your interview with Lou's book makes the case for why we need Congress to pass the legislation that is before it, which would get to the bottom of these explosive allegations we are hearing from multiple individuals. So, Ross, congratulations; you did a superb job. I would love to dive into the details with you.

I should explain that Marik is very much a journalist in his own right. He is a contributing commentator for The Hill, which is a sister publication of the network that we all belong to, the Nexstar network. Marik, I love your pieces. If we're going to give each other a mutual admiration society, I think everybody should read the contributions made by Marik because he adds depth and insight to the issue that, frankly, in television, we are not able to do quite often.

I do agree with you that Lou's contribution was significant, and I think the passing of the legislation coming up in the National Defense Authorization Act at the end of this year is going to be absolutely crucial. I have to admit I'm not optimistic; I'm a bit grim, having been in Washington to shoot the Lou interview and seen what Lou is up against. There are very few people like Lou who are up against a very determined cadre of gatekeepers in the defense aerospace industry who simply do not want this story told.

I want you to take a look at this clip. This was an exchange that I had with Lou, basically with Congress as a backdrop and intriguingly with the Smithsonian Science Museum, which I thought was quite apt as a background as well. We were talking about how reluctantly he has become very much the face of the UAP issue.

Lou, when you first started out in this campaign, back in 2008, you first heard of UFOs. You had no idea about the phenomenon, did you?

Lue Elizondo:
No clue. Not at all. Never even thought about it.

Ross Coulthart:
And yet, what was it, nine years later, in 2017, you were the public face of a New York Times story that rocked the world. One of the public faces, not the public face. Look, this has always been a team effort. It strikes me, Lou, you're a very humble person. You are very much the reluctant hero in this campaign, aren't you?

Lue Elizondo:
Look, it can't be about me, right? You know, I'm doing this so you can think on your own. Don't rely on me, Lou Elizondo, to tell you what to think. That's the exact opposite of what I'm trying to achieve. I'm trying to enable everyone—the American people, these folks up here.

That's what my goal is.

Ross Coulthart:
So you want people to get up there and start asking questions of their Congress people?

Lue Elizondo:
Absolutely. And I got to tell you, there are people right now in that building who are very keen to get to the bottom of this, and they're committed. I mean, these are on both sides—both Republican and Democrat, even independent. This is truly a bipartisan issue.

Ross Coulthart:
Any thoughts on what Lou just said to us there, Marik?

Marik von Rennenkampff:
Well, Ross, first of all, I want to highlight that this is—and as Lou made very clear—this...

[15:21 -> 19:10]
Ross Coulthart:
This is one of perhaps the only issues, or one of very few issues, that is truly bipartisan in a deeply divided country and in a politically fractured nation. I do want to highlight that, while there is some pessimism about the legislation currently in front of Congress, we should focus on the positive and more optimistic side of this. This issue has united various factions in American politics, whether they are Democrats, MAGA Trump Republicans, or more moderate Republicans. We have seen a remarkable coalescing around the members of Congress who are interested in this topic, and we really need to see more individuals step up and become vocal.

Lou is right; there is certainly a lot of work ahead, but it is a bipartisan issue that deserves closer examination and analysis. What stands out to me is that Lou, as he acknowledges, is quite a humble guy who doesn't like being the focus of attention. However, the reality is that he is under incredible pressure. The decision he made, as a very senior serving employee of the Department, to step away from that world he had been part of for decades and to speak out publicly on the UAP issue is probably the bravest thing he has ever done as a warrior and combat soldier.

I was really shocked today, and I'm going to take a swipe at the individual who made a stupid insinuation against Lou. I won't say who it was, but this person commented online, following his CBS Mornings interview, suggesting that this is just a cash cow for Lou Elizondo and that all of this is merely about making money. I can tell you that for Lou, the costs have been intense. When I was filming with him, I was struck by the damage this has caused to his career and the stress on his family life. It's intense, and I don't think the public understands the degree of sacrifice he is making to bring this issue into the public domain.

Marik von Rennenkampff:

I couldn't agree more, Ross. It really speaks to motivations. To your point, I think physically showing a photo of the RV, the trailer that he lived in during your special, drives home that this was not easy for Lou or his family. It certainly was an enormous stressor on his life—there's no question about that. He saw, as Chris Mellon has elucidated, a major national security vulnerability, an extraordinary gap that nobody was paying attention to. He has been hammered and attacked relentlessly online and by the Pentagon. You are absolutely right; he made enormous sacrifices to come forward, and he is driving the conversation forward now, several years on from going public and from that fairly well-known resignation letter. He is truly making an impact.

[19:13 -> 22:47]
Ross Coulthart:
It would not be hyperbolic to say that he is one of the key catalysts behind some of the most extraordinary legislation we have seen in American history. I mean, seeing the Senate Majority Leader Chuck Schumer put in writing, in black and white, "non-human intelligence," and proceed to list it two dozen times alongside legacy programs, which, as many of your viewers know, is the nomenclature for these alleged programs that retrieved and attempted to reverse engineer non-human craft. That's not me saying that; that's the Senate Majority Leader's black and white legislation.

There's been some chatter about, you know, "Hey, this is just driven by staffers." Well, I'd love to show those people the clip of Chuck Schumer on the Senate floor engaging in a colloquy, a scripted exchange with Senator Mike BRS, a Republican, going back to the bipartisan element of this, and making extraordinary statements. He said, and I quote, "Multiple credible sources have told Congress that information has been withheld illegally."

So, to quickly come full circle, Lou has catalyzed a remarkable moment in American history. If it's all nonsense, boy, we need to know, and that's all the more reason to get this legislation passed and for American citizens to engage their elected representatives.

Marik, I want to drill down into something that I know is in your area of expertise, which is the inevitable criticism of Lou. It's going to be, "Where's the evidence?" I mean, even in the wake of the show that aired over the weekend, Lou is basically being criticized for making assertions that he can't back up, and even on his own admission, he can't back up because he's constrained by the Department of Defense's pre-publication security review, which very heavily constrains what he's allowed to talk about.

But you were talking there about Chuck Schumer, who, if I'm not mistaken, is a member of the Gang of Eight. He's one of the eight people in Congress who is briefed on the most sensitive secrets in the United States defense and intelligence community. He's allowed to know about the most unacknowledged special access programs, the darkest secrets of all. Presumably, if there is a legacy UFO crash retrieval reverse engineering program, one would assume that he's at least privy

to some of that.

Or do you believe that the implications of what Lou is saying are that somebody like Chuck Schumer has realized, and the reason why he's so motivated about getting this legislation through Congress is that he's realized there is something going on, and he doesn't know about it?

Marik von Rennenkampff:
So, Ross, you have a lot to unpack there. You started your show off speaking about, and I'm going to go to your promotional element, about the diet that Americans have and how processed foods are a major factor in American health. I think...

[22:49 -> 26:34]
Ross Coulthart:
Another major problem in America today is that we no longer teach civics. I think a lot of the people who comment on the Schumer legislation, for example, have no clue about how our government fundamentally works. So yes, you are correct; Chuck Schumer is a member of the Gang of Eight, a very elite group of members of Congress who are supposed to be, under our Constitution, aware of and read into our most sensitive secrets. When someone like Chuck Schumer, a cautious politician, comes out and authors 64 pages, if you look at the letter and spirit of that legislation, it indicates that someone who should have knowledge of certain matters does not have that knowledge. We have credible evidence and testimony that indicates information has been withheld from Congress illegally. That is an earth-shattering development. I must say, I am ashamed of the U.S. media and the mainstream media for their lack of attention and complete ignorance regarding the monumental allegations that are part of this legislation, as well as the caliber of the individuals who are sponsoring it.

You can take individuals like Carl Nell, a high-ranking former Army officer, who has said the same things as David Grusch. If you consider the Schumer legislation on its own, it is a remarkable piece of legislative writing that has numerous subtexts and contexts that need to be analyzed. Do you think Schumer knows about the crash retrieval program, or do you suspect he realizes that he has not been read into something that Congress ought to have been briefed about?

That's a good question, and I like to deal in facts. Now we're going into speculation territory, and I want to be clear that I'm speculating here. I suspect that he has not been read in if these programs are actually in existence. Correct me if I'm wrong, but I suspect that, thanks to Lou and his efforts, as well as those of Chris Mellon and David Grusch, enough individuals have come behind closed doors to Congress, which has resulted in this legislation.

A pet theory of mine—I'm open to any and all alternative takes—is that Schumer was specifically informed of these programs. I see a schism between what Schumer and Rounds are doing on one side and what Gillibrand, Rubio, and the other senators are doing on the other side. Last year, there were two competing efforts on UAP disclosure. They

were both complementary and alleged the same thing, but they seemed to occur in parallel and were stove-piped and separated from each other. I find that intriguing. Every indicator we have suggests that Schumer and Rounds have been doing something perhaps in a silo, and it could be because Schumer was informed by some high-ranking officials privately that this is worth pursuing.

[26:36 -> 29:58]
Ross Coulthart:
I could very well be wrong, but that's a possibility. I'm just calling it how I see it, so I think that's a distinct possibility. Let's get into some of the details of what Lou revealed in the NewsNation interview. I think one of my favorite moments—if you can have a favorite moment in something as serious as this—is the quite extraordinary detail he provides about an alleged biological implant, some kind of alien implant, being removed from a U.S. serviceman, I believe at Walter Reed Medical Hospital. The surgeon who is removing the object is so freaked out because it appears to be trying to avoid being captured. When it's in the Petri dish, it even moves. For me, that was one of the most uncanny moments. You're literally describing what may be non-human technology implants.

Marik von Rennenkampff:
That's right, Ross. And look, I have to be maybe a little more circumspect and even skeptical. That is a remarkable story, and if we get more corroborating information, excellent. The bottom line from my perspective—and I think I started with this—is that these are very serious people making these statements and allegations. To your point, that is all the more reason why this legislation is so important. We need to get to the bottom of that. I have nothing but the utmost respect for Lou, but we need evidence. We need somebody to come forward, raise their hand in front of Congress, and say, "Yes, I took that specimen out of serviceman X." They need to do it in front of Congress. Let's move this process forward.

Ross Coulthart:
I think the point is that thanks to your reporting and your interview, we now have something tangible to dig into, to sink our teeth into, and for Congress to pursue because nobody else is going to pursue this. I guess the question, though, in my mind, Marik, is how much is Lou's interview really going to move the needle? Because he is susceptible—let's be brutally honest about it—to the allegation that, due to the constraints imposed by his former defense office's pre-publication security review, he is not providing any evidence to back up what he says. We essentially have to take his word for it. I was told something by people at Walter Reed Military Hospital that an implant was removed from a serviceman and that it was doing weird things, which appeared to be evading detection. Ultimately, you're right; the only way to substantiate that and corroborate it is to bring those people before Congress or to get them deposed in some way. Maybe set them in front of a TV interview. If you're out there, whoever that was, I would be very happy to talk to you. But the thing that really does make me wonder is whether the Elizondo interview in itself is going to move the needle at all or whether, even within the

UAP community, there will be a kind of "ho-hum" reaction, you know, "Well, we've heard all this before; these kinds of claims have been made before."

[30:00 -> 33:40]
Ross Coulthart:
You talked about Roswell before. He's basically said Roswell was real. What's the evidence? What do you say to that suggestion? Where's the evidence?

Marik von Rennenkampff:
Um, I agree completely. I've been in touch with Lou and other folks, and I suspect we may be learning more if certain legal elements are addressed. We may be learning more, and I'll have more to say about that in a piece coming up soon. But I want to really get back to the core of your question, Ross. I'll take this back to the New York Times story that Lou helped break with Leslie Kane and Ralph Blumenthal. That article was published alongside two videos, right? The Go Fast and the Gimbal video. All along there was an aviator by the name of Ryan Graves who was intimately familiar with that incident. He didn't say anything publicly until that video became public. So my hope is that when people see your special or read my piece, it elicits some kind of interest or lights a fire in an individual or multiple individuals with knowledge of that incident or allegation. I hope they feel less encumbered or more inspired to speak to Congress or whoever the case may be. If it's the media, I hope they come to you, Ross.

Ross Coulthart:
Me too.

Marik von Rennenkampff:
Yeah, so I think shining a light on these allegations and reducing the stigma while normalizing the conversation will hopefully result in a critical mass that sustains itself with more individuals coming forward. I think that's the core of what we are doing here. I think that's the key point, and that's what I was looking to hear from you, which is that what Lou Elizondo has done is normalize this conversation. I was watching the CBS Mornings interview that he did, which was only four minutes, but it was on a very key prime-time network television morning show. It really struck me how receptive that group of interviewers were. I think there were three interviewers from CBS sitting there with him, and they were very receptive to what Lou was saying. There was no attempt to giggle or stigmatize or treat with taboo a subject that probably, I reckon, five or six years ago, before the whole New York Times story broke, would have been giggled at. People kind of sniggered at the subject of UAPs. Now we've moved beyond that. I think the public has a wisdom of the crowd. People are basically thinking, "Yeah, you know what? There is something to this."

Marik von Rennenkampff:
So I think the significance of Lou Elizondo's imminent book is that it is normalizing the public conversation. Hopefully, as you say, it will make it possible for people who do have that direct

knowledge—knowledge that Lou Elizondo can't reveal at the moment—to come forward. I just also want to make the point that it's funny—in the course of our research when we were checking Lou's bona fides, which you normally do for this kind of interview, I was doing a ring-around talking to people in the...

[33:43 -> 37:29]
Ross Coulthart:
Congress and discussions with individuals who have knowledge within the Pentagon reveal a lot about Lou Elizondo's background. Many people may not fully understand the nature of his recent role at the Office of the Under Secretary for Defense Intelligence. Lou served as a liaison with the highest-level oversight bodies for special access programs within the Defense Department. This includes the senior review group or a similar entity, which is responsible for reviewing all special access programs inside the Pentagon. Lou was at that very high level, clearly highly cleared to know a significant amount about some of America's most sensitive secrets.

What struck me while reading his book was how little I knew about Lou's past work. As I spoke with various individuals to uncover who Lou really was and to understand his role with the Pentagon's UFO investigation program, many indicated that one reason they held such immense respect for Lou was due to his high-level clearance. He was one of the few who truly understood the secrets within the Pentagon because of his position in the Office of the Under Secretary for Defense Intelligence.

Given your knowledge of national security, how significant is Lou's background in understanding why we should take his claims seriously?

Marik von Rennenkampff:
That is a fantastic question. For those who are not familiar with the nuances of the national security establishment, Lou had access to what are referred to as "tickets," which are special accesses to compartmented secret programs. This background is crucial. Lou possesses the experience and position to speak with a certain level of authority. Of course, we need evidence and verification of any claims, but we must take individuals like Lou, Carl Nell, and David Grusch seriously.

There is a constant refrain that we've always heard these stories, that they have been around for years. Perhaps there is a reason they have persisted for so long. However, we have never had multiple individuals who were so highly cleared and involved in sensitive programs and activities, all aligned with the core allegations. This is extraordinary and underscores the importance of taking these claims seriously.

I believe we need to spur more interest in the media and among the broader public because the key characters involved are credible on their face. It is a significant sacrifice for Elizondo. I was particularly struck by my conversations with him and his wife, Jen, regarding the financial and emotional costs they faced. Lou moved away

from a secure position as a senior official in the Department of Defense, coming out of the shadows and essentially losing everything to work for Tom DeLonge's To the Stars Academy, which resulted in a substantial pay cut and living in a motor home.

[37:33 -> 41:08]
Ross Coulthart:
Home digging his own pet toilet. I mean, my God, it was a wretched time for the poor Blake. I have to admit, seeing the pressure that he's under and how vilified he is often by people who are completely ignorant of the sacrifices that he's making, I do wonder sometimes whether he might regret privately making the decision that he made. The public, to a large degree, is unappreciative of the sacrifice that he's making.

I think one of the things that we should acknowledge, Merrik, as part of our job as journalists, is that these whistleblowers, like Lou, make enormous sacrifices. Dave Grusch, Lou, even Carnell, Chris Mellon– all of these people sticking their heads up. There's a tendency for these individuals to get shot at purely and simply because they're taking the risk of actually sticking their heads up above the parapet and talking about things that are very unpopular within the defense department that, frankly, let's be honest about it, a group of gatekeepers don't want known.

Absolutely right, Ross. I try not to consider myself a journalist; I don't have any formal journalistic training. I do have a little bit of analytic training. I worked in the government, and my graduate school degree really focused on National Security analysis. When I look at the various players—Lou, especially Lou in this case, Grusch, Nell—to your point, it does fit into my analytic judgment. What are these individuals' personal circumstances? Are they enriching themselves? I don't see it.

Obviously, Lou's living in an RV and digging his own toilet; he's not enriching himself on this topic. So that is certainly something to consider in our analytic process and judgment as we vet different claims and look at motivations. Very important. I think you hit the nail on the head; it's very important to keep these personal circumstances in mind.

I think it's absurd to call these individuals grifters—just absurd on its face. They gave up very well-paying jobs to speak what they believe is the truth. There certainly is a lot of circumstantial evidence, whether it's the legislation alone. There's an enormous amount of circumstantial evidence that they're on to something significant.

I think one of the things that leapt out at me in the interview that I did with Lou, and he's also expanded on this in detail in the book, is his account of the Collins Elite. There is, in the Pentagon and in the intelligence community, a group of people who are deeply, and no criticism of them for this, entitled to be religious. They're deeply Evangelical Christians—deeply, deeply religious—and they perceive the

phenomenon, whatever it is, as demonic.

Lou tells me in the interview, and he does a little bit of this in the book as well, about a meeting or a sort of confrontation in a hallway that he had with a very senior member of the Collins Elite—somebody who was very, very senior in DIA. I think you and I both know who he's talking about. I've looked online, and this person is a very deep Evangelical Christian.

[41:11 -> 44:48]
Ross Coulthart:
As a vowed Christian, what do you think about the propriety of someone in the Defense Department allowing their religious faith to intrude on the public's right to know about something that, according to Lou Elizondo, Chris Mellon, David Grusch, and Colonel Neil, poses a national security and public safety threat? What are your thoughts on the idea that religious beliefs can influence the day-to-day operations of a government agency, especially one as crucial as the Pentagon?

Marik von Rennenkampff:
I think it's outrageous, Ross, pure and simple. It's unconscionable to have any kind of bias. From an analytical and national security perspective, any bias that clouds objective thinking and analysis is a major source of concern. If what Lou is saying is accurate, this is pretty extraordinary.

On the topic of religion, theology, and UAPs, I want to quickly plug a book by Paul Thigpen, a Catholic theologian, titled "Extraterrestrial Intelligence in the Catholic Church." Matt Halstead is another individual, an academic who has done remarkable work on this topic. Both of them have conducted deep academic research, and I hope it's fair for me to summarize their conclusions. They argue that there is no inherent conflict between what Lou is alleging or the possibility of non-human intelligence and these religious traditions we have. It may seem counterintuitive at first, but I think they have done a remarkable job addressing that.

To summarize, I think it's outrageous, unacceptable, and unconscionable for any kind of religious belief—regardless of the religion—or any belief, even if it isn't theological, to interfere with analytical bias. However, there is robust academic literature by these theologians that suggests otherwise. I hope anyone who perceives this inherent bias or clash takes the time to look at what these gentlemen have written.

One thing I wanted to ask you about, Merrik, is that I noticed some people online questioning whether Lou is really taking that much of a risk. They argue that because he has gone through the doser process and sought approval from the Defense Office's pre-publication security review office, he isn't really taking a risk at all. They claim that essentially all he's doing is relaying what he's been told by the Pentagon. I think the UFO community can be their own worst enemies on this issue, frankly, insinuating that Lou is not being genuine in his

efforts.

[44:50 -> 48:38]
Ross Coulthart:
Essentially, do you think Lou is some kind of plant or mole who's pushing a dark, nefarious agenda inside the Defense Department that we're not allowed to know about? Or do you think he's genuinely the whistleblower that I believe he is? Unless you and I are absurd judges of character, and that's possible.

Marik von Rennenkampff:
No, I do not think that is the case. I believe he is coming forward and making sacrifices because he truly and profoundly believes in what he is putting out in the public record. This is all the more reason for this legislation to pass to get to the bottom of this. If there's nothing to see here and Lou is wrong, okay, fine. But we absolutely must look because, as we've said, Lou has put his reputation, his livelihood, and his retirement on the line to speak out publicly on a very stigmatized topic.

One of the things that I found especially intriguing, and I know from talking to other people who have been involved in close contact with the phenomenon, is the way Lou admits that the phenomenon has followed him home—the Hitchhiker effect. It was fascinating talking to him, and there's a lot of other stuff that we didn't include in the program that they described, which I won't go into here. But at the very least, what they describe in the book are these orbs—these balls of light that appear to be manifesting themselves in the family home in front of Lou, his wife, and their two beautiful daughters.

If I were a Pentagon employee and I knew that whatever I was investigating was literally following me home, that something anomalous was manifesting itself in my home, I think that underscores the degree of sacrifice that someone like him is making.

What's your take on the whole Hitchhiker effect? Do you find it plausible?

Ross Coulthart:
That is a great question, Marik.

Marik von Rennenkampff:
Again, I think I'm going to beat a dead horse here, but I believe these are extraordinary statements coming from very credible people. I can't trust them on their face because I've seen no evidence of that. However, when you have multiple individuals largely saying the same thing—that there is something following them home, hitchhiking home with them—that begs for more investigation. I'm not going to take it at face value, but I'm certainly not going to discard it either.

So, this is absolutely vital that we get to the bottom of it. From an analytic perspective, I want to share why I am so fascinated by this topic and the analytic basis for why I'm even having this conversation with you. I have actually taken the time to read well over 150 raw

case files from Project Sign, Project Blue Book, and Project Grudge—the U programs that the government had in the 1940s and 1950s.

[48:41 -> 52:19]
Ross Coulthart:
To investigate UAP, any objective analyst would read through those reports and see the remarkable similarities from individuals hundreds, if not thousands, of miles apart who had no possible way of knowing each other's reports. The consistency in those reports is remarkable. I will add that one of the most common phenomena reported, and I assume still is, if we read between the lines of a lot of what the Pentagon has released in terms of pilot reports, are round spherical objects—sometimes multicolored, usually red at night or metallic during the day. You know this, Ross; we've covered this. That is one of the most commonly reported phenomena. So, that aligns with the historical record. Let me just back up: what Lou is reporting aligns with the historical record. It aligns with what we've heard from James Latsky, who wrote a book about this, I think it was "UFOs: Skinwalkers at the Pentagon." From an analytic perspective, it's fascinating, but I need more evidence. This is just crying out; it's begging for more investigation.

Absolutely. Can you explain to our audience why the Defense Department would allow Lou to do any interview? I mean, essentially, if it's true that there is this legacy retrieval program and crash reverse engineering program, why allow him to do any kind of interview at all? Why not just say, "Look, you're a Defense Department employee; we're going to shut you up"? In my jurisdiction in Australia, the Defense Department hates the media. They love talking to the media, and you know, I'm always amazed that the Pentagon actually has a publication security review office that allows its employees to get their potential media commentary published. In Australia, I think they'd rather put you up against a wall and shoot you. So, why is there a due process? Why is there even a process like this at all? Should we conclude from the due process that there is a willingness inside the Pentagon, or at least some part of the Pentagon, to be open and candid about this issue? Why the opportunity to speak at all?

Yeah, and this is an area where I'm not an expert, but I suspect it is a constitutionally protected First Amendment right for a former employee to speak about X. However, if it's classified, they can only restrain or constrain the former employee's ability to speak about the classified portion—the portion that was covered by an NDA, for example. So, there's that inherent free speech right to write a book or to go make a movie script, up to a point. That point, that line, is classified information. I suspect—I'm sure somebody on the internet will correct me if I'm wrong—but I believe that is at the core of the dynamic that is at play here.

So, I really want to get your final encapsulation of where the Elizondo book and interview takes us, Marik. I mean, has it pushed the needle at all? Is it really advancing public awareness or public initiative at all? More importantly, is it going to make a jot of difference in the Pentagon or in the...

[52:20 -> 56:26]
Ross Coulthart:
I am optimistic that Lou's book will, in fact, move the needle. That being said, that is a testable hypothesis. We can have this conversation in a year or two and check to see how much, if at all, Lou's book and your interview moved the needle. From my perspective, I am only seeing more credible individuals coming forward and observing a slow increase. It's a chain reaction. At one point, it was just Chris Mellon and Lou; then David Grusch came out, followed by Carl Nell and James Laty. You see this ever-expanding network, and this is the term that Senate Majority Leader Chuck Schumer used in his press release: a "vast web of individuals." That's a direct quote. Congress uncovered a "vast web of individuals," which formed the basis for this remarkable legislation.

I am optimistic that your book, our interview, and our combined coverage will encourage the right people interested in this topic to come forward and hopefully catalyze more transparency, openness, and congressional action with real impact in the coming months and years. If the legislation doesn't pass at the end of this year, and if the gatekeepers in the defense aerospace industry are allowed to have their way on the Hill as the defense authorization act comes through the House, there is a risk that we could revert to another 30 or 40 years of continued embargo on the truth about the UAP issue. This could easily go back into a box, couldn't it?

Marik von Rennenkampff:
I have thought about that, and I am physically seeing history repeat itself. I see echoes of the post-Robertson panel defense department in the current UFO analysis office. They are saying there's nothing to see here; it's all nonsense. So yes, could we go back to that dynamic? Absolutely, we could. However, maybe I'm just being optimistic, but I am seeing more and more credible individuals coming forward publicly, despite the stigma and the risks to their reputations and livelihoods. I am cautiously optimistic that the genie is out of the bottle.

But Ross, maybe we can make a deal right here, right now, to have this conversation in a year or two and see if I was right or if I was wrong. Now, I want to give credit to the work you did in addressing Dr. Shan Kirkpatrick's comments regarding some of the things he said in his public commentary. He provided a very late response to our story, stating that at no time did he provide false information to Congress. In fact, he claimed his team provided actual evidence, something that all of the other claimants have failed to do. What's your response to Dr. Shan Kirkpatrick's comment?

Marik von Rennenkampff:
I am not privy to exactly what he and AARO provided to Congress. However, I have heard from sources on the Senate side that this is inaccurate and that he did not share what was presented to him by whistleblowers with Congress. There is a major disconnect there. Frankly, I don't trust Kirkpatrick.

[56:28 -> 59:59]
Ross Coulthart:
Honestly, I gave him the benefit of the doubt. I spoke to him in person in November of 2023 during a lengthy interview. I want to be very clear; I am very grateful for his time. However, based on what he told me about the most recognizable UFO/UAP footage of all time, you would think that the person whose job is UFO analysis would know some basic facts about the most extraordinary UFO footage. He either lied to me to my face twice, or he is astoundingly misinformed and ill-informed about the key UFO incidents that we all know about, such as the Nimitz incident and the 2015 Gimbal incident, along with the Go Fast videos.

I'm really interested in getting into the details on this. What were the possible untruths or inaccuracies in what he told you?

Sure, it's pretty astounding. The Gimbal video, I think most Americans have seen it; they might not know it, but they've seen it. He told me that it was likely a balloon, and that the bizarre infrared reflection or signatures he mentioned were a reflection from the sun. However, Dr. Kirkpatrick, that incident occurred at night, so we can rule out the sun. You can hear the aviators on the cockpit audio say that the object in the video, along with the other objects accompanying it, are going against 120-knot winds—that's Category 4 hurricane-force winds. I don't know, Ross, I don't know any balloons that can go against Category 4 hurricane-force winds. If you do, that's quite a remarkable child's birthday balloon or toy.

That's one pretty astounding point. If you don't know the basic facts about the most recognizable UFO video of all time, I don't know what to tell you. Where did our taxpayer dollars go? That's astounding. The issue is that we don't appear to be getting from the Pentagon's UFO investigation office, the All Domain Anomaly Resolution Office, a scrupulously objective, reasoned, and well-argued explanation for why we shouldn't be taking these seriously as anomalous objects.

I'll quickly mention the Go Fast video. He endorsed NASA's analysis after NASA made an astounding, catastrophic mathematical error in its analysis. I have actual scientists helping me work through this stuff. After I pointed out NASA's error on that particular video, Kirkpatrick said, "Oh, I haven't looked at NASA's analysis." Are you joking me? You literally just endorsed it and gave it a ringing endorsement a few months ago, and then you said you haven't looked at it? This is just astounding incompetence, or it's a disinformation operation. It's one or the other; there's no gray area here. This is binary; it's zero or one.
[1:00:02 -> 1:02:37]
Ross Coulthart:
He could have misspoken; fine, I'll give him the benefit of the doubt the first time. But he did it twice. Now, let's be analytical about this. AARO's historical report was, from an analytical perspective, atrocious. It was astoundingly awful. It was one of the worst pieces of... it was a dog.

[1:00:23 -> 1:00:43]
Ross Coulthart:
I wouldn't even call it a report. Then you had this absurd explanation. Some of your viewers might remember during the big UFO hearing, Congressman Matt Gaetz from Florida described a UAP incident that occurred, which Air Force personnel felt...

[1:00:45 -> 1:01:02]
Ross Coulthart:
There's a reason they went to his office and not up the chain of command. I suspect it was a pretty remarkable incident. AARO put out this so-called case resolution report that stated it was some kind of high-end lighting balloon, which even Mick West didn't...

[1:01:05 -> 1:01:22]
Ross Coulthart:
Mick West, as some of your viewers know, is perhaps the most notable UAP skeptic in the world. He didn't accept the explanation regarding their primary culprit for this incident. There are numerous radar issues we could discuss, but we don't need to delve into the nuances.

[1:01:24 -> 1:01:42]
Ross Coulthart:
But the point is, Kirkpatrick's comments to me come in a much broader patchwork quilt of nonsense and absurdity that is coming out of AARO, which looks exactly like what we saw with Blue Book following the Robertson Panel in 1953. Well, Marik von Rennenkampff, let's...

[01:01:45 -> 01:02:05]
Ross Coulthart:
I just hope that in 30 years' time, you and I aren't still tilting at windmills, looking for Pentagon truth and honesty on the subject of UAPs. I sincerely hope we get an answer—any kind of answer—sometime soon. Marik von Rennenkampff, thank you so much for joining Reality Check and helping me analyze the Lue Elizondo interview.

[1:02:08 -> 1:02:37]
Lue Elizondo:
I look forward to talking to you again soon, Ross. Thanks so much for having me.

[1:02:15 -> 1:02:26]
Ross Coulthart:
Thank you for watching. Go to joinin.com to find NewsNation on your television provider, and please don't forget to click that.

[1:02:28 -> 1:02:37]
Ross Coulthart:
Please hit the red subscribe button to ensure you receive more of NewsNation's unbiased and fact-driven news coverage.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VzQX61j-hZ0&t=25s
[00:00 -> 03:57]
Ross Coulthart:
Good day and welcome to a special Q&A edition of Reality Check. I'm your host, Ross Coulthart, and we're giving you an opportunity to ask us questions in the live chat about our recent prime-time special, "Hunting UFOs," which told the story of Jake Barber, a former U.S. Air Force Airman with an extraordinarily interesting history. He went down the rabbit hole of intelligence work and ended up in what he describes as UAP crash retrievals at a location he refers to as "the range."

Now, I'm going to invite you to drop any questions you have into the chat on the right side of the screen. I already have a few questions prepared to ensure I'm ready for this session. What I can tell you is that, for obvious reasons, there are some things I just can't discuss. When that happens, I'll explain why, and we'll move on. Please be patient; I am trying to be as open and transparent with you as possible.

To start, Taylor from YouTube asked, "Are there books or other research you recommend for someone trying to understand this phenomenon and our connections to it?"

Well, frankly, there haven't been many interesting books written about the psionics program because I don't think anyone knew it existed until now. However, one book I am aware of that came up during my research is called "Above Black" by an author named Dan Sherman. He tells a very interesting story of being a young man drafted into an extraordinary Air Force program where he was basically asked to do things that could be described as psionic. He was being trained to receive communications from some kind of non-human intelligence.

When I first did my research, I was told to read "Above Black," and I must confess, when I read it, I thought it was a great piece of science fiction. But now that I've actually talked to people inside the program, I'm not so sure it's fiction.

The other book I'm waiting for, and I've been trying to find out what's happened to it since the announcements that it was coming in April 2023, is from Simon and Schuster, an imprint known as Gallery Books. They won a bidding war for the publishing rights to a supposedly true story rooted in intrigue surrounding a U.S. Air Force program. The author allegedly calls himself Scott Andrews, which I m sure is not his real name. He is a former senior U.S. soldier and a decorated intelligence officer who conducted global counterterrorism operations on behalf of the U.S.

I'm fascinated by this book. I'm not sure if it's still coming out; maybe it's still going through the Department of Defense's pre-publication security review and approval. In the blurb published in April 2023, Andrews mentioned that he came across a file compiled for him by his late father. This file contained records from a past he did not remember, including documents indicating he was removed from school for weeks every year from the first through the twelfth grades.

It also contained evidence that he received an honorable discharge from the U.S. Air Force and that he worked in space intelligence communications as a minor.

Now, that's very interesting because I initially thought that was science fiction as well. I'm now talking to people who have been inside the psionics program, and they also tell me that they were recruited as children. In fact, there is some evidence to suggest if you are willing to believe this, though I don't expect you to that...

[04:00 -> 07:44]
Ross Coulthart:
The best psionics are kids, as well as the left-handed individuals we discussed in the actual program. Prepubescent children are often targeted in schools through the gifted and talented education program. I've issued an appeal before for people who are interested in talking to me about this. I am aware from conversations with multiple individuals that there were children who were diverted outside of the school system into a program administered by the U.S. military or intelligence community that involved training in psionics. I know it sounds like an episode of "Stranger Things," and it certainly presents as such. Some of what happened is quite terrifying, and I'm sure for any little child, it was absolutely horrifying.

Anyway, those are the two books I'm interested in. "Above Black" by Dan Sherman is the one I most recommend to you. Lenny from YouTube wants to know what Jake's most prominent takeaway from the experience he had is. Well, look, I wouldn't purport to speak for Jake, but my impression would be love. It would simply be that here's this guy who's trained as a tier-one warrior, and he and his mates have come away with the overwhelming impression that this is a non-human intelligence that actually does want to engage with us humans. It's conveying a very strong message of love; it wants to share the idea that human beings have capabilities with our consciousness consciousness communication, if you like which we're not fully aware of but which can be mastered.

Danilo from YouTube asks if Michael Herrera and Steven Greer will be in any future follow-up. How did Jake and his team approach you? Was Steven Greer a part of any background agreement? Well, going backwards, no, Steven Greer was not part of any background agreement. I'm not sure what you mean there. I haven't had anything to do with Steven Greer.

How did Jake and his team approach us? They didn't approach us; we approached them through an intermediary. Will Michael Herrera and Steven Greer be in any future follow-up? I can tell you I'm talking to Michael Herrera, and as you would know if you're watching, Michael Herrera, I can now reveal, as Jake revealed in his long-form interview, that Jake gives credibility to Michael Herrera's account in Indonesia during a relief operation where he and his patrol came across what he described as some kind of pods.

The reason why those pods are significant is that originally, Michael

Herrera and Steven Greer mistakenly assumed these pods were being used for trafficking humans against their will, that there was some kind of criminal human trafficking operation going on. It's all possible; it's illegal or criminal, but the simple fact is these people were not, I'm told, being taken against their will. What was actually happening and to some degree, what Jake's talking about here is speculative what he can identify are the pods that Michael Herrera describes and the egg-shaped craft that was at the location in Indonesia. He says that everything Herrera describes is consistent with his understanding of what he's seen in the program, albeit the egg-shaped craft is considerably smaller. It does sound like that craft that Michael Herrera saw was some kind of reproduction vehicle, which, if true, raises the very real possibility probability that yes, the United States may well have crafted this technology to...

[07:46 -> 11:41]
Ross Coulthart:
At some point, it's been able to reproduce some of the capabilities of the NHI technology. I don't know that for sure at the moment. If anybody wants to ask me this question, what I do know is that we have learned how to operate the NHI technology that has been retrieved using human consciousness. My sources are actually telling me that it has been an extremely difficult process to attempt to replicate the materials science technology behind these crafts, which is literally thousands of years ahead of our capabilities. So there's a nuance there. But what I can tell you is that Jake has told me that the pod, which Michael Herrera gave Jake, provides a very clear and accurate description of essentially conforming to the shape and design of the objects used by the psionic assists in the program. This is essentially to assist the psionic assists in doing what they do, which is to consciously connect using their abilities to NHI craft. I know this sounds like science fiction, but they need somewhere to essentially meditate, and these pods provide them with that capability. That's all I know for the moment.

Let's answer some of the questions that are coming in on the Q&A. Oh, Christ, I'll be here all day. Okay, let's do the right one the first one on the list. Jesus Christ, how many are there already? My God, forgive me for being profane; I apologize in advance.

Okay, what does Jake think of the goings-on at Skinwalker Ranch? What I can tell you is that Skywatcher would be very interested in assisting Skinwalker Ranch; that's all I can tell you for the moment.

These are part of a government conditioning program in response to the leak. This is why Grusch came in and distracted the media from Fravor. Oh, we have some wacky stuff here. I don't know what that person is talking about.

Somebody has a conspiracy theory that we are apparently part of a CIA front. How does one enable psionics to call for UAPs or UFOs? That's a good question. As best as I can understand it, there are some people who have a predisposition, and it may very well be because of their enlarged basal ganglia neurons at the caudate.

It has been suggested to me that most or all humans could actually develop this capability if they were properly trained. Essentially, it's a form of meditation engagement using human consciousness to connect with NHI. I can tell you I've been trying to teach myself how to meditate; I sure as hell haven't been able to communicate with NHI, but I have seen it demonstrated. It involves intense meditation, and the people I have watched do it have undergone enormous amounts of training over hundreds of hours.

So that's really all I know for the moment. I believe in the phenomenon. Oh, it's the same person. Honestly, you had your say, mate. This is Charles Wilson blah, blah, blah, blah, blah. Boring. Go away and put your wacky conspiracy theories to someone else. I frankly don't care about the wacky conspiracy theories of people out there that this is part of some scam. Honestly, go and dig a hole and lie in it.

Where did the Christians get emailed to? Oh God, honestly, there are a lot of paranoid people out there. You know, the thing I just want to say here is that you have an opportunity. The UAP social media commentary world for years has been asking for firsthand witnesses. Now they...

[11:43 -> 15:22]
Ross Coulthart:
There is a small but highly vocal minority out there on social media UAP commentary who are now, in a deranged and paranoid way, questioning why these people are coming forward. Skepticism is important, and believe me, I've been skeptical with these individuals; I've put them through the ringer. However, being rude and essentially questioning their patriotism, while slurring them with defamatory imputations, is not something I will support. By all means, ask sensible questions, and I'm here to answer the right ones.

Is there a sect of people that have always known these non-human intelligences existed? Do these people have influence over most nations? The term "sect" implies some kind of religious connotation. I am not aware of any religious knowledge regarding this. One thing that does interest me is that I believe the Church of Scientology knows a lot more than it is letting on. It's interesting because Danny Sheehan has indicated something about this. I strongly recommend people go back and look at his interviews.

I also think it's noteworthy that the Mormon Church has an inordinate number of people working inside the Legacy program. Yes, we know who you are. I have names, locations, and information about individuals in managerial roles within the Mormon Church who also happen to hold very senior positions in the Legacy program. It s interesting; perhaps people in the Mormon faith find it validating in many ways because so much of their belief system is allegedly derived from an alien communication, as outlined in the history of the church. Maybe they believe that this validation supports their religion. I don't know.

But no, I am not aware of any sect or religion that is sharing this knowledge. I do think, however, that there are some religious institutions, such as the Mormons and the Scientologists, that have done significant work in this area. Frankly, I don't think there's anything sinister in that. If I had the resources, I would be pouring money into investigating this as well.

Are there any aerospace companies ready to end the cover-up? That's a great question. It's interesting; not officially, but some individuals within those companies are discreetly reaching out. I've had two conversations now, one particularly illuminating conversation with an executive from a well-known aerospace company. We had a frank discussion, and I asked, "Why persist in the cover-up?" The best explanation they could provide is that there is really no good reason for why the public can't know, at the very least, about the existence of non-human intelligences engaging with this planet.

What they are worried about is being forced down a slippery slope. They fear that disclosure would compel them to reveal things regarded as national security sensitive, such as the level of development of weaponry, propulsion capabilities, or energy capabilities derived from this non-human technology. I ll be blunt, though: I actually think a lot of those excuses are self-serving. These individuals have committed crimes in some cases; they are accused of committing and ordering murder. That s what they are trying to hide, and I think it s very self-serving.

[15:24 -> 19:03]
Ross Coulthart:
I believe there is an attempt by the National Security Council of the White House to suppress this story, with Congress members and Senators being told to remain silent and not ask questions, effectively stopping any disruption regarding the UAP issue. There is a very strong, deliberate, and, I think, panicked attempt to shut this all down. It's interesting because President Trump has come in very much on a platform of revealing what he calls the Deep State. Regardless of one's views on the rights and wrongs of claims about the Deep State, the actions of those concealing an allegedly illegal and criminal program that is spending billions of dollars of taxpayer funds in an unauthorized manner are, by definition, indicative of a Deep State cabal.

I think it is incumbent upon President Trump to honor his pledge not only to investigate the so-called drones though I believe they are much more than drones and certainly anomalous in many cases but also to investigate the UAP phenomenon. There is a strong call from both sides of Congress for this to be done.

As for my view on what is happening, I think the incoming president is gauging the situation. He will likely ask for a briefing about what is really going on in the UAP retrieval program. I suspect that successive presidents who have inquired about this have been shut down. It will ultimately require courage and sound judgment from the new president to decide whether to reveal this information. There is

certainly a push from prominent figures in Congress, including Chuck Schumer and Marco Rubio, who has spoken out previously and is now the new Secretary of State.

It is clear that Donald Trump is his own man, and the final judgment will rest with him. However, as you saw from my interview with Donald Trump Jr., there is keen interest within the family. If the phone calls I have been receiving from people in the Trump Administration are any indication, there is definitely a mood to engage with this subject enthusiastically.

If I were someone in private aerospace sitting on this secret, I would be inclined to come forward and disclose the truth. I genuinely believe that, apart from those who have ordered murders who should be held accountable and properly prosecuted there is a strong argument for establishing a Truth and Reconciliation Commission. This would allow individuals to share the truth in a public forum, similar to the Church Committee, without the concealment that occurred during that investigation.

My goodness, what the CIA hid during the Church Committee! I think the opportunity exists for a reckoning and for public accountability. Yes, if there are matters that should be retained as confidential due to national security concerns, then that should be justified. Let's examine the arguments.

Let me take a moment to address the number of people who are simply complaining in the chats. You are here to ask questions. Do these inquiries have any relevance? This is your producer here. I have a couple of great questions for you from TikTok. I wanted to chime in and let you know that I am sending them to you in a private chat. We have some people asking, including Whitney from TikTok, if there are any more whistleblowers that you...

[19:05 -> 22:49]
Ross Coulthart:
I think there are going to be more whistleblowers who come forward. That's a good question to start with, thanks, Alex. Yes, there are other whistleblowers; believe me, there s a conga line of whistleblowers waiting to come forward. A lot of how they feel about coming forward depends on how they are treated and how Jake Barber is treated. Jake is courageous; the decision by him, Don, Fred, and John to speak so candidly is an act of courage. I take my hat off to them. How they are treated serves as a barometer for others who are sitting in the back, waiting to see if they should come forward.

I have to say, it s a bit depressing because they don t have much faith in Congress. Some of them have already given their evidence to Congress and have been ignored. Others have provided their evidence to Arrow under Dr. Shan Patrick and have also been ignored. They are very frustrated and are not seeing any resolution from Congress. This is because there are a number of politicians who are frankly only interested in their self-interest. They are transactional people making judgments about what s best for them, not for the U.S. taxpayer

or indeed the world at large. What we need is political courage, and I guess a lot of people are looking to President Trump. Will the new president be a man of resolve? Will he stand by what he promised to do? Will he challenge the Deep State, the cabal that is protecting this secret? Will he be influenced by the intelligence community, which is desperately trying to do so?

Now, a question from TikTok in the chat: Do we know what was inside the egg-shaped UAP? Were there words on the outside? No, and no. There was no indication from the people involved in the program; they have no knowledge of what was inside the egg-shaped craft because they were the retrieval team. The way it works in the program is very compartmentalized. You have a team that does the retrieval, and then you have the team that picks it up on the flatbed and takes it to the facility. I am talking to people who have been involved in the receiving end, and for them, it s a lot more sensitive because they are slightly more senior, let s put it that way, without revealing too much. They are making a value judgment about what happens. They are waiting for President Trump to indicate that he is serious about this, and once he does, the floodgates are going to open.

Do you speak with journalists like George Knapp and other journalists before you come out with whistleblower specials? Look, I do talk to George and Jeremy and other journalists, but obviously, I haven t told them that I m working on this story. Journalists work as competition. I have huge respect for George Knapp and what he does; he s done some fantastic work over the years. I was surprised, by the way, that Jeremy s role in obtaining the Immaculate Conception document wasn t disclosed to Congress. If that s correct, I m really shocked to hear that. I m pretty sure, by the way, that the person who provided the Immaculate Conception document is someone I m aware of, and I had a conversation with them at one stage and explained that because I work in TV, I...

[22:51 -> 26:35]
Ross Coulthart:
I needed somebody who could prove the provenance of the document, and that might have been a confounding issue in that person deciding whether or not they went with me. I'm not sure. But yes, I do talk to journalists all the time. The idea is that sometimes we do collaborations. I've done collaborations with journalists over the years, ironically with The New York Times and The Washington Post on other stories. I don't have a very high opinion, frankly, of those newspapers and their determination to cover the UAP issue. But yes, there are things that involve journalistic collaboration. I've been part of an organization for some time called ICIJ, the International Consortium of Investigative Journalists, which is based out of Washington, D.C. They do fantastic cross-border, transnational collaborations on stories of major interest.

I had some more questions for you. Someone named Tommy on Instagram is asking if you've ever spoken with anyone in the federal government about some of the interviews you've done, and what have they told you in response? That's a really good question. Yes, I have spoken to

people in the federal government. Let me put it this way: I am aware of an ongoing secret FBI investigation that is investigating not only the drones but also some of the allegations behind the UAP retrieval program. Yes, if I was in private aerospace or among people in the intelligence community who are doing this illegal work, I would be very, very nervous. I would be thinking about my options right now. If I were, say, someone at a senior level in private aerospace who was approached by Jake Barber and his team and told that they were thinking of taking this issue to the appropriate authorities, I would be looking at my options right now. I really would.

Yes, to further answer the question, I have been in touch with multiple people in the federal government, in investigative agencies, as well as senators and Congress members, including people who are in the senior levels of the Trump Administration. There is ongoing communication right now. Yes, believe me, communication is happening. They are taking these issues very, very seriously. I'm going to leave it at that.

Someone earlier had asked on YouTube, and because you mentioned the Trump Administration, I thought I'd jump in about the declassification of files. Do you think that's still going to happen? Is it going to be detected, or what are we going to see? That's a really good question. I have no lead on whether President Trump is going to follow through with his promise to release the UFO videos that are still secreted. One of the excuses that I understand has been used in the past by the military and the intelligence community to justify the non-release of UAP videos is that releasing them would reveal sources, means, capabilities, and methods. Now, I'm told frankly that that is nonsense. That has been demonstrated in the past with events where we've wanted to show interdictions between Russian aircraft and U.S. drones over the Black Sea, where U.S. drones have been improperly and indeed illegally meddled with by Russian aircraft. We've been quite happy to show the high-definition imagery that I know exists from these drone technologies. I actually asked this question of people in the intelligence community, and they've told...

[26:37 -> 29:58]
Ross Coulthart:
I have been informed that certain data can be hidden or blurred on a screen to prevent, for example, the heads-up displays from fighter jets from inadvertently revealing capabilities. I am told that there are extraordinary high-definition videos in the possession of the UAP investigation office, known as the All Domain Anomaly Resolution Office (AARO). However, I am also informed that there are many more videos within the Defense Intelligence Agency and other intelligence agencies, notably the CIA's Office of Global Access. I am uncertain whether we will ever see any of those videos because, frankly, a lot depends on whether the president is serious about honoring his pledge to reveal them and to further investigate the UAP issue.

If the volume of responses I have been receiving from people in the new administration is any indication, it seems very clear that there are individuals at a very senior level who want to uncover the truth

regarding the allegations about a UAP retrieval and reverse engineering program, particularly because it allegedly involves the expenditure of significant taxpayer money.

What worries me is that presidents can be seduced by intelligence. They may be drawn in by the idea that they are gaining access to crucial knowledge. It concerns me that a dubious figure from the intelligence community might enter the Oval Office and say, "Mr. President, this is the biggest secret of all because it is the most important thing in our armory for defending ourselves against the Russians and the Chinese. The public cannot know about NHI because if they are informed, it will force us to reveal all of our secrets."

Frankly, I don't believe that is tenable anymore. I think the public understands why we cannot disclose how to create a thermonuclear bomb. Just because there are secrets held by the Department of Energy and the military regarding the creation of such bombs, and just because we know those bombs exist, does not mean that the secrets will leak out. I feel the same way about NHI; it is no longer reasonable for the government to maintain this fiction.

I believe it has more to do with a group of stiff individuals in suits who are afraid to admit that they have been lying for years. It is as simple as that. It is always the cover-up that gets these people; they cannot handle the fact that they actually have to confess to their lies.

I have received a lot of criticism regarding audio issues, and I hope it is not on my end. I do acknowledge that we had terrible audio problems during the Don Paul Bales interview, and I apologize for that; it was completely outside of my control.

I find it telling that no one from Barber's post is discrediting him. If he were truly a lunatic, I believe they would be speaking up. That is from Hungry Wind Dingo, and I completely agree. You have not heard a peep from the Defense Department regarding the allegations made by Jake Barber and his team, and the reason is that they know it is true. What they are most concerned about is what else Jake Barber possesses. I can assure you he has a substantial amount more, and he has provided it to the Senate Select Committee for Intelligence.
[30:00 -> 33:05]
Ross Coulthart:
People should be asking the Senate Select Committee for Intelligence why it hasn't done anything with the evidence that was provided to it last year by Jake Barber in a closed four-and-a-half-hour hearing. I'm still scrolling through. Alex, if you've got any questions, there are just so many messages with people making comments.

Alex:
Sure, absolutely. We have Christopher from YouTube who asked how many different types of NHI have been described to you.

Ross Coulthart:
Oh, that's a good question. Okay, this did not come from anybody in

the recent program, but yes, I am aware of claims of multiple different types of NHI. Should we say, certainly a minimum of eight different types of craft and probably, to be sure, from multiple witnesses, six different types of species. I hope that answers your question. And please, don't ask me which ones.

Alex:
If you have someone who can pilot this thing, why not during the day for better imagery?

Ross Coulthart:
Oh, believe me, there is better imagery. One of the issues for the people who provided us with that video and it did not come, by the way, from Mr. Barber or his team is that the difficulty with selecting which video to provide comes from enabling identification of the person who procured it. For the people that have taken the risks to provide us with the video, it is a very delicate balance. Some of the really good imagery is daytime imagery, and it exists, believe me. I am aware of other imagery, and it's pretty bloody good.

Alex:
Someone here from YouTube asked if you've seen something personally that's undeniable proof of NHI.

Ross Coulthart:
Look, if you're asking me if I have seen a video that's undeniable proof of NHI, I don't think any video would ever satisfy any debunker, skeptic, or dubious person. The simple fact is, what level of evidence? I mean, we've had people squawking for years about the need for firsthand witnesses to the legacy retrieval program. The small vocal minority on Twitter and other forums is basically saying, "Oh, yes, but what evidence is there still?" The simple fact is, I don't know what evidence convinces people. I don't even know if people would believe it if the president stood up and said, "Ladies and gentlemen, we've been lying to you for 70 years." But that may eventually happen.

Alex:
Any more questions?

Ross Coulthart:
Yes, so that brings me to the next question. Tommy from Instagram asks, do you think another country, say China, might disclose...

[33:08 -> 36:15]
Ross Coulthart:
I do have information regarding the United States. This has been touted as a very serious possibility. Let me say categorically that I know for a fact that the Chinese and the Russians have retrieved craft. In fact, absurdly, I'm aware of situations where, early in Glasnost, Americans were involved with Russians in a retrieval go figure. Now that we've fallen out and don't get along anymore, the thing I find really interesting is that both the Russians and the Chinese know about NHI; it's an open secret. I don't necessarily know

if there's been some kind of agreement between the Russians, the Americans, and the Chinese not to reveal this, but I think for all of them, there is this secret cold war going on a secret arms race that David Grusch referred to in his interview with me in June 2023. They are all competing, and indeed, there have been situations where there have been retrievals overseas by Americans, and there have been situations where they are essentially competing with foreign adversaries to recover those objects before they do. It's a very interesting situation. Yes, there are archaeological finds, and people might guess what I'm talking about anymore, Alex, because I frankly can't keep up.

A few people have asked whether you've heard stories similar to Barber's before. Oh yeah, absolutely. It's funny, actually, because this goes right back to a guy I wrote about in my book, Nat, who was essentially the R&D geek for the US Navy. Nat told me before he died that there was a legacy program, and he was a lot more candid with me than I revealed in my book. I can reveal now that he introduced me to multiple people who told me about and introduced me to people in the legacy retrieval and reverse engineering program. So when I heard from Jake, to be honest with you, the one thing I hadn't heard about was the material science side. I've been talking to a lot of people in that field people who are frankly frustrated that the work they are doing is being hindered by secrecy. The ridiculous compartmentalization imposed on them by the secrecy of the legacy reverse engineering program is failing to some degree because what's needed is a kind of Manhattan Project a huge collaboration between all nations to try and break through this material science technology. That's the sort of stuff I was hearing behind the scenes, and I knew there were retrieval teams. I had spoken to somebody on the retrieval teams, but I had never spoken to someone with the depth of knowledge that Jake and his team, and indeed other people I've spoken to, have.

[36:16 -> 39:11]
Ross Coulthart:
What really shocked me the most was the connection between human consciousness and the phenomenon. That completely blew me away, and the hard skeptic in me took a long time to believe it, to be perfectly honest. Alex, I'm going to get you to give me some more questions because there are like a thousand comments. It's great; please keep asking us questions.

Arc from YouTube asked, "What do they mean about aliens being interdimensional?" I'm assuming this is something we've talked about in the special before. Yes, it's something that David Grusch talked about too. To be honest, I'm not a quantum physicist, but I do know that in quantum physics, a multi-dimensional universe is hypothesized, and it would explain the mathematics behind our current understanding of the universe.

It may also explain why when Arrow uses the term "extraterrestrial," they might not be lying. Perhaps they know that these entities are from Earth, but from another dimension, or perhaps they're intra-dimensional in the sense that they come from this planet. I

really don't know, and it would be speculation on my part to say one way or the other.

One more question from Shillo 20110: "How many of you are fully informed regarding UFOs?" Oh no, sorry, that's not a question. Alex, give me one; it's probably better if you just feed them to me.

No, that's great. We have a question from Instagram, and this is something we did a live on before. Ross, I'm sure you remember: "Are the drones in New Jersey connected to UAP?" Boy, that is the $100 question. Let me answer it this way: I am talking to people both in government. I've spoken to people in an FBI investigating task force, and I've also spoken to my two favorite citizen scientists, John and Jerry Tesco and Donna Leardo, who have their fantastic civilian scientific operation off the coast of Long Island.

Nobody I'm talking to thinks that these so-called drones are just prosaic terrestrial drone technology. If they are drones, they represent a level of technology that is far beyond our current known abilities. Some of them have been known to literally disappear and reappear in other parts of the sky almost immediately. They're moving at speeds far beyond the known speeds of terrestrial drones, and they're also showing a morphing characteristic where, in some cases quite amusingly, they've changed their shape to make themselves look like animals.

The thing that I'm actually going to be doing a story about this, by the way, later in the week keep an eye on Reality Check because we've got far more to come on this.

[39:13 -> 42:14]
Ross Coulthart:
One thing that blows me away about this situation is the lies. I do think we need to call out the absolute lies being told by the Department of Defense, the Department of Homeland Security, and the Federal Aviation Administration. All of these agencies colluded in a press release in mid-December to falsely convey a narrative that these objects were simply misperceptions by the public and that everyone was suffering from some kind of mass hysteria.

One thing I have learned in this story is: do not anger people from New Jersey. They are tough, formidable, strong-minded individuals who do not tolerate nonsense, and they are sick of being gaslit. I m speaking with people in county politics, local sheriffs, police, the FBI, and civilian witnesses, all of whom are telling me they are seeing anomalous characteristics in these objects and are genuinely concerned about it.

Many of these objects are appearing in restricted airspace in areas where drones are categorically banned. I have spoken to individuals who have informed me that there have been attempts to use high pulse microwave systems definitive technology aimed at bringing down drone technology but these HPM systems have failed.

There was a military unit secretly deployed off the coast of New Jersey to use this technology on the objects appearing over the ocean. They had to be very careful in how they used it because this HPM technology operates across a broad spectrum and can disable any aircraft in the vicinity. They have used it, and it has failed.

So yes, there is something anomalous about these so-called drones, and I believe the federal government has at least some awareness that these objects exist. We know for a fact that the FBI recently acknowledged they have not been able to bring down one of these drones and still do not know where they are coming from.

Imagine that! I do not understand the willful blindness of so much of the mainstream media regarding this issue. Over the national capital, Washington D.C., drones have been observed hovering near the White House and Congress, displaying anomalous performance characteristics that cannot be brought down and not showing the normal technological signals required by law to identify who they are and where they come from.

And what does the government do about it? Nothing. This has led many people, including those I am speaking with in the intelligence community, to speculate that this must be some kind of advanced drone technology being tested by the government. Indeed, that is what I thought for a while, but I no longer believe that is the case.

[42:16 -> 45:15]
Ross Coulthart:
I'm being told by people they have no idea where these objects are coming from. They were speculating that they were coming from the ocean, but they've deployed units secretly to try and detect where those objects are originating, and they haven't been able to do so. In fact, the entire investigation into the so-called drones has been a failure. If you look at the comparison between how we responded to the overflights of, in one case, a Chinese balloon and three other objects over the United States in February 2023, and then compare the hysteria and outrage about those incursions and the national security threats and public safety threats posed by that technology back then, and then look at the way that, frankly, the media has been clamped down upon to remain silent about these drones, there's something wrong. I don't know what it is; I don't know why there is this willful blindness. But I can tell you these objects are, in many cases, showing anomalous performance characteristics. The FBI knows that they're as confounded as you and I are about it, but higher up, right up to the National Security Council of the White House, somebody's clamping down on it. The big question is why.

Alex:
Yes, we do have someone from YouTube asking if we've heard of egg-shaped UAPs before. I know we talk a lot about discs, Tic Tacs, and all of that, but what about egg-shaped?

Ross Coulthart:
Oh yeah, look, egg-shaped UAPs go right back to the 1940s. I write

about it in my book. We talk about the fact that scientists who were conducting nuclear bomb experiments at Los Alamos reported seeing egg-shaped objects hovering over the nuclear test site. This is something that is one of the most consistent shapes reported going back many decades. It's really interesting because if you actually look at the accounts of the size of the objects, they're entirely consistent with the object that was recovered by the retrieval team in the video that we showed. So yes, there is a long history of egg-shaped UFOs. And frankly, if you look at the Foo Fighters from World War II, it's consistent with the Foo Fighter shape. More importantly, I was talking to somebody the other day who said to me that what we call the Tic Tac, which is a cylindrical UFO, may in fact be the eggs, but it's a consequence of how we see them through some kind of blue shift. Because they're moving so fast and because of the technology that they're using to move, it may very well be that we perceive them when they're moving at high speed as some kind of cylinder, i.e., a Tic Tac.

Alex:
A couple of people are asking about if you know of any successful situations when it comes to reverse engineering aircraft.

Ross Coulthart:
Look, it's funny; I'm getting...

[45:17 -> 48:24]
Ross Coulthart:
There are contradictory stories about this. On one hand, you've got, say, for example, the Michael Herrera account from Indonesia, which suggests that certainly a private aerospace company is using what appears to be reverse-engineered technology. That was a gigantic octagon craft being used to move people and machines from Indonesia, presumably back to the continental USA. I don't know whether that indeed is reverse-engineered technology, but yes, there are, if you like, data points that suggest there have been reverse-engineered technologies. Notably, for example, the Calvine AP craft, which I suspect was being operated out of RAF MRO Henish I apologize if I pronounced that wrong in Scotland. This was a very large, secluded RAF base on the west coast of Scotland that was used regularly by the United States. I think it's closed now, but I do believe that throughout history, there have been data points suggesting that something is going on.

In my book, for example, I talk about a guy on an oil rig who witnessed jet aircraft escorting what appeared to be a triangular-shaped object moving across the Atlantic. What I do know from scientists inside the program is that there has been a lot of frustration with attempts to emulate the material science represented by these technologies. I just don't know enough about it. I know that the program is very compartmentalized, and I've spoken without giving any secrets to people in the program who have told me that they've worked in specific locations. I suspect that there may very well be parts of the program that a lot of people don't know about.

I don't know. I mean, I know somebody at some stage is going to ask me if I am aware of any interstellar craft. You know, is the secret space program a reality? Frankly, I have no idea. And please don't ask me about the 20 and back program; I have no idea if that's true.

Alex:
Yeah, we have an Instagram question. Can you go into more detail about what you saw during the gathering you and Jake attended?

Ross Coulthart:
Ah, well, yes. We're talking here about a retreat that took place at Esalen, which is a beautiful location three and a half to four hours south of San Francisco on the west coast of the USA. It was a gathering of very high-net-worth individuals, brilliant scientists, and some inordinately talented people. Yes, Jake and I attended that retreat, and to some degree, I am bound by confidentiality. But what I can tell you is that I witnessed a psionic, who I know a person with alleged psionic abilities, psychic abilities list them in a group of probably 30 or 40 people go into a deep...

[48:28 -> 51:17]
Ross Coulthart:
I want to share an experience I had during a meditative state. A person had told me at 4:00 PM that afternoon, "Ross, we're going to see a blue orb tonight." I kind of giggled nervously at the time. As it turned out, he began meditating, and suddenly, on the far horizon over the ocean, we saw two white orbs that appeared slightly golden at times. They started coming towards us.

I won't embarrass this gentleman, but he is a person of extraordinary wealth and an even bigger heart. He was yelling at these objects, saying, "We love you! We love you! Come closer! Come closer!" The whole audience had been meditating, trying to psychically connect. I must admit, I am a miserable failure at meditation. If anyone wants to teach me how to meditate, I would be very grateful.

However, something remarkable happened. I suspect it was our psionic operator who made the connection because he later indicated to me that, in his mind, he was inside that object, bringing it towards us. The audience's loving engagement seemed to encourage it to come closer, and indeed, they did. They came closer and closer.

I won't reveal too much because it's up to Alex Clus and the Skywatcher team to disclose how much they want to share. It's a beautiful story. But I will tell you this: we were in one part of Esalen, while a whole lot of people were in the hot springs on the other side of the hill.

As an older guy, I'm far too shy to sit in hot springs with beautiful young women, but there were indeed a bunch of beautiful young people sitting stark naked in those hot springs. Suddenly, they saw these blue shapes coalescing in front of them. We received images from the hill looking down at a beautiful, octagonal-looking blue orb that hovered near the naked boys and girls in the hot springs.

Then, it went and landed on the beach. It was hilarious because I had just told the lovely young psionic, "That was pretty amazing, but I didn't see any blue orb." He looked puzzled, and at that very moment, we were discussing the fact that we hadn't seen the blue orb. It turned out it had manifested itself to those beautiful young people on the other side of the hill, who were all stark naked. I think, in their naked innocence, they were probably more attractive to the phenomenon. I have to admit, I would be more inclined to look at them than at anyone else who was clothed.

[51:19 -> 54:07]
Ross Coulthart:
It was quite beautiful because these people were all very touched by that experience. Yes, there was a blue orb, exactly as predicted, that showed itself to all of these people. It was quite beautiful, and yes, it did manifest more overtly because of a loving, welcoming presence, which I find fascinating.

Alex:
A few people are asking if you think psionics are game changers when it comes to discovering more about UAP.

Ross Coulthart:
Let me put it this way: I think it is absolutely integral to an understanding of what UAPs are that we understand the capabilities of human consciousness. There is definitely a consciousness connection between the human mind and the capability to connect to whatever this is, be it a technology, a being, or a sentience of some kind. People I've spoken to inside the program I should mention this have told me they've seen inside the egg and other craft. They tell me there's no control; you know, there's no steering wheel or levers to push. Basically, they're almost empty, and it would explain why the capacity to psionically connect with that technology is the way that it's used.

Another story I was told, without giving away any names, is that for years there was a craft hovering in one of these dark secret black world warehouses, and nobody could figure out how to open the thing. From recollection, one day, one of the BLS working on it put his hand against the side of it and just thought to himself, "Oh God, I wish this would open." Apparently, the door opened, and that was one of the first realizations they had that there was a psionic connection to the phenomenon.

Alex:
Any more questions?

Ross Coulthart:
I'm getting tired, to be honest; my voice is going.

Alex:
I was just about to say that I think it's time for us to wrap up. But I do want to remind everybody that they can watch the full special

that aired on our website, newsnow.com. Let them know that I'm sure we'll be doing this again, so if they have more questions, just keep an eye out on our YouTube page, News Nation Reality Check podcast, and we'll have more content like this coming up. By the way, we will also be doing another special reality check just to annoy the government, which clearly wants this issue to go away regarding the drones that continue to buzz New Jersey, New York City, and Washington, D.C. I don't know why the government claims they've gone away, but they sure as hell haven't. They're still there. Talk soon.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=o72BBZYXm9c
[00:02 -> 04:10]
Ross Coulthart:
Good day and welcome back to Reality Check. As we promised, we're bringing you the full-length interviews with all of the interviewees in our special investigation into UAP crash retrievals. One of the three men who strongly backed the credibility of our primary interviewee, Jake Barber, in this story is Fred Baker. Fred Baker is a highly experienced former Tier One operator who showed great courage in stepping up to support the credibility of Jake Barber. Fred is a legend in the U.S. Air Force Combat Control and Special Operations community. He served with distinction in the U.S. Air Force's 24th Special Tactics Squadron, which is like the U.S. Air Force Special Forces; it's one of the elite Tier One units in the U.S. Air Force Special Operations. He retired as a Master Sergeant after 20 years in Combat Control. Combat controllers are special tactics operators who specialize in air-ground communication, including air traffic control, fire support, and command and control communications, including in covert operations.

It's a measure of how desperate some in the intelligence community are that, in the wake of our UAP crash retrieval investigation, they actually tried to denigrate a veteran of the caliber of Fred Baker. This is a man who served his country with distinction. He has multiple Bronze Stars; in fact, he has earned the Bronze Star with Valor four of them, with four leaf clusters. That's a significant achievement. He has also earned multiple other commendations and awards, including a Navy Presidential Unit Citation with one Oak Leaf Cluster. It reflects just how desperate and frantic the legacy program cover-up is right now that the bad apples in defense and intelligence are calling upon their shills in social media to attack a legend like Fred. It will backfire because the Tier One community is angry at how their comrades are being treated for telling the truth.

Here's Fred Baker's story of his work with Jake Barber. Fred, can you tell me, within the constraints of what you're allowed to share, what is the story of your service?

Fred Baker:
I joined in 2000 in the United States Air Force and went into the Combat Control pipeline. I spent the majority, actually the entirety, of my career in Special Warfare.

Ross Coulthart:
You're a fairly well-known figure in the social media community and a prominent commentator on Special Operations. You often refer to the unit, which I take to mean Delta Force. Are you able to talk about the fact that you were a Delta Force operator?

Fred Baker:
I was not. I was strictly in the Air Force, although where I was, we worked quite often with the Army and the Navy as well.

Ross Coulthart:

Right, okay. I think I know the name of the U.S. Air Force unit you're talking about, but essentially it was a top Special Operations unit in the U.S. Air Force, correct?

Fred Baker:
Yes.

Ross Coulthart:
I understand your family has quite an illustrious history in the U.S. military. Can you tell me a bit about that?

Fred Baker:
Yeah, quite a bit. "Fighters and Farmers" is our motto. Since the Revolutionary War, my ancestor Christopher Baker came over as an indentured servant. He was forced into the British Army but did not like it, so he deserted and joined the American side, fighting for the Americans under George Washington. Ever since then, we have fought in every major conflict of the United States.

Ross Coulthart:
So you're obviously very proud of your...

[04:11 -> 07:54]
Ross Coulthart:
Absolutely. I really appreciate this country, and I value that my lineage fought for it. You've obviously left the military. When did you leave?

Fred Baker:
I left the military in 2021. Since then, I've been working largely with Jake. There was a stint when I was trying to reintegrate into the civilian world and took a regular job, but it just didn't work out. I didn't like that atmosphere, especially coming from where I was. It just so happened that while I was realizing this, I received a phone call. That's when I took a risk, a leap of faith, and met Jake.

Ross Coulthart:
Jake recruits people like yourself from the elite of Special Operations for the work that he's been doing. Were you surprised at the work you were being asked to do when you started working with him?

Fred Baker:
That's interesting. I would say yes, I was surprised, but at the same time, I kind of knew that this kind of stuff was going on. We try to rationalize it, thinking there's no way this could happen within our country. But then you hear stories, especially in 2021 when a lot of information started coming out, and I thought, "That would be pretty cool if it were true." You know, it would make a good movie. And then it actually is, and it was super interesting.

Ross Coulthart:
Was there an "aha" moment for you when you thought, "Oh my God, this is real"?

Fred Baker:
I don't know. I think I'm still in that "aha" phase. I'm in the early part of the "aha" portion of it, and hopefully, it will get rounded out, and we'll have a good answer. But what do you say to people watching this, Fred, who are very skeptical and might think there's no way you can keep a secret like this? Secrets leak; politicians blab; everything leaks in the end. But this has been kept very well, hasn't it?

Fred Baker:
It has. I ask how many secrets individual people have that nobody knows about. It's very interesting what you can do in the realm of national security and patriotism, and how far people will go to protect that and this institution, knowing that they're doing the right thing. So when he contacted you and asked you to come and work with him and his team, was it a shock what you were being asked to do?

Fred Baker:
It was a shock, but also because it was what I was asking for. Leaving the Special Operations community like that feels like you're kind of dumping a gift off. You spend a large amount of your time being trained to do a certain level of things, and then all of a sudden, it's no longer needed. I feel like there is still work out there that needs people like us. That gift, I wouldn't say is getting wasted, but it's just not being utilized. So I was very happy that this opportunity arose. Surprised? Yes, but it felt like all my childhood dreams were coming together.

Ross Coulthart:
What do you say to people who might be watching this and think there is no way this can be kept secret? This can't possibly be true because it's just so crazy. You have recovered craft; it sounds insane.

Fred Baker:
Maybe it sounds insane. I've never had that moment. I'm very open and very aware that I don't know everything. I think it's safe to say that we don't know everything. Humans are really good at one thing, and that's debunking ourselves. We think we have something solved, and then years later, we...

[07:58 -> 11:42]
Ross Coulthart:
Have you ever seen anything anomalous during your time in the military?

Fred Baker:
Yes, I have just one that I can remember. It was not associated with anything specific, but while I was in Afghanistan, you know, it's super dark out there. Guys talked about being able to see satellites, and I thought, "Oh, cool." One night, coming off security, I looked up and saw what I thought was a satellite. It was not flashing; it was moving steadily. I was excited because I had never seen a satellite in

my life. Then it just stopped, did a half circle, and shot off. I had no idea what I just saw. I didn't tell anybody until a couple of days later when the guy who relieved me was on night vision. We were sitting by the campfire, and he started talking about this thing he saw. I said I saw the same thing, so I was very relieved that I wasn't losing my mind and that he saw the same thing. That was my first and probably my most prominent experience. I saw some other things that I don't really believe were alien, if you will.

One of the things that I think a lot of people will have trouble believing about Jake Barber's claims isn't the fact of a retrieval program, but the concept of people being able to psychically or psionically engage with technology. Have you seen that kind of psionic engagement with craft?

I believe that I have, yes.

What would you say?

We did conduct an operation using those assets human assets conducting experiments to see if what they were claiming was true. We were able to get pretty close to a 90% solution that this can be done. There were just too many coincidences.

What would you say to the debunkers who would claim you're clearly letting your eyes play tricks on you?

Come on out; we'll show you. I mean, I am dead serious. I am a very open individual. I like that I don't know everything; I enjoy learning new things all the time. With my job, I'm very intimate with all of our aircraft that we know of. This was nothing in comparison. On top of that, I got an extra little bonus of something that I didn't actually see but was recorded. It was very exciting. I actually suspect a lot of people are ready for the idea that there is a non-human intelligence.

Let me ask you this question: seeing what you've seen, do you believe or do you know that there is a non-human intelligence engaging with this planet?

Well, I don't know for sure. I think it's healthy to have a bit of skepticism to avoid leading you down a slippery slope, if you will, if there is such a thing. But there is absolutely something going on that we're unaware of. When you do interact with these things, they play with you or they showcase an air show or whatever; they are interacting with us.

Let's go through the other objects that you've seen. Have you seen triangles?

Yes.

What have you seen?

I was working with a friend of ours, now an AET psionic asset, and he asked me to come out. I've never done anything like this before. He met me, and this was outside of work; it was not a military-related thing.

No, we were both out of the military at the time. This was shortly after I met Jake.

[11:46 -> 15:05]
Fred Baker:
He recognized me from Instagram at this other thing and he said, "Hey, come on out if you want to see this." I was like, "Okay." Of course, I had to convince my wife that I was going to do this weird thing, you know, all night, and I wouldn't be home. That was the hardest part. So, I went out there, and he was walking me through how to summon them. It sounded a bit strange, but at the same time, I was very open to trying it. He explained how to do it, and I thought, "Yeah, I'm going to mess this up. There's no way."

He emphasized projecting very positive and loving emotions, so you're essentially projecting love to whatever it is. That's the way he explains it, right? Or that's his method of how he does it. Forgive me if I indulge this a little, but you're a special operations soldier by training. Special operations soldiers don't generally talk about projecting love. It's quite cool.

I thought I was going to mess this up; I thought I was going to fail the whole thing. I haven't tapped into that side of me for a very long time, so I was sure this was just going to be a waste of time. But he asked, and I thought, "Alright, I'll try it." I'm very glad I did.

He also mentioned that it's very different for everyone, obviously, but they give you what they think you need. I was a very impatient person. I can be patient when the mission dictates it, but for the most part, I'm just like, "Let's do this, let's do this." All night, it would just keep me waiting, and then something would show up. It would pique my interest, and then hours would go by again, and then something would pop up. I'd think, "What is that?" It kept doing that all night until the very end when this triangle showed up. That was definitely the grand show.

I had just witnessed something unbelievable, and I turned to talk to my friend. I said, "That was unreal! What did you say? What was it?" So, at the very beginning of the show, if you will, this thing popped up way out in the atmosphere and started to fall. It felt like if you imagine putting a small pebble in the water, and it just kind of flutters down that's what it was doing, just fluttering down. As it got closer and closer, it got brighter and brighter. When it hit the very bottom of its movement, it was the brightest thing out there. Just imagine it being brighter than any star you've seen. It lit up for a millisecond and then dipped back down, doing the same movement all the way back up into the atmosphere.

Clearly, it was operating under some kind of intelligent control. It

did that three times, and that was pretty incredible. My jaw was on the ground. The whole time, I was saying out loud to all of us, "How do you fake this?" Because I couldn't believe it. I was thinking, "How do you fake this? There's no UAV or anything that does that. There's no light array." The whole time, I was just saying out loud, "How do you..."

[15:06 -> 18:51]
Fred Baker:
I couldn't believe it. That's when I turned around to him and said, "I don't understand. How do you fake this?" He replied, "Bro, this is what it is." Then he stopped and exclaimed, "Oh my God, it's the mothership!" He mentioned he had only seen it two other times. I turned around, and that s when I saw this massive triangle. Please don t ask me to figure out how big it was or how far away it was; it was just huge hundreds of feet for sure, probably more. It was football field-sized, maybe even bigger, multiple football fields for sure. Wow. As for how far away it was, I couldn t tell you. I was already in shock and awe from this one little thing, and then they presented this massive object with other little things going on as well.

So, the triangle itself was moving west to east very slowly. The lead point of the triangle was very bright, while the two ends were dimmer. There were lights on each of the points. Did they glow? No, they remained the same brightness the whole time. They were white with a hint of orange, but mostly white. Was there a light in the middle? No, there was nothing in the middle.

Was there any noise? No noise at all. It had little minions, if you will, flying all around it orbs. Yep, orbs. They were the same orbs that we see all night, just floating around the sky. Sometimes they pull away, and sometimes they just remain in place, but now they were spiraling around this thing.

I was really interested to ask: at that moment, obviously, I think I would have felt a sense of awe, but did you have a sense of some kind of psychological or psychic contact with the object? I mean, I don t know because I was so paralyzed by what I was seeing. I just could not comprehend it. Again, I kept saying out loud, "How do you fake this?" It was a solid object.

Okay, let s consider the skeptical debunker argument: could it have been some kind of temperature inversion in the air? Was it a cold night? Yes, it was a cold night. Was it a clear night? Absolutely clear. So, is it possible that what you were seeing was some kind of optical illusion? If it was, we were sharing that optical illusion. There were two of us who witnessed it.

Your colleague, the psionic assist, had told you that it was coming? Yes, throughout the night he said, "This is a good sign." Every time we saw something, he would say, "This is a good sign; it s going to be a big night."

As we know, and as Jake has disclosed, this is what has been happening

secretly in various secure installations around the United States for apparently quite a long time. I find it sad that the knowledge of this has been kept from humanity. It s beautiful. I don t mean to sound like a hippie or something, but it s fantastic the idea that it s possible to psychically connect with an intelligent entity of some kind.

I think it may lead us back to the way we were when we were in touch with ourselves. Well, let s talk about that. I mean, do you think if I can speak for Jake

[18:53 -> 22:28]
Ross Coulthart:
It's clearly been quite spiritual. It's been a transformation. Has it been the same for you?

Fred Baker:
Yes, there have been a lot of moments like that where I've been on my hands and knees begging for help, and then it happens. It shows itself, and it's just confirmation. It's telling you, you know, I'm not going to give you the answer, but we're here. Or maybe it's telling me that you can do it; you can get it.

Ross Coulthart:
What would you say? I mean, one of the criticisms that's been made is that there are people in various arms of the military who believe that this is demonic, that it's evil.

Fred Baker:
I really don't know, but I've had that conversation with a friend who is spiritual and religious. I was speaking to them about, I'm sure we'll get to it later, but things that I was seeing in my sleep or having visions or whatever it is, and they were telling me that those are demons. They said, "You have to stop. You have to stop." Because I'm telling them I don't know what to do with this, and they said, "You have to stop that now."

With me personally, I'm having this battle of, you know, are they benevolent? Are they malevolent? I don't know, or are they indifferent? I couldn't tell you, but there is definitely a positive sense there for the most part when you interact. When you get that interaction, you get that verification. There's not a sense of dread; it's very much, "This is the answer for us."

Which begs the question: Is there something that you think perhaps humanity has lost in our technological civilization that means it's been hard for us to learn how to connect in that way?

Fred Baker:
Absolutely. I mean, we have things to communicate with each other, as basic as written language, all the way to technology. Now I can send a photo across the universe, for lack of a better term. It makes us lazy. You know, we don't exercise that specific muscle for long enough; it becomes useless. If we don't use our consciousness and our

mind in that capacity for God knows how long, who's to say that we've lost those skills? But they could still be there.

Ross Coulthart:
What do you think about the fact that this is being kept so secret? I mean, it would make life a lot easier, right? We wouldn't be very dependent on much when it comes to what we are now. But is it a good thing that the public doesn't know about this?

Fred Baker:
I think people have a right to know that we're not alone. Yeah, I think they have a right to know. Then they have the option; they can choose to venture on that or just completely ignore it or whatever. But it's still our choice. We should have the option of whether we want to pursue this or not.

Ross Coulthart:
Let's go to one of the stories that Jake has told us. Jake Barber has told us how he was advised that there were high-value targets Panasonic Toughbooks containing highly sensitive information which had been stolen from the program. There was an order out to recover them at all costs. Were you involved in part of that operation?

Fred Baker:
I was.

Ross Coulthart:
Yes? What were you involved in? What was the part of the operation that you were involved in more specifically?

Fred Baker:
Excuse me. More specifically to what you just said, to recover those assets. So there was an operation where very high up in the Sierra, two hard drives were recovered. Were you part of that recovery operation where one was found in the bottom of a...

[22:30 -> 26:28]
Ross Coulthart:
I understand you recovered two assets, but at a different location, correct?

Fred Baker:
Yes, that's right. However, without going into detail Jake doesn't want us to disclose specifics about what ensued it was a dangerous operation. There was concern, wasn't there, that perhaps you guys had been compromised in some way?

Ross Coulthart:
Yes, there were things adding up that did not make sense. Were you worried?

Fred Baker:
Not as much as Jake was, but that was due to my ignorance. Once I saw that he was concerned, then yes, absolutely. It's always a very

difficult thing for any whistleblower to come forward and speak about highly sensitive matters. But can I ask you this: do you think Jake Barber is a patriot?

Ross Coulthart:
Oh, yes, absolutely. Do you think he loves his country?

Fred Baker:
For sure. And do you think his motives are pure for why he's speaking publicly?

Ross Coulthart:
Yes, absolutely.

Fred Baker:
There's a concept that many special operators talk about: there are certain types of men you would want watching your back in a tight spot. Is he one of those guys?

Ross Coulthart:
Absolutely.

Fred Baker:
Trustworthiness is one of the top qualities we look for. In fact, we would take a mediocre performer with a high level of trust over an individual who is a top performer but lacks trust. He's a credible person.

Ross Coulthart:
Authentic?

Fred Baker:
Correct. So, a decision was made by Jake that he wanted to approach the private aerospace company's director of security, for whom this operation had been conducted to recover these Panasonic Toughbooks. Were you part of that operation where the meeting took place?

Ross Coulthart:
I was, yes. What was your role?

Fred Baker:
My role was to locate the individual, confirm their location, and build up a package for us to pursue them and eventually meet them. So, you can verify that the meeting took place, correct?

Ross Coulthart:
Are you satisfied that there is still an open question about who was responsible for what happened? I mean, let's call it a dangerous incident.

Fred Baker:
I believe there is. I don't know if we'll ever get that answer, so yes, it's still open.

Ross Coulthart:
It's legitimate to be concerned that the safety of Jake and his team was in question as a result of what had happened.

Fred Baker:
Yes, it's pretty unsettling that it can escalate to the point where someone can ask you to do something just for the mere fact of setting you up for something else.

Ross Coulthart:
So you feel you guys were set up as well?

Fred Baker:
It seems to look that way. There are, again, a lot of coincidences.

Ross Coulthart:
Do you think it's possible somebody wanted you guys dead because you knew too much?

Fred Baker:
I don't know; I can't confirm that.

Ross Coulthart:
You were also part of an operation where, as Jake described it, the question was whether a whistleblower with these Toughbooks had gone to the disclosure advocate on UAPs, Dr. Steven Greer. What was your role in that operation?

Fred Baker:
I was merely transferring information to and from.

Ross Coulthart:
So you met Dr. Greer and conveyed information to him?

Fred Baker:
I did, yes.

Ross Coulthart:
Can you tell me what happened on your return from that exchange of information?

Fred Baker:
Yes, it was just a simple drop-off, you know here you go, nice to meet you, and then we were on our way.

[26:30 -> 29:57]
Fred Baker:
He actually took an interest in me. I don't know if it was me specifically, but potentially Jake and our group. He just wanted to pry a little bit, but he asked me some questions, and we had a little bit of a conversation before we went our separate ways. I drove straight home from there, about a six-hour drive or so. When I got home, everyone was in bed, and obviously, I was tired, but I was not exhausted. I had enough energy left to get something to eat real

quick, take a shower, and get to bed.

As soon as I laid down and closed my eyes, I was in something. It was very interesting because I was still very aware of my surroundings. I could hear the fan going, and my wife's sound machine was on. I knew that I was in this moment; I was not asleep. Often, I drift into Dreamland, but as soon as I closed my eyes, I was in this experience. It was very much black and white, like if you were running a hundred miles an hour through the woods just black and white branches going all over the place. I could feel that I was there, and I was very excited but somewhat confused.

Then, all of a sudden, this white circle appeared, and it just started opening up, very bright white. It felt like I was pushing through something, and I was getting really excited. When I came through, I saw this little boy dressed in 1920s or 1930s garb the wool coat, the vest and tie, the wool shorts, the ankle-high boots, and that floppy cap. I thought, "Oh, this is cool; this is really awesome." He was looking right at me, and as I focused on his face, I realized he was terrified of me, which terrified me in return.

I could see the background; it was a dirt road with a street corner that looked like an intersection. This wasn't a dream; I was there. It felt real, and I was absolutely terrified. Have you ever heard of the term "remote viewing"?

Ross Coulthart:
I have, yes.

Fred Baker:
Have you remote viewed?

Ross Coulthart:
Not that I know of.

Fred Baker:
I'd like to have an answer on that, but no, I haven't officially tried. So it raises the question: what was it about your meeting with Dr. Steven Greer that prompted that experience? Was there a correlation or causation?

Ross Coulthart:
I didn't correlate any of that. I just had this experience happen to me, and then the next morning, I was trying to reason with it. I brought it up to Jake and texted him about it, asking if these two incidents could come together at some point. I felt like there were two separate incidents, but I sensed that something was going on there.

Now, I understand you're part of the Skywatcher team that's going to try to provide the service that's being done secretly in the military and private enterprise, correct?

Fred Baker:

Yes, that's correct.

Ross Coulthart:
Are you excited about that?

Fred Baker:
Always. It's always exciting; it's always something different. But yes, from what you've seen, will it work?

Ross Coulthart:
It seems so. We've had some pretty good luck, if you will, so far.

[30:00 -> 30:31]
Ross Coulthart:
Interestingly enough, it seems that a lot of these things people are talking about are true. The implications of what you're saying, though, Fred, are absolutely mind-blowing. Good, good! Let's do it. Let's rattle this thing up, get us out of this day-to-day mundane stuff, and let's get to the next level. You think that's what we can do by doing this? It seems like we can. You know, it's fun. There have apparently been some people who've done the wrong thing some bad...

[30:33 -> 31:10]
Fred Baker:
Along the way, in the course of keeping this incredible secret that we're not alone, I find that perhaps the biggest secret is the most exciting one: it's possible for all humans to potentially do this. We can all learn how to do this, and it's fascinating to see how touched you and Jake are by what is obviously quite a spiritual experience. It's very exciting; it's something that will change us for sure, likely in the right direction for the inevitable future.

[31:12 -> 31:46]
Ross Coulthart:
We are moving away from starvation, war, and the need for various things, but we are getting back in alignment with what may be our true purpose. Tell me if I'm taking this too far, but you are a warrior; you are a trained warrior, an absolutely elite soldier at the top of the American military in your time. There s a message here, and it s fascinating because to hear a warrior talk about love as the way to communicate with these objects is just mind-blowing. It took me a long time to...

[31:48 -> 32:19]
Fred Baker:
We shouldn't be fighting wars out of hatred for a specific group of people, even if they are evil. It should be out of respect and love for what lies behind us. Having experienced both sides as a kid, I realized that I hated these evil individuals and thought we needed to kill them. That mindset didn't set me on the right path, and it took me too long to understand that I shouldn't be acting out of hate. Instead, I should be motivated by love for these innocent people.

[32:22 -> 32:55]

Ross Coulthart:
Folks, yes, evil shows its ugly head, but we can take care of that and continue back on our true purposes. You have kids, right?

Fred Baker:
I do.

Ross Coulthart:
What's your hope, knowing what you know now about this phenomenon?

Fred Baker:
This is probably one of my top purposes: for them. I want to give them a better life. What parent doesn't want a better life for their kids? Right now, all I'm seeing is the same. I'm going to give them the same life that I had potentially going to war, having to pay rent, whatever it is. It's the same.

[32:58 -> 33:32]
Ross Coulthart:
You mentioned that your family has a very proud history, dating back to the Revolutionary War, fighting as warriors for America. Is it your dream that your child might engage with the phenomenon in a loving and peaceful way, perhaps breaking that mold?

Fred Baker:
Absolutely. I don't want my kids to end up where I have landed. Now I'm fighting another battle to regain my humanity. Why is that necessary? Let's just give them the humanity that they should always have.

[33:35 -> 34:22]
Ross Coulthart:
I'm sure there are still people watching this who think we have all gone crazy. You know, he may be an elite soldier, but clearly he's nuts. This can't possibly be real. What do you say to those people?

Fred Baker:
I don't think it's very far-fetched. I mean, the movies we all love to watch and the books we all love to read often have that happy ending where everyone comes together and realizes that love is the way. Most of our religions are based on that, focusing on finding our center.

[34:25 -> 34:54]
Ross Coulthart:
A better world for us why is that crazy to think about? There really can be a happy ending. Absolutely, and there should be. We deserve that. Thanks for watching. Go to joinnn.com to find NewsNation on your television provider, and please don't forget to click that red subscribe button to ensure you get more of NewsNation's unbiased and fact-driven news coverage.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1U7F8MULenA
[00:00 -> 02:05]
Sponsor:
Hello! The myth has long been that in order to stay young and beautiful, we need special wrinkle creams. According to Dr. John Lakey, the world-renowned Beverly Hills beauty expert, most of those wrinkle fixes on the market are nothing more than glorified moisturizers. Recently, Dr. Lakey has focused his attention away from mainstream cosmetic practices to pursue a revolutionary anti-aging technology breakthrough—one that some experts say could empty the wallets of the cosmetics industry. His personal clients have dubbed his new do-it-yourself technique the "Age Rewinder Method" because it can take years or even decades off your appearance in under two minutes. I could use some of this! Dr. Lakey has released a step-by-step video to the public, free and uninterrupted, where he outlines exactly how to use this simple solution from home. The video has racked up over 2.3 million views and counting. So far, thousands of women—and what about the men?—are reporting they look decades younger. You can find out more about this yourself right now by going to bhmd1.com/Ross or clicking on the link in the description box below. That's bhmd1.com/Ross. Now, there is one thing that Dr. Lakey asks from his viewers: if watching this video helps you look and feel younger than you have in years, then please share this video with your friends and loved ones. Together, we have the power to help as many women reclaim a youthful look as possible. Go watch the video at bhmd1.com/Ross right now or just click on the link in the description box below.

[02:06 -> 05:05]
Host:
Good day and welcome to what I think will be a very special episode of Reality Check. You may have seen the promotional publicity by now for a very major story that we intend to broadcast on News Nation this coming Saturday at 8:00 p.m. Eastern Time. It's a huge story—one I've been working on for over two years. You'll recall back in 2023, we broke the David Grusch interview, where the former NGA National Geospatial Intelligence Agency insider revealed what he knew from his exhaustive investigations into the Legacy Retrieval Program. The big criticism of David Grusch has always been that he didn't have any direct personal knowledge of the Legacy Program. I actually understand he does, but he can't talk about it. Today, I'm going to tell you about a story that I suspect will rock Washington and possibly have a huge influence on many people around the world who have wanted answers to that big question for many years: Are we alone? The short answer is, we're not. This week, we are presenting definitive evidence from firsthand whistleblowers—people who have operated within the Legacy crash retrieval program, which involves the retrieval of nonhuman craft. Our principal informant is a former, very well-respected tier one operator, a highly trained military operator trained at the elite levels of the U.S. military. His service is verified and testified to by three other witnesses. The account he gives of what he saw on what he euphemistically refers to as "the range" regarding the retrieval of nonhuman craft is backed by two of his colleagues who were there with him. This is going to be a groundbreaking story, and yes, we're

running it right before the inauguration because we want to send a message. The message is: it's time for the public to be told the truth.

[04:46 -> 09:04]
Interviewer:
The government, or sections of the government, have been knowingly and intentionally lying to the public for years. If they want to fight, they can have one because people are now prepared to come forward. This week, you're going to hear from a firsthand whistleblower. Let's have a listen.

[05:07]
Interviewer:
So, tell me about the moment when you realized that you were involved in the retrieval of non-human technology, alien tech.

[05:10]
Whistleblower:
It became very clear the first time our communication process and procedure was modified. Then, just visually looking at the object on the ground, you could tell that it was extraordinary and anomalous. It was not human.

[05:21]
Interviewer:
How close did you get?

[05:23]
Whistleblower:
I was a helicopter pilot, and I operated with a 150 to 200-foot long line, so I got within 150 feet of this object.

[05:31]
Interviewer:
What did you see?

[05:33]
Whistleblower:
I saw an egg, a white egg.

[05:36]
Interviewer:
Was there any visible propulsion system on it?

[05:38]
Whistleblower:
No, not what we would know. There was no engine, and there was no thermal signature. I was operating at night when I finally came in to pick it up, so I was working under night vision goggles at the time. It was quite clear. I flipped them up, flipped them down, and looked at it a couple of different ways.

[05:51]

Interviewer:
How did you know that that egg-shaped object was non-human in origin?

[05:54]
Whistleblower:
Visually, after seeing all kinds of things in my past, it was clearly something that looked ridiculous. It was inconsistent with anything I had ever seen before. I can also tell you that the reaction by my team—we all knew we were dealing with something extraordinary. At no stage were we ever subsequently told that the craft was of non-human origin. I will tell you that during this process, over the last couple of years, it's been confirmed to me by ranking members of the UAP task force that what we were working with that night was, in fact, non-human technology, and it was not a unique experience.

[06:59]
Interviewer:
Now, as you'll see in this week's story, the bona fides of our witnesses are verified to our satisfaction. We've been able to check their credentials and their service with people at the very top of the National Security establishment and inside Congress. We know that their evidence has already been presented to the Pentagon's UFO investigation office, Arrow—the All Domain Anomaly Resolution Office. We know that evidence has been given by the primary whistleblower to the Senate Select Committee for Intelligence. Congress already has this information.

[07:46]
Interviewer:
We've made sure that our whistleblower follows the proper form to ensure that the proper authorities are notified and alerted to the significance of what this witness has to say. It's going to be very interesting to see what happens, but it's also going to be very interesting to see what the new government's response, the new president's response, is to the ongoing drone incursions. Because it's quite clear there is a connection between the drone incursions and the phenomenon of UAPs.

[08:11]
Interviewer:
By definition, objects that are unidentified and displaying anomalous behavior over our country are indeed UAPs, and that's what these drones are. I'm not even sure that we should be using the misleading term "drones" because that connotes the suggestion that these objects are human-made, normal, prosaic objects. It's quite clear that the new president, President Trump, is aware of something relating to these drones, and I rather suspect—I'm increasingly suspicious—that what the new president thinks is that we actually don't have any idea what these objects are, and we don't want...

[09:07 -> 12:37]
Speaker: Interviewer:
To tell the public that, because we're fearful of the public reaction,

let's have a listen to what President Trump said at a meeting of GOP governors very recently. Do you have any idea what ever happened to the drones? What happened to the drones? Well, you said that you knew, you thought the government knew what was happening with these drones over New Jersey. There were some by Bedminster. I don't know, they're over Bedminster a lot, so I can imagine. I'm going to give you a report on drones about one day into the administration because I think it's ridiculous that they're not telling you about what's going on with the drones. And it's not only with me; Glenn was telling me today that in Virginia they have drones all over the place too, and nobody's reporting it. I don't know why they're not.

[10:51 -> 12:37]
Speaker: Governor:
Just one quick comment, which is we are home to the largest naval base in the world at Quantico, and we house a lot of the SEAL teams and have a huge national security infrastructure. Now, for two years running, we have had drone incursions over secure airspace, and we still don't know why. I think that's absolutely unacceptable, and I believe President Trump and the new leadership coming in will work diligently to understand who's behind this and what we can do to stop the digital surveillance of all our secure infrastructure. Is it dangerous? I could think it is. While we were dealing with a terrorist attack in New Orleans, we had drones being flown over our nuclear reactors in Louisiana. We brought that attention to the FAA, and we've been asking the FAA to give these states the ability to mitigate these drones, but it's sitting on some bureaucrat's desk. That's real; nobody knows. They don't want to talk about it.

[11:51 -> 12:37]
Speaker: Governor:
I was just going to say we have drones in Wyoming flying over our infrastructure as well, and it's incredibly frustrating that we not only have no way of knowing what it is, but nobody knows how to deal with them. They don't want to talk about it, and nobody knows why they don't want to talk about it. That could be us sending drones; I hope that's the case—us doing it and doing research. Maybe they don't want to talk about it from that standpoint. I hope it's not an enemy, but we're going to find out on the 21st. The day after, you have to give me a little time for the inauguration, but shortly thereafter, we'll give you a report on it. We'll tell you exactly what it is they know, and it's very strange that they aren't talking about it.

[12:37 -> 12:37]
Speaker: Interviewer:
So ever since mid-December, ever since the people of New Jersey and much of the East Coast of the United States were gaslit by the National Security Advisor's spokesperson, the suggestion has been that the public are misidentifying normal objects, prosaic aircraft, for some kind of anomalous object, be it drones or otherwise. That explanation you will see today simply does not wash. In fact, I would go so far as to say I think the government knows that explanation doesn't wash. There are two possible explanations: either the government knows and it doesn't want to have to tell the public that

it has no explanation for these objects, or it knows what these objects are and it doesn't want to tell them. Either way, it's a really bad look. The interesting thing is the other line that's been coming and that's been parroted by much of the tame mainstream media has been the line that there's been a lessening in the reports of these...

[12:40 -> 16:15]
Interviewer:
There have been reports of these objects since Christmas, which is completely untrue. If you want to hear what's really going on, let's go to the source. Let's talk to one of the top spokespeople on this issue in New Jersey, Mayor Sam Morris from Mine Hill Township. He tells us these objects are still being seen, and there has been little to no decrease in the frequency of sightings. They remain a grave concern to the people of New Jersey.

[13:15]
Interviewer:
Okay, we are now joined by a friend of the program, Mayor Sam Morris from Mine Hill Township in New Jersey. He has spoken to us before. Welcome back, Mr. Mayor. Are you still seeing these mystery objects?

[13:25]
Sam Morris:
I refuse to call them drones because we clearly don't know for sure what they are. Yes, I have seen a few of them in the last week, very specifically identifiable by the way they're flying.

[13:36]
Interviewer:
The thing that really strikes me is that you spoke out very strongly back in December when the White House suggested that people in New Jersey were having delusions and weren't really seeing these objects. How do people in New Jersey feel about the government's stance that they are not actually seeing these objects and that there is nothing to worry about? They claim you are just misidentifying known aircraft. Do you find that a plausible explanation?

[14:00]
Sam Morris:
No, I don't. Part of it is, yes, but the feedback I'm getting from people here in New Jersey is quite dismissive. They are expressing their frustration in a very direct manner.

[14:18]
Interviewer:
Is it fair to say that 60 to 70 percent of what people are seeing are planes?

[14:25]
Sam Morris:
Especially since around Christmas, there has been a significant change in the quantity of things in the air. Since around the 20th to the

27th, it has really died down quite a bit. I would say now it's probably a 90-10 split. You look up and think, "Oh, that's a plane," or "Oh, that's a star." I had someone insist to me that Venus was a drone numerous times. I actually went to the lady's home and talked to her, saying, "Ma'am, look at this website; that's Venus."

[15:03]
Sam Morris:
But because they are being misled and lied to, they are confused about whether these are drones or some other object. They are definitely not airplanes, given the way they move and the things they do.

[15:20]
Interviewer:
You are not the only person speaking out about this issue. The Morris County Commissioners, back in mid-December, called on the federal government to marshal all federal resources at their disposal to end the unauthorized flight of these drones. They consider this a matter of national security and believe the government has a duty to the people of New Jersey and the rest of the nation to identify the source of this incursion. Is that your position as well?

[15:48]
Sam Morris:
You know, national security is a bit beyond the scope of a local mayor, but I do consider this a significant matter of security. I've said that since the beginning, just based on the size of these things. If one of them were to lose power and fall out of the sky, that would be a serious issue.

[16:17 -> 19:35]
Mayor Sam Morris:
You know, if the government said, "Look, we really genuinely don't know," that would be one thing. But what they came out with was, "You people are all drinking the same Kool-Aid, and you have no idea what you're looking at." That actually makes me relax a little bit because it tells me that, of course, they know what it is. Of course, they know what's going on, and we all have our speculations—I have mine. But it makes you say, "Okay, they know; they're just not going to say."

So, what is your speculation? What do you think it is? Here's where I'm going to go out on a limb a little bit. As much as I try very hard as a public official to keep an open mind, you have to deal with your residents. You know that the upper layers of government have things that they cannot and will not tell you, and usually, it's for our own good. Maybe there's a security issue going on or something like that.

Personally, I think this is some kind of an audition. When you look at the players in the commercial drone space and the military drone space, when you consider what happened with U.S. drones in Ukraine, and when you read articles about Ukraine flying drones that are running on AI and fiber optics, you realize the U.S. military admits they can't really defend against them. Fortunately, it's not in our

territory.

I think there's a Homeland Security bill that was passed on December 20th, which addressed Chinese chips in drones, and certain drone manufacturers have different issues. You wonder if that's legitimate or if people are being targeted. You have a company, Archer Aviation, in Connecticut that is partnering with the big players for billions of dollars. Suddenly, their stocks are up five times since early December. What's going on that we don't know about?

There's a company called Anduril; the brother-in-law of the owner or CEO of that company is Matt Gaetz. They have an office right across the street from the FBI—look them up; it's a little storefront office. I think these people are all lobbying for drone contracts. They're pushing for expanded contracts, you know, billions of dollars in military spending.

But the good thing about this is that, for the most part, these guys are on our side. When you go to Anduril's website, their splash page states that the U.S. is behind and we need to get our act together to catch up with the rest of the world. That's in our benefit; that's in defense of Americans.

So, I think there are going to be huge drone contracts coming out, and as part of the bid packages, these companies will have to explain, "Here's what our drones can do; here's how they operate using AI and other technologies." When the State Police in New Jersey say they pursued a drone that went dark numerous times, you know that lots of government agencies are involved. One of these incidents interfered with an air ambulance, preventing it from landing at Ron Valley College.

The government says they're seeing things, and you can say, "Follow the money." That's not necessarily a bad thing if these companies are working on our behalf, but there's a lot of money at stake. Again, if you're going to go out for a bid package, you're going to have to demonstrate that you can do what you say.

[19:37 -> 23:09]
Interviewer:
You do, and maybe the government said, "Hey, let these guys fly over a heavily populated area and demonstrate what they can do." It would be a hell of a way to audition to lie to the people of New Jersey, deceive the entire country, and allow corporations to operate unhindered over restricted airspace at a time when the public is clearly and genuinely concerned. One thing I wanted to ask you, though, Mr. May, is it appears there are distinct objects that a lot of people have been describing to us. You see a glowing orb, some kind of glowing pulsing light—that's one type of object. Then the other thing is you see a more distinct object that does, on the surface, appear to look like a drone. Is it possible that there are two different operators operating in our skies with two different types of technology?

Mr. May:
You know, I always try to stay calm when it comes to answering a question like that because it's a little over my head. You know, who can do what and how they would do it? Do I think there's one company up there? You know, I'm going to go with my audition theory or my bids speech theory. Do I think there's one company up there doing it? Nope. I think there are several; there almost has to be. And to your previous point, is it a responsible thing to do to let these people fly over unrestricted airspace? One of the things that came out of this is that there are only two military bases in the country that have permanent restricted airspace, besides the area of the White House. They put restrictions—this is the thing they say: there's nothing to this, nobody's up to anything. And now, in New Jersey, I'm very close to about 35 electrical stations where they transform the power. All of a sudden, they've got restrictions over those. You're putting restrictions over those, but these things don't exist? Really? That sounds good. You know, really?

Interviewer:
Yeah, okay. I know your county police, who we've also spoken to, are still concerned about these objects and still reporting them. Are you still in touch with people from the Department of Homeland Security or the FBI? Are they still on location monitoring these objects?

Mr. May:
I don't know. As far as statewide, that might be more of a question for the governor's office. But I know that there hasn't been any activity around here at all. This has gone dark starting around the 27th of December; this has completely gone dark. So, do you get the impression that there's a willful effort by the government to shut this issue down and push the line?

Interviewer:
My strong suspicion is that the government is trying to shut this down. They're trying to suggest still that you're not seeing these objects, and yet you are. Well, what do we know about the Cold War and Vietnam and the different things that go on, right? We know that the government may be doing something that's for our actual benefit and protection, but they can't tell us. Maybe they can or can't tell us, right? They could maybe even let a little bit more out, say, "Hey folks, it's us, but we can't tell you." But they're not doing that. But then I believe they've engaged in a little bit of a disinformation campaign, which is what the government does, right? At the federal level, they'll come out and say, "Well, there was an article that came out that..." I forget the name of it, but at the military base Earl...

[23:11 -> 26:36]
Mayor Sam Morris:
Some of these objects were emanating from South Jersey, and some were coming from the water. There are drones that are completely amphibious; they can go in and out of water, which many people are unaware of. We've learned that in the last six weeks. Is that a disinformation campaign? Why publish something like that if you're claiming that none of this exists and that everyone is crazy? I

believe it's all to create a garbled point of view.

Regarding those orbs, I've seen a video of one, and it resembles a pulsating, rotating jellyfish. I could probably email it to you; it looks like a jellyfish rotating on itself. It was taken in the next town over by someone I know, and I couldn't believe what I was looking at. They captured a great video of it.

Mr. Mayor, the objects you've specifically seen—are they more consistent with the car-sized or SUV-sized drone objects that we've seen previously? I'll tell you what I've observed in the last couple of weeks. I've seen three or four. Last night, I saw one that had tail lights. I have to say this on national media: I saw one last night that had a square rectangular panel on the back of it, with four or five lights across. There were lights in the front, and nothing was blinking, which is required by the FAA. I don't know what it was. It came across Mine Hill while I was heading out around 10 PM to pick up my son from work. It banked and changed direction.

I've seen similar objects over Dover, New Jersey, which is our neighboring town. On Friday night, I saw a drone come out over Dover, heading southeast. It made a right turn and was clearly a drone because it was all lit up, not blinking. It just changed direction smoothly, as if it decided to go that way. I also saw one hovering over St. Clair's Hospital in Dover. It changed direction and went up over a hill.

In terms of size, I would say they are about the size of a car hood, if that gives you some perspective. Are you seeing any visible means of propulsion? I know many of them have the sound of an engine, but have you seen propellers or evidence of jet turbines that propel these objects?

Interestingly, I consulted a couple of professional photographers who explained why these things are so hard to capture in pictures. Usually, they are made of composite materials, which are often gray or black, against a black background with lights on them. The lights create a contrast that makes it difficult to photograph them. If you adjust your camera settings to brighten the picture to see the body, the lights overwhelm the lens, resulting in a washed-out image. I know people have been out there with night cameras and other equipment, but...

[26:38 -> 29:58]
Ross:
The lights on them just overwhelm the night vision part of it. I haven't heard a drone ever, but I have not heard any whirring sounds, no whooshing sounds, no jet sounds, or helicopter-type sounds. We've just seen them; you know they're 200 feet up, so they're pretty far away unless it's a louder sound.

Mr. Mayor, it's clear from what you're telling us that the drones have not gone away in New Jersey, despite the government's best efforts to tell the public that that's the case. Thank you so much for joining

us, and we'll keep on digging for answers. We appreciate it very much. Thank you, sir.

[Music]

Ross:
It's my pleasure to now bring back in my favorite citizen scientists, John and Jerry Tedesco, the two Long Island brothers who have been doing some phenomenal research off the coast, reviewing strange objects that they've been tracking with their mobile observatory laboratory, which they're actually sitting in at the moment. Good evening, good morning, or whatever time of day it is over there, gentlemen. How are you both?

John:
Hello again, Ross. Early evening. How are you doing, Ross?

Ross:
I'm blown away by the video that you've sent me most recently, which I think you only just recently put together. It was recorded in November, but what I think it shows is some of the best video yet of the anomalous objects that are being seen not just off the coast of New Jersey but also where you've been filming, I think it's off Fire Island, that strip of land off Long Island there. Yes, tell me what you're seeing.

John:
So this was back in November of 2022. It happened over a three-week period—about a week in October and about two weeks in November. We saw a mixture of objects; we were tracking these orb-like objects, and then these drone-like objects showed up. They looked like they were doing some kind of surveillance. They had some flashing lights; they were pulsating, and they occasionally shone search lights or appeared to look like search beams laterally outward—not towards the ground where you would expect that they were searching for something, but laterally outward. The lights that were shining on other objects out there, the orb-like objects, were only visible because we were using infrared cameras. Otherwise, you could see the drones because in the video that you very kindly sent us, you can hear Donna possibly looking over your shoulder, John, as you're looking through the camera.

Ross:
Yes, but you couldn't see those objects with the naked eye?

John:
No, they were only visible in the infrared camera systems at night, except when the drones had some kind of either a spotlight or a headlight on these objects that were directly in front of them. The light would reflect off the objects even though you couldn't see them. It was almost like they were tracking the orbs themselves. So it was a combination of drone-like objects and orbs that we were seeing that night.

[30:00 -> 32:38]
John:
Leonardo, your colleague is on the beach next to you, and she's saying, "I hope they're not coming to take us," or something like that. I mean, it is quite spooky when you look through the camera because, while you can't see them with the naked eye, when they pulse up, these orbs are huge; they're like a giant searchlight.

Yes, and again, I just want to point out that the drone-like objects were visible pretty much all the time. It wasn't until, like I said, that the orbs themselves were only visible in the infrared spectrum cameras, only in night vision. Unless the lights were focused on the orbs or if the orbs' intensity increased...

Exactly. Now, the thing I find fascinating, gentlemen, is that I want to remind people where we are. This is one of the major approach routes for one of the major airports in America, heading towards some of the most intense restricted airspace in the country. This is the approach and exit route from JFK Airport that we're talking about here. What you're filming is something on video that can't be seen with the naked eye.

Was it being seen on radar? Were you detecting those objects on radar?

We were getting intermittent targets on radar. They were very much scattered. The fact that there were so many of them, so many targets, allowed us to visualize a little bit more of that. They were intermittent in returns, and we had to use a feature on the radar to add some persistence to those scans, where we could see them and identify their last track course.

Now, to bring the relevance of what we're talking about from November 2022 to the present day, do you think there's any difference at all between what you guys have subsequently seen on your own observation in Long Island when you took your observatory down there, your mobile lab, and what you were seeing in 2022?

I don't believe there was much difference at all. No, I think it's the same. It looked like the same objects to us. Even the videos we have seen lately, quite a few of them look like the same objects. It definitely was a swarm; it was a wave of activity that, up until that point, we hadn't seen on a regular basis like that. To see these other objects that were so persistent was kind of surprising. It took us back a little bit because we were focused on these other orb-like objects.

[32:40 -> 35:16]
Interviewer:
We were tracking over that period of time prior to the injection of the U drone leg. So, you do have actually been onto New Jersey and done your own research in the last few weeks. What have you seen?

Interviewee:

We actually haven't gotten out to New Jersey.

Interviewer:
Oh, I thought you had. I apologize.

Interviewee:
No, I'm sorry. We had so many incursions here on the island that at that point, it wasn't even necessary for us to go out there.

Interviewer:
Just so I'm clear, and for our audience that may not know where you are relative to New Jersey, you're essentially on the same strip of coast; you're just further north?

Interviewee:
Yes, exactly. We're within about 50 to 55 miles of New Jersey. Our coastline and those New Jersey incursions were occurring throughout the Northeast; it wasn't really just New Jersey.

Interviewer:
I think this is one of the things that really puzzles me about the government and all of the different federal agencies' response to these alleged drone incursions. There has been an attempt to downplay, since Christmas, whether or not these incursions are actually happening. It's been suggested by both the FAA, DHS, FBI, and the White House that what people are doing is misidentifying regular aircraft for anomalous objects. What do you say to that?

Interviewee:
I think it's actually kind of ridiculous because if they check with each of the state authorities, such as the Orange County Sheriff's Department, they had drone units that were looking at these objects. They were tracking transponder signals, sending up their own drones, setting up their own helicopters, and filming, describing large drone-like objects the size of small cars. There were no thermal signatures; they would go dark, and they had stealth capabilities. Several agencies were describing these things, including local law enforcement agencies; it wasn't just the public.

Interviewer:
Are we at the stage yet, from your observations, where you can venture a speculation as to whether these objects conform to what we describe as having the five observables? Are they UAPs in the sense that they are anomalous objects, unidentified anomalous phenomena?

Interviewee:
We believe so, yes. I think they're all anomalous. I think they all have to fall under that UAP description because we haven't identified them yet. We don't know what they are, and they do, based upon the reports that we're seeing.

[35:17 -> 37:47]
Interviewer:
We're hearing reports that these objects are exhibiting some initial

unrecognizable sightings. You know, the unrecognizable signs from thermal cameras are going dark, and there are instances of instantaneous velocity. We are actually seeing some of these things, and we're receiving numerous reports, especially from people in the local area of Long Island. Recently, when we went out there in December and parts of January, we attempted to track some of these objects, but they were very difficult to track on radar. We could monitor normal air traffic, but not these drone-like objects. In some cases, they were even jamming our radar.

Interviewee:
Yes, there was interference that was very specific and indicative of some kind of jamming frequency or jamming technology.

Interviewer:
Now, that is fascinating. I mean, that implies either a foreign adversary or something genuinely anomalous.

Interviewee:
Absolutely. By any definition, gentlemen, that's a national security threat, isn't it? We're talking about objects in an air corridor approaching one of the busiest cities in America. I'm not trying to overstate the issue, but that's a public safety risk and a national security risk, isn't it? Especially with the frequency of these breaches of FAA requirements. We have an airport out here, Keski Airport, that is not too far from us and has been breached multiple times. The last breach occurred just a week ago, with sightings of approximately 17 unknown or drone-like objects. Not only that, but we also had breaches at JFK International Airport, with three incidents reported there.

Interviewer:
So, it's certainly ongoing.

Interviewee:
Yes, we have a situation where the government appears anxious to downplay the suggestion that these drones are in any way anomalous. You're seeing and recording evidence that these drones are indeed anomalous. Guys, I know you don't know the answer, but what do you think is going on?

Interviewee:
That's a good question. We definitely have a big mystery here.

Interviewer:
Exactly. And as I mentioned, the number of sightings has actually increased. I saw quite a few even while driving to work.

[37:50 -> 40:23]
Speaker: Interviewee:
This morning, I thought I saw an aircraft coming into MCY Airport, a smaller airport around here. I noticed the blinking lights, which looked like navigation lights, but they seemed a little off. As I approached the airport, the object stopped and moved backwards, which

made no sense whatsoever. John and I also took the Nightcrawler out to a parking field, where we observed an object that remained stationary in the sky for an hour. Then it moved, probably at the speed of a small aircraft, but there was no sound.

From what you're seeing on the ground, especially from where you are to the north of New Jersey, there has been no decrease in sightings of these objects. It seems that the government is trying to downplay the situation. The authorities here recently announced that the Suffolk County Sheriff's Department has incorporated drone detection technology at Kreski Airport and is looking to implement it at other airports on the island due to the frequency of these incursions.

What I find intriguing, gentlemen, is that the only explanation we've had, which the government has ruled out, is the possibility that some unfriendly foreign adversary is deploying these objects off the coast for unknown reasons. I can't think of any plausible reason why countries like Russia, China, or North Korea, which have hostilities toward the United States, would want to showcase such technology so overtly without any apparent consequence or utility. What would be the purpose of such a display?

We would agree with that; it's quite mystifying. Especially considering that at times, these objects seem to be loitering back and forth. It doesn't seem to matter whether they're over an airport or just hovering over someone's home. Another interesting aspect from your analysis of the objects recorded off Long Island is that you used high-end calibrated spectrum analyzers. You've identified two simultaneously occurring pulsed signals during active observations of the anomalous air.

[40:25 -> 43:03]
Speaker:
The suggestion here is that they are emitting a narrow pulse signal at two frequencies: one at 1.7 GHz and the other at 1.8 GHz. What can we conclude from that? Are we saying that these objects are communicating with an external operator on those bands? What does it mean?

Well, here's the thing: the signals are only present when we observe a high number of these objects. The amplitude levels of these signals are more than just outliers; they are quite significant. They do not seem to have any sideband carriers at all; it's primarily very intense carriers. The main beam appears to be around 1.7 GHz, with a secondary carrier at 1.8 GHz.

These signals are not always present; they are sporadic and difficult to detect. Some equipment must be set to what they call Peak mode, where they look for transients or transitory changes. This occurred several times during drone-like incursions and also with the orbs. We are uncertain which may be transmitting that signal; it might be the drone-like objects, but it could also be a clock signal used to measure the distance between a networked set of objects.

What intrigues me about this, gentlemen, is that it's not just you

reporting this. I am in contact with people in the FBI and private contractors who have worked with this. The interesting thing is that they describe two different types of objects: the luminous orbs, or spheroids, and these peculiar spinning cubes.

One of the most dramatic things captured on video appears to be some kind of spinning cube, which is fascinating. As you may recall, Ryan Graves, the F-18 pilot who runs Americans for Safe Aerospace, was part of the deployment off the east coast with a carrier group in 2014 and 2015 when they observed these strange cube-spherical objects.

What we have been seeing over the past two years is some kind of polyhedron, possibly a rotating polyhedron, which may have some kind of electric field around it or some form of containment that resembles a sphere.

[43:07 -> 45:00]
Speaker: Interviewee:
And that's what we've been seeing since July of 2022. One of the things I found interesting was that you speculated in a recent interview with my friend Matt Ford about the significance of the red and blue lights. Can you explain your theory regarding those lights?

Yes, so recently we had a paper published on gravitational lensing. We had suspected that something was going on around these objects early on in our research because of the radar anomalies we've been receiving. It's not just radar; we are also experiencing late GPS signals or even an absence of GPS signals. With the radar, we seem to be getting late returns, significant propagation delays, and multipath signals whenever these objects are within the line of sight of the radar antenna.

What does that mean? Can you explain to a layperson the significance of that? You mean the objects are showing mass one second and then not showing mass the next?

Yes, the returns we're getting back indicate that we are actually looking at the chain from the radar dome. We're examining two components of it, referred to as I and Q signals. From that data, we can ascertain that the signals themselves are coming back late. If they are coming back late, then they are not in the response area of the beam. In other words, the beam itself, with our radar, rotates around every 2.5 seconds, making one revolution with a 5.2-degree beam in a 360-degree sweep. However, the antenna misses the return because it comes back so late that it catches it on the second, third, and fourth rotation. This results in an extensive delay that appears as an interference pattern on the radar display.

Okay, so what's causing that interference? I have a funny feeling you're going to tell me it's gravitational lensing, which, of course, is impossible.

Yes, we believe it to be gravitational lensing and what they call anomalous propagation. This phenomenon has been recognized by radio

engineers since the 1920s. Quite often, the returns come back seconds later than they should, which is quite unusual.

So, what can we conclude? Give me a summary for a layperson who knows absolutely nothing about gravitational lensing. What...

[45:48 -> 48:25]
Speaker: Interviewee:
In plain English, what we're describing here is that we are observing an object with an anomalous presentation, specifically an orb. In one case, we encountered a drone-like object that was within the line of sight of the radar beam. Currently, we are using large ships at sea as a reference point. If these ships are 15 to 20 miles away, we want the radar beam to hit the ship and return as a large signal. However, if the beam passes within the path of these objects, it appears to be distorted and interfered with, creating an interference pattern.

So, you are actually witnessing gravitational lensing?
Yes, we believe that whatever this object is doing, it is causing propagation delays and distortion, resulting in multipath signals. Essentially, what we observe on our radars is that if a ship is behind the object, the return signal from the ship appears stretched out, making the ship seem elongated due to the delayed signal. Additionally, we are also receiving multiple copies of those signals, which creates straight path interference patterns.

This phenomenon has also been observed on NEXRAD with weather radar, where they have detected these odd patterns. To get to the crux of your point, gentlemen, the implications are significant. If your analysis is correct, it suggests that something about that object is distorting gravity.
Exactly. We believe that this distortion is also responsible for the kaleidoscope of colors surrounding the object. As the object moves and rotates towards the observer, it blue shifts, and as it rotates away, it red shifts. Astronomers have known about this effect for years while studying large celestial bodies, where gravitational forces cause these shifts.

Now, gentlemen, the implications of what you're suggesting are quite alarming. You appear to be indicating that these are not merely prosaic drones of human construction, but rather something manufactured with highly advanced technology capable of distorting gravity.
Right. This is the kind of phenomenon we do not observe around commercial air traffic. As far as I know, this information has not yet been disclosed, but I am waiting to see what develops.

[48:27 -> 51:04]
Host:
As far as I know, there hasn't been any disclosure by the U.S. government yet, but we're working on it regarding the possession of any anti-gravity technologies. The implications of what you're saying suggest that something or someone is experimenting with anti-gravity, which might explain at least some or all of the objects that you're

seeing out over the ocean. This is what we suspect at this point.

You know, this affects the entire electromagnetic spectrum—whether it's light, radio waves, or heat. The same can be said for some of the observations made by Navy pilots, where you have presentations of these objects that seem to be running cold on the outskirts of the object itself. We're kind of wondering whether it's not cold; maybe it's a gravitational lens that is producing something that looks like a cold atmosphere around the object. It might be distorting that heat signature as well as it does to light and radio waves.

Well, gentlemen, as I've announced at the head of this program, this week we will be unveiling a story on News Nation that explores the very real possibility that the United States is in possession of retrieved non-human craft. We have firsthand whistleblowers who are testifying that they are aware of these extraordinarily advanced technologies that are in the possession of the U.S. government.

So, it's going to be a very interesting test with evidence such as yours being presented by my two favorite citizen scientists, John and Jerry Tedesco, and their colleague Donna Leadoo. It's going to be a very interesting test for the incoming president, President Trump, to see whether he honors his pledge to tell the public the truth about what's going on with these drones. He has stated that the government knows and they're not disclosing what they know, so let's see what comes out in the next few weeks.

John and Jerry, thank you so much for talking to me.
Thank you, Ross.

[Music]

Absolutely, thanks for watching. Go to joinnn.com to find News Nation on your television provider, and please don't forget to click that red subscribe button to ensure you get more of News Nation's unbiased and fact-driven news coverage.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=a_cwFcxjIWU&t=5s
[00:00 -> 03:59]
Michael MAA:
Welcome to episode two of the Dr. Steven Greer podcast. My name is Michael MAA. I'm a filmmaker who has collaborated many times with Dr. Greer, and I'll be asking a few questions. Dr. Greer, why don't we kick off with the big news that is breaking right now?

Dr. Steven Greer:
Thank you, Michael. I've spoken for some time about a group of people who have worked at black sites out west in sensitive operations where I visited those sites. The leader of that team has come forward; he had a little cameo on News Nation yesterday, which was Wednesday, discussing his involvement with the crash retrieval operations. There will be more coming out Saturday on that same show with Ross.

Tell me about the moment when you realized that you were involved in the retrieval of nonhuman technology—alien tech.

It became very clear the first time our communication process and procedure was modified. Visually, looking at the object on the ground, you could tell that it was extraordinary and anomalous; it was not human. What people need to understand is that this has evolved a lot in the last two months. As of this past summer, that individual, Jake Barber, and his team, along with people from other sites working with him, were going to collect a lot of the evidence of the crashes, the bodies, the retrievals, and the man-made craft, and hand it over. We planned to put it out publicly. Then they decided to do it through some government process with an office called DOSER, which clears things that are going to be written and released at the Pentagon. You can look it up; it's another one of those alphabet soup acronyms in the government, which are endless.

But those are the ones who review what is going to be printed or released that is of a top-secret nature. They also decided to unmask themselves. I've talked about this in the last month or two; this was a very big development, a very good development. Instead of it being an anonymous drop of information and intelligence and images without provenance—because this happens all the time in my situation—someone wants to remain anonymous, they give me information, but I can't point to where it came from. Of course, people then say, "Well, maybe Dr. Greer got that from some unreliable source, or it's not legitimate."

In this case, their bona fides are extremely high. They will be established in terms of their long-term involvement with these operations—decades. The other part of it that's very exciting is that because they're naming themselves, they're adding credibility by having been there and are currently boots on the ground at these facilities. It's not like they were there in the '60s or '80s or something; these are current operations from firsthand people who are operational there, who have been special forces and embedded with corporate activities at the Lockheed Skunk Works and Northrop Grumman. That's really quite a major development. Now, the evidence that exists is way vaster than what you're...

[04:01 -> 07:49]
Dr. Steven Greer:
We are going to see developments on Saturday, which will be released in parts over the next couple of months. A lot of this is strategic on their part to assess how the public handles it, what the media does with it, and, more importantly, what pushback and dangers may arise from rogue elements within government programs, whether it be law enforcement or other activities. We've discussed this before. Mr. Grusch testified under oath at the House last year that there have been individuals killed, and we know this to be true. I have been associated with people who have been killed.

This creates a delicate situation. If information is disclosed anonymously, it can pass through a few individuals into my hands, and we can release it, which has been happening for over 30 years. We have a significant amount of information, including documents and images, in our archive at DPIAR.com. However, when someone names themselves and is operational within a program, the stakes change dramatically. If they become too problematic, they may face erasure, arrest, or harassment.

This shift occurred two months ago, and they are now running their algorithms according to their operational security needs. I am fully cooperating with that. My intention, as the founder of the Global Disclosure Project 30 years ago, is to ensure their safety while trying to disseminate this information to the widest audience possible. More importantly, I aim to gauge the connections we have within the incoming administration and current members of Congress regarding their appetite for supporting a path out of these illegal secret programs, or whether there will be pushback that could lead to further shutdowns.

In a sense, what is coming initially serves as a test of the waters—poking the bear and putting up trial balloons. This is a rational approach, considering the transition from releasing information anonymously through a vast network to doing so where individuals are named, with their identities known to the public. This is a very different scenario.

Keep in mind that I have known Jake Barber and his team for about 19 to 20 months now. When they first reached out, they were completely in the shadows, but I have witnessed a beautiful evolution from wanting to disclose certain information anonymously to now coming out fully, which is much more powerful but also riskier. There are trade-offs involved, and I want to provide context for understanding this situation.

I believe that those of us who are concerned with the truth and a peaceful future, one that involves wonderful new technologies to reboot human civilization on a sustainable path, must protect these individuals. We should recommend to our elected leaders that they institute the protections we have been advocating for.

[07:52 -> 11:36]
Dr. Steven Greer:
For decades, if you go into our archive, you'll see that in my correspondence with President Bill Clinton back in the 1990s, after I briefed his CIA director, every one of those executive order recommendations that were present then is still present now in the briefing document for the incoming president, as well as past presidents we have dealt with. At this time, it looks like there is a very positive development in that regard because last night, quite late, I received four drafts of executive orders from a source I cannot name.

One of these executive orders is to establish a coordination office on UAPs and UFOs in the White House to get to the bottom of this. I'm not going to bore you by reading the text, but I have it. The second order involves inter-agency coordination, ensuring that all different agencies work together. They keep using the word "disclosure"; every one of these executive orders mentions it.

Then there's one we've been asking for: enhanced protections for whistleblowers. This order emphasizes the need to protect them by all means necessary. This is something we have been advocating for over 30 years. If you want to see whistleblower testimony, go to DPIarchive.com. You will find, I believe, 115 named individuals who have already been interviewed—correct me if I'm wrong, Raven—who are not anonymous and are included in the Disclosure Project collection that I have personally debriefed.

I have debriefed close to a thousand individuals, and you can see there are many redacted names of those who did not want to come forward publicly. However, we already have 115 named individuals, including people from as far back as the 1960s who were still living before they passed away, like Sergeant Clifford Stone, who was on crash retrieval teams.

What is unique here is that there will be evidence of those operations released, which puts everything in a different light. For 30 years, everyone has said that testimony can only take us so far; we need proof of the operations and proof of the craft. I have agreed with that, but it's not as if I can force these individuals to risk their careers and lives to bring that information out of an illegally run but top-secret operation. Until now, no one has had the courage to do it.

These individuals have shown extraordinary courage, which is a significant development. It has been an evolution of our relationship and their understanding of this issue. They are very supportive of the objectives of disclosure and the idea of reversing the dynamic between humans and extraterrestrials. Currently, the prevailing attitudes are either total denial of their existence or aggressive, covert, unsupervised illegal actions, such as targeting and shooting down craft with electromagnetic pulse weapons, etc.

The team coming forward has been part of those operations and knows

how they operate, including the weapon systems used. I have been out there and have seen the facilities.

[11:38 -> 15:26]
Dr. Steven Greer:
I've been over the sites where they have downed one or two extraterrestrial or non-human intelligence (NHI) craft a year. I was in Jake Barber's helicopter with another special forces operative about 15 months ago, over that site. There's another site north of there, but both of them are in remote desert locations in the high desert of the Mojave Desert. These operations are known in great detail by the people on this man's team. How much of that will come out has a lot to do with U.S. government support for these protections, as I just mentioned.

The fourth point I wanted to make—oh, that is the fourth one. I don't know; I missed one. I'm not very good at organizing these points. So, there are four main aspects, most of which deal with coordinating offices and researching this and disclosing information. One of them mentioned something that I brought up many years ago: not every bit of the illegal secrecy is unwarranted. The illegal part is totally unwarranted and unconstitutional, but there are certain things that have to be kept secret.

I know many people have wondered why I've said this over the last 30 years. It's because some of these technologies that allow for man-made craft to travel from point A to point B at several hundred thousand miles per hour—if that technological capability were released in the current world, where there are terrorist cells, unstable regimes, and nations that sponsor terrorism, those technologies would absolutely be turned into a missile delivery system, and it would be catastrophic.

So, not everything can be acknowledged; we have them, but let's say the operating systems and the designs need to be kept secret for a while until humanity reaches a certain level of collective security. This brings us to a bigger question, and one of the things we need to include in the recommendations is that there needs to be an international effort involving Russia, America, China, and other nation-states around the world to agree, number one, to cease and desist from any aggressive violent actions against extraterrestrial craft or their occupants.

The second point would be to initiate a global peaceful outreach to them. Additionally, there needs to be a collective security protocol where the technologies involved in the reverse engineering breakthroughs that have occurred are addressed. We're going to get into this in a minute because this is the big thing missing from this whole conversation: the massive breakthroughs in technology. Those are all things we have paid for as taxpayers, and they would represent the ultimate peace dividend of this sort of secret war that has not been declared openly between these operations being run without supervision, illegally targeting extraterrestrial craft.

Many people have wondered why I've said for years that given what

we've been doing, if these civilizations were hostile, it would be over. I know what we've been doing; I've known about these operations for over 30 years, where we have had targeted sophisticated electromagnetic pulse weapons and other directional energy weapons that have targeted and downed these crafts.

[15:29 -> 19:03]
Dr. Steven Greer:
The loss of life, and I might add the capture of living extraterrestrials that are kept imprisoned underground in certain locations, is a fact. The teams that I've been working with, who are behind the upcoming disclosures, know that there has to be a rescue effort for those unfortunate beings. They are not humans, but they are people. In many cases, of course, they have been treated very badly, tortured, and interrogated.

Now, in light of their technological superiority, which is obvious to anyone with a thinking brain, I mean, when we first started doing this, the whole Roswell incident involved an early electromagnetic directional energy weapon that downed those crafts. We were using vacuum tubes; we barely even had jets flying in 1947. We had not yet gone into space; that was Sputnik in 1957.

If these civilizations were hostile, the proof that they are not is that we are still here, breathing the free air of Earth. I have stated this many times at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base and at the Pentagon. People often ask, "What's the proof of that?" I say the proof is that we are here.

Given these technological capabilities, we are talking about interdimensional, transdimensional, and interstellar capabilities. Those civilizations are thousands to hundreds of thousands, perhaps millions of years more advanced than we are technologically. If they had not experienced a concomitant evolution in consciousness and social and spiritual development, they would have simply said, "See you later." That's what we would have done if the shoe were on the other foot.

So, I think we need to have a great deal of patience with the non-human visitors and non-human intelligence. They have been very patient with us, tolerating our aggressive behavior, which has resulted in the downing of their crafts, the killing of their people, and the reverse engineering of their technology. We have then flipped that technology around and used it against them.

That's what reverse engineering is all about: finding out how the technology works. But instead of putting it out to the public to save the biosphere and eliminate poverty in a generation, it has been turned into illegally run secret weapon programs targeting the extraterrestrials themselves. This is the truth that most people aren't going to cut through, but I have to lay it out here because that's what's going on.

Now, all the context to this is that these individuals coming forward

is just the very beginning. What you're going to see on Saturday is the tip, tip, tip, tip, tip of a very large iceberg of evidence and materials that we know about. I'm not in charge of the dates or the manner in which this information gets released; I'm advising as things are going to be generally released. I'm fine with that because they don't want to put us at any further risk.

In the meantime, the citizenry, as Eisenhower called us, needs to support the disclosure process at a very high official level.

[19:05 -> 22:44]
Dr. Steven Greer:
Congress, internationally and also in the White House, because the chief executive of the United States is the president, regardless of who you voted for. I've dealt with all of them, and this needs to be a nonpartisan issue. More than just a U.S. response, there needs to be involvement from China and Russia. They have secret files and operations that need to come forward. The United Kingdom, again, I've met with senior government officials there. The top people legally aren't read in, but they have operations that we've known about for years. This process currently happening in America needs to accelerate towards global disclosure. Everyone thinks this is only a U.S. issue; it is not. It is a global problem.

Dr. G:
This is a really important point that I'd like for you to unpack. There's a common misunderstanding that it's the government that's covering this up.

Dr. Steven Greer:
Yes, and they conflate the government with this legacy group. As you've said many times, this legacy group is transnational. If they want to operate as the U.S., they can. If they want to operate as China, they can do that too. They could even operate as a terror group. Can you unpack that a little bit for us?

Dr. Steven Greer:
Yes, part of the problem is the unchecked power of a group with this kind of technology and intent, which has not been terribly good. It's been rather malicious over the last 70 years. Eisenhower warned of this when he spoke about the military-industrial complex, stating it would be a threat to our democracy and way of life. He knew that because, during his watch, unfortunately, the whole matter went sideways. He was betrayed by people he trusted, and they seized control over reverse engineering and other operations dealing with UFOs, UAPs, NHI, extraterrestrial matters—whatever you want to call them.

That happened around the time they had the Eureka moment in October 1954, when we had the breakthrough and mastered what is called gravity control—the ability to control gravitational fields through very high-voltage electromagnetic systems that reach trans-dimensionally. I'm not going to get into the physics of it; it's understood at the atomic and subatomic level. Ironically, that was achieved almost a

year before I was born. Once they realized what they had, they deepened the secrecy. Folks like Allen Dulles and others began to push the president, never mind members of Congress, out of oversight. That's the way it's been since the late 1950s.

Unfortunately, most people have this strange idea that just because you're the prime minister of the UK, or the president of France, or the president of the United States, or whoever, that you're read in to this sort of parallel secret government infrastructure that's rogue. They're not. Now, are there people in the U.S. government, even some politicians, who are, let's say, on the payroll or tied into that operation? Absolutely, yes. Have there always been? Yes, because you embed those people almost as agents to thwart anything that would begin to disclose the truth about that.

[22:46 -> 26:26]
Dr. Steven Greer:
What we encountered is nuanced, and most people ask, "Why is the government keeping this a secret?" I would say that over 90%—even 99%—of everyone working in the U.S. government or any other government knows nothing about this. If you've seen the film "Unacknowledged," you know more about this subject than over 90% of all federal officials here and abroad.

I've been in numerous meetings with members of the Senate, the House, White House officials, and senior Pentagon personnel. The idea that just because you hold a three-star or four-star rank, or that you're the president, or the CIA director, or the chair or co-chair of the Senate Intelligence Committee, you have all-access information on this, simply isn't true.

This is one of the hardest things for government officials to acknowledge because no one wants to be the emperor with no clothes. They realize that this is all happening without their control, which is frightening for them and could be alarming for the general public. The fact is, this is one of the biggest issues that needs to be addressed.

So, the individuals coming forward isn't the end of anything; it's the very beginning of another phase of disclosure. This will require the government and we, the people, to act to secure the technologies, moving the safe ones out—like free energy, or what you might call zero-point energy—that could power your house, your car, and your factory without pollution or energy bills.

However, we also need to control some of the other technologies that could be weaponized. Additionally, we must address the parallel world of rogue secret government operations that now possess these technologies and have used them in various terrifying events.

What am I referring to? Anyone who has attended a UFO conference or seen a documentary knows there are numerous frightening accounts of alien encounters, including abductions and mutilations. I have met with and debriefed several individuals who have operated at sites with

man-made UFOs and have directed the creation of fake aliens that appear gray or take on different shapes. These have been involved in false flag operations to instill fear in people regarding aliens.

The long-term agenda, which was conceived in the mid-1950s, was to create a specter of a threat from outer space that would unite the world against a common enemy. This overarching agenda has driven everything else, including all the disinformation surrounding the subject.

As this information comes to light, one of our biggest tasks will be managing the legacy of disinformation and frightening portrayals of non-human intelligence with the truth. So far, these narratives have been co-mingled, and people have endured 70 years of psychological brainwashing and prejudice regarding all things alien.

This is something we cannot ignore; it is the biggest problem with disclosure. It is akin to a pre-positioned weapon of mass destruction.

[26:28 -> 29:59]
Dr. Steven Greer:
Psychologically, that's been embedded in human awareness for the past 70 years. Remember, we just passed in October of 2024 the 70-year anniversary of us mastering gravity control, and they began to build man-made ARVs, which used to be called Alien Reproduction Vehicles. They looked like UFOs, and then they began to realize, "Well, gee, we can use this in all kinds of skullduggery." This is why we've been trying to get the document from the CIA that Jock Fle possesses and some other people possess. It states—it's an entry in Jo Val's book from 1992—where he mentions he has a document where the CIA describes a psychological warfare operation in Brazil and Argentina using these advanced technologies to abduct, in their words, "peasants," and to create the specter of an alien threat. Now, that's in black and white; it's a document. Those are the kinds of documents that we recommend law enforcement seize because it will prove what we've been saying for 30 years. I've been saying for 30 years that there are actual UFOs that are extraterrestrial, and there are man-made ones. In a minute, I'm going to go through some images if my assistant can pull them out, and we'll put them on the screen for people. This will illustrate the contrast between the man-made ones and the real ones. The real ones and the man-made ones look very similar superficially, but at close range, they're very different. A man-made one from the 50s and 60s had rivets and bolts in the interior of the ARV that you see in our archive from the Norton Air Show in 1988, where there were three of them in a hangar. Those had components inside them. The witness who was there, Brad Sensen, said those were basically Mercury-era, so late 50s to early 60s, and they had a little bit of scorch marks; they had been out in our solar system. Now, people say this can't be true—that's 10 years before we landed on the moon in the lunar module during the Apollo Mission. But see, this is two separate programs, and never the twain shall meet. Now, there are points of contact that are extremely compartmented, but the people running these super-secret projects are their own power center, and the unchecked power they have is risky. Here, I'm just going to lay this out: I always tell people

I'm an emergency doctor. If you've got a brain tumor, I'm not going to tell you you've got a migraine; I'll say, "I'm sorry, you have a brain tumor." But in this case, this is a very big risk. Organizations, corporations, and operations here and abroad are part of this transnational group that doesn't care about political boundaries between us, China, Russia, Canada, and whatever.
[30:02 -> 33:50]
Dr. Steven Greer:
The whole world is their theater of operations. They operate that way, and in one instant, they can appear to be coming from one country or another. However, people haven't figured out yet that it's all the same entity, no matter where it's originating. Now, remember that if you're in the 1960s range and you have something that is electrogravitic or gravitic propulsion that was stable enough to go out into our solar system, what do you think they have now, right? Sixty-five years, two-thirds of a century later, because they have continued to down and capture these crafts. Trillions have been spent on reverse engineering them, and there have been massive breakthroughs in propulsion, material sciences, and AI—not what's coming out of Silicon Valley, but very advanced systems involving consciousness and machine. All manner of developments that sound like science fiction, but they aren't.

Now, imagine a group that has the malicious intent to hoax a threat from outer space so that they can consolidate global power around fear and control, which is what fear does. I look at the response to COVID and 9/11; it results in people suspending their intellect and rational mind because fear overrides rational thought. That's how you get into trouble, but it's also how demagogues and those skilled in psychological warfare can manipulate people into catastrophic events, like the disasters of the wars in the Middle East, from which we have not fully extricated ourselves yet in Iraq and Afghanistan.

A really good example of this, which you can fact-check, is the Gulf of Tonkin incident in the 1960s, where we exaggerated—and many believe staged—an attack on our own Navy vessels in the Gulf of Tonkin in Vietnam. This created outrage in the public, leading them to demand action, which maneuvered President Johnson into vastly expanding the Vietnam War. This, of course, ended up costing hundreds of thousands or millions of lives if you count the Asian lives, which are human too, not to mention the 58,000 American men and women who died. It also cost trillions of dollars in today's equivalent U.S. dollars, all of which went to the military-industrial complex.

We must be aware of the fact that they have the ability to stage many events that look like they are alien, but they are not. The reason no one can accept that is that they are, in my opinion, not willing to stop and conduct deep research and analysis on where trillions of dollars and 70 years of some of the most brilliant minds have been working. You have actual functioning extraterrestrial crafts; many of them have been brought down and are still operational. Under those conditions, you're going to have these huge breakthroughs in research and development and applied sciences that have been kept extremely secret, away from oversight by we the people.

[33:53 -> 37:35]
Dr. Steven Greer:
Representatives, that's the perfect storm for things getting out of control. The big task now for the government, now that this is going to be coming out with more and more proof, evidence, and whistleblowers, is significant. I predict that this group of courageous individuals, like Jake Barber and others coming forward, will open the floodgates to many others.

Now, we are at this inflection point where the U.S. government can no longer sit on its hands and bury its head in the sand like an ostrich. They are going to have to act, but they must do so in a way that benefits humanity and the United States, rather than playing into the hands of these master manipulators.

The master manipulators will try to maneuver this subject into the false alien threat scenario. Why? Because that has always been their objective. In 1999, I wrote a paper titled "When Disclosure Serves Secrecy," which is available in our archive on our website, drstevengreer.com. I wrote that paper because I had already discovered, about six or seven years earlier, that there was a plan being acted upon through some psychological warfare programs and frightening portrayals in Hollywood movies about aliens.

These included staging horrific abductions and mutilations of cattle and people, all orchestrated by humans masquerading as aliens. This part of the story is something that no one wants to discuss. People have taken this mythology as an article of faith, and it's a dangerous mythology. If you accept it as true, you might conclude that there are malicious aliens, and we should go to war. This brings us back to the narrative of movies like "Independence Day," leading us into a scenario of Armageddon or World War III.

The real danger of these disclosures is that if people can be hoodwinked and fooled again—like The Who said, "We won't be fooled again"—we must remember that they wrote that in the early '70s about the Vietnam War. We have been fooled multiple times since, so I suggest we not be fooled on this one. This is the situation we cannot afford to mess up.

Currently, we are at a distinct disadvantage for a couple of reasons. First, the people in the government who are legally there and not adequately read in on this subject do not have enough information to make the assessments I just shared. Second, without the correct assessment, you cannot formulate a plan. The executive orders that need to be enacted flow from that body of knowledge and evidence. You must master that information before reaching any conclusions.

Lastly, you need to establish a law enforcement expeditionary force and investigation team. If the individuals who are offered amnesty refuse it and choose to continue misusing and abusing these technologies, they must be stopped by any means necessary. We know the team that is ready to take on this challenge. I have been briefing

them; they are incredibly courageous Special Forces personnel who have not been read into this subject.

[37:36 -> 41:26]
Dr. Steven Greer:
We have been providing them with what we can call actionable intelligence for about 20 months now, and they continue to receive more information now that they know where to look. However, this has to be authorized by the U.S. government; those individuals cannot act unilaterally. This is where disclosure needs to be part of an activist program, where we, the people, ask the President and Congress to take specific actions.

Number one, extend full immunity and amnesty to individuals willing to come forward, so that the maximum number of people can do so without fear of violent repercussions. Secondly, we need to provide much stronger protection for whistleblowers than currently exists, which is outlined in the executive order I just referred to that is currently under review in the U.S. government.

Additionally, we must ensure that funding is in place to conduct a proper investigation of the subject that is clean. By "clean," I mean non-corrupted. The Arrow operations, until very recently, have been part of a cover-up, and I can prove this. The previous director, Dr. Kattrick, and I have witnesses who can confirm that testimony, information, and data provided to them were covered up and placed into a top-secret compartment that the current director does not have access to. This information was never provided to the oversight committees that established that office; I know this for a fact.

I possess information regarding what sort of data is not allowed to pass through and what is permitted. Misinformation and spun disinformation get through, while actual information, hard data, and anything that proves the existence of human corporate and secret government programs that are deep black and illegal—specifically those involving operational, man-made UAP—none of that has made it through.

This is why you hear people at the oversight committee, like Congressman Berett and others in the Senate, stating that everything we are seeing must be alien because what else could it be? They have been gaslit. The individuals we brought, at our expense—thank you to those who support the Disclosure Project—to Washington, to the Senate Intelligence Committee and Armed Services Committee, were escorted to Arrow into a top-secret skiff where they provided testimony. All of that information went into the black pit of Kolkata; it did not make its way back to the members of Congress and the oversight committees. This is criminal; it is an illegal fraud being perpetrated on the government and the people who funded these offices.

There is a significant problem here because that office was established with the express intention by Senators Gillibrand and others in Armed Services and Senate Intelligence. The entire Congress passed it and provided the funding to conduct an objective investigation, retrieve that information, and return it to the

appropriate oversight bodies in the United States government. However, that entire purpose has been subverted, and previous efforts like this have also been undermined.

[41:29 -> 44:55]
Dr. Steven Greer:
Let's document all the way back to when Bigelow Aerospace received $22 million, back when Harry Reid was the majority leader of the Senate. General Herbert, who was overseeing that whole operation, contacted me a couple of years ago and said, "Look, we spent all that money, and we didn't get anything back." I told him, "You should have just come to us; we already have all the testimony and documents. We've had this information for decades."

What has happened is that the way they maneuver is through an initiative that starts happening. This covert group then places individuals who are more like wolves in sheep's clothing, embedded in those operations, who ultimately lead it to a dead end where nothing comes out of it. That's what happened with that investigation years ago that went through Bigelow Aerospace, and it's what's happening so far with Arrow.

This is why people who have been involved with this become very skeptical of the government managing it properly. They don't have a proper manager who knows how this game is played. The best we can do is advise them of this historical pattern, which continues to this moment.

Now, we may have a change happening. There has been a change in the guard at Arrow, and I know that I have a letter from the chair and co-chair of the Senate Intelligence Committee pretty much reprimanding Arrow for some of its actions. I'm hoping that we are going to open a new chapter here, and it looks like we are, so I'm cautiously optimistic.

However, that still doesn't answer the question: if you have a global operation that is entrenched and highly integrated, dealing with secret technologies and operations targeting NHI craft and extraterrestrial craft, how do you get that under control? You can offer a period of amnesty, immunity, and protections, but what if there are hardliners who say, "No, we're going to stay on this path because we're holding all the cards"?

In terms of technology, the mainstream U.S. government, which you and I refer to as the government, has what? A B2 stealth bomber and helicopters. This organization possesses technologies that can go to space and back; they have technologies that allow for teleportation. They possess some of the most unbelievable technologies, and that organization is really holding all the technological cards, which is dangerous.

How do you fix that? I'm not trying to present this in a negative light; I'm just saying we have a lot of work to do. The acknowledgment I am discussing now is that full disclosure, which needs to happen, is

going to occur, especially with these whistleblowers. This is more like proof and acknowledgment of what we already know, but real disclosure happens when the governments here and around the world...

[44:59 -> 48:40]
Dr. Steven Greer:
In the world of "We the People," we must come together to bring these operations under control. We need to take those swords and turn them into the plowshares of a new civilization. I know this is Mr. Barber's intention; he wants to see this transition happen. Instead of dwelling on the past, we must move forward. However, to do so, we must somehow restrain the most malevolent aspects of these covert programs that are entrenched with hardliners.

To unpack this further, there are a number of individuals within that system who want to exit it. They need a glide path out; they require immunity, protection, and the ability to cooperate. I believe the only way they should receive immunity for any past actions—some of which are quite terrible—is by coming clean and disclosing to the authorities the entire architecture, locations, and operational details of those secret government programs that are not being run legally. This should be a prerequisite for receiving blanket immunity, and they should then be protected.

I've mentioned before that a chairman of a major U.S. corporation reached out to me, but it was made clear to him that they would kill him and his entire family. This has been an ongoing conversation with this gentleman for about a year and a half now. To be honest, neither Congress nor the White House has instituted measures that I believe would be standard if they understood the implications. The threat matrix against good, patriotic individuals who want to come forward is real and palpable; the fear is tangible.

I have personally spent time with hundreds of men and women like that, and the fear is indeed palpable. One of my frustrations, as I move into my 35th year in this field, is that the United States government needs to act with alacrity, speed, and efficiency. However, to do that, there must be a deep dive into this information because, without knowledge, you cannot even create a path forward. Unfortunately, none of them have had the time to do that.

This is one of my challenges when I meet with members of Congress. They are busy with countless other issues, and to their credit, every time they have requested a special budget—whether from the Senate Intelligence Committee or the House Oversight Committee—to really drill down on this, that money has been stripped from the budget by some bad actors in Congress. We know who they are.

Unless that dynamic changes—and it might, as we just saw the Speaker of the House remove the chair of the House Intelligence Committee, Turner, who was one of the key individuals blocking the National Defense Authorization Act provisions that would have provided amnesty or safe harbor for these whistleblowers—it could be that this process is underway. I was pleased to see that change, but at the same time,

there are some internal matters I cannot discuss regarding the current shuffling. It's not entirely clean, let's just say.

[48:42 -> 52:27]
Dr. Steven Greer:
There are bad actors in Congress who can create significant obstacles for progress. The judicial branch may not take much action at this stage, although it might later. The chief executive, the president, has the power to issue executive orders and, along with the actions of the Attorney General and the Department of Justice, can truly investigate this matter. They could authorize a proper staff investigation and respond effectively to hardliners who resist a peaceful transition to proper oversight of these operations and covert programs.

A dedicated team needs to be assembled to thoroughly examine our vulnerabilities. I can tell you right now that our biggest vulnerability is this organization utilizing advanced technologies to incite chaos, potentially starting a war or creating the illusion of an alien invasion. There's a journalist named Ross, whose last name I can't quite pronounce, associated with News Nation. I've met him before, but I need to get the pronunciation right.

In an episode he did on drones, there was a clip featuring Mr. Barber. He went on about how these drones must be alien. Unfortunately, I have some bad news: they are not. There's good news and bad news. The bad news is that some of these are just obviously drones—very clunky ones. There are also some peculiar phenomena involving orbs, spheres, energy fields, and strange fog.

Every one of those phenomena can be hoaxed by humans. Let me refer back to what the head of Lockheed Skunk Works said in 1995 on his deathbed: "Anything you can imagine, we've already done at the Skunk Works." It's not just at Area 51; it's out there now. I've been to that site, and I can assure you that whatever you can imagine in terms of technological capability, it exceeds that. It encompasses not only energy and propulsion but also interdimensional phenomena and systems that interface with consciousness and thought.

All of these technologies could also be repurposed for healing, gathering knowledge, scientific investigation, travel, and clean energy. We could use very advanced technology to reverse the damage we've already inflicted on the Earth. This is possible with these technologies, presenting a significant technological opportunity and a major leap forward for humanity if used for good purposes. However, currently, these technologies are in the hands of individuals focused on consolidating power through fear and manipulation. This is the significant change that must occur within these programs that have been operating since the late 1950s.

One of the things I appreciate is this document, which is a page from the briefing document for the president.

[52:29 -> 56:27]

Dr. Steven Greer:
Members of Congress have received a document that is approximately 320 pages long. This document includes illustrations, showing on the left side extraterrestrial craft and on the right side, man-made ones. We have several pages of these illustrations. The reason we included this is that most people, even if they are fairly close up, may mistakenly identify an object as alien or non-human intelligence (NHI) craft when it actually originated from places like the Ron or the Lockheed Skunk Works or Northrop Grumman.

If you examine the illustrations we have from our whistleblower, who was part of a retrieval team, you will see that his first retrievals involved UFOs and UAPs that were triangular objects from Northrop Grumman and Ron. These were not alien or NHI at all. We have known this for a very long time. However, we have discovered that there are certain individuals embedded as counterintelligence officers and disinformation functionaries who approach members of Congress, White House officials, or the media and assert that we do not possess anything like that. Therefore, anything that appears alien is deemed to be alien. This opens the door to staged false alien events that are frightening and are rubber-stamped as NHI when they are not.

This is a significant part of the secrecy surrounding this issue. If you look at the testimony, we can illustrate the encounter of a Marine platoon member back in 2009 in Indonesia, Michael Herrera, who encountered a craft that was 300 feet in diameter. I don't have the illustration in front of me, but this craft was manned. After the encounter, they were almost killed, and it was reported that they were packaging humans in crates for use in human experimentation back home. These were Americans, likely mercenary types, operating this 300-foot diameter craft.

The way it left was astonishing; it was like a spark off a flying wheel. It ascended with a sound reminiscent of a humming noise and then, in a couple of seconds, it was gone over the horizon at an estimated speed of 100,000 miles per hour. This incident occurred in 2009, and if that craft were to appear over Washington, D.C., or Los Angeles, a vast majority of the population would likely claim it was an alien craft.

This is why individuals like Ross see these images and conclude they must be alien; they have not received accurate information. It reflects a research failure on their part. We possess technologies that are far more advanced than most people can imagine. As I mentioned earlier, it is orders of magnitude beyond what is commonly understood.

I want to emphasize that I have met more than one top-secret scientist who works in secure compartmented information facilities, often referred to as SCIFs, or in subterranean facilities, such as deep underground military bases (DUMBs). These individuals are often recruited straight out of high school or college, possess IQs exceeding 200, and are absolute geniuses in engineering and physics. They spend most of their lives working on these compartmented

projects.

[56:30 -> 59:58]
Dr. Steven Greer:
Dealing with some of the great geniuses of the last 70 years, and nobody knows their names. They are not the people who worked on the lunar module with my uncle, who was part of the team at Northrop Grumman that landed on the moon in 1969. These individuals are extraordinary, yet they are pulled into covert operations. Not only do you have illegal black budget funding, but you also have something to study that is an actual extraterrestrial device. Then you have a brain trust, which I would call a brain drain for general society, because it's all covert. Some of the most stunningly brilliant minds I've ever met are unfortunately being wasted working in these covert programs that do not benefit humanity at all; in fact, they are hurting humanity.

So, let's call it a peace dividend or a disclosure dividend. If we had those kinds of resources—both intellectual and manpower, as well as financial resources—we could transform the sword into a plowshare of free energy. As a doctor, I've seen and heard about technologies that could do amazing things for healing and repairing trauma, as well as all kinds of advanced technologies. In 20 years, we could have a civilization that would barely resemble this one; it would be so advanced.

This is how we lift humanity out of the great poverty it is in, while also turning away from cannibalizing the Earth by destroying it with technologies from the 1800s—fossil fuels, oil, gas, coal, and nuclear energy. Solar energy does not have the energy density to fix the problem. We covered that in the last century; you should look at the documentary "The Last Century," which you can now see on Tubi and other platforms.

When we look at this, there is a beautiful new world coming, but there are problems that we need to address now. There are going to be a lot of people who want to whistle past that graveyard, and I think that's dangerous. It's akin to wanting to add a beautiful new addition to my house while ignoring the fact that a whole part of the house has the roof off and is leaking; the entire structure is about to fall in. We have to address both issues because we are now 70 to 80 years into an era of secrecy that has been a mistake. Everyone now acknowledges that.

The question is, how do we get out of this without it being kinetic or violent? We believe it can be done. I am a man of peace, but I am also not someone who thinks we should let people run amok doing the things they have been doing. The actions they have taken include kidnapping, abductions, targeted killings, murder, embezzlement, intimidation, bribery, blackmail, and control of freedoms through the internet and media.
[1:00:01 -> 1:01:58]
Dr. Steven Greer:
Censorship is ongoing, and this is all related to an attempt to

institute a global, more totalitarian superstate. They can achieve this by pointing to a threat from outer space. This is why my friend Carol Rosza and I were talking last night. She had worked with Wernher von Braun, who invented the rocket for Hitler. In the last years of his life, he said they would hoax a threat. They would send up objects that would look alien, but they are not. This was in 1974, fifty years ago, and he stated it was all a lie. However, that is how they can consolidate power, and this has always been the long-term plan since the 1950s.

The only way to prevent this from happening is to keep our eyes wide open, like pulling back the curtain on The Wizard of Oz, revealing who is behind the curtain, pulling all the levers, and scaring everyone. We need to recognize that this is a psychological warfare operation. The devices that are being showcased and the creatures associated with them are exactly what is described in the Jacques Vallee CIA document, which he is afraid to release but summarized in his book in 1992. They were engaging in abductions for value.

I want to add that I mean no disrespect to Jacques Vallee; he is a lovely guy, and I had the privilege of spending a lot of time with him. However, when I reached out in 2021 and respectfully requested that document so we could include it in "The Cosmic Hoax," he completely flipped out. He became irate and said that I was everything that was wrong with this field. His reaction made sense to me, and while I can't read minds, I understood where he was coming from.

[1:02:00 -> 1:04:00]
Dr. Steven Greer:
The impression from that reaction is that he was genuinely frightened. Absolutely. Remember, the mechanism by which he received that document was because, in the 1980s, he began to associate with some unsavory individuals who were part of those deep illegal programs. That's undoubtedly how he acquired that document. To disclose it could cost him his life; who knows? People think this is some kind of conspiracy theory, but it's not—it's a criminal conspiracy. There was something called the mafia, and they did have hitmen who killed people who blew the whistle on them. For all the people who say this is a conspiracy theory, you're about to have the wake-up call of your life in the next couple of months. I'll tell you that there's a reason we have RICO—Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations. RICO was created by the U.S. Congress and signed into law by the president to take on these complex criminal organizations. What I'm describing has been the largest transnational criminal organization in known history—of humanity. I am not overstating that. Now, I hope, and I know this is the hope of the people coming forward, who have been operators—special operators, Special Forces at these black sites. They have not been the people in the executive suites or the directors of special programs at Lockheed, Northrop, or General Electric, or Booz Allen Hamilton, or SAIC. These are all involved in compartmented special programs that deal with this. Similarly, the parallel to that, which is integrated with it, are similar programs in the military.

[1:04:02 -> 1:05:57]

Dr. Steven Greer:
Intelligence units and policy positions have embedded operatives, whether they be in Congress or within the permanent bureaucracy of the CIA, Pentagon, or NSA. All of this needs to be investigated and brought under proper control. There must be both a stick and a carrot in this situation. The carrot is that individuals can be exonerated; they can receive immunity and protection, but they must come completely clean. This needs to be outlined in an executive order or something similar that the Department of Justice would implement.

Now, it becomes a bigger problem when we discuss operations outside the continental United States. This leads to a whole different discussion. For example, we have the whistleblower, Mr. Hecker, who last year, in the summer of 2023, was at the South Pole working for Raytheon. He described a massive neutrino light array technology located a mile beneath the ice at the South Pole. This system serves not only as a tracking system for Earth and space but also as a weapon system.

This technology is not on U.S. soil. Similarly, we have another whistleblower who came forward during the first Disclosure Project effort in 2001. He was at a facility near the Bolivian-Peruvian border when we downed an extraterrestrial craft. He and his Sergeant were among the first responders to the crash site. He was warned that if he spoke about this incident, he would be thrown out of a helicopter and killed. This threat is very similar to what Michael Herrera was told in 2009.

[1:06:00 -> 1:08:05]
Dr. Steven Greer:
Back in the 80s, you can read his account in our archive. These sorts of places exist on every continent, in the oceans, in the Arctic, and at the South Pole. They exist on and on, and this is why I keep saying that this is going to have to quickly transition from a U.S. disclosure operation to a global one. For that, we will need to have a certain detente and dialogue with many world leaders, whether they are viewed as adversaries or not. On this issue, they all need to be in a coalition to secure peace, cease targeting, and stop destroying extraterrestrial craft and beings.

We need to create a global outreach initiative, similar to the Close Encounters of the Fifth Kind initiative, but as an official outreach from humanity—not just one nation, but all of humanity—to these extraterrestrial civilizations. We must extend a side of ourselves that reflects our higher nature, rather than acting like a warmongering species that destroys everything we do not understand.

Instead of shooting first and asking questions later, let's try to reach out to these civilizations and the non-human intelligences, asking, "Why are you here? How can we help?" This should be a normal dialogue. We have been doing this with CE-5 contact, and you can find the entire training program on our app store to become a citizen ambassador to these civilizations.

However, if you look at this closely, there has never been a significant global initiative—except for a brief moment in France, which I call the French Connection back in the mid-2000s—when President Sarkozy was involved.

[1:08:06 -> 1:10:11]
Dr. Steven Greer:
I know I'm joking, but the French really did want to move forward on that path. However, it didn't last very long. Saros left office, and the top Ministry of Defense officials there moved on after our initial demonstration of E5 contact for the French government. There have been initiatives wanting to pursue this. I know of the Minister of Defense, Paul Hellyer, back during the first Trudeau administration. He wanted to establish a place to make peaceful contact with extraterrestrial civilizations. He even proposed creating a special diplomatic port in Canada to facilitate that. He told me all about it; it was amazing. That would have been in the '60s or '70s—I don't recall exactly when the first Trudeau was in office. The Honorable Paul Hellyer described this to me in detail, and I thought, "Wow, what a visionary."

Now that this information is coming out, I believe it will be increasingly confirmed. What I'm trying to prepare people for is, "What's next?" Let's get all this information out; it will take a few months to see how it unfolds. However, we need to keep our focus on the ultimate goal, not just the process. The goal is to create a pathway forward to establish a new civilization on Earth. That would be an amazing and beautiful transformation. Additionally, we need to address and stand down the malicious influences that have been engaging in dangerous actions, such as targeting and downing extraterrestrial and non-human intelligence craft. Instead of continuing those actions, we should create a Global Peace Initiative.

For that, what K. Rosin has discussed for years is apparently ready to be signed by 40 nations. This document is a space peace treaty aimed at keeping all weapons out of space and ensuring that space is not used as a theater of conflict.

[1:10:15 -> 1:12:13]
Dr. Steven Greer:
The deployment of weapons, whether on other bodies or on crafts, is a significant concern. I have been informed that both Russia and China, along with over thirty other countries, are prepared to sign an agreement regarding this matter. This initiative should extend globally, fostering a peaceful cooperative effort to address these issues within the framework of the original concepts of Close Encounters of the Fifth Kind (CE5). This approach is reflected in the app we have developed, which is based on the understanding that, while these beings may not be human, they are sentient.

At a profound level, we must recognize what it means to be a conscious, awake being. They may not be Homo sapiens, but they are still conscious entities. Acknowledging the light of awareness—this field of consciousness, which some may refer to as spirit or the Holy Spirit—permeates all places and all things, allowing us to be aware.

This is our point of unity.

We will not find unity through our various differences; instead, we must seek our point of oneness. Where is that singularity? The real singularity we need to begin to understand as a civilization is essential for moving forward. We cannot afford to continue fighting over religions, economic systems, territories, or races. We must transcend our differences and identify our commonalities—where we intersect as one. However, we cannot limit this understanding to a purely humanistic level, as we are now engaging with civilizations from other worlds. We must recognize that they are conscious beings as well.

[1:12:15 -> 1:14:11]
Dr. Steven Greer:
Intelligent consciousness itself, the essence of what we call mind, transcends the disciplines of organized religion. It is universal. The foundation for not just world peace, but universal peace, will be this profound understanding of who and what we are. I know I'm pivoting to a more spiritual or social conversation now, but this understanding must be the basis of how we approach these civilizations. Instead of saying, "Oh, they look different, they act different, their intellects are different—everything's different, let's go kill them," we must move beyond that tribal mentality. This mindset is the original sin of humanity and is why we find ourselves in endless wars. We have never been able to transcend our differences and find the point of peaceful oneness.

When we were using clubs, arrows, muskets, or tanks, it was not pretty. Now, however, we possess technologies that can destroy entire worlds, whether they be thermonuclear or advanced technologies reverse-engineered from extraterrestrial craft. We are at a point of existential crisis. We are part of the solution to all the issues we've discussed, but a significant part of it must involve our evolution and understanding of what it means to be a conscious, sentient being. This understanding extends beyond just humans; it includes others as well.

That's a beautiful thought, and I believe it's the perfect note to end on today. We will certainly keep our eyes on what's coming out from News Nation on Saturday, and yes, we will release another podcast right after that to discuss it further.

[1:14:14 -> 1:16:14]
Dr. Steven Greer:
Your analysis is insightful. I want to make one comment about that. Just so people know, I am aware of what that team has, but I am not in possession of it. They have it in their own operational security, and they will release it in a way that they feel is safe for everyone involved. They will also measure the response to it.

That's why, as this evolves, I want to communicate with all of you who are listening and explain what that means. Additionally, I aim to counter any inadvertent misinformation that may arise, such as what

happened yesterday regarding the drones. There were claims that those must be alien, which is an understandable position, but it's dangerous.

This is a field where a little knowledge can be extremely dangerous. If you don't understand the capabilities within covert aerospace engineering programs and how they could be deployed to deceive people, you risk being misled. We cannot afford that right now; we need clarity on this issue, not misinformation.

That's why I will continue to do these podcasts—to shed light on these matters to the best of my ability, having researched this for a long time. In some areas, I know what we have and how it has been used and could be used. I believe a vigilant and aware citizenry, as President Eisenhower stated, is essential.

It is also true that we need to advocate for our leaders to gain a deeper understanding of these issues so they can make the correct decisions for our nation and humanity.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3dtA9w5ldHw&t=1131s
[00:00 -> 03:48]
News Anchor:
Tonight, you've heard the testimony about a secret government program to retrieve and reverse engineer UFOs. Has the government conducted secret UAP crash retrieval programs? Yes or no? Yes. NewsNation was the first to bring you this story. We have spacecraft from another species. We do. And now, for the first time anywhere, a military veteran comes forward to say he was part of that program, with video to prove it. My work has included the recovery of downed UAP of non-human origin, revealing how he retrieved alien craft. What did you see? I saw an egg. How it changed his life forever. What we call a psionic component to the research and development of the UAP program, and how he plans to do it again for the world to see. The skies are not classified; your conscience can't be redacted. His account is corroborated by his colleagues, and his injuries sustained during these operations are confirmed by a Stanford professor. My personal experience is that there's something here. Will the truth set him free, or will it get him killed? People have been murdered; that seems to be the case. NewsNation presents "Hunting UFOs: The Crash Retrieval Whistleblower."

In October 2024, an unknown man stepped out of the shadows, arriving in our nation's capital to deliver an important message about what the public calls UFOs and what the government calls unidentified anomalous phenomena, or UAPs. I'm here in D.C. this week to meet with members of Congress. His name is J. Jaob Barber, and he says that for the past 30 years, he's worked in both an official and unofficial capacity for the United States government and its partners in the intelligence community. What he knows and what he's here to tell Congress could change the world as we know it.

We're in this rare opportunity in human history where it's very clear that we know there are things flying in the sky that are unexplained and unknown. That's another one of the things that I'm uniquely providing information on. So, what makes this guy special? Well, to date, everyone who's come forward has either described their own UAP encounter, like this famed 2015 incident recorded by F-16 aviators on the USS Roosevelt. I have experienced advanced UAP firsthand, and I'm here to voice the concerns of more than 30 commercial air crew and military veterans who have confided their similar encounters with me. Others have alleged that there's a secret government UAP program that's been going on for decades. People like David Grusch and Lou Elizondo say they've been told about it; they believe it exists, but they weren't a part of it themselves.

We've been recovering spacecraft alien spacecraft. The United States has been involved in the recovery of objects, vehicles of unknown origin that are either from our country or any other foreign country that we're aware of. But Mr. Barber is different. He says that for years, he was part of that covert program, working with alien spacecraft. These days, we call them NHI non-human intelligence and this guy is prepared to testify that...

[03:51 -> 07:24]
Interviewer:
He was involved directly, firsthand, in the retrieval of these craft. How long have you known this secret about unidentified anomalous phenomena being of nonhuman origin?

Jake Barber:
For more than 10 years. Of course, we checked Barber's records and confirmed that he was an Airman in the US Air Force, working as an aerospace mechanic in the late '90s. But as these documents show, he was also recruited into Air Force Special Operations, known as combat control. He says he ended up working in a non-official capacity for the Department of Defense and the intelligence community.

Interviewer:
What were you?

Jake Barber:
I was a highly talented airplane mechanic who got to deploy on a number of presidential support missions. But Jake was no ordinary mechanic. Being recruited into the Air Force's elite combat control unit meant he had a resume that reads like something out of an action thriller: helicopter pilot, freefall parachutist, expert marksman, and the recipient of a NATO top-secret security clearance a legacy of his service in Bosnia, where he won an award for an act of heroism. In addition to reviewing his official documentation, NewsNation spoke with three former Special Operations soldiers who corroborate Barber's credentials and claims.

Interviewer:
I know in Special Operations you're often in tough situations; you have to rely on each other. Is Jake Barber a person who you would trust?

Former Special Operations Soldier:
Oh yes, he's a person I would and have trusted with my life.

Interviewer:
Do you think Jake Barber is a patriot?

Former Special Operations Soldier:
Oh yeah, absolutely. There's a thing I know a lot of special operators talk about: there are certain types of men who you would want watching your back in a tight spot. Is he one of those guys?

Former Special Operations Soldier:
Absolutely. Trustworthiness is one of the top things that we're looking for.

Interviewer:
And one of his most fervent supporters is Colonel John Blitch, a Renaissance warrior who holds a doctorate in psychology, was a Delta Force sniper, and is one of the few men to hold doomsday in his hands, commanding a nuclear weapons battalion. So you believe Jake Barber is

telling the truth?

Colonel John Blitch:
Absolutely. There has been a cover-up lasting 80 years. Absolutely, we've recovered craft.

Interviewer:
So let's roll back. You say you were an Airman, and then you ended up working for the intelligence community as a contractor. Tell me that story. How did that happen?

Jake Barber:
Everything changed for me right around 9/11. I left the Air Force and was sent out to California at that time to begin establishing my cover as an independent contractor, so that I could serve what was coming down the line next for me. That is the path which led to the UAP subject.

Jake Barber:
I was contracted as a helicopter pilot and was part of a team assigned to retrieve crashed aircraft at an undisclosed testing facility in the desert. And we're not only talking about conventional airplanes or drones; a lot of the work we did was on what I call the range, where the US government and its private partners used to test all...

[07:26 -> 11:24]
Jake Barber:
There are various kinds of weapon systems and resilience to weapon systems of certain craft, and so you see a lot of exciting things. You start seeing interesting objects at the range. Yes, it's always intriguing out there; things come in and out of sight, things disappear for a moment and then reappear. Things seem to move very quickly, and they change color and shape. We did recover things that were exotic in nature in the sky, and we know they were classified craft. We recovered them and took them back to where they belong. The majority of what we see and do is explainable, ordinary, and known by many people; it is not classified. However, there is a certain percentage that is extremely sensitive, and that includes what we know as our own man-made advanced technology. You know, what Lou Londo has described as the five observables. So, you were seeing objects that complied with those unusual observables. Yes, one of those that would raise your eyebrow is more of the transmedium characteristics because things would come from the ground, like from the Earth, from a ridge or a mountain, or they could pass through. They'd come out of a ridge. Yes, aircraft that can disappear, change color, and change shape. How might the U.S. military have developed or acquired such technology? I saw it for myself up close. In a rare or hypothetical occasion, if something of nonhuman origin were to interface with the ground, you would deploy that same team, and that is how we got involved with this particular subject. Next, tell me about your first encounter with nonhuman intelligence. How close did you get? I got within 150 feet of this object, and later, NewsNation has acquired video evidence of my claims. It has to be seen to be believed. We are not alone; we are not alone.

[10:06 -> 11:24]
Jake Barber:
There's no doubt I am an accomplished helicopter pilot. This is the kind of work I did after leaving the Air Force as a private contractor working for the defense industry and the U.S. government at a secret location I call "the range." That's where my team and I were asked to retrieve downed craft, the likes of which were not just advanced technology that the public has never seen; they were built by nonhuman intelligence. So, tell me about the moment when you realized that you were involved in the retrieval of nonhuman technology alien tech. It became very clear the first time our communication process and procedure was modified. Just visually looking at the object on the ground, you could tell that it was extraordinary and anomalous; it was not human. How close did you get? Well, I was a helicopter pilot, and I operate with a 150-foot to 200-foot long line, so I got within 15 feet of this object. What did you see? I saw an egg a white egg. Was there any visible propulsion system on it? No, not what we would know; there was no engine.

[11:26 -> 15:17]
Whistleblower:
There was no thermal signature. I was operating at night when I finally came in to pick it up, so I was working under night vision goggles at the time. It was quite clear. I flipped them up, flipped them down, and looked at it a couple of different ways. How large was the object? I would say approximately 20 feet, plus or minus a little bit, about the size of a large SUV. Did it have any seams? No, it was pure what it was like was pearly white, like a metallic pearly white, the best I could assess.

How did you know that the egg-shaped object was nonhuman in origin? Well, visually, after seeing all kinds of things in my past, it was clearly something that looked ridiculously inconsistent with anything I had ever seen before. I can also tell you that the reaction by my team we all knew we were dealing with something extraordinary. At no stage was I subsequently told that the craft was of non-human origin. I will tell you that during this process, over the last couple of years, it has been confirmed to me by ranking members of the UAP task force that what we were working with that night was, in fact, an HI, and it was not a unique experience.

What do you think that object was? I don't know. Again, I'm security and transportation. I moved it, loaded it on a truck, and it went to where would it go? Where would it be taken? It would be taken to a URE facility, but again, my role stops once I deliver it. Yes, it sounds like the stuff of madness, tall tales, delusions. Anyway, where was the proof for any of this? NewsNation has independently obtained previously unseen video from a secret UAP craft retrieval program. This extraordinary footage clearly shows an egg-shaped object dangling in a sling below the belly of a helicopter.

Let's slow this down to take a closer look. That's an X-shaped UAP suspended from a 150-ton long line. We're told the craft is about 20

feet long, the egg suspended in a cradle. Look how careful the pilot is as they bring their precious cargo into land. Let's freeze frame here to look at one of the clearest images of a UAP ever taken. This is no blurry light in a distant sky. The source of the footage is putting themselves at incredible risk to record this UAP retrieval. Look at how perfectly smooth the craft is no markings at all and no visible means of propulsion or way to see inside. Watch how it rolls almost gracefully as it hits the ground, despite being wrapped in the ropes and canvas from the cradle as it's dropped for pickup. The UAP's final destination is still unknown.

So, there was another object. There are two objects that I'm here to talk about. One was the egg; the other is what we called an "8-gon." The 8-gon was essentially a flying disc with what looked like eight delineated sections when looking down. I can tell you what it looked like from looking down because that's primarily how I saw it, as I'm a helicopter pilot. We did recoveries with the 8-gons.

[15:19 -> 18:59]
Jake Barber:
More than one object made its way to the ground during this particular operation. Simply seeing a non-human craft up close would be an intense experience in itself. However, as I prepared to transport that so-called "8 gon" from the pickup site to the drop site, something even more intense happened to me that would change my life forever. This is one of the most profound experiences I've ever had, and I'm about to share it with you, so bear with me.

As I got closer to this pickup site, I started feeling odd. I began to feel extremely emotional, and the closer I got, the more overwhelming the emotion became. I felt this intense hybrid of sadness, happiness, beauty, and song. It was very disruptive to me because I had a very practical responsibility at the time: to operate a helicopter in the mountains at night. I began wondering what was wrong with me. It was late, and I thought, "Am I just stressed? Am I tired? I don't know."

But as I came over this object for the pickup, there was this overwhelming sense of emotion. I wondered if I was suddenly having a mental breakdown on the job. No, I felt like something connected with me. I felt like something had tuned into me and my soul, providing me with a sense of guidance on what to do and how profound my actions were. It was so overwhelming that I began to cry, and then I was confused as to why I was crying at the same time. I thought, "Do I abort the mission?" So, I did my best to focus on my particular cues when doing this type of work. I got the load hooked up and began flying away.

Once it was just me and that object between the pickup and the drop site about 20 miles apart I felt like something was inside of me. I felt like I was possessed by the most beautiful spirit I had ever encountered. It was loving, but there was also a sense of sadness at the same time.

Had I ever had an experience like this before? No, it was a very

feminine energy. I can tell you that. It felt like the spirit of God, but not in any masculine sense. It wasn't like a soul; it was like a frequency that I was connected with. Whatever that force was, since that night, it has stayed with me. As crazy as this sounds, it's what's guiding me now and providing protection for me.

Interviewer:
It's changed your life?

Jake Barber:
It's changed my life.

Interviewer:
Wait a second. Humans bonding psychically and emotionally with non-human intelligence?

Jake Barber:
Yes, that's exactly what happened to me, and I'm not the only one. The government knows all about it. There is a psychic component to the research and development of the UAP program.

[19:03 -> 22:50]
Jake Barber:
Psychics walk among us. When I retrieved a so-called eight-gon shaped UAP, I had an intense emotional reaction that convinced me I had connected telepathically with a nonhuman intelligence. You're talking about UFOs and flying sources, and that's probably one of the hardest conversations. The object, or whatever was controlling that object, was also connecting to me through my mind. So, how did the object come to land? I think it was invited to land by the psionics team. My mission that night was not due to an accidental crash; the UAP was summoned by a separate team, a special team with special abilities. They're called psionics.

What is a psionic? A psionic person is someone with a predisposition for extratemporal abilities and sensitivities, also known as psychic powers. In the program, there was certainly a desire to explore the idea that perhaps UAPs could be summoned, communicated with, controlled, and persuaded to land, all by deploying people with psionic abilities. Did you see that happen? Yes, I did. When a psionic operator engages with technology, how do they do it? They use their mind, something like meditation. There genuinely are people who can make a mental spiritual connection to technology. Yes, 100%.

It all seems so far-fetched psychically gifted humans linking with possibly alien ships, summoning them, and even piloting them. But it isn't just me saying this. Don Paul, another decorated special forces operator and colleague of mine, says he's also seen what psionics can do. I've had the pleasure of meeting multiple psionic assets. I've seen them function, and I know that they're capable of doing what they're doing. You can definitively say that you believe there is a capacity in the human mind to somehow psychically, telepathically connect? Yes, and I don't know if it's a byproduct or if it's something that they were born with, but there are certain people who

are quantifiably and verifiably capable of this.

It's an established fact that the Pentagon has had an interest in psychic abilities, what it calls remote viewing, for military purposes. The famed Project Stargate spent years studying the subject, and despite the CIA's official position that such studies yielded nothing, former Pentagon UAP investigator Lou Alzando told me last year that psychic abilities are very real and perhaps not very rare. This is an ontological shock for many people watching this because we're all being told, firstly, that there's no such thing as aliens visiting this planet, and secondly, that there's no such thing as telepathy or psychic abilities. It's rubbish.

I don't think there is going to be an ontological shock; I think it's going to be an...

[22:51 -> 26:28]
Jake Barber:
I believe there is an ontological relief because I think everyone deep down knows that there is more to life than what we are being told and experiencing. Not only is it not shocking, but it should be welcomed. It all seems so innocent, so benign, even sacred. However, hold on. I say that through my work, my team and I have suffered serious injuries after this particular mission.

Interviewer:
How sick were you?

Jake Barber:
Me and everyone on my team got extremely sick physically ill and hospitalized. One of the world's leading medical experts agrees with my assessment. My personal experience, both with people and the things that I've seen, indicates that there is something here. Are UAPs bad for your health?

[23:54 -> 24:10]
Interviewer:
What do you think the NHI are?

Jake Barber:
I feel the NHIs are guiding us. I describe my encounters with UAPs as transcendent, quasi-religious moments. From what you're saying, that's a beautiful experience.

Interviewer:
It sounds like the most beautiful experience you've had in your life.

Jake Barber:
Yes, it is. But I also say these encounters could come with a staggering cost. UAPs may have changed my life for the better, but they may also have shortened it. So, what happened to you?

[24:26 -> 25:06]

Jake Barber:
Oh, we're not quite sure. We were transporting a large sealed container. Nobody on my team was told what was in that container. We transported what we called "mystery boxes" all the time. I have no idea; it could have been empty, but it probably wasn't. We just don't know what was inside those boxes.

Interviewer:
And what were you told if someone tried to seize that box? What were your orders?

Jake Barber:
We maintained the authority to use lethal force if anyone tried to seize those boxes. So whatever that box was, it was extremely valuable to the U.S. government.

[25:06 -> 26:28]
Jake Barber:
After this particular mission, my team and I got extremely sick physically ill and hospitalized. Within weeks after this, I lost every hair on my body, and I also lost the skin on my arms.

Interviewer:
So the skin was actually falling off your body?

Jake Barber:
Yes, it was not just falling off; it was peeling off within a couple of days, like a severe sunburn. I ended up developing a severe heart murmur that I never had before. Last year, I introduced Jake to Stanford Immunology Professor Gary Nolan. He has been studying anomalous injuries among U.S. military personnel for years at the behest of the CIA. Nolan agreed to review decades of my medical records.

Interviewer:
What did the report say?

Jake Barber:
The report reads like a bit of a horror show, to be quite honest. The first thing that came to mind was that I was exposed to some kind of radiation. As I understand it, a number of my co-workers from the deployments I was in had similar problems. So, I'm not dealing with a one-off who went home and somehow came in contact with something in the house. You have four or five individuals all at once coming down with the same thing within a week or so after deployment. That's more than a coincidence. My fear is that there's something permanently broken that might show up in the form of cancer, God knows what, later in life.

[26:32 -> 29:58]
Whistleblower:
We re going to be way behind in trying to figure out how to deal with it. Assuming Jake and his team were exposed to radiation emanating from that box, could it have come from one of the many radioactive

materials used regularly by the military, certain munitions, components of nuclear vessels, or nuclear weapons themselves? Jake doesn t think so. If the Air Force, let s say, knew that what we were working with that night had any type of radiological signature, there s a whole set of processes that we are trained in on how to deal with that from a Hazmat perspective and a containment perspective. The fact that none of that was apparent that night tells me they didn t know what was in the box, and it got turned on or turned off, which leads me to believe, in hindsight, all these years, that it may have been something of nonhuman origin. Speculation? Absolutely. Wild speculation? Perhaps not when you consider other documented cases of military personnel who claim they were similarly injured by UAP. Take the famous case of Airman John Barrows. The Veterans Administration granted him full medical disability for injuries to his heart and eyes, which he claims he suffered during the famous 1980 UAP incident in Rendlesham Forest, England. But that only happened after Senator John McCain intervened to get his medical records declassified. They were classified; they just weren t withheld. They were classified. Why would you feel that the issue was so important as to not allow for that person s medical records to be released? What are you hiding? The issue came up in last year s Congressional UAP hearings. How can the government deny we have recovered craft if they re paying people because they ve been injured by recovered craft? Ma am, that s a great question. That s why I think we re here again, because I ve seen the documentation by the US government for several of these individuals who have sustained injuries as a result of a UAP incident. What did happen is either unethical or an administrative oversight that needs investigation by Congress, because we shouldn t be putting people like this under such circumstances. The good news is that now, under Dr. Nolan s care, Jake is getting proper evaluation and treatment. The bad news is Jake believes there s another, more imminent threat to his health: his former employer. People have been murdered; that seems to be the case. Stay with us. It wasn t just the United States government interested in using Jake s expertise working with UAPs and psionics. His skills were also needed by private companies involved in the top-secret program, and his assignments soon took a turn from recovering UAPs to something more sinister. What happened? Well, we were deployed on a particular task to recover what we call HVTs, or high-value targets. Those high-value targets were Panasonic Toughbooks, the sensitive...

[30:00 -> 31:22]
Jake:
The material on these Panasonic Toughbooks has to do with sensor data. My team suspects those Toughbooks contained data from the top-secret UAP retrieval operations, which could be worth millions to the right buyer. The private company contracting me was determined to recover them. We recovered our first two Toughbooks; they were in the high Sierras. We got back to our base location, went through them, and discovered that the hard drives were missing. It became clear to me that not all was as it seemed. As my team set out to recover the missing data, the next piece of intelligence led us to a high-altitude lake. We found the hard drives in a sealed steel container, 25 feet underwater. Wow, someone is going through a lot of effort to hide

these things. But hidden by whom? What began as a straightforward recovery mission proved to be anything but simple, and soon things took a nefarious turn.

[31:26 -> 32:53]
Jake:
You find yourself under threat. The very next time we went out, things got strange for me. My Spidey senses, my intuition, were on high alert because our Intel contact changed. The rhythm and style of our Intel changed as we got closer to the day we were going to deploy. Intelligence kept changing, and that raised my concern. We ended up going anyway, and when we got there, the HV PS were gone. It was clear that shots had been fired. Whatever was on the computers was a secret so important it was worth fighting for. The situation had changed, and soon I realized my team was caught in the middle, and our lives were on the line. We were in significant danger, and I was concerned that we were being set up. We were being prepared for the one thing that we've always offered employers: plausible deniability. We were perhaps going to be the hidden hand that gets set up.

Don:
Were you involved in any of the retrieval attempts?

Jake:
Yes. Was there a concern that you were being set up?

[32:56 -> 34:20]
Interviewer:
Do you think that the setup is a mild term? The fact that people have lost their lives involved in this type of activity, and the lengths to which people have gone to suppress politically uncomfortable truths, indicates that there is risk involved. Do you think that, without naming them, the private aerospace company that retained you decided to kill you?

[32:59]
Interviewee:
Somebody masquerading as a representative of that company surely may have had that intention. Jake was convinced that his former employer, or someone posing as them, was determined to kill him. But who exactly was calling the shots? Jake was determined to find out.

[33:42]
Interviewer:
Who were you working for?

[33:45]
Interviewee:
It was obvious to us, at least, who the employer was, but they were now in question in my mind as being part of the problem. Jake was on the hunt, using his skills and vast network of contacts to determine just who was behind this shadow war fought over these missing hard drives. He says the trail led him right to the top.

[34:10]
Interviewer:
What happened next?

[34:13]
Interviewee:
This past spring, Jake and his team were in Washington, D.C., conducting surveillance and eventually confronting one of their prime suspects. So I reached out to the...

[34:24 -> 35:01]
Jake Barber:
I was the director of security for the particular organization and set up a meeting with them because there were only two truths in my mind: either they were complicit or they were compromised. What was his reaction? Was he nervous? Oh, he was nervous. He told me to forget it. He said, "You know, it's in our best interest to move on." If he had any knowledge at all, it was clear he was trying to distance himself from it. I was no closer to finding out who exactly wanted me dead, but I knew that I was no longer doing the mission I signed up for when I first enlisted protecting Americans. To make it stop, I would need more than my handpicked team; I would need Congress, I would need funding, I would need to go public, and I would need you at NewsNation to reveal our own startling UAP footage. That's next.

[35:21 -> 35:51]
Jake Barber:
It's now time for the public to know. That's why I'm doing this interview. But I'm doing much more than just talking. What I'm trying to do now is replicate in the private sphere what s being suppressed in the public sphere. You know, we're not looking back; we're...

[35:54 -> 37:14]
Jake:
Looking forward, I am absolutely confident that we are capable of generating the type of high-quality sensor data, photography, and film evidence that everyone is wanting. So, who exactly is "we"? Our organization is called Skywatcher. We have been recruiting individuals from within the program, both men and women, and are forming a new team in coordination with government agencies. We also have the funding through venture capital.

Interviewer:
Can you name those agencies?

Jake:
Not at this time, but I hope to very soon. Skywatcher's team has already deployed on behalf of the U.S. government to investigate last year's drone sightings on the East Coast. But before that, they were on the hunt for something even more elusive.

In August of 2024, Jake and the Skywatcher team conducted their own operation with a pionic acid to see if they could summon a UAP themselves. Look, there are two! Look at this! Look right here, look

at this one going down and this one going up. This footage is from another Skywatcher operation, showing the team's protocols. The team hoped to quietly gather...

[37:16 -> 38:35]
Jake:
Evidence for Skywatcher indicated danger once more. A UAP dogfight erupted in the sky above them. He believes the crowd summoned by the team was warring with a rogue UAP piloted by an unknown entity attempting to intercept the Skywatcher operation.

Tell me what happened. We set out to conduct this operation right away. When the psionic asset went into meditation, he reported that he was engaged and, in fact, piloting a craft. He was bringing it in, telepathically controlling alien technology.

Yes, as crazy as that sounds, that's exactly what we set out to do, and it aligned with what he reported we would do. Then things got a little weird. About four or five minutes into the operation, he said, "Something's going on; something's wrong. Get me out of here." At that moment, our Overwatch, Fred, looked up to the sky and saw a disc a classic lenticular-shaped disc. Fred Baker, a Special Operations veteran now part of the Skywatcher team, was flying the egg. When we had to pull him out, that's when the egg dropped. Wow.

[38:42 -> 40:08]
Professor Gary Nolan:
At the very moment that he was essentially detaching his mind from the object, you see it fall. I was there that night too. What I did see was an individual who, in the midst of attempting to call such an object, was clearly in distress at the moment of the supposed interaction. At that moment, something was seen. When we reviewed what it was that the person on the roof had seen, both by drawing and by videography, something showed up.

Frank:
Can we say the EG? Yeah, so here's Frank. It passed across our entire 69-degree angle of view in 84 seconds on our sensor. It comes into the frame here. That one there does look like just an upright oval egg. Is that similar to what you've seen in the program?

Professor Gary Nolan:
Oh yeah, this is exactly the same thing. Aside from releasing data and footage like this to the public, we're told this video was among those sent to the All Domain Anomaly Resolution Office, or Arrow, the Pentagon organization responsible for monitoring UAPs. The egg resembles the UAP encountered by US Navy fighters off the east coast of the US.

[40:11 -> 41:18]
News Anchor:
In 2015, there were suggestions of a connection between these anomalous objects, but until now, it has never been shown to the public. This makes Jake's mission even more critical. The Skywatcher

team feels it must push the federal government to act. Witnesses at the Congressional UAP hearings have been saying the same thing: the Pentagon and the intelligence community are treating us like children. It's time for us to know the truth about this. I believe we can handle it.

Jake Barber has been to Capitol Hill, and NewsNation has confirmed that this fall, he met with staffers for Florida Senator Marco Rubio, who is now President Trump's pick for Secretary of State.

Jake Barber:
I feel I was able to fill in gaps that have been there for a long time, which no other whistleblower has been able to do. A lot of people aren't going to believe this. What do you say to those people?

I'm not here to make anyone believe anything; it's exhausting. I'll paraphrase the Book of Matthew: you will know us by our fruits. Stay tuned in and watch what our new project is about to do, and prepare to be dazzled.

[Music]

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WaBVKjREg9I&t=26s
[00:02 -> 03:57]
Ross Coulthart:
Hello and welcome to this special edition of Reality Check, following straight on the heels of what I believe is one of the most important stories I've ever read. Jake Barber's story is indeed a difficult one to digest, and I can appreciate that people may be experiencing some degree of ontological shock with claims of psionic influence on non-human technology. For years, we have been told by the U.S. military and through cleverly placed films in Hollywood that psychic phenomena are nonsense. However, I am thrilled by recent developments, such as the telepathy tapes, which, despite the debunkers, continue to show intriguing evidence of psychic phenomena.

I have known for some time that there is a link between human consciousness and whatever technology or intelligence is purportedly behind what we are witnessing in our skies, oceans, and in orbit. To help me analyze the implications of this story, I would like to bring in two very special guests. First up is Rear Admiral Tim Gallaudet, a longtime friend of the show. We've interviewed him before; he is a former head of NOAA and a very senior retired military officer who has given distinguished service to his country. He has recently spoken out about his belief that there is some kind of non-human intelligence engaging with this planet that seems to want to be heard.

Also joining us is a correspondent from The Hill, another good friend of the show, Marik von Rennenkampff. Welcome, gentlemen. Now, I'm not asking you to be nice; I want you to be brutal. I expect we will encounter the inevitable debunkers and trolls, so if either of you wants to make any comments about the show or ask me a question, please feel free to do so.

Tim Gallaudet:
Thank you for having us on, Ross. I cannot say with 100% certainty that Jake is accurate and legitimate because I don't know him, nor do I know the fellow Air Force personnel who vouch for him. However, I do know John Blitch, the former DEVGRU officer, and off the cuff, with his endorsement, I am inclined to trust and believe that Jake is legitimate. That's my first take on that.

Marik von Rennenkampff:
I don't know if we made it clear enough just how distinguished a military career Lieutenant Colonel John Blitch has. He is a former Delta Force officer, so it's quite unique to have someone from his background speaking publicly. He has also written extensively on the phenomenon and has taken a very close interest in it. He has a forthcoming book, and as you will learn in an interview we have with him later next week, he is an experiencer who has had direct encounters with the phenomenon. What he describes is quite chilling.

It's interesting, Tim, because many military personnel, including elite operators from Special Forces, have shared their experiences with the phenomenon. As part of our preparatory exercise for this story, we visited a field very close to Fort Bragg, where the elite of

the American Special Forces are located. We conducted interviews with some of the individuals who assisted in the preparation of this film. They are very interested in this kind of phenomenon.

[04:00 -> 07:46]
Ross Coulthart:
Do not dismiss it. As you say, Colonel John Blitch is one of those people. The other two individuals, Don and Fred, backed up Jake's story, and they have firsthand experience on the range with Jake, which is quite extraordinary. They are elite tier one military operatives, highly trained, the absolute cr me de la cr me of the military Special Forces in the United States. It was an honor and indeed a real pleasure to get to know them. They are good human beings who derive absolutely no benefit from telling me what they've shared and supporting Jake's story. I find it intriguing because I freely admit that when I first spoke to Jake, I asked him how we are bringing down these craft. He explained to me that it's not about bringing them down; it's about summoning them. How do we get them to come in the first place? As we all know, there has long been talk most notably by Dr. Steven Greer about something he calls CE-5, where, through meditation and some kind of trained meditative psychic involvement with the phenomena, it has been asserted that it's possible to attract the phenomenon. I'll be honest; I was a skeptic about that until I met people who could do it. I have been in the presence of individuals trained as psionic assists by the U.S. military, and I have watched them perform this summoning. I know it's confronting, but by golly, it works.

Tim Gallaudet:
Can I jump in? First of all, congratulations on an extraordinary interview. I know you put a lot of time and effort into this, so congratulations. The allegations are truly extraordinary; I think everybody will agree on that. If you haven't pushed Jake Barber's ticket to another Congressional hearing, I think you have spurred the Congressional hearing that Dave Grusch made with his extraordinary allegations and revelations. If this does not lead to another hearing that brings in more witnesses, then we have a major, major problem. I know you've spoken about this, but this is near and dear to my heart; this is a constitutional issue. Congress appears to be very much in the dark about these extraordinary allegations. The more people that come forward, the smoke is just overwhelming; it's overbearing at this point. Kudos to you. I think, Ross, when we spoke several months ago, we discussed a critical mass of whistleblowers coming forward. I believe this is a major step forward in having that mass of individuals that is self-sustaining at some point. Hopefully, we will get more clarity and true investigation. The hearings on the House Oversight Committee, while informative, need something with teeth. We need subpoenas; we need a real, steely-eyed look at this. If Congress isn't up to the task, then the incoming Trump administration really needs to shake things up.

Marik von Rennenkampff:
I agree with you, Merrik. I have had months of people, in some cases, abusing me or threatening me because they get angry that we're not

just saying everything we know. One of the problems in the field of social media in the UAP world is that a lot of people have no idea how hard it is to persuade someone like Jake to speak publicly. It has been...

[07:50 -> 11:40]
Ross Coulthart:
For over two years now, it has been an enormous job persuading him of the merits of coming forward and assuring him that he can feel safe doing so. The thing I really admire about Jake, and I think we explained this in the story, but I want to reemphasize it, is that he explains in the story that the people he liaised with in the Pentagon's UFO office have confirmed to him that what he saw on the place he terms "the range" was alien technology non-human intelligence technology. That s key.

What people need to understand is that before we put Jake on television, we actually went through the process with him of watching him give evidence. We ve been with him when he s gone back, and I was consulting with him over the weekend when he was telling his aerospace employer that he was concerned about the safety of himself and the safety of his men. There had been a confrontation that we re not going to go into a lot of detail about, but basically, his team came to the conclusion that their lives were under threat. It doesn t get any more serious than that.

They also concluded that they believed people they knew had been murdered to protect this secret. Moreover, there have been ongoing threats and intimidation of people to protect the secrecy of a program that, frankly, I know Congress has not been properly briefed about.

Just to address one thing you mentioned in your commentary: yes, Jake has given evidence to the Senate Select Committee for Intelligence, but the way they do this is very interesting. I think there s a cordon set up here; they protect the politicians by bringing in witnesses like him, who are so explosive, and they sit them down in front of the staffers. Yes, it s all recorded, it s all done according to the proper form in a skiff, and they re properly attended by all of the very senior staffers.

What concerns me is that Congress is aware but also not aware at the same time. You have this ridiculous situation where congressional representatives are frightened of this UAP issue and intimidated by it. They often send their staffers, often their legal counsel, along so that they have legal professional privilege to protect the secrecy of what they ve been told. There may very well be a private briefing; I know because I ve spoken to senators in particular who have been briefed about these allegations.

What s happening, I think, is a timidity, a fear. We know that there have been people at very high levels in some of these committees who have basically misused their positions to suppress public awareness of this issue. I agree with you that at the heart of this, this is a constitutional issue. When someone like Tim swore an oath to serve as

an officer in the U.S. Navy, which he did, and reached the absolute pinnacle of the U.S. Navy, he swore an oath to the Constitution, not to the U.S. Navy.

The lawyer in me, the attorney in me, feels that that s an extraordinarily important obligation. When I ve talked to military personnel and believe me, there are dozens more than the people I ve put on TV today when I ve talked to military people who have basically told me about what they know of this legacy program, they re torn because they re patriots, just like these men who have spoken today.

[11:42 -> 15:29]
Ross Coulthart:
They gain absolutely nothing by speaking publicly like they have today. All they gain is a whole lot of hell. They're going to suffer the slings and arrows of trolls and debunkers. There will be the inevitable attacks on them; every little detail of their personal lives will be dredged through, and they'll be looking for anything to throw at them, just like they did with David Grusch. Frankly, it's a disgrace. I think part of the problem at the moment is that the UAP community needs to take a hard look at itself and stop treating this like entertainment. It has to acknowledge that this is serious. We are talking about egregious breaches of the law, including criminal law. Congress, the mainstream media, the president, and the incoming president all need to wake up to themselves and realize the significance of what this reveals.

Tim, I guess I don't expect you off the top to endorse this, and I would be shocked if you had. I never expected either of you to endorse the credibility of Jake Barber because it's been a proving process for me over literally years. One of the things that I've had to do is talk off the record to people at a very high level in the military, and I'm sure you've had this experience as well, Tim. I'm not asking you to name names, but there are good people I'm talking to in uniform patriots who are in very senior roles in government who are praying that this story makes a change because they genuinely want the public to know. They are absolutely terrified about the consequences of an unchecked national security state being allowed to spend billions of dollars of taxpayers' money without proper accountability.

I think the intimations behind what's described by Jake and his team about the threat to their safety the fact that they feared they would be murdered by their own employer or people associated with their employer that is a very grave implication. So, I guess, Tim, are you hearing the same desire for change inside the national security establishment that you've come from yourself?

Tim Gallaudet:
Oh, everywhere. Dozens of people, and the list is growing every day, Ross. In fact, that's why I wrote the op-ed I did after I testified in the last Congressional hearing, where I contrasted my testimony with that of John Koslowski's, stating the fact that the government is keeping UAP largely classified. I think it's time now for the public to know, and I do that out of the sense of patriotism that you just

mentioned, Ross. As does Marik; he served in the government too. We want the truth that's what we're pursuing here.

And I actually, though I will go on to say a few comments about Jake, I am inclined to believe him because I don't think this topic is as weird or controversial as most people would think. Think about it: the CIA had the Stargate program, and they've claimed to have disestablished it, but like so many of those programs that have been uncovered, they most likely still continue in a classified version with a different name. If you look at Joe McMonagle's interviews, the validity of the remote viewing phenomena, which is telepathic, is really 100% it's documented in scientific papers. You have institutions like the Department of Perceptual Studies, I believe it's DOPS at the University of Virginia, which has rigorously examined these phenomena for 50 years and documented them very well. I think the reason we're not being more open as a public is...

[15:31 -> 19:06]
Ross Coulthart:
The government has tried to suppress this and cast a stigma on it, including UAPs. I think there is a connection; there's a large body of scientific evidence behind it. What I truly hope for, Ross, is that this interview will be one of many, and that the other operators or contractors who have worked with Jake will come forward. Then we can start to see a groundswell. My desire is that this will lead to a new scientific revolution in our understanding of reality, where we recognize that we are not the center of the universe and that we are not the only forms of higher-order intelligent life.

Just think about all the different research efforts in universities and institutes exploring the nature of this new intelligence. The technology and the phenomena are not being explored as widely as they should be. You know, Tim, the thing that really shocks me is that I m talking to both military personnel and individual civilian personnel, particularly scientists involved in the legacy program. I find myself in the ridiculous situation of being one of the few journalists in mainstream media covering this issue, with the exception of someone like Merrik, for example.

It s a very rare area to get involved in, and I ve had extraordinary people reach out to me. They are watching this and waiting with apprehension this weekend, terrified that there won t be a response from the new administration. They are skeptical; they think President Trump will back down. They believe there s a high probability that, like every president before him, he will accept the lame National Security arguments that the public should be kept in the dark about this for another 30 or 40 years. That s certainly what the gatekeepers are trying to do.

One of the things I ve noticed is that there are trolls building Twitter accounts to manipulate social media algorithms and sway public opinion, directing adverse comments about Jake. We ve been tracking this, in fact, quite sophisticatedly for the first time. We are already aware that there are individuals who are almost certainly

connected to the intelligence community involved in active disinformation, trying to spread lies about these people and their allegations before the public even hears them.

It s fascinating to see a coordinated public campaign, and I believe it s being run from sections of the defense intelligence community. If you remember, Tim, you d know your law: Executive Order 123 forbids intelligence operations against the American public by any intelligence agency in the United States. Merrik, you re probably aware of that as well.

I think the implications of this story are enormous because it suggests that there has been an intelligence agency for whom Jake worked, and he acknowledged that he worked under non-official cover, essentially through a private aerospace company. They are administering a program that is being kept from Congress and from the knowledge of presidents. To me, that is the bravest implication behind what we ve exposed today. Absolutely. And if these allegations are all true, we re looking at...

[19:08 -> 22:44]
Ross Coulthart:
This situation presents not only a constitutional crisis but also a potential intelligence operation against American citizens. If all of this is true, we could easily see a Church Committee 2.0, which was the famous Congressional committee established in the 1970s to investigate abuses by the intelligence community. It s quite plausible that something similar could be formed as these whistleblowers continue to come forward.

I want to emphasize a point for your listeners and viewers, Ross. It s easy to get lost in the hype surrounding one whistleblower, in this case, four. However, this issue is much broader. We have had Chuck Schumer, the Senate Majority Leader, previously put forward 64 pages of legislation that alleges exactly what Mr. Barber claims. Additionally, we have had whistleblower David Grusch testify under oath to the same allegations, and Lou Elizondo has also testified under oath regarding these matters.

Admiral Gallaudet, who is on this call, can attest to the fact that he believes these alleged programs exist and that extraordinary information is being concealed from the American public. It s not just these individuals; we have credible voices in Congress, such as Senator Marco Rubio, who is likely to be the Secretary of State in the incoming Trump Administration. He seems poised to sail through the confirmation process and has made some extraordinary statements, vouching for the credibility of these whistleblowers.

So, while it s easy to get wrapped up in one story, this is a massive narrative, Ross. Kudos to you for bringing it to light. However, let s keep the bigger picture in mind. I want to add one more thing: I have confirmed with David Grusch most of your listeners and viewers might know this, but briefly, Grusch is a former high-level intelligence officer who conducted a lawful investigation into these alleged

retrieval and reverse engineering programs. He testified under oath that he had 40 witnesses and built a case over 40 years.

I spoke with Dave, and interestingly, he mentioned that Jake Barber is not one of his 40 witnesses. However, Barber is clearly credible, and all three individuals who corroborated his story have vouched for him. They are high-quality witnesses stating the same thing. Unless someone is writing some extraordinary fanfiction for unknown reasons, these allegations must be taken extremely seriously, especially since we are hearing the same claims repeatedly.

Admiral Gallaudet:
Absolutely, Ross. I want to build on that and address the incoming Trump Administration. In addition to Secretary Rubio, who has spoken out about UAPs, we also have John Ratcliffe, who is likely to be the CIA Director if confirmed, and he has made similar statements. Mike Waltz is also in the mix and is likely to become the next National Security Adviser. These are three potential allies in this effort.

I can speak to this with authority because I was a Trump appointee, and I can tell you that he is disruptive by nature.

[22:47 -> 26:15]
Ross Coulthart:
I think no one s going to argue that, and if anything could be more disruptive for the status quo, it will be UAP disclosure. So there s an inclination there. He s also tended to stack his administration with innovators. For example, the next director of the Office of Science and Technology Policy, Michael Krats, a good friend of mine and an ally when I was in the administration, was the Chief Technology Officer in the White House. I could see him looking at the technology spin-off potential of this as being great.

The other thing is there is a desire there was in the last administration and there s a desire in this one to show American leadership. I mentioned that in my Hill op-ed, which I previously referenced, that this is an issue where we really stand to do that on a global scale. I think when opportunities are presented like that, they re likely to be moved forward. That s actually a role I m taking on. I made this recommendation for the Trump administration specifically in my op-ed, and as people start getting into office next week, I m going to be engaging them.

One of the things with Tim I really admire you as such a senior retired military officer for taking the courageous step to speak out. A lot of people watching this, and I know I ve got friends who I spoke to before this program, encouraged me. They re in uniform at very high levels, and they encouraged me to go ahead with the story. One of the things I always do as an investigative journalist is go to sources and say, Look, I ve got this story. For God s sake, if there s something I need to know, I know you know there s top secret SCI compartmentalized clearances. There might be something I don t know; for God s sake, let me know.

Tim, one thing I want to ask you: a lot of people are wrestling with the decision about whether they should come forward or not. They re looking at you because you ve taken such a strong leadership role in such an admirable way. Have you suffered blowback? Has this damaged you at all in your standing among your military peers? Have you suffered threats or intimidation of any kind for taking the stand that you ve taken in so courageously speaking out and revealing your knowledge of the existence of this possible NHI phenomenon?

Tim Gallaudet:
Well, the answer is yes and no, Ross. In fact, I was at a professional society meeting this week, and a few people I know talked about it, but it was all in terms of support. Otherwise, my reputation as a scientist is really kind of unchanged because some of these communities in ocean science and atmospheric science aren t necessarily following this topic at large. So professionally, no. It s only been in some of these media outlets that these professional trolls, as you mentioned, whose credibility and integrity are near zero to me, have been the only source of blowback. That s just going to happen; it goes with the territory. I ve testified in front of Congress probably twelve times in my life, so it s just what comes with the territory. I can handle it.

Merrik von Rennenkampff:
So, help me here, my friend. You know the Hill; you know the politics. What are those jelly-backed weak politicians going to do when they get this story? Are they going to respond? Do you think there s going to be any change?

Ross Coulthart:
That is the million-dollar question. I like the way you framed it; thank you for that. I ll say it I m down here...

[26:18 -> 29:58]
Ross Coulthart:
In Australia, you know, they can't get at me down here. That's right. Look, if it were up to me, every alarm bell on the hill would be going off, and every office should be alerted. I fear that most people in the government are experiencing what is called agenda inertia. There s always some other pressing issue there s always China, there s always Ukraine, there s always the potential ceasefire in Gaza. There s always another issue, and I can see how UAP is continually downgraded, whether intentionally or not, because there are other burning issues at play. I fear that people lose sight of the big picture regarding where we are and what has happened over the last five to six years on this extraordinary topic. Much thanks to both of you gentlemen. I hope that people on the hill, the staffers, do not view this in isolation and realize that there is a lot more to this. There are many other individuals who have come forward already, and Ross, you clearly are in touch with them and are thinking about coming forward.

I just want to quickly take a minute to echo your kind words about Admiral G. He has demonstrated extraordinary leadership out of uniform. As many people know, he took this very seriously when

aviators off the east coast were observing UAP. This is something that alarmed him, and he is standing up for those guys who, because of stigma or a lack of process or procedure, were not able to advocate for their own air safety needs. So, credit to you, Admiral G, for bringing your voice. It s not every day we have a chief meteorologist of the Navy and a former acting head of NOAA, which is an extraordinarily important institution and government agency. Thank you for that.

Admiral G:
Well, thank you, Ross. I want to say kudos back to you for your courage to speak out. I know you re taking the same risk. You made a couple of points, and I want to add to one of them regarding the wrongness of covering up the issue of UAP. Another point is the use of our taxpayer dollars. If any of our taxpayer dollars are being used to pay contractors engaging in corporate warfare, that is a problem. That is not how Americans want their tax dollars spent. The incoming Trump Administration has an opportunity to restore trust in the government, which is at an all-time low. For years, it has been declining. I think the last four years have seen a degree of dishonesty that shocked a lot of Americans. There s a chance to change that, and I think coming forward with UAP in a deliberate, controlled fashion might go a long way to restoring that trust.

Ross Coulthart:
Tim, one of the things I ve been wrestling with is how something as monstrous as this kind of cover-up could possibly be perpetrated without the public knowing about it, without it being officially disclosed. You ve worked inside the defense establishment. How do they keep this sort of stuff secret? I mean, why hasn t it leaked before?

Admiral G:
This is very interesting, and this is why your interview with Jake is so compelling to me.
[30:00 -> 33:44]
Ross Coulthart:
Tell us about the levels of compartmentalization. How compartmented is that effort? He was just security and logistics; he didn't talk about the operators on the ground attaching the sling to the object or the exploitation that was going on afterward. That was interesting because it showed how the compartmented nature of that is one reason it's been difficult to get information out. Money, power, and all those reasons are factors. Again, I think someone else has said this before, and I have too, that there are a lot of technologies, modes of operation, tactics, techniques, and procedures that the public does not know, and I don't want them to know, in terms of how we conduct intelligence and counterintelligence. Those have not come out, so we are not great, but we are pretty good in some areas about keeping things under wraps. It doesn't surprise me, however, when you look at what's being revealed now things like Roswell not being a hoax, other UAP crashes occurring, and we're seeing and hearing about them largely being reported by terrific journalists like you. I think we're seeing that what's happened is that things have come out; however, the government has done a very good job at stigmatizing and putting out disinformation to

discredit the information that has been reported.

One thing I wrestle with is, as I said earlier, how I really did go out of my way to give anyone a reason for me not to run this story. Is there something so sacred about the retrieval program that it shouldn't be revealed? One of the arguments that I've had, albeit weakly put to me by someone quite junior in the CIA, was a speculative comment. They suggested, "Look, maybe what this is all about is protecting technological advances that have been made using this technology that's been recovered, and we don't want to show our adversaries that hand."

Tim, I'd like to get your comment on that. I'm going to promote you: You're the president; you've just been elected, and a carping journalist like me from outside is begging you to reveal the UAP program. The head of the CIA's Office of Science and Technology probably walks in the door and says, "Mr. President, if you reveal this, you are going to blow open the biggest secret in American history, and you will jeopardize the biggest technological advances of our time." Do you believe, Tim, Mr. President, that the disclosure of non-human intelligence is possible without compromising the kind of programs that we're talking about?

Tim Gallaudet:
Absolutely, I do. That was the whole purpose of the Schumer Amendment, the UAP Disclosure Act. It put forward a process for the president to approve controlled disclosure. I am absolutely on board with protecting technology secrets and national secrets for national security, of course. Yes, but the public can know and should know that we are not alone. We should be researching that and getting a better understanding of where we're at. How are we going to advance with a national strategy of ignorance, as Chris Mel would say?

Marik von Rennenkampff:
And I'd like to get your view. You're the vice president; you've got to make the decision as well. What would you say to that?

[33:46 -> 37:36]
Ross Coulthart:
I find this really interesting because at the heart of this discussion is an argument that is continually raised by outsiders. The most plausible reason for the ongoing cover-up is the notion that if you disclose the existence of non-human intelligence (NHI), you would inevitably have to disclose the existence of these programs. I don't buy that. From my own sources, I know that the Russians and the Chinese are already aware that we have recovered technology. In fact, I m told that in the very early days of glasnost, we actually collaborated with the Russians on the retrieval of a craft in Russia. Of course, things have frozen since then, and there is obviously hostility between Russia and America. We are, sadly, on the brink at times of war or possible conflict.

Merrick, do you think that disclosing the existence of NHI would inevitably jeopardize national security projects of enormous

significance? Assuming NHI exists and all these programs are real, I have to be a good journalist and remain objective here. I completely agree with Admiral Gallaudet that it can be done. However, if these allegations of contractor-on-contractor firefights are even remotely accurate, I can see the gatekeepers of these alleged programs fighting tooth and nail. As they say, the cover-up is often worse than the crime, and we are dealing with a massive scandal. I can understand why certain individuals would be fighting against disclosure to the point of potentially blackmailing or threatening politicians and lawmakers.

To answer your question, I believe that the two can be bifurcated. I want to quickly touch on what I think, putting on my national security and geopolitical hat. Regardless of whether China or Russia have recovered these crafts, the Senate Intelligence Committee has put out language suggesting this, which didn t make it into law but did come out of the committee. We haven t had a single substantive discussion about the geopolitical ramifications of that announcement, let alone the financial, economic, social, or cultural aspects. The geopolitical implications are something I grapple with and struggle to understand because nobody has had that in-depth analysis or conversation. What does this look like globally? How do our adversaries respond? Are we all going to sing Kumbaya because we re not alone in the universe? I don t know. That hope is not a strategy.

This raises a host of questions, and I suspect that what I just discussed is a reason why a president, vice president, or any decision-maker would be hesitant to disclose this to the public without having that intensive tabletop exercise or red team analysis to discuss the ramifications of such disclosure and how it would be executed. I suspect it s easier to maintain the cover-up than to reveal embarrassing secrets, corruption, and probably impropriety. I find it fascinating that Donald Rumsfeld revealed, literally one day before 9/11, that there were two or three trillion dollars unaccounted for inside the Department of Defense. Auditors basically can t explain that incredible amount of money. The implications are profound.

[37:39 -> 41:29]
Ross Coulthart:
Many people believe that there are underground facilities built to house these entities. I even know the locations of some of them. I've been exploring witnesses who have told me that they've been inside those facilities. I'm very interested in hearing from people who are aware of these facilities, and the government needs to know that this is being discussed. If this is important national security infrastructure, they have to provide a very good reason, and very quickly, for why the public shouldn't be informed. I know a lot of people in the UFO social media world think everything should just be recklessly released, and they advocate for catastrophic disclosure full speed ahead, and damn the torpedoes. I don t agree with that. I have friends who have been injured in service because of information getting out and being publicized in a way that compromised them in very dangerous situations overseas. I can understand the need for sensitivity regarding national security operations. It's important; it's part of my ethical duty as a journalist to respect that and

ensure we check it along the way. Those are balances that need to be made.

But I agree with both of you; I do think it's ridiculous that if it's true and I do believe it is that we have long been aware of the existence of a non-human intelligence engaging with this planet, it's time to stop lying about it. What I find grave is that, without naming them or embarrassing them, there are public officials who have been induced by the gatekeepers within the last few months to put out statements and even give evidence to Congress, flatly asserting that there is no evidence of this kind of extraterrestrial engagement with our planet. It may very well be that they are playing with semantics. Maybe we know they re not extraterrestrial; they re not off-planet. Perhaps they are on the planet, as Tim sometimes suggests, or maybe they re underwater, or interdimensional. Whatever they are, I think we have a right to know about them, and I believe public impatience will mount as a result of today s story. However, I don t expect it to be the definitive moment. I am certainly grateful to both of you for your contributions to today's show. Thank you both.

Tim Gallaudet:
Thanks so much for having us.

Ross Coulthart:
Okay, I would now like to bring in scientist Dr. Jim Sigala to get his commentary and expertise on some of the things that Jake Barber has described in this story. Jim Sigala is a physicist and engineer who has spent much of the last few years researching anomalous phenomena, especially around the winter basement where the Skinwalker Ranch is located. He has a PhD in physics and advanced degrees in engineering. He has been involved in anomalous phenomena research for decades and has many connections with most of the well-known scientists in this field. Most interestingly, of course, he worked very closely with the ORAP program studies that were run out of the Defense Intelligence Agency at the Skinwalker Ranch, where many experiences reported anomalous phenomena.

So, Jim, welcome to Reality Check. I'm obviously really keen to hear from you whether you think there are any similarities between the kinds of things that Jake Barber has described regarding the anomalous engagements with whatever this object was, this craft, and also the lesions and injuries that he is describing.

Dr. Jim Sigala:
Yes, it was actually quite fascinating. I watched the whole film; it was quite...

[41:30 -> 45:15]
Ross Coulthart:
It's interesting. As you get to certain segments of it, you say, "Okay, I've seen that before." Yep, I've seen that before. One of the nicest things is that somebody put it in the context of someone with boots on the ground handling something that was obviously anomalous or unknown in nature. Most of the interactions that I've investigated

with other scientists involved objects that created phenomena that weren't local; they were somewhere else. They might have been buried or in another location. But this time, it was something that was very, very local. What's great is that you get the same kind of emotional reaction from the people, and the physical reaction of your physiology changes, or your medical injuries come to bear.

Let's just have a quick look at the clip that we're talking about. I think this is the one where Jake describes the experience of escorting an unknown object in a box from somewhere in Africa back to the United States. Let's take a quick look to refresh our memory of that clip.

Jake Barber:
We transported what we called "mystery boxes" all the time. I have no idea; it could have been empty. It probably wasn't empty, but we don't know what was inside the boxes.

Ross Coulthart:
And what were you told? If somebody tried to seize that box, what were your orders?

Jake Barber:
We maintained the authority to use lethal force if anyone tried to seize those boxes. So whatever that box was, it was extremely valuable to the U.S. government.

Ross Coulthart:
That's correct. And after this particular mission, my team and I got extremely sick physically ill in the hospital within weeks. I lost every hair on my body, and I also lost the skin on my arms.

Ross Coulthart:
So the skin was actually falling off your body?

Jake Barber:
Yeah, like not just falling off; it was lopping off within a couple of days, like a severe sunburn. I ended up developing a severe heart murmur that I never had before.

Ross Coulthart:
Jim, what's your reaction to what Jake describes there?

Jim:
Well, it seems to me that he was in contact with some type of energy, you might say, that left a medical footprint on his body. That's not something you can manifest in your head, so obviously, something actually did happen to him. There s no question that something did, and there were a lot of other things that happened to him during that period that he narrates, which led me to believe that he was very earnest about this. I mean, I believe this actually did happen to him; there was nothing construed. To have those things happen was very similar to other types of exposure.

Ross Coulthart:

What would cause, for example, skin to literally peel off somebody's body? What kind of exposure causes that?

Jim:
Sometimes radiation will do it. If you ever have any problem with your skin due to radiation, they do use electrons. They ll actually shoot electrons at your skin and get very localized radiation deposits, which would cause local lesions on your skin. Sometimes, if you get enough of it, it will start separating from the dermis; it will start actually separating and peeling off. I've had many patients describe this and show this, and talk to medical doctors. This was something that was pretty prevalent when people actually came into contact with dangerous types of objects.

Ross Coulthart:
The other thing that Jake described were lesions on his body. Have you had that experience yourself with witnesses and other experiences who ve been exposed to...

[45:18 -> 48:51]
Marik von Rennenkampff:
What happens is that as your body absorbs this energy, it actually does something to it. Your DNA absorbs it, and it can affect your DNA, breaking it apart and turning it into something akin to a cancerous reaction. You'll see dimples and bubbles on your skin, which may resemble herpes; however, upon biopsy, it is not herpes. These lesions can appear on the skin, but fortunately, most of them dissipate after about a week. Many people have reported experiencing these lesions, and understandably, they are frightened nobody wants to have these things.

There are a few photographs that you kindly sent us before we went on air. Can you talk about those? What do they show?

These images depict instances where individuals have witnessed something anomalous, such as looking up in the sky and seeing an orb or a UFO craft. They were never alone; most of these witnesses had others with them who also saw it. These particular individuals returned a day or two later within 24 hours and began developing bubbles on their skin. These areas appear blotchy, almost as if they were locally burned or had undergone localized radiation treatment. This led to discolored urination and all the symptoms associated with exposure to or absorption of some type of radiation.

One interesting aspect is the heart murmur that was mentioned. When you have an interaction with these entities and experience this energy, your body reacts in a very sinister way. You enter a fight-or-flight response, where your immune system kicks in, and your capillaries constrict, causing your blood pressure to rise. This can trigger an autoimmune reaction. When your body is trying to fight something it cannot identify because it does not understand the energy or entity it can affect your autoimmune responses and even your heart valves. Over time, this could lead to the development of a murmur. When I heard that, I thought it was quite genuine; he definitely

experienced something that his body did not understand, which caused this reaction.

Wow, so you've seen these murmurs before?

Yes, we have several cases. In fact, I'm currently working on a study with another scientist relating individuals who have had these experiences to the onset of autoimmune diseases, including issues with the cardiac system. I have seen a lot of this. There is no suggestion that the energy causing these injuries is being intentionally applied. Do you feel that the people who are being affected are experiencing incidental consequences of exposure to an object, or do you think they are being deliberately targeted?

To be honest, I think something is trying to communicate with them. I believe someone is attempting to...

[48:52 -> 52:34]
Ross Coulthart:
Some people can interpret it, while others can't. Some people just think, "Oh my God, what's going on? I have a headache." Meanwhile, others might say, "Oh, I just got a message from somebody," or "I feel something about this." Jake actually mentioned that he felt great; he felt amazing. I think that might be the message. You're standing in the path of some kind of communication that we don't understand from an entity we can't comprehend. We don't know what it does to our bodies; it leaves lesions, marks, and medical footprints. It affects our internal state, giving us feelings and making us interpret things. Our brains try to rationalize everything, so you end up feeling amazing or scared. What happened to Jake is definitely something where someone was trying to give him information.

Let's roll back a little bit. When I first met Jake, the biggest issue I had with his story was not the idea of the United States government secretly retrieving non-human intelligence spacecraft. I could cope with that. The big thing for me, which I found very confronting and took me two years to come to terms with, is the idea of psychic or psionic engagement by human consciousness with some kind of non-human technology. We don't even know if it is technology. We don't know if these so-called crafts are indeed crafts. Can you explain what's going on there? Are you familiar with the idea of the human mind making a connection with some kind of non-human phenomenon?

Tim Gallaudet:
Yes, I think it's a conclusion at this point that whatever this is, it is not a radiation source. It is an information source that happens to be absorbed by your body in a way that looks like radiation. But primarily, whatever this is, it is trying to communicate with you. I've conducted a study over the past three or four years through the Institute with Hal Puthoff, where we've placed people in situations where UFOs or UAPs are present. We took their narratives and examined the surrounding signals, and they consistently claimed that they felt like they were being instructed to do something or that they were told something amazing was happening.

Sometimes, they would enter a catatonic state and wake up hours later, feeling amazing or completely drained, often believing they had been in contact with something. What Jacob described is not unique to him; what's unique is that the object that triggered this experience was right next to him, which was quite revealing.

Ross Coulthart:
Had you heard the term "psionic" before?

Tim Gallaudet:
I have, in the context of science fiction, where people communicate through telepathy and similar concepts. It has a bad stigma, with people dismissing it as crazy talk. However, if you talk to experts like Gary Nolan, they would claim that everyone has some form of ability to reach outside their mind and connect with other things. I think that nature itself...

[52:37 -> 56:27]
Ross Coulthart:
This little bit of ability to go outside of our mind would definitely evolve and make it part of our initial being because that helps in our fight-or-flight reactions. Can you talk about the science that might be behind how the human brain and human consciousness could possibly engage, if it's real, with a non-human intelligence of some kind?

Tim Gallaudet:
Sure. If you think of what our brain is, it is like a big ion-moving machine where you have all these ions. What ions are is they are charged particles that move through your body. Anytime you have a charged particle, it could be affected by outside sources. But what's interesting is that these charged particles could be entangled with other particles that might not be local to them; they might be outside of their head or on the other side of the Earth. We know for a fact that these particles can get entangled. So, if you have a neuron that fires let's say that neuron fires because you're looking at a flower right now but that neuron is attached to or entangled with somebody else who has a different feeling or an entity that might be able to control that, they could send an initial blast to you. You might say, "Oh, look at that flower," but there's no flower there. So, there's this entanglement going on between neurons and brains and all of these ions that are flowing in your head.

But I guess what I have difficulty with is that it does sound like science fiction. The interesting thing is, if what Jake is saying is true, the U.S. Air Force never stopped operating the Stargate program. You talked about Hal Puthoff; one of our friends, Hal, was involved in groundbreaking research in the 1970s through SRI with people looking at the whole issue of telepathy and psychic phenomena. My understanding is that this has been made into a Hollywood movie, "The Men Who Stare at Goats." Yes, my understanding is that the whole thing was dismissed and played down. But what really shocked me when I started doing the background research for this story was looking at the history of the CIA Stargate program. Even presidents at the time,

like President Carter, acknowledged that there had been successful uses of things like remote viewing technology to assist in the recovery of an aircraft in one case.

It does appear that while the official position in modern science is that telepathy and psychic phenomena this kind of non-local engagement of the human mind with something external are dismissed as nonsense, it's quite clear that if you look at the succession of documents in the CIA archive, they have continued that research over many years. They never stopped. Is this something you're familiar with? What do you know about the whole science of the idea that the human brain could possibly engage with something outside of the human brain, other than just normal verbal or written communication?

Marik von Rennenkampff:
Ironically, I worked for Hal Puthoff's Institute in Austin for 11 years. One of the experiments he asked me to do was to take two sentient objects, have them together for a little while, and then separate them. I convinced the other one that there was no physical connection like I put them in a Faraday cage, I put a barrier, and I did something sinister to...

[56:30 -> 59:58]
Ross Coulthart:
One of them will react, or if I do something really nice to one of them, will the other one react? So we took epithalamus cells and we put them together, let them entangle, separated them, and maybe we put a little Clorox or something harmful into one of them. After enough trials, we found out that we could get two cells to communicate through a barrier, even through a Faraday cage, meaning that there are no electromagnetic signals. We also had them enclosed, so there were no chemical signals or anything, but we got them to communicate. We had enough statistics to show that there was actually communication. This suggests that there is something about sentient life, including intelligent human life, that has a capacity to communicate that we don t yet understand.

I'm just wondering it's a long shot but have you watched or listened to the telepathy types at all?

Tim Gallaudet:
I did not. I've been advised to; they said it was very interesting. What I d like to do is stay more focused on the actual mechanisms that happen, as opposed to how people are implementing this great science. It s funny, you know, because when I first got into the Jake story, it s a bit like alien abductions. I don t, for the life of me, know how to report the allegations of alien abductions. It s one of those stories that I don t stay away from because there are many good people I m talking to who tell me it has happened to them, but for the life of me, I don t know how to report it. Similarly, with the allegations of psionic or psychic phenomena being behind how we are flying or perhaps remotely controlling these crafts, or at least communicating with them, that has implications which are absolutely extraordinary.

But from what you re telling me, you wouldn t rule it out as a possibility?

Marik von Rennenkampff:
No, I think we can definitely say that it happens. What the actual underlying mechanism is whether it s entanglement or if our ion channels are being entangled we don t know because we ve never actually been able to isolate that. It s more of a hypothesis. Do you find it plausible that sections of the defense and intelligence community could have secretly worked on psychic phenomena like this? I mean, the implications are that there are people, psychic assists as they call them, who are working secretly with the U.S. government. I m told, allegedly, a lot of them are people of indigenous Native American background. Do you find that even plausible, that a secret like that could be kept in this day and age?

Tim Gallaudet:
Oh, that s... you know, I have spent a lot of time in the government, and it s like everything is isolated. There are a lot of pockets within the agencies that do special projects. I know that I ve been on some with the DARPA initiatives and things like that, where you don t talk about this with anybody. So I would believe that there are these projects out there that might be looking at this. But do they matriculate up to the top? I don t know. Have you ever heard stories of a retrieval program of non-human craft yourself during your work with BAS or ORET?

Marik von Rennenkampff:
Sure, I mean, that s what he spent 15 years trying to do get the technology.
[1:00:01 -> 1:01:06]
Ross Coulthart:
I went with him to Congress, and I sat there in meetings with him, trying to explain to the Senate and our service committee what we were trying to do and how we were trying to obtain some of these items. That's what Hal's lab was set up to do investigate this. I've watched how frustrating it can be to get a hold of this information.

What do you think is going to come out of Jake Barber's allegations? You've seen the story; what do you think will be the blowback or the result?

I think the government is going to have to step back and acknowledge that this stuff is starting to look a little real. Now we have a guy who was put in harm's way and actually experienced the same type of ailments that align with other claims of anomalous origins. This individual was recorded inside a vehicle with something he didn't understand underneath him and exhibited very similar symptoms to those reported in the millions of claims.

[1:01:08 -> 1:02:17]
Ross Coulthart:
One of the other things about Jake's experience, particularly when he had some kind of psychic connection with the phenomenon, is the

overwhelming emotion and a strong sense of emotional engagement. Are you familiar with that? Have you had that before from other witnesses?

Tim Gallaudet:
Oh yeah, so again, we had this very long, very detailed study where we basically had well over 30 people on a day-to-day basis giving their interpretations of what they were going through. A lot of the reports came back with some very sinister and some very euphoric experiences, but it was all about the connection they had to something else. There is this narrative that people stick to where they do get very emotional. When your body receives information that it really doesn't understand, it can be quite scary. If you think about it, nobody's talking to you. Some people report ringing in the ears, and sometimes their eyes get blurry because it affects their optical nerves. It can be a scary thing sometimes, but the fallacy is that it's always...

[1:02:19 -> 1:03:24]
Ross Coulthart:
The notion that this is a sinister or malevolent experience is very misleading. More than half the time, the reports come back euphoric, and people are very happy about it. They often describe it as a profound awakening; they learn things, including new languages, believe it or not. I have had extensive experience with thousands of narratives from individuals, and they all resonate with what Jacob was discussing.

Tim Gallaudet:
So you're saying that people have learned other languages? What does that mean?

Ross Coulthart:
Yes, there have been quite a few instances where individuals would enter a state, wake up several hours later, and find that they had written an entire document without any recollection of doing so. They would then take this document to a linguist and ask, "What is this?" Only to discover that it was an ancient language they had no prior knowledge of. It's quite fascinating.

Marik von Rennenkampff:
You know, it's funny. I suspect the skeptics and debunkers will have a field day with the whole idea of psychic connections.

[1:03:27 -> 1:04:32]
Ross Coulthart:
Non-human intelligence is often mocked. As a hardened scientist who has witnessed paranormal phenomena up close, do you believe it's real?

Tim Gallaudet:
I'm not saying it's real. What I am saying is that whatever is happening to these individuals is not a fabrication of their minds. There is some information entering their consciousness in a way we do not yet understand, and their brains are interpreting it. They are not

being psychic; they are receiving some external signal.

Ross Coulthart:
So, you believe these individuals are genuinely experiencing something?

Tim Gallaudet:
Absolutely. There is no way these people could have such profound reactions without an external influence. We have equipped them with devices to monitor their physiological responses, and during these encounters, we observe changes in electromagnetic signals, gamma signals, and other indicators. This suggests that some vector is entering their bodies, providing them with this information. Recently, there has been an extensive investigation into these alleged anomalous experiences.

[1:04:35 -> 1:05:40]
Ross Coulthart:
Health incidents involving defense and intelligence personnel who have been exposed to phenomena remain a mystery. Nobody quite knows where it's coming from, and it follows years of resistance from the Defense Department regarding the idea that there are any real physical injuries. It's obvious that there's been dissent within the government about what the public should be told or whether any admissions should be made at all. Do you find it plausible that Jake's injuries, for example, or the injuries of the people you've seen could have been caused by a foreign adversary using direct energy weapons of some kind?

Tim Gallaudet:
If you go back to the Havana Syndrome, that's obviously a significant case where individuals in a contained area experienced symptoms very similar to those seen in people interacting with some phenomena. They reported earaches, nosebleeds, and various other symptoms that align closely with what we are observing. If you conduct MRIs on these individuals, they exhibit similar types of lesions in their heads that develop over time.

[1:05:42 -> 1:06:43]
Marik von Rennenkampff:
Just like people get when they're out here, this is, you know, just because it happens to a government agency doesn't mean that it's necessarily from a foreign entity. It could be something that we're all experiencing; everybody's experiencing it, but it just happened to be concentrated there. So when it comes down to actually investigating these things, I think they're starting to take a better picture of it.

Ross Coulthart:
Well, I was just wondering if the explanation for these Havana Syndrome anomalous health incidents might be that it's something anomalous rather than a foreign adversary.

Marik von Rennenkampff:

Well, if you look at the National Academy of Sciences report that came out, there was actually another better report that came out afterwards. They said it was electromagnetic in nature some radiation beam from some entity. They said "unknown entity," and they actually mentioned that they didn't think it was ever going to be from some foreign weapon or something like that. They just said, "I don't know what the..."

[1:06:44 -> 1:07:59]
Ross Coulthart:
So, if I take away what you've said to us today, Jim, while you're not saying it's real, you don't dismiss outright the idea of a physical engagement by the human brain with some kind of non-human technology, if it exists?

Jim:
No, because I've seen so many patients who receive this instruction; they get this communication from the outside world. We read signals that something just happened, but we don't know what it is. They interpret it in a certain way and report it instantly. They say, "I just had this premonition; I just saw this thing." Then we get data from our instruments indicating that, yes, something actually was present.

The exciting thing I'll tell you about the implications of Jake Barber's Skywatcher initiative that he talked about in the show is that they are now collaborating with the Pentagon's UFO office, Arrow. I can reveal that Arrow has expressed interest in accompanying the Skywatcher team on one of their attempts to summon a UAP, and I wouldn't be surprised at all if they're there on one of those occasions.

[1:08:01 -> 1:09:00]
Ross Coulthart:
In the first experiments, I have to say it reflects a refreshing openness on the part of the new Arrow director, Dr. John Klosi, who, unlike his predecessor Dr. Shan Kirkpatrick, is showing genuine scientific curiosity. A bit like you, Jim Sigala. Maybe we should get you involved as well.

Dr. Jim Sigala:
Sure.

Ross Coulthart:
Something tells me, Dr. Jim Sigala, that as a scientist showing commendable curiosity and actually doing the work to assess what's really there, you're way ahead of the pack. Thank you so much for sharing your insights with us. We look forward to talking to you in the future. Thank you very much.

[1:08:42 -> 1:09:00]
Ross Coulthart:
Thanks for watching. Go to joinnn.com to find NewsNation on your television provider, and please don't forget to click that red

subscribe button to ensure you receive more of NewsNation's unbiased and fact-driven news coverage.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AlbOt3JHLtg&t=1105s

[00:00 -> 03:59]
Dr. Steven Greer:
Welcome to episode three of the Dr. Steven Greer podcast. It's very good to connect with you today. There's a lot of exciting stuff happening. How are you doing?

I'm doing all right. I was up most of the night dealing with some of the fallout from the Tavoy show that aired last night. I want to comment on this a little bit. Jake Barber and I worked together; I first met him about a year and a half, maybe 20 months ago. He's a special individual who is also embedded with a major aerospace company. Now, I know what all those affiliations are, but he did not disclose them.

The important part of what came out, if you take out all the other noise and the production itself on that News Nation show, is that he and a group of other people are beginning to put their toe in the water, releasing information about what they've been involved with in retrieving extraterrestrial vehicles. The popular culture now refers to them as NHI, or non-human intelligence craft. There is a great deal more than what you saw in that episode.

The original plan, just to let people know, was to collect all the hard evidence of these operations—the craft, the operators, the locations, and so on—and that was going to be done anonymously. They were not going to reveal who they were for operational security reasons and their own safety, both legally and personally. As you saw in the show, people have been killed. He didn't go into details, but I know the details, and there have been recent fatalities related to these operations, with people trying to come forward.

We're at this inflection point where we need these people to come forward fully. However, the problem is that the United States government is lagging in instituting the protections, the executive orders, and the congressional bills to protect and create a pathway out of these operations that have been run illegally. The people involved need to be assured they will not be prosecuted; they need amnesty and physical protection.

There's a robust effort in law enforcement, both here and abroad, to get these corrupt and illegal projects under control, which is a very heavy lift. There is a separate team that I'm advising involved with that part of it, which is separate from Jake Barber and his whistleblower team, but I've introduced them so they know each other.

The issue here becomes the comingling, and the whole title of this show really needs to be "The Disclosure Mosaic." I want to unpack a little bit from my 35 years of experience in this field. As I started out, I made a lot of mistakes. We still make mistakes; we're human, we're fallible. What happens is that when you have a piece here and a piece there, you're going to make an assessment based on the

intelligence you have, but it's never quite complete. So, what we have to do, and what the Disclosure Project has been doing for a third...

[04:01 -> 07:42]
Dr. Steven Greer:
Over the last third of a century, I have been collecting pieces of information from a thousand points of contact with whistleblowers that I have debriefed and met with. My goal is to piece together this picture, this mosaic. What you'll find is that someone like Mr. Barber and his colleagues were read into a very specific operation, and even within that operation, they had specific tasks. He will tell you this; he was not informed about everything that was happening, for example, where those crafts went and what happened to them. He knows he has spoken to people, but his firsthand knowledge is very specific.

What I have had to do is connect the dots from hundreds and hundreds of these types of whistleblowers over the decades. You can see this if you go to DPIarchive.com, where there are currently 76 individuals listed. Some of their names are redacted because they have not agreed to come forward, unlike Jake Barber and his colleagues. The bigger problem is that if you only have that slice of the picture, even if you've been a Special Forces operator in that world for decades, you won't necessarily know the whole mosaic.

This is where many of these whistleblowers get into trouble. They can get caught up in a media effort that introduces spurious and unrelated material, which is not useful and can actually be detrimental to the truth. We will discuss this further. One thing about me—whether you love me or hate me—is that I am not running for the mayor of Crazy Town Ufology. I am here to lay out the truth because I have responsibilities. I am currently in Washington because I need to attend meetings with incoming administration figures. There is a higher responsibility to get the truth out in a way that does not harm future human consciousness.

I wanted to convey the concept that we have created this big mosaic, but it is not because I had one deep-throat source like in Watergate. This situation is too complex; it involves hundreds and hundreds of people like Mr. Barber and his team. That is what the Disclosure Project is about. I have to work with many individuals who are just starting to come out from behind the shadows. First of all, you can sense the palpable fear, and rightly so. Secondly, they can only speak to what they know.

If they fall under the influence of people who, for whatever reasons, might want to produce a show on this topic—which I specifically advised against—then we encounter a problem. These individuals are their own people with their own ideas; they are not my employees. We consult, discuss, and have differences, which is how humans operate. However, the issue arises when we consider what kind of capture that represents. I use the term "capture" to describe when something legitimate comes out, and there is academic capture on this subject, where undue influence alters the narrative.

[07:45 -> 11:35]
Dr. Steven Greer:
The results of a study, famously the Condon Committee in 1969, led to the closure of Project Blue Book. The Air Force's Project Blue Book was shut down through a CIA capture of Professor Condon and members of that committee to produce a predetermined outcome, suggesting there was nothing to see here. This is proven in CIA documents we have, which are in our archive.

This manipulation extends into the media, academia, and government, including the gaslighting of members of Congress and presidents. I have personally witnessed all of this, and it's not a pretty story. It is always disheartening when it happens, but it certainly isn't unexpected. Unfortunately, I would like to say that this is new and shocking, but it is not.

Let's talk about the media. There are minor tabloid entities beginning to push into this area, which may well be set up as cutouts for intelligence operations to capture information and then distort or alter it, ensuring it is discredited. For example, we know from Bill Graham, who worked very closely with the National Enquirer, that the founder of the National Enquirer was involved in CIA psychological warfare programs. He was sent out from the CIA and funded by some Mafia money to start the National Enquirer. This is all a matter of record.

They were the media outlet that received UFO-related disclosures, even legitimate material, because the National Enquirer was so discredited as a credible news source. By associating the subject with the National Enquirer, it was intrinsically defamed and neutralized. You have to understand how these games were played, and I wanted to provide that historical perspective.

Now, in this particular instance, what's very important is that there is a group of whistleblowers who have worked directly on these retrieval programs and other areas. For example, that particular footage of the egg-shaped object, which they are claiming is nonhuman extraterrestrial, indicates that there are indeed small extraterrestrial life forms that are about two to three and a half feet tall. They travel in these very pearlescent crafts. It's not just white paint; when I say pearlescent craft, it's a very unusual material.

These crafts are not very big, around 20 to 25 feet across, but if you're only three feet tall, that's a nice size. It would be like me being in a 40-foot diameter disc because I'm 6'4". So, we know that this is a type of non-human intelligence craft or extraterrestrial craft.

Where it gets a little confusing is that in the show, they said this is like the one captured by the Navy pilots that was white. However, this reflects a lack of research and knowledge by the producers. That craft was from Lockheed Skunk Works and was manmade. Remember, you will have crafts that are nonhuman, and then there are the copycats,

the simulacra, which have been created on purpose. These can engage in deceptive operations or false flag operations with those manmade crafts that look indistinguishable at this point from non-human extraterrestrial crafts.

[11:40 -> 15:19]
Dr. Steven Greer:
I'm providing all of you with this information as perspective as we unpack what was presented on that particular show, as well as some of the misinformation contained within it. That's a key point. Anyone astute who knows the material, such as Michael Schratt and others who have studied the man-made retrievals versus the extraterrestrial ones, will understand this. As Jake mentioned, often you will be involved in the retrieval of advanced technology, such as anti-gravity devices from Lockheed Skunk Works or Northrop Grumman. When those operations go awry and experience malfunctions, there is a team that is identical to the one that retrieves extraterrestrial crafts because both are classified at that level. The Delta Force and Special Forces personnel are involved, and I have briefed many of them, including Jake Barber.

In fact, we recently had a witness who was prepared to come forward last year, or the year before, during our national Press Club event in 2023. He was part of a retrieval team operating in a different area from where Jake is, specifically in the Northern Nevada test range. You can find this in our archive. He was going to testify before Congress and also to Arrow, but he was threatened by individuals who wanted him to remain silent. They embedded child pornography on his phone and computers, threatening to imprison him for life if he took another step forward with me.

This kind of intimidation happens frequently. Those responsible for such actions should be arrested for witness intimidation, as these individuals are coming forward to expose a criminal operation. In other words, those operations, which he won't disclose because he's being instructed not to, are absolutely unknown and unsupervised by the President and Congress. These are a priori criminal enterprises, and this can be proven in any court.

What this means is that until the U.S. government receives sufficient intelligence briefings and focuses on rectifying these issues, these courageous men, including Jake, who is both a brother and a friend, are truly at risk. The U.S. government, Congress, and the White House have failed to advance the recommendations we made to President Bill Clinton, which you can see in our archive from 1994 and 1995. Progress is being made; I have seen drafts of executive orders that are currently on the desk, and I won't disclose how I obtained that information, but they are real.

There is significant progress, but within the current state of affairs, these individuals are coming forward very cautiously. Initially, they intended to provide us with everything, and we were going to publish it on blockchain, but when they decided to come out personally, it became a personal matter. Let me elaborate on that. You have families; I have four children, twelve grandchildren, and a wife.

You have friends, and your own freedom is at risk. I have been threatened. Lou Elizondo has openly labeled me a terrorist and a traitor for my actions, and that is on the public record. So, you have these individuals who are very skilled disinformation operatives who can...

[15:22 -> 18:57]
Dr. Steven Greer:
Intimidating people or capturing them and moving them in ill-advised directions is a concern. Whenever a new person comes forward, one of my institutional frustrations is that I've seen this happen repeatedly—wash, rinse, repeat—a thousand times. People start to come forward, and they get diverted into some fiasco, like a tabloid show or something similar. That's something I advise against, but ultimately, I don't control what these individuals do. I can offer advice, but they will do what they choose.

We all learn from the School of Hard Knocks, and I often find myself in challenging situations because of who I am. Every time I think I've learned every lesson, a new one comes along that knocks me in the head. That's just the nature of life on Earth. Unless you're the Supreme Being, you don't know everything. I don't, and no one does. We are all going to make mistakes.

The good news is that while there's a lot of controversy surrounding this topic, it's important to clarify that the footage in question was not from him. Another individual, involved in a similar operation, who has not yet come forward, sent that footage in. This is significant because there are multiple operators who have been in projects where they have retrieved virtually identical types of non-human craft at separate locations and times.

I know a great deal more than I can discuss on this podcast due to the confidences I keep, which I'm not thrilled about, honestly. But this is the world I reside in. Just like I won't talk about who I'm meeting with here in Washington until those individuals are out of office, it's a matter of gentleman's agreement, decency, and ethics.

The really big news is that he confirmed not only are these operations ongoing, but they involve multiple sites and very advanced techniques for bringing these crafts down. I want to talk about this. He mentioned psionics, and when he first described that program to me, I already knew it existed. Everybody's heard of the CIA's remote viewing program, but what people didn't know is that they had taken the concepts of Close Encounters of the Fifth Kind—C5 contact. You can get the app to see what it is; it trains people to be peaceful ambassadors to these civilizations.

Years ago, before Mr. Barber was involved, they began programs using electronics, drugs, and other techniques to train what are called P3 operators—these psionic operators—to use consciousness to interface with the trans-dimensional electronic systems that are part of the guidance and control systems of these non-human spacecraft. They succeeded.

He has told me, and others have confirmed, that most people in those programs end up being killed or dying due to the rigor of it, or they end up in a coma. However, those who survive can be very accurate. What they are doing is using a consciousness-matter interface, sort of like a supercharged version of what Elon Musk wants to do with Neuralink, but through non-locality.

[19:00 -> 22:42]
Dr. Steven Greer:
Consciousness exists through the consciousness field, which has been very well documented and proven. If you are not familiar with this, I encourage you to watch "Close Encounters of the Fifth Kind," available on YouTube and other platforms; it will blow your mind. Instead of utilizing this technology, which we can refer to as consciousness technology interface sciences for peaceful contact—what "Close Encounters of the Fifth Kind" aims to achieve—this technology has been repurposed as a weapon system. It is the complete opposite of our mission.

The good news is that this is now being confirmed by some special forces personnel. We will advise on what is possible, but instead of attempting to capture these crafts or, as they say, summon them, we invite them. This is a diplomatic protocol; it is not a forced military invasion. The consciousness behind our approach is fundamentally different from the programs that have been used to capture these crafts and bring them down. Essentially, they are hijacking the control systems of these crafts, bringing them within range, and then deploying electromagnetic pulse weapons and scalar weapons to cause them to crash or descend in a controlled manner. Sometimes they crash into smithereens.

I am aware of all the details regarding this. Now, how could a super-advanced extraterrestrial intelligence fall into this trap? That is a great question. I recall being asked back in the early '90s by some individuals associated with the Clinton administration, "If they are that advanced, how could we possibly have tricked them?" I responded that they are not gods; they are beings who make mistakes.

Now, what is our kill rate? The kill rate refers to how often we succeed in our attempts to bring them down. It is very low. At one of the sites I visited with Jake Barber's helicopter, he mentioned that they bring down one or two crafts a year. However, there are many more that are embedded within trans-dimensional space-time around the Earth. It is not an everyday occurrence, but it does happen.

Let’s say you have a small craft with three or four extraterrestrial biological entities, commonly referred to as EBEs. They might be momentarily inattentive, perhaps resting, and suddenly an invasive signal—a combination of conscious thought and very advanced technology—interferes. We will need to conduct multiple podcasts to help people grasp this concept, but it forms the foundation of the CE-5 contact initiatives. Jake Barber and his team are eager to validate to the public that this is based on real science and real

events. If you watch "Close Encounters of the Fifth Kind," you will see that we have had up-close and personal meetings with extraterrestrials that teleport in right near our circle during CE-5 events. You will see pictures of them in the...

[22:44 -> 26:39]
Dr. Steven Greer:
This has been known in the intelligence community since I first started this project publicly in 1990. I will say this: a big reason why General Stubblebine and those involved in all the skullduggery dealing with remote viewing and UFOs offered me $2 billion in 1990 was if I would defect from my purpose and join their team. It was a serious offer, and people often say, "You've got to be kidding." I said no, but this is why they knew we had figured out the Rosetta Stone of how to make contact. They wanted someone who was a medical doctor with knowledge of the brain and body and who had also mastered these consciousness techniques to be on their team—not on the team of peace. That's true, and I chose peace over the military approach.

However, people who are stuck in these black projects don't have a choice. They are told, "This is what we're going to do," and they respond, "Yes, sir." What we have to do for these P3 operators, the psionic operators, is what we did with the men you saw gathered around Jake Barber. We took them, along with another member of his team, out to Joshua Tree to do a CE-5 together. I think it was in July of 2024, just a few months ago. We're trying to model this, but instead of doing it in an aggressive way, there's still some learning to be done on his team.

We don't summon them; they're not caught in like a valet for the Earl of D. Abbey or something. They're not just summoned. We do it as an invitation, but with the caveat to appear in any way that is safe and appropriate for this time and place. We inform them that the Earth is an armed camp with advanced weapon systems that will target them.

Now, let me pivot to one other thing that was a huge mistake in the show. They were talking about when there was an egg-shaped craft that came in, and one of them mentioned a dogfight with another craft. No, those weren't two non-human intelligence (NHI) crafts; it was an extraterrestrial craft and a man-made one—a disc from the Lockheed Skunk Works that was deployed to intercept it and knock it down. This is absolutely true.

People who have been on my team, like Raven and others, for 25 to 30 years, if I've seen that once, I've seen it a thousand times. We have vectored in and invited a craft to come in, along with conventional aircraft and these weird man-made UFOs or UAPs. Then that object, because we've alerted them, could vanish or materialize. We've seen this thousands of times literally over the last 35 years.

I think there is also a learning curve for them to understand that multiple things are happening at once. You have the human dimension, the non-human extraterrestrial craft and people, and then you have this dark operation that needs to be stood down immediately. This is

in executive order recommendations where those weapon systems are turned down and off, and we pivot to a more diplomatic approach officially from the U.S. government.

[26:41 -> 29:58]
Dr. Steven Greer:
Governments around the world are at a critical juncture, and I am advising leaders in various countries that we need to unite as one global community. It is essential that we peacefully make contact with these civilizations for dialogue, cultural exchange, and the advancement of humanity as we transition into an interplanetary civilization. We must take the sword of the psionic operators and turn it into a plowshare.

I want to unpack some nuances that were somewhat conflated in the show and provide clarity. The purpose of this podcast is to address these issues, especially as the conversation gains momentum. They have released a small piece of evidence, and while it may seem minor, it is part of a larger narrative that will continue to unfold. I am aware of the plan moving forward, and we must be prepared for the reactions from the UFO community and the media, particularly from lower-tier outlets that may misinterpret or deliberately distort the information.

In this case, I am uncertain whether the inaccuracies stemmed from a lack of knowledge and research on the part of the producers or if it was a deliberate act of misinformation. It could be a combination of both, and I cannot say for sure since I was not involved in that production at all. In fact, I advised against it. Nevertheless, this is where we currently stand.

Looking ahead, I believe that the footage of the retrieval of that egg-shaped craft is merely the tip of a very large iceberg that is secured in a safe area. The original plan was to disseminate this information widely through every celebrity network, podcast, and the U.S. government. However, they opted to come forward personally to attribute the evidence, which led to a more gradual release of information.

What I have been told is that they are observing the reactions of the U.S. government, the media, and the public. They are essentially sending up trial balloons to gauge the response. The group involved, which includes not just Jake Barber but others as well, is assessing the best strategy moving forward.

I must clarify that this is not the strategy I would advise. It is not what some highly placed leaders in Europe and the United States would have preferred either. However, this is not my decision to make, and I am not the one who could face arrest or worse due to my involvement in sensitive operations. I am very direct and honest in my assessments; I would absolutely not pursue this approach. I believe it is the most dangerous way to proceed and ultimately ineffective. If we truly want to make progress, we need a more robust strategy.
[30:01 -> 33:26]

Dr. Steven Greer:
When it comes to evidence, just a few seconds of a spherical or egg-shaped object being moved underneath a helicopter is not enough. You need multiple corroborating witnesses, you need to know the location, and you need to unmask the operation. You also need to identify the corporate entity involved because I know which corporation he is associated with and what they were doing. Those hard drives and those tough books that went missing—I know exactly what those were, which corporation they were lost from, and what information they contained. I have a pretty good idea of what's on them now.

Can you tell us more about that?

Well, it contained very detailed information about operations involving NHI and man-made craft at the test ranges he was assigned to. I've been over both of the test ranges he worked out of in the high desert out west. Again, I've been over these facilities and have known about them for quite some time. Interestingly, he noted that in our archive, we had photos and information about both of these facilities dating back to around 1994 or 1995. This was before he was even in high school or just starting his career in the Air Force when we had already been read in on that. So, you see how this comes full circle.

On the other hand, it's a significant step forward to have someone who has actually been an operator on the retrieval teams. However, there has been one huge mistake made, and this is the most damning and dangerous one: the false and incorrect association of personal injury and radiation with NHI craft. Let me be blunt about this.

I want to unpack that for people. An interstellar vehicle does not use a nuclear power plant. The ones that do use nuclear power plants are ours—they're human-made, and this is not in question. In fact, Lieutenant Colonel Hecker, one of our disclosure witnesses, was involved in an operation when a nuclear-powered satellite-type object decomposed and came down in a remote part of the Yukon in Canada. He has shared all of this with us, and he was part of that operation, which was covered up because it was nuclear and the object was hot.

Now, of course, Jake Barber says, "Well, we weren't suited up for that; it was hot." He claims that if they had known it was radioactive, they would have informed them. I hate to be the one to say this, but ask all the Agent Orange victims from Vietnam if the command structure in these corporations and the military really cares about whether their frontline soldiers are harmed. I hate to be cynical, but here's a wake-up call: they don't give a damn. If people get burned, killed, or injured to get the job done quickly, they will do it.

So, let me unpack this for you: you have multiple objects, both in space and in aircraft, that are nuclear and highly classified.

[33:29 -> 36:51]

Dr. Steven Greer:
In the United States, but also in Russia and China, there are nuclear power plants on satellites that occasionally malfunction. When they lose their orbit and come down, this information is kept very secret to prevent public outrage. It would be a scandal, akin to a dirty bomb falling over some part of the world.

Secondly, we have had experimental crafts—not anti-gravity—that are using nuclear power plants. When those go wrong, it can be catastrophic because they involve nuclear energy.

Then, there is a third and more bizarre category. A great example of this is the Cash-Landrum case in Texas, which occurred decades ago, I believe in the 1980s. A family, including a five-year-old boy, his mother, and his aunt, were driving along a highway north of Houston when suddenly a strange object descended, spewing all kinds of sparks and energy. They were unaware that it was radioactive waste.

We know from Air Force officer Richard Dodie, who was the principal investigator at Kirkland Air Force Base at the time, that this was a manned craft from Area 51, Nellis. It was an extraterrestrial craft that they could not figure out how to operate. In a misguided attempt, they attached a portable nuclear power plant to it. When that plant malfunctioned, it released radioactive material onto these unfortunate victims.

To this day, there is a trajectory from that area of Texas, north of Houston, all the way to Fort Hood, where this material was spewed. People who were in the fallout zone are likely still living with radioactive contamination.

Now, I can hear the critics on X right now saying, "Oh my God, Richard Dodie? You're going to use him as a source?" So, let me address that question directly: why use Richard Dodie as a source?

He is indeed a known disinformation agent, but when we interviewed him—and you were present—there were specific details I was knowledgeable enough about to extract accurate information from him. I have also heard corroborating accounts from other sources. He is not the only one; he is simply the only one who has stated this on record.

For every individual who makes such claims on the record, I usually have three, five, or even ten others who have been involved in projects where we have utilized these nuclear power plants on crafts that resemble UFOs, as we attempt to make them operational for aircraft and satellites. This is not mere speculation. The question then becomes: if this has occurred, can we prove it?

[36:53 -> 40:14]
Dr. Steven Greer:
We have a well-proven medical case. Until very recently, the UFO community could take that case and say, "See, if you get contact with an NHI craft, the aliens are going to get burned." This brings me to

this gentleman, Nolan Gary Nolan, who, interestingly, was admitted in this show as a CIA contractor. I discovered that the hard way about ten to twelve years ago, or eleven years ago, when he took the Atacama humanoid biological research and deliberately falsified it. This can be proven; it has been confirmed by the top genetic genome sequencing experts in the world that it was completely done deliberately and reported out as a deformed fetus.

Now, I still don't know what the Atacama humanoid is. It's in our archive; you can go look at it. It most certainly was not a deformed fetus because it had growth plates of a six-year-old in it, even though it was only six inches long. We don't know what it was, but here's the problem: you have someone now under contract with the CIA doing quote-unquote academic research to prove that UAP contact events lead to these horrible injuries.

You found this document from Jacques Vallee talking about how they were staging alien contact events by the CIA for their psychological warfare value and how harmful they were. So, what's the agenda here? It's the agenda we exposed over thirty years ago, and that Carol Rosen said that Wernher von Braun, who invented the rocket for Hitler, warned us about. They were going to try to create a specter of a threat from outer space, and the only way to do that is to falsely create evidence or evidence that exists that the CIA and other operations have created and then label it alien when it isn't.

They labeled the Cash-Landrum incident as alien when it was human error. They labeled many of the abductions as alien when they were staged by covert programs. They labeled mutilations as alien mutilations, and they are not. We know who's doing it; we know how they're doing it. It has nothing to do with NHI extraterrestrial aliens.

So, that whole psychological warfare effort, which began in the 1950s, is ongoing today. One of the problems with whistleblowers who have lived in the world of highly classified projects is that when they come out into the subject of UFOs in the general public, suddenly whatever they say can get folded, mutilated, and spindled into that false narrative. It happened to Grusch; it's happened to many other people. I mean, all the way back almost twenty-five years ago, when we had the first National Press Club event that was seen by 800 million people with twenty-two whistleblowers at the National Press Club here in Washington on May 9th, 2001. This spring will mark the twenty-fourth anniversary. We had some of the people...

[40:16 -> 43:37]
Dr. Steven Greer:
There have been individuals who worked at nuclear facilities where these crafts have come in. A famous case occurred in Minot, North Dakota, where they took 18 intercontinental ballistic missiles offline. The personnel present reported feeling that the extraterrestrials were communicating, urging, "Please don't blow up this beautiful planet." They expressed concern that if humanity continued down the path of mutually assured destruction, which is our

nuclear policy, one missile launch could trigger a chain reaction, leading to global annihilation. They indicated that we can intervene because we desire peace and want our civilization to continue.

That's what they conveyed to me. Then you have someone like this Ley Kean, who repackaged this information and went on a tour, appearing on CNN and other platforms, discussing the national security threat posed by UFOs to our nuclear arsenal. However, that's not the whole truth. You have these events, the reality behind them, and then you have the media spin that turns it into an alien invasion threat. This has been a 70-year plan.

One of the key objectives of this podcast is to clarify legitimate information. Jake Barber is a patriot; he is credible and was present during these events. However, this information often gets distorted, either through error, lack of knowledge, or, as I often say, a little knowledge can be a dangerous thing. I don't know what happened with that production, but we must clarify these issues because the stakes are too high.

Let me explain what's at stake here: this subject is going to come to light, and if it continues to be hijacked into false narratives—like claims of contact leading to brain damage or other absurdities—who will want to engage in CE-5 contact? That narrative is not true. Furthermore, it will push politicians and the U.S. government into a war footing or a national security threat mindset when it shouldn't. We need to shift towards a peaceful paradigm of contact and open dialogue.

These efforts to subtly manipulate and gaslight the general public, who might encounter this material on tabloid shows or elsewhere, are extremely dangerous. I have been very open with Jake Barber and others I am collaborating with that when misinformation arises, I will step forward to clarify it. I am not trying to be obstreperous; I am committed to a singular purpose: to create a pathway for humanity's future that is peaceful and involves new technologies, rather than continuing our current trajectory of conflict and regional wars.

[43:39 -> 46:00]
Dr. Steven Greer:
Conflicts and religious hatreds can easily be replaced with interplanetary war and conflict. The kind of disinformation and manipulation of the narrative is alarming. We are doing contact, but then we are led to believe that we will bring them in and kill them. That is not CE-5 contact. If you want to know what CE-5 contact is, there's an app you can download and practice. You'll be astounded by what you see and experience.

People have had contact with various entities, and I will tell you right now that the biggest secret they keep sanitizing out of this narrative is that the test ranges where Jake Barber has been operational are primarily for testing man-made crafts, not extraterrestrial ones. He referred to them rather obliquely, such as the aerospace companies' discs, triangles, and objects that are ours.

I can assure you that this is the big secret—not the extraterrestrial part of this. The significant secret is that we possess those technologies, which have been used illegally. They continue to be used illegally in everything from human trafficking to drug running, to abducting and murdering people. Those operations must be stood down quickly, and that is the most critical part of the secret.

The powers that be in these covert programs want everyone to believe that everything that goes bump in the night is alien, but it isn't. That is part of the false flag operation; it is a key part of the grand deception. Therefore, we must be very vigilant, those of us in the disclosure community, who are seeking the truth in a way that leads to a beautiful future and creates a new world, as the extraterrestrials said to Colonel Corso. We must not let it get diverted into a dystopian future characterized by a militaristic approach.

Currently, there is a covert militaristic approach to the extraterrestrials. It won't lead to advancement or disclosure if it simply becomes an overt event where the governments of the world unite around an alien threat. The only way they can bring peace to this planet is by creating a war of the worlds. This is not progress, my friends.

We must avoid this scenario and be aware of the subtle scopes of weaving truth into the narrative. What Jake was saying is the truth, but it subtly introduces the idea that if you have contact with non-human intelligence, you are damaged. I also want to discuss a beautiful, almost spiritual experience he had when he was retrieving an octagonal-shaped object associated with some non-human intelligence. He shared that story with me when I was with him in the chopper.

[46:57 -> 50:11]
Dr. Steven Greer:
Over these sites, and what was beautiful—it's a beautiful story—they go to a commercial break, and then they cut to where he's telling the story of picking up this crate. Not the Octagon, not the 8on, but this crate that was already boxed up. He had no idea what was in it when he got there, and that's what gave him radiation sickness and poisoning. What was in that crate? It was an NHI. It absolutely was one of these other misguided uses of a nuclear miniature propulsion and energy system that went bad, and they were trying to keep that very, very secret. Now, he didn't see what was in that crate, but I have this institutional knowledge in the Disclosure Project. Witnesses like Colonel Hecker and others have described those sorts of operations.

So this is where you have to be able to create the mosaic. I'm going to end kind of where I started here: we have to create this disclosure mosaic, and the disclosure mosaic has to take into account the totality of the intelligence and information that we've garnered and gathered from hundreds and hundreds of people like Mr. Barber. I think that is really what the big task is for us at the Disclosure Project. Each time there's information that gets into disinformation or

misinformation, we're going to need to clarify it, and I just accept that as the state of things right now. I think it'll be like that for some time.

I just want people who follow what we're doing to understand that I don't think this is going to get any simpler. There will be attempts to hijack—just like they've hijacked these ET crafts to knock them down. Instead of doing peaceful CE5, there's a constant attempt to hijack disclosure and bend it in the direction of conflict, false information, and false narratives. That's what we have to be vigilant about.

Clearly, you know, Gary Noling doing things under contract from the CIA—at least that's what the host of the show said—should give people pause. When was the last time anyone in academia did anything on behalf of the CIA that wasn't some kind of skullduggery? I can't think of any case. So, I think that someone like that saying, "Well, yeah, we're studying all these terrible injuries due to the contacts with NHI and the aliens," no, they're not. They're documenting the harm and the damage that has been done to people like Jake Barber by contact with human experimental craft, where they've been injured or where they've been deliberately targeted for an abduction, or a vivisection, or a mutilation.

So that is a very different situation, but you can see that with the public not knowing what the human capabilities are, and this is a big liability right now in what is known. Here, I'm looking at the White House right now—the pity, the shortage of...

[50:15 -> 53:31]
Dr. Steven Greer:
Information about all of this is a national security risk. It is a huge problem because this is going to come out, and if it comes out with that kind of narrative, we're going to be at World War III. It will be mass panic; it will be a thousand times worse than 9/11 or COVID in terms of the missteps. I have said it that bluntly to the incoming administration's senior officials. Now, whether they'll listen, I don't know. You know, my fate in life is to... I liken this to a situation where someone comes in with a massive heart attack, and they don't want to be in the hospital. Who does? I mean, I didn't like it when I had all my trauma last year. But they say, "Well, I'm going to leave," and you have a 12-lead EKG showing a massive heart attack in the left ventricle. It's called "The Widow Maker," and it can kill you. I said, "If you leave, you're going to die." They say, "Oh, I'm going to be fine; I don't want to be here," and they get up. You can't restrain them and hold them there forcibly. They leave, and I've had them drop dead in the parking lot or four hours later at home, and they're brought in code blue, dead.

Now, my experience with this subject, as a medical doctor and an emergency and trauma specialist, is that you can advise and point out where the landmines are that are going to blow you up, but that doesn't mean they're going to listen. If they listen, good; if they don't, that's on them. So all I can do, and all any of us can do, is

the following: please write to the incoming president. He is inaugurated in a few hours tomorrow, Monday at noon, I believe. Also, write to your two senators and your representative in the House of Representatives. If you're in other countries, write to your leaders and your parliament members or whatever you have, and ask that they implement peaceful contact with these civilizations.

But they also need to implement the executive orders that we are recommending for witness protection, amnesty for these people, physical protection, and establishing a law enforcement effort here and abroad to bring to a standstill these programs that are targeting extraterrestrial craft and engaging in all these false flag operations involving abductions and what have you. The only way people are going to act is if this becomes a crescendo that builds from the public. Many members of Congress have said, "Yeah, but we're not hearing from people on this." So take responsibility. I can't do everything; my team can't do everything. Jake and his colleagues, who are risking their lives and are genuinely courageous, wonderful patriots, need your help. We need your help. So I would say we live in a democracy; let's act like it. Now, we know that democracy has been hollowed out, but maybe it's hollowed out because we, the people, aren't doing what we should be doing, right? We should be...

[53:34 -> 56:24]
Dr. Steven Greer:
We are screaming from the rooftops to get this done properly, and I can't do it by myself. A handful of people can't do it either; the whistleblowers can't do it by themselves because they're coming from a very specific, narrow, highly compartmented operation. They're not experts on all these other issues, and they'll admit that. It's an enormously complex problem—it's multi-dimensional chess here.

So, we have to understand that about the whistleblowers. They are not accustomed to dealing with the free-for-all known as the media, the UFO subculture, and politics. They are special operators, so we can only expect from them what they can do, and that should be enough.

Now, as information comes out—whether it's on News Nation, CNN, or The New York Times—if it gets folded into false information, we're going to expose that. But we will take the real information, put it together, and continue to deliver it to the people who need to see it.

For example, the information that came out last night: we're getting the key part of it, and I'm providing it because I have to be at some events tonight in Washington with most of the key people coming into the White House. That's what I have to do, and that's why I needed the summary that you put together, Michael.

Just say a prayer that all this goes right. I would also say a prayer and put guidance around Jake Barber, his family, and that whole team—that they are guided to the right action, protected, and that this is the beginning of a much bigger process of truthful disclosure. Hopefully, we can minimize the damage from the peanut gallery and the

disinformation specialists who inevitably will hijack this information and spin it in damaging ways.

We have to make a distinction between the data, the information, the evidence, and the direct witness testimony, and how it might be intercepted and altered. I know I sound very clinical about that, but I have to be clear because otherwise, your whole purpose can get sidelined and go off track.

That's our big challenge; it's my big challenge, and I think it's all of our big challenge. We need to be ever vigilant about this as it picks up speed.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Tke-Q_PhTTY
[00:00 -> 03:54]
Andy:
Hi everyone and welcome back to That UFO Podcast. My name is Andy, and I am joined today by the renowned Dr. Garry Nolan, a professor in the Department of Pathology at Stanford University School of Medicine, a distinguished immunologist, and co-founder of the Sol Foundation. It is my pleasure to welcome back Professor Garry Nolan to the podcast. Garry, welcome.

Garry Nolan:
Thanks so much, and hello everybody.

Andy:
Yes, you're a really popular guest, Garry. I think this is maybe your third appearance on the podcast now. So let's get to it; we have a lot to discuss, especially regarding the Sol Symposium that just happened. But before we dive into that, keeping up to date with the UFO topic over the last couple of weeks has been a bit of a bumpy ride. I wonder how you have found it, given your direct or indirect involvement in some of these stories that have come out.

Garry Nolan:
Well, you know, I think it's exciting on one level. Dealing with and explaining to people what each of the claimed revelations means in a broader sense, and trying to separate assumptions and conclusions from the underlying data, is where I've probably spent most of my time. I think the value of the community analyzing the results or the things I should say that have been shown, or delving into the background of the individual who was the focus of the news is both good and bad. I mean, if it were me, I would feel a little exposed by some of the things that I've seen people go into. But as far as I can tell, nobody's been able to come up with anything negative about his claimed history of work, etc. So, I don't mean negative in the sense that he has misrepresented himself; that is all positive.

You know, analyzing the video, I've seen some interesting video analyses that again don't definitively state what the claimed picture was all about or what the video was all about. So, I don't know yet because I've seen people who are excited about the revelations and those who think it was too much all at once. In many ways, that mirrors my internal plan and agenda of how I've wanted to talk about this for a long time. I've wanted to discuss the nuts and bolts and the medical data because those are tangible things that can be talked about with another scientist if the data is available, as opposed to things that are experiential and can't necessarily be fully validated except by the person to whom it happened.

Many people in the community have felt, with me as the focus, that Garry doesn't want to talk about the woo or that Garry is against it, or that Sol is against it, which is ridiculous on the face of it. No, it's just that you have to lay things out.

[03:57 -> 08:07]

Garry Nolan:
To the uninitiated, this topic is presented in a much more programmatic way than for those who have lived in it for the last 10, 20, or more years, in the case of some experiencers. On one hand, I would have preferred a more measured release of information. On the other hand, for people like this guy Jake, he s been holding this in for however many years, and he s ready to get it out. He doesn t care. It s the so-called catastrophic disclosure that people have mentioned, where the government claims they don t want it. We ll see. I m still a little tentative about what the current state really means for the field as a whole. That said, I have not seen any strong or tangible negatives. My point has only been to be careful; don t allow other people's interpretations of what people are saying to influence you. Go back to the source, go back to the data.

That s very fair. Let s talk about this briefly now, and then we ll get to Sol. We ve gotten into a little bit of detail now. You mentioned that there s been an online reaction, which I think, no matter what comes out in the UFO topic, is always divisive. It doesn t really matter what drops or the quality of the data. Do you think if the interview had come out without the video attached, there would have been a more positive reaction to the content of what Jake was saying?

Perhaps. I think, you know, we re visual animals, and we are attracted to things that move. A video engages more of the mind than just words on a page or words listened to. I actually think it was more the mention of the psionic aspect, or as much that, as his personal and emotional reaction to it. What I see, at least to me, is a person who is willing to wear everything he has to say on his sleeve. He s not going to hold anything back. What I like about that is that I can read all of the body language of the individual and assign it to the values he s evincing. For me, I d like to think that I m a good reader of character and body language, and I see if the two things align. In all of my interactions with him, my red flags have never gone off. More so, in interacting with the other individuals around him who were also on the interview, these are the kind of people you should be very happy are protecting your country. They are serious.

[08:11 -> 11:49]
Garry Nolan:
They are smart, dedicated, and they know the levers of how to do the work that they do. I was impressed. For example, when I was in college, I was in the Navy Reserve Officer Training Corps, which is a program where they pay for your college, and then you owe them several years of service or need to go into the military for several years. I dropped out after two years because I just couldn't handle people telling me what to do; that's just my nature. I didn't mind what they were saying; I just thought, "That's stupid, I'm not going to do it."

However, I did spend a month at sea in the Mediterranean, working and living with the enlisted personnel. I walked away from that experience impressed with what the military can do not just the American military, but many militaries. They can take relatively unstructured

individuals, give them purpose, teach them, and make them work in unison towards a goal in a way that I haven't seen in any company I've ever worked with.

That was really on full display with the individuals who were around Jake. Given who I saw, what they were doing, and what they were talking about, it gave me comfort that I don't see a reason why all these individuals would be acting in a play for the sake of someone sitting over in the corner taking notes, which was essentially my role.

It was interesting. Jake confirmed recently that the full interview is two and a half hours long, and that will be released in full on News Nation as well. That ten-minute interview was, in my opinion, made for a broader audience. For me, it felt like three or four interviews almost cut into one. You had the story, the psionics aspect, the biological effects, and it kind of brought things together at the end.

Maybe for generations that have shorter attention spans or for folks newer to the topic, it was punchy. It filled a lot in for some, clearly too much for others; some were left wanting more. Then you had the aspect of the video being part of it. I don't think it was personally put across properly, and I think I saw you comment on this on X as well that the separation between Jake's story and the video were two separate things.

As much as they were very similar, it was easy to not realize that the video wasn't what Jake was talking about. Unfortunately, even if News Nation had done a better job of separating it, it still would have been linked. So, that's not Jake's fault.

Well, anyway, that's what I have to say about it. I was going to say something else, but I forgot. No, that's okay. If we're entering this potential...

[11:51 -> 15:25]
Garry Nolan:
The age of the whistleblower perhaps started with David Grusch coming forward. We waited with bated breath for more individuals to come forward as we heard about 40 people in the background. They weren't all standing in a room waiting for the door to open; there were conversations happening behind closed doors and interviews ongoing. As 2024 passed by, not many more came forward. You could argue Jason Sans is a name that has been controversial for many. We now have Jake Barber, and it appears there are other names ready to come forward. How do you feel? Do you think this marks a new phase in whatever the process is for this to move forward? It seems we had the New York Times story, TTSA, and whatever was happening there, and other folks got involved. Are we now at a phase where we will see people like Jake and his cohorts coming out and speaking?

Well, I mean, the fact that his cohort is all admitting it is significant. It's not just Jake; he's just the tip of the spear. He

has three other people in that video who worked with him, and what they are doing is real. Then you have Blitch, who has even higher credibility due to his governmental associations. You can read the list of them, which is extraordinary. So, basically, you have four, if you want to call them that, whistleblowers all coming out at once.

I think the biggest pushback, which I can agree with, is that these are still just stories. They still fall into the realm of anecdote, although they are from people who protect this nation and have every reason not to come forward with this information because it could hurt their careers or disrupt their family lives. You have that aspect, but I think what's new about this group, and important for everyone to realize, is that this is not just people coming forward. It's people bringing their expertise in what they claim they were doing and trying to enact it in a public way to address the many comments you see in threads, such as, "I'm not going to be happy until an alien comes and shakes my hand."

There's this instinctive need to see, not just hear. Now, I have two responses to that. On one level, I agree. On another, if you don't like something, don't be such a Debbie Downer and state the obvious, which is, yes, we want evidence. That's not a brilliant statement for anyone to make; it's just sort of obvious, and I feel the same way. If you want to make a comment like that and it's not followed up with, "How can I help?" or "What can I do?" then you're just an armchair critic sitting in your basement, as far as I'm concerned. So, I tend to just delete or block those people. Literally, I just go and block them because it's not a helpful thing.

[15:27 -> 19:03]
Dr. Garry Nolan:
In my group meetings, it quickly becomes apparent that there are critics who think their skepticism makes them look smart, as opposed to those who can offer constructive suggestions. I want to hear solutions rather than pure critique. What you see with Jake and the group around him are individuals who claim to know how this is done and are operationalizing it with private funding. People say, "Oh, it's a grift," but if you post that in one of my threads, you'll be instantly blocked just a warning.

If this work isn't going to be funded publicly, then nobody is going to set up a GoFundMe. If you want to know the amount of money being expended on this, you'd be shocked. It represents probably one-hundredth of what the operation looks like when it's truly conducted by the military. While none of these individuals revealed anything to me that I shouldn't know from a TSI ACI standpoint, I realized that executing this requires an extraordinary amount of coordination.

This coordination isn't just secretive; it involves various agencies to ensure that all rules are followed. What impressed me was the effort taken to ensure that nobody was skirting any regulations. You've started touching on Skywatcher, the group that Jake has mentioned. Please correct me if I'm wrong here, as it's been a bit of

a wild few days. Essentially, they want to demonstrate that they can collect materials of unknown origin privately, without needing government classifications. Is that correct?

Yes, that's correct. I think once you start getting into collecting materials, you're talking about one of two scenarios: either the objects land and present themselves to you, or there is some sort of kinetic action against them. In my opinion, given that you don't know who or what might be involved or what the reaction might be, it wouldn't be wise to engage in that. I've made it quite clear that I will not be involved in anything that involves kinetic action. I just don't want to go anywhere near that, and I believe that should be a red line for the community unless the situation is clearly hostile, in which case all options are open.

In terms of funding, it seems to be coming from Alex Clus, correct?

No, that's not entirely accurate. He's involved, but he's not the only person contributing.

[19:07 -> 22:53]
Garry Nolan:
Let me ask then about the actual line of questioning. I don't want to get into who s funding it; I don't particularly care. I don't even know the names, but I know that the amounts of money required to do this right, and to have what you would think of as a venture capital follow-on, are considerable.

The benefit and the consequence of folks like yourself and Carl Nell attending a conference is that it is well received. Those events aren't just for people to sit and listen to UFO stories; they are to get individuals involved who have serious backing and influence. This is the kind of thing that comes from those types of events that we may not hear about for one or two years.

It is 100% correct that you have people who say, "How can I help?" You need someone willing to organize it, put it together, and structure it in a legal manner. I think you are going to hear more from the Skywatcher group, and I don t speak for them in any way, shape, or form.

I believe many of the concerns will be addressed. To me, I have been advocating that we need investment; we need money. If someone is willing to put their money forward and withstand the risk, then they should be allowed to benefit. However, the objective here, at least as I have been involved with Skywatcher, is that one of the goals will be to make the information public in a way that merits the term "disclosure."

The moment they sign a secret contract with, you know, name your favorite villain defense contractor, that will be the moment I say I'm done. I don t mind resources coming in, but if the results get funneled back into what we consider the legacy program without at least admitting that it s real, then I m done.

That s fair. Do you mind if we go into something that loads of people got in touch with you about? You attended an event that News Nation showed a clip of with the group Skywatcher. Let s be fair; it s a couple of minutes at most on the show, but what is discussed within it please correct me if I get any of the language wrong is that they are attempting to use psionics or psychic connection to summon a craft. Some folks refer to it as CE-5, human-initiated contact, or whatever protocols people want to call it. They managed to establish a connection, and then the language used on News Nation indicates that another UAP or UFO shows up. There s a "dogfight," as one of the phrases used.

[22:55 -> 26:32]
Dr. Garry Nolan:
It seems to bring the craft down. This is the way it's sort of been presented, and the narratives coming out. Are you able to clear up what exactly it was we were watching and seeing? I never saw the dogfight; actually, that imagery was new to me. They might have taken that separately or found it after. So, I never saw that. I saw the picture of the lines that looked like squiggles in the sky. I still have to follow up with them on that because I never saw it.

All I saw was an individual who was doing what they said they were doing, which was trying to contact something. Then, when he started talking out loud and going into some form of distress, something happened above, and then we saw the pictures and what the other individual claimed. Now, if you want to play devil's advocate, you could say that was all a show. You could say that was a signal for the other guy to say something. These are all things that went through my mind as I was sitting there trying to be the neutral observer.

Yet, when I literally said to call the medic because of what I thought was the level of distress the individual was going through, when the medic came in, he said he could see the rapid breathing and that the heart rate had gone up, etc. So, it was pretty good acting if it was acting. As I said on the News Nation segment, for me, it was correlation, not necessarily causation.

Now, the real underlying question is not whether something necessarily showed up, but how it was claimed to be caused or called. I don't have a problem with thinking about telepathy for a moment. We already know that humans have the capability, with artificial intelligence, to put a net on your head and read your dreams. I mean, it's there. Something that ten years ago people would have laughed you out of the room for saying could be done has been done.

Now, advance us 10,000 years from now. What might we be capable of doing in the future in terms of either reading thoughts or putting thoughts back into somebody's head? Never mind just reading them. If you can read them, that means you know where they came from, which means you know the kinds of things you would need to do to, let's say, put those ideas or thoughts back into somebody's head.

It's advanced; we don't have it right now, but okay, whatever. Someone I was talking to online said, "Why don't you think about it as a Bluetooth connection? This is just an advanced form of mental Bluetooth, and it's not magic." I don't think any of it's magic. So, I don't have a problem with it. I don't understand how it's done. I'm just processing the data and then contextualizing it against the many other factors.

[26:33 -> 29:57]
Garry Nolan:
Reported interactions that people claim to do mentally are fascinating. I don't know how much time I can put into studying it, except to see if it can be repeated. That's really what I think Skywatcher and any other groups I'm not aware of any others that might be set up to accomplish this are trying to do: conduct repeatable experiments. If they do it three, four, or five times and something shows up, then you have causation.

What was interesting was after I came home from that event, you probably saw in the news that there were all of these sightings in the nearby towns. Did you see that? Yeah, I mean, correlation and causation I don't know. Is that the first time you witnessed that sort of event? Yes, someone summoning a craft. I say "craft" because someone was summoning something, and then something showed up on video.

There was a sighting that the guy saw, which we never caught on video, unfortunately, because we didn't have 50 sensors pointing at the sky. However, the thing we did catch on video not only went one way but came back. They did a really good analysis and estimated the speeds depending on what the distance might have been, and it didn't matter the distance; it was something that our aircraft can't do travel at those speeds.

So, it was an object that showed up on film pretty fast. I think it's fair to say it wasn't a bug, given the depth of field. It's preliminary data, but it's interesting stuff, and I look forward to more coming out quickly. I like the fact that a full interview is coming out, and that Jake is happy for that to be released two and a half hours. I think that may provide one more opportunity for the community and the online audience to see more detailed conversations fleshed out in context, which can often be lost when it's just punchy TikTok clips being smashed out in news form.

Also, Skywatcher looks to be releasing more information soon, so I'll allow that to happen and judge it on its own merit. Is Skywatcher something you're interested in having Jake or others discuss as part of the Sol Foundation? I suppose we can link that into discussing the Symposium, maybe next year. I hadn't really thought about it.
[30:00 -> 33:01]
Garry Nolan:
I don't know. I mean, maybe we could get them to come and present their results. My problem is that I seem to be associated with so many of these things that I m going to have to pull back on some of them,

just because I can't do it all. I simply can't. So, we'll see. I have to talk about it with the other people at Sol. I hadn't thought of it.

Well, let's get into Sol then. The second Symposium was held in San Francisco on November 22nd to 23rd at the Fort Mason Center, which is a bigger venue than the original conference. I think that was always a hope. I remember you mentioned at the time that we could get somewhere a little bigger and more accommodating for that kind of audience.

One of the listener call-in shows had a gentleman who helped out in organizing the event, and he said it was fascinating to be behind the scenes. The production values are there, and I think people are starting to see that in the early drops that are coming out now for some of the early access videos.

Can you briefly give an overview for some of the audience who may be unfamiliar with Sol and its goals?

Sol's principal role is to provide a field of discussion within which people from a variety of academic, religious, and political backgrounds can come and talk about how the concept of UAP and the concept of non-human intelligence impacts humanity. Whether they're real or not is actually irrelevant because everybody's talking about it. As we all know, perception is reality.

So, people are talking about it. Maybe it's a giant echo chamber where everybody is in a big circular conversation, only repeating things that they've already heard. Or maybe it's real, and if it is real, what does it mean?

There are established ways in academia to talk about controversial subject matters, and there are rules and professional approaches. Surprisingly, it needed an organization like Sol to come together to at least unify and determine what those positions might be.

Now, that doesn't mean that there haven't been a dozen other institutions before, like the Scientific Coalition for UAP Research, UAPDX, or UAPDF. They're all extremely important, and some...

[33:03 -> 36:22]
Dr. Garry Nolan:
Some of them predated us. We're just taking it from a much more academic point of view.

So, you know, I mean, in terms of policy work, for instance, we've been asked to talk to two of the major state legislatures now to help them craft policies about how they might deal with something as simple as drones. We are helping them figure out which are just drones and what might be something a little more exotic. Because that's the first thing you have to do; you have to get rid of the banal and the praiseworthy. I mean, drones around nuclear power plants are not necessarily benign, but it's not our Soul's interest. Once we define it as such, it goes into somebody else's basket.

So that policy effort, I think, is important because people do look to academics, for better or worse, for the kinds of white papers and policies that they can use to say, "Okay, somebody's thought this through." For those who work with ChatGPT, for instance, a lot of it is pretty good at coming up with a list and order of all the things you need to deal with. So we're there to provide that kind of service, but around UAP.

Jonathan Berta has a project that he's involved with to use large language models in AI to literally scrape all information we can about it. This way, we can basically provide an AI chatbot that can answer the public's questions, as well as those for policymakers, to give them real data. We've been coordinating with others, and we actually instituted a meeting about three weeks ago with all the major players in this cottage industry. We set up a council to cross-coordinate with each other to stop any duplicative efforts and to coordinate so that there's one landing page or a set of places where the media and policymakers can come to get real data and not the misinformation from the guerrilla skeptics of Wiki, who have destroyed information.

It's an anti-science group; I mean, it's purely anti-science. It's so light as to be, you know, sad is the best way to put it. So, we ran the first of the meetings at Stanford, based on funding that had been given to me specifically to do that and approved by Stanford to proceed.

[36:25 -> 39:37]
Garry Nolan:
Right the way up to the level of the Deans. Once we received our charitable designation from the U.S. government as a 501(c)(3), we have been directing the majority of donations directly to Sol. This way, I don't have to work with Stanford as the intermediary, and that started last summer to avoid complications.

The recent meeting at Fort Mason was funded entirely by donations given directly to Sol, attendance fees, or by high-level donors who wanted to contribute extra to meet the principals. People had concerns about grift, but we didn't make any money. We should be thankful that there were individuals willing to contribute significant amounts as donations or to host a dinner so that everyone else could enjoy the event.

I believe people need to focus on the best qualities of what we were trying to achieve rather than looking for reasons to be envious. That said, the meeting was extraordinary. People regularly approached us to express how wonderful it was. I made so many connections, and it reflected what happened at the first meeting. I am thrilled to know that there are many serious professionals who share similar interests.

This time, we decided to open the meeting a bit more to the experiencers. We invited Karen Austin from the John Mack Institute to give a talk on experiencers and share her personal experiences, which

was beneficial. This aligns with one of Peter SCA Fish's interests in experiencers.

What you are witnessing is us at Sol establishing ourselves in the nuts and bolts of the issues surrounding the military while also stepping further into the academic realm to cover sociology, anthropology, and religion. We are now delving deeper into the experiential aspects and the psychology of claimed interactions. It's about categorizing these topics appropriately and giving a professional polish to how they are discussed. I am delighted at the progress we are making.

[39:43 -> 42:52]
Garry Nolan:
I am overwhelmed and frightened by the amount of positive outreach that we've had; it's simply beyond my ability as an individual to deal with. So, the next step is figuring out how to create a volunteer network. How are the volunteers organized? How are they vetted? What are the policies in place? All of that is important. And it's not just us; we can now coordinate with other groups to harness the energy of the system.

I would say to anyone who feels unhappy because they didn't get what they wanted: why not volunteer? There's probably a place where you can channel that energy. It's interesting because when I was a graduate student or a postdoc and an experiment didn't work, I would get mad at the experiment, not at myself. I harnessed that energy to make it work.

It's similar to software programming; when I encounter a bug that annoys me, I try to track it down because there's a dopamine hit when I finally resolve it. So, I suggest to the community to find a way to channel your frustration toward a positive goal. Remember, that positive goal doesn't need to be the ultimate destination; there are many steps between here and there that need to be enacted to accomplish it.

That's good advice. I know we're nearing the end of our time, but how do you feel about the possibility of a third annual Sol Symposium later this year? Is that still on the cards?

As long as we can secure the right kind of donations, I don't think we can do anything much bigger than what we did. The event at Fort Mason required a lot of effort. Would you consider bringing it internationally, perhaps to the UK?

Oh, yes, there are discussions about doing it in Europe. At the very least, we are considering the East Coast this time instead of the West Coast, which is about as far away as it can get for everyone, apart from our Australian friends. But yes, there have definitely been discussions about doing it.

[42:53 -> 45:45]
Garry Nolan:

In Europe, what kind of time scale are we looking at for the release of the videos to the public? Do we know that yet?

Well, I mean, you've already seen a couple of them come out. They're being worked on by one or two individuals. Again, we're indebted to the volunteerism because the quote to do it professionally was like, "Okay, we don't have the money for that." So, all the intros have been done and approved, you know, the little kind of Soul at the beginning and then Soul at the end, and all the other elements that you expect out of a well-produced project. Peter and I are adamant in our demands that it looks proper.

So that's been done, and they're going to come out in groups now. I think we had Beatrice's come out, and then probably a couple more will follow. I think one of the next ones will be... well, because Jacques wasn't able to partake due to being sick with a cold, he's agreed to do a sit-down of some kind, and we'll do a Fireside chat with him at a different point in time. But then, you know, they'll just come out in groups probably three or four and I would hope that they're all done in the next month. It's not like we're going to tease them out one at a time. We want them out, but we are limited by the volunteers and their ability to do it while still putting food on the table at home.

Well, the links are in the description, folks. If you feel you have any expertise and plenty of you reach out to offer help with the stuff I'm trying to expand my own toolkit this year with video production and things, please reach out to Saul and offer those kinds of services. I know a lot of you want to get involved, and it sounds like that would be very much appreciated on the other end.

Gary, I've got a ton of questions, and I'm only going to be able to ask you a couple of them here from listeners. I'm going to steal one for myself, though, just on this kind of year ahead. Something that's being muted and has been for some time now this isn't a new idea, but it's sort of back on the tongues of people is this idea of time scales and people talking about something big coming in 2027. How do you view that?

If I wanted to set up and make people look ridiculous, it would be that kind of cult-like prediction of something coming at you.

[45:49 -> 49:05]
Garry Nolan:
You know, on some date, how many of those dates have come and gone? Literally every one of them. So if you want to use this, I would apply Occam's Razor, where I'm always railing about it. You can cut yourself with it by just assuming that the simplest idea is the best. I prefer the Bayesian prior approach, which gives a probability. The probability that that's rubbish is very high, and I would agree with you on that. I struggle myself with predictions and timelines. It's nice, but it's incendiary and meant to be clickbait. When something looks like it is purposefully designed to draw you in and get you worried about something, you should worry not about the event itself

but about who is behind it and why. People would come back to me and say, "Garry, isn't that exactly what is going on with the whole military idea and the threat narrative?" Yes, it is, but it was designed to get people to pay attention, not because it was real, but to distract you from something more credible.

Now look, it's out in the zeitgeist; it's there in the back of the mind. If some evidence shows up a year from now that there's something more credible to it, well, it's data ready for follow-up.

Let's finish with some listener questions. Gary, I'm going to pick two or three here out of about 80 that I think I got in the last day or two. Thank you to everyone who sent them over. Rob asks, "Do you ever think the push to expose the phenomenon is potentially dangerous, like a Pandora's box for humanity?"

Well, I think there are two ways to think about it. One is, is it a Pandora's box for the government? Yes, because if any of the claims are true, or if many of the claims are true, then there are people who need to be worried about the consequences of the exposure of whatever their actions might be. Now, I'm on record as saying that irrespective of the extent of whatever it is that might be wrong that people have done, there needs to be some kind of blanket amnesty. I'm sorry to say that, but you need to start with a blanket amnesty and make a few exclusions for things that are just so far off the reservation as to be inappropriate or criminal. That's not for me to decide, but I think until you do so, you're going to have people who...

[49:08 -> 52:17]
Garry Nolan:
There might be information cowering in fear. So, there's that. We also know that there is no partial disclosure from the government. The government has found a way to say that UAPs are real, but in almost the same breath, they claim that they are not aliens and that we have no evidence that they are aliens. Well, there are plenty of ways to get around what was just said. Yes, okay, there might not be extraterrestrials, but maybe there s something else. You can run the gamut from ultra-terrestrials to interdimensional beings, or something else that has already been here for a long time.

Once you admit that there is an entity here, not just a phenomenon, then all the other questions become relevant: What s the agenda? Why have you lied? This opens up Pandora's Box. The other Pandora's Box you re talking about is, what happens if technology disruption occurs? Disclosure could lead to access to technologies that we, as humans, shouldn't have right now.

This is a whole line of thinking that needs to be war-gamed out. I think that the Sol Foundation should consider what the scenarios are and what reasonable chains of thought and consequences arise from each of these scenarios. It could be presented as a Rand Corporation-like analysis.

Then there s the question of what happens if we provoke some kind of

negative interaction with whatever this might be. I think Chris Mellon has said something to this effect correct me if I'm wrong, and I apologize, Chris, if I'm ascribing something to you that you never said but at least it s been out there that the admission of their reality might bring their attention upon us in some unwarranted manner.

That s fine to say. So, the appropriate response from a policy standpoint is: How would we protect ourselves against that? What would be the mode of interaction with whatever such a thing might be?

[52:20 -> 55:20]
Garry Nolan:
I want to remind everybody again and again that the word "alien," while it's used for immigrants or in Hollywood, probably the best Hollywood example of a thinking process that might be considered alien is the movie "Arrival." In that film, something is so unlike humans in its thought processes that it becomes almost incomprehensible. We dare not anthropomorphize the intent of something that makes very different assumptions about ethics and morals, if it even possesses such concepts.

These are the kinds of ideas that I believe organizations like Sol or other groups should be dedicated to disseminating into the scientific literature, the psychosocial literature, and the policy literature. There are many brilliant thinkers out there who could contribute to this discourse.

To me, the way to harness your fear or frustration is to start putting out your own thoughts, even if they sound crazy or amateurish. Everyone has to begin with an amateurish approach, including myself, before learning what we don't know. Then, we can ask ourselves, "If I don't know this, who can I turn to for more information?"

That is all for this episode. Thank you very much for tuning in. Don't forget to leave a podcast review on your chosen platform, whether it's Apple or Spotify; it makes a huge difference to the algorithm. If you're checking out the show on YouTube, please don't forget to like and leave a comment as well. Any sharing you do on social media is greatly appreciated.

Finally, you can listen to shows ad-free and sponsor-free in their full versions by subscribing for less than the price of a coffee on Apple or Spotify. Just search for "That UFO Podcast Premium." You can also sign up and become a member on YouTube or through patreon.com.

Thank you very much for listening, folks. It wasn't a Tic Tac and not quite a sailor; it was more like a hub designed by Cher, a little Baroque and quite steampunk-like. Alice was playing bass for the Parliament of the little hovered right inside my window, and when I shoved out the screen, he made it an issue. I don't think he expected me to see him, but I had some champagne and smoked a little.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=v06sOGG9kgw
[00:00 -> 00:40]
Matt Ford:
Another whistleblower has come forward just days before Trump's inauguration. Ross Coulthart reveals another UAP whistleblower on News Nation. Former Airman Jake Barber goes public, revealing he worked under non-official cover as part of an elite team of special operators tasked with recovering crashed military aircraft and UFOs. Despite three other special operators coming forward to vouch for Jake Barber's claims, skeptics continue to question his story and military record. Dr. John Blitch, a former Green Beret and Special Mission Unit troop commander, joins us to discuss how he came to know Jake Barber and why he believes what Jake and his team have told him about their astounding crash retrieval activities. But is Jake Barber who he says he is, and are his allegations true? You're goddamn right.

[01:00 -> 01:43]
Matt Ford:
Hi, I'm Matt Ford. Welcome to The Good Trouble Show. We have a very special guest today, former Green Beret and Special Mission Unit troop commander Dr. John Blitch. He joins us for a two-part exclusive interview to discuss UAP whistleblower Jake Barber. But first, please hit the subscribe and thumbs up buttons on YouTube, leave a comment, and let us know what you think about this episode. You can also find us on X at Good Trouble Show and everywhere else at The Good Trouble Show. You can also find us wherever you enjoy your podcasts. Search for The Good Trouble Show with Matt Ford. If you would like to chip in to keep the lights on, you can become a Patreon member of The Good Trouble Show by going to www.patreon.com/thegoodtroubleshow. Sign up to help us keep bringing you amazing shows like the one we have today.

[01:44 -> 02:09]
Matt Ford:
Now, with all of that out of the way, here is part one of our interview with Dr. John Blitch. Please welcome Dr. John Blitch. Dr. Blitch, how are you, sir?

[02:10 -> 02:14]
Dr. John Blitch:
I am loving life, man. How are you?

[02:15 -> 02:16]
Matt Ford:
I'm doing just fine. Welcome to The Good Trouble Show. This is your first time here. Hopefully, this is the first of many appearances you'll have on our show. We, of course, have a lot of common friends. I actually met you through Lou Alzando, and I'd say we really hit it off. But I actually never asked the story of how you connected with Lou. How did you meet him?

[02:17 -> 02:41]
Dr. John Blitch:
Yeah, so first of all, thank you for having me on. I'm a big fan of the show, and thanks for everything you're doing for the disclosure

effort.

[02:42 -> 02:49]
Matt Ford:
Thank you.

[02:50 -> 03:12]
Dr. John Blitch:
You know, like many people, I started following Lou after his heroic disclosure effort in late 2017. I was a professor at the Air Force Academy and started following him then. I ended up being very enthusiastic about his effort. I don't throw that word "heroic" around easily; I mean, he put it all on the line. So I was very impressed with that and then kept following him after he ended up at TTSA.

[03:13 -> 03:36]
Dr. John Blitch:
What inspired me to actually track him down and that's a challenging thing for anybody of his background, right? The intelligence community knows how to disappear pretty well. But I was able to track him down because I was...

[03:44 -> 07:28]
Dr. John Blitch:
I was very impressed with that TV series, "To the Stars Academy," called "Unidentified." I watched every one of those episodes, several of them more than once. However, the last episode of season two, episode eight, which was the only one that covered any direct activity regarding the abduction phenomenon, kind of upset me. So, I tracked him down and did everything I could to put him on the pedestal that he so richly deserves for his selfless service and all the risks that he and his family have taken on behalf of our fellow humans and Americans. That's how I tracked him down.

I think what a lot of people really have a difficult time wrapping their heads around is the fact that these folks who have come forward, such as Lou, David Grusch, and of course, we have Jake Barber now, this new whistleblower who was on Ross Coulthart's special, are really putting themselves on the line. They are putting their lives and their families at risk to call out the tragedy of the American government not being straightforward with the American people about the UAP issue.

I could not agree with you more, and I think all of those folks would admit that they stand on the shoulders of many others many shoulders that have been stacked up for the last 80 years or so. Sergeant Major Robert Odin is a personal hero of mine, as well as Kathy Martin and a lot of the researchers like Travis Walton. All these folks have come forward and taken huge risks to their careers, families, and lives. My hat is off to all of them.

Absolutely, you have quite an outstanding history of serving this country. If you don't mind, could you walk us through your military service before you began working in the Special Forces community?

Okay, well, let me see. I graduated from West Point in the class of 1981, but do not be impressed; I was a lousy student with a 2.41 GPA and I set a record for demerits before Christmas of my plebe year. With that background, they put me in charge of nuclear weapons go figure, right? So, I ended up in Germany, practicing launching nuclear projectiles from West Germany into East Germany.

I have to tell you, I had some misgivings about that one night in particular. It was a gorgeous blizzard, and after the blizzard, a full moon came out. I started really trying to come to grips with the fact that we were trying to kill people who had never hurt me in that far distant place in East Germany. Then it occurred to me that we weren't just killing them; we were killing their kids and their grandkids for decades and centuries to come. So, we were reaching not only into another country but also into the future with those weapons. I had a difficult time with that.

I always really wanted to be an astronaut, so I applied but couldn't become an Army aviator or helicopter pilot. I submitted an in-service transfer to the Navy, believe it or not, to be Goose, the backseater in "Top Gun," and it was approved.

[07:32 -> 11:13]
Dr. John Blitch:
I had orders and was ready to go, but then they were revoked in 1986. I was stuck at Fort Sill, Oklahoma, and was put in charge of a Persian 2 missile battery. Now, I want to be very clear about that; it was a battery, not a battalion. I've never been a battalion commander, and it's a very significant distinction because a battery consists of only 120 soldiers, while a battalion has 500 soldiers and is commanded by a lieutenant colonel. At the time, I was only a captain, but it was significant in that I became intimately familiar with the Personnel Reliability Program, which essentially prevents individuals deemed unfit from accessing nuclear weapons.

Every morning, I had to sign six slips regarding whether a person had been prescribed medication like Motrin or acetaminophen and whether they were fit for nuclear duties. It was a real pain, very tedious, but obviously necessary. Eventually, I grew tired of that. In fact, the day of my outgoing change of command coincided with the signing of the Intermediate Nuclear Forces Treaty on December 10, 1986, which eliminated all our missiles. So, I was left wondering what I would do next.

I remember attending a classified briefing about a rumored hostage rescue organization. We all had to show our credentials, and it was only at a secret level. They were recruiting, so I signed up, but they turned me down. They said I needed to go into regular Special Forces first and then maybe reapply from there. That's how I ended up in that position.

Now, I want to delve deeper into the Special Forces. I recently referred to you as a Delta Force Commander, and it turns out I was

completely wrong. I would like to apologize for that and would love for you to correct what I said on the record. My understanding of the Special Forces community is obviously a bit lacking, so why was I wrong in how I referred to you? Please educate me.

Well, don't worry about it; there's no reason to apologize. This is actually factual and accurate. I think I sent you a military document stating that I was assigned to that unit with that name, but none of us liked that. Well, I shouldn't speak for everybody; I was only there for three and a half years. However, the vast majority of us abhor that name because it has this Hollywood flair to it, reminiscent of Chuck Norris, one of my childhood heroes. Growing up, I learned karate and read books with that name, and we really prefer to operate behind the scenes.

It's an operational necessity, if you think about it. If every time we rescue American hostages in a foreign country we beat our chests and proclaim, "America to the rescue," they won't invite us back. It's much more important for us to give the local country credit for that, keeping our identity secret, so that everyone wins.

[11:14 -> 14:57]
Dr. John Blitch:
American citizens deserve credit for handling their own crises, and everyone wins when we acknowledge that. We do the same with some of our conventional military units; we give them credit, and many times they deserve the vast majority of it. It's a crucial aspect to suppress our egos. Our after-action reviews used to be brutal; we were our own worst critics. We were incredibly hard on ourselves, and that's an important aspect because you can never afford to think that you're invincible or at the top of the hierarchy. There's always someone bigger, better, stronger, and tougher.

We typically refer to it as "the unit." There was even a TV show about it, so perhaps we should have started referring to it as something else. Maybe the current operators do refer to it differently, but that's how I refer to it. I must caveat all this, Matt; my time there was back in 1993, so we're talking about 30 to 40 years ago. A lot of my background is dated, and I prefer not to discuss that unit or any of that stuff because there are many people with far more experience than I have individuals who have spent their entire lives there and accomplished truly heroic feats.

I never did anything even remotely heroic. I managed to keep my head during one real-world deployment, and that's about it. We like to use the technical term "Special Mission Unit," or SMU, and I acknowledge that I was a true commander in an SMU, not the Delta Force commander as you referred to me.

It's important to realize that a full Colonel is an '06, while I was an '03. I wasn't even a middle manager; I was a lower middle manager. In a general sense, Special Forces are often misunderstood. Many people hear the term "tier one operator" thrown around, and I think, including myself, that a lot of us are not very clear on what that

means.

Could you provide an overview of how that is organized? What are tier one operators? Assume I know absolutely nothing about what you guys did.

You're very humble, Matt; I think you know a lot more than you let on. I'm trying to put myself compassionately in your shoes and sort through all the misinformation and other information out there. It's the nature of the beast right now.

In general, when I first entered Special Forces and commanded those two A-teams, our mission was to parachute or insert behind enemy lines whether by parachute, scuba diving, or other means to operate behind enemy lines. It was during the Cold War.

[15:00 -> 18:56]
Dr. John Blitch:
Warfare during the Iron Curtain era, particularly with the Soviet Union, was quite distinct. I was part of the 10th Special Forces Group, which was oriented towards Europe. Each Army Special Forces Group has a geographical focus; for instance, the 5th Group is centered on the Middle East, the 7th Group on Central and South America, and the 1st Group on the Pacific. We are language-trained for our respective areas. This was the general concept.

President John F. Kennedy deserves significant credit for this approach, as he envisioned a force multiplier. We would deploy culturally sensitive and language-trained personnel to help local populations rise against their own tyrannical governments, rather than having our conventional forces invade. Our role was to assist them in their efforts. Consequently, we developed a close relationship with intelligence services, as they often guided us in our operations.

The tier-one world of special operations began to take shape in the aftermath of the 1972 Munich Massacre, where terrorists killed members of the Israeli Olympic team. This event highlighted the emergence of a new form of warfare. Charlie Beckwith, who had extensive experience with the British SAS and Australian SAS, recognized this shift. The mission focus changed; instead of emphasizing cultural sensitivity, the priority became surgical interdiction ensuring that a bullet goes in the right direction, rather than harming a hostage. This is an incredibly challenging and time-consuming task.

It's now well-known, though it wasn't back then, that at our unit, we had a 55-gallon barrel filled with brass cartridges. This represented the amount of ammunition a single operator would fire downrange during just six months of initial operator training. The volume of lead expended by one operator in that time was equivalent to what an entire company of about 120 troops would use. We had more resources, but the demands placed on us were also greater.

As a result of these demands, the tier structure was established. I personally dislike this hierarchy because it carries a connotation of

superiority. We are not superior; we simply have different missions and roles. Those of us who have served in various capacities, including the Ranger Regiment arguably the best infantry shock troops understand that each unit has its own mission. In summary, that's my perspective on the special operator community.

If you were to characterize the individuals within the special operator community, how would you describe their personality types and what is important to them?

[19:00 -> 21:08]
Dr. John Blitch:
I think this is just my personal opinion, and again, there are a lot of other people with much more experience than I have. I spent seven years of my 24 years in command, and four of those five commands were in Special Forces. However, there are many individuals with far more time in this field. From my perspective, the single most distinguishing factor for Army Special Forces is not well recognized, and I believe it boils down to a single word: maturity.

The average Army Ranger or Navy SEAL can come straight off the street. Of course, they go through intensive training, but they are typically around 18 to 20 years old. What makes Army Special Forces unique at least it did back then, before they changed things and then kind of reverted is that we would not accept anyone until after they had already served their first tour. So, if you are 18, you would do three years in another field, and then you could apply to Special Forces. After that, you would go through language training and the Special Forces Qualification Course (SFQC), and by then, you would be around 22 years old. This means you are starting to approach maturity.

My background is in neuroscience; my PhD involved using EEG on individuals. I used to call myself a neuroscientist, but then I realized that was misleading. I didn't discover anything in neuroscience; I just used neuroscientific tools. However, what we know from that community is that the human brain does not fully mature until around 25 for males and 23 for females. Therefore, we really have a much more mature force with all that additional training by the time you are awarded your Green Beret. From there, the tier-one level usually comes years later, so we have a much more mature force, not to mention all the physical training, resilience, and cognitive development.

The second part, which is not well known either, is that over decades of analysis, our psychologists are present in much higher density than in the rest of the Army. In the rest of the Army, you might have one psychologist or counselor assigned to a brigade of about 1,500 troops. In Special Forces, we would have one psychologist per 60 troops. So, think about that. There is a lot of cognitive assessment that takes place.

What is very important about that is when they administer their assessments or surveys, the average person taking an IQ test or some psychological test at 10 o'clock in the morning has had their

breakfast and coffee, so they can somewhat figure out whether they should answer B or C. There might be one or two uncertainties in there, but you can generally figure it out. However, at 10 o'clock at night, when you are exhausted and bruised from falling down a mountainside, you are going to answer that accurately.

[22:49 -> 26:31]
Dr. John Blitch:
You really feel, and so our psychologist gets a much more crisp perspective of what's going on up here. That's another advantage when you're dealing with very difficult decisions. I wanted to point out this other aspect because even though I commanded a reconnaissance troop comprised of snipers, I was never a sniper. Yes, I had a long gun, and I would train and shoot downrange, but I never had to make that decision, Matt, where I'm looking through the scope and there's somebody downrange, and I have to decide whether or not to pull the trigger. Of course, we receive a dossier, but here lies the problem. I'm transitioning into the issue with Jake and his team, which is the morality of that, right? The ethics.

We're presented with this dossier, sometimes a fairly thick dossier, provided by the intelligence community, stating that this person or in very rare cases, this woman is a bad person, and deadly force is warranted to eliminate them, to take them off the battlefield. If we're performing reconnaissance and we see this person slapping their wife around or beating their kids, then I've observed it. If I'm close enough to hear it and understand what's going on, again, with our language skills and even smell, those are really critical components of making that decision.

Those folks who have to make that decision have a really difficult time. What is problematic now is that as technology removes us further away, we can no longer hear them, smell them, or see them. You could see them, but only on a black-and-white Predator feed. You're just going based on the intelligence that you've received, which makes it a very difficult challenge.

So, I was never a sniper; I was a reconnaissance troop commander. The snipers, the really tough guys with the skill and the difficult PTSD, making those decisions those were other folks. When you say "recon troop," you mean reconnaissance troop? Yes, I m sorry; I should have clarified that. My heart goes out to you, brother, because you folks in the media are like I was when I went to the Air Force Academy. I'm an Army nugget; I just finally figured out all the acronyms that the Army uses, and now I'm in acronym hell.

What's worse is that it's kind of like learning Russian, right? There are enough letters in the Russian Cyrillic alphabet that are the same letter. For example, "C" in Russian is an "S." So, it's just like trying to learn Mandarin everything's new. This acronym hell that you're in, I sympathize with you.

In terms of Special Forces tier-one operators, what sort of security clearance would you hold in those positions? There s a wide disparity

there, depending on what your background was. We had folks that literally would try...

[26:34 -> 29:56]
Dr. John Blitch:
They were members of the band. We had a tuba player come through, and we had a cook. As a matter of fact, I love the guy; he was a phenomenal sniper, by the way a tremendous shot. He got the code name "T-Bone," right? Code name "T-Bone" for state, right? For cutting state, sure. He was brilliant; he was a great guy. So there's a lot of jocularity, obviously, that comes with it. You find the same thing in firehouses and on the police force and so forth.

But, you know, the key aspect of that is we all have very different well, I shouldn't say very different the vast majority of folks come from the Ranger Regiment or infantry backgrounds. Every now and then, you'll get somebody from a way-out area, like nuclear weapons. I think I'm probably one of the only ones who ever commanded a nuclear-capable unit that ended up there at the unit. It's just so anomalous, right?

I happen to have, you know, SITK stuff all this access that other folks didn't have. But on a case-by-case basis, if it's needed for a particular operation, they'll do an SSBI, a Sensitive Secret Background Investigation, and they'll up your clearance as needed. I think I danced around that a little bit, but hopefully that's okay.

In most cases, to maintain that clearance, is there a polygraph involved?
Yeah, I don't want to get into that; that gets kind of sensitive.
Got it. Now, I may just be dated because back during the Cold War, even mentioning that you had a polygraph was kind of sensitive stuff. So I would rather not dive into that.

So can I ask you what your code name or nickname was?
Matt Ford:
I would get a new one just about every month because I was such a screw-up. These guys barely tolerated me. So my final one was "Tadpole," and that was a derivative because I was originally a "Bulldog" because I was so stubborn. I mean, look at that frontal slope; that screams stubbornness. They were like, "You know, he's like a bulldog; he won't let it go."

Then somebody decided, "Well, you know, that's a little bit too macho," so they started calling me "Bullfrog." But then there was this tanning lotion that came out, and it had this big muscle-bound guy on the beach. So they had to knock me down another peg to "Tadpole."

In one of my TRS, we had a great tradition that nobody could have a macho code name, and it really made sense tactically. Right? Because if we were called on the carpet at some point down the line and had to testify in front of Congress, and there were radio transcripts, and somebody said that "Bubbles" and "Porky" butt-stroked somebody in the head, and it turned out that it wasn't a bad guy but a good guy, then it kind of brings a little bit of levity to the whole situation,

right?

So I thought it was a brilliant tradition. So yeah, I had tons of them.
[30:00 -> 33:37]
Dr. John Blitch:
Camaraderie in the special operator community, both during active duty and post-active duty, is significant. Absolutely. There s something about shared risk. In my robotics book, I discuss risk extensively as a form of love. In fact, I believe it is the definition of love when you accept risk on behalf of someone else.

Now, consider paternal risk. If you re a mother and you ve gone through the ordeal of childbirth, it s a tremendous risk. Just a couple of centuries ago, women literally risked their lives to bring a child into the world. Then you have fraternal love, where siblings may fight like brothers, but if someone else challenges your brother, you stand up for him. You accept that risk.

Even in a professional sense, if you see someone being treated unfairly at work, you might step in and take the risk. Again, risk is love. The longer that risk is shared and the more intense the conditions under which that risk is shared, the tighter that bond becomes.

There s a wealth of literature on this topic. On one side, you have war literature, and on the other, UFO literature. Military literature often discusses how the bond between foxhole buddies can be tighter than that of a marriage, largely due to that heightened level of risk.

Camaraderie is huge, and I think a significant reason many of our brothers and sisters are tempted by suicide is that they miss that camaraderie so much. It s a vital source of resilience. So yes, that was key that you brought that up. Thank you.

Interviewer:
You re welcome. I would imagine that all of you tend to have pretty high expectations of each other to live up to the job you did and what you accomplished in serving the country. Would you say that s accurate?

Dr. John Blitch:
Oh, absolutely. I already mentioned how brutal our hot washes were, but that s a common experience across the military, not just in the places I was assigned. This is why the whole stolen valor movement is gaining intensity. It s tempting to embellish when you ve been underpaid for the risks you ve taken.

Look, taxpayer dollars not only funded my bachelor s degree but also two master s degrees and a PhD. I was probably overpaid for the risks I took. However, some of the other guys, like the snipers and assaulters, are underpaid for the level of risk they face. It s tempting to embellish a bit and perhaps sell a few more books than the

bland story would allow. My heart goes out to those guys; they are still heroes regardless of any inaccuracies.

[33:39 -> 37:08]
Dr. John Blitch:
I think they deserve a little bit more credit than they get, and I'm certainly not going to get into any of that, especially since I wasn't there for most of that stuff. So, yeah, it's a big deal. Now, tell us a bit about your post-military career. You worked at DARPA, and you have quite a background in robotics. Tell us a bit about that.

After those five command tours, I was kind of a burned-out commando. The personnel folks at the Pentagon basically told me, after I came out of the Command and General Staff College, that it was kind of a ticket punch on the way to becoming a general. It's a selected school, so not everybody gets to go. It's a big hurdle, if you will.

When I was there, learning how to become a staff officer for a general in the hopes that maybe I would become a general someday, they told me that I was a burned-out commando and that I needed to take a break. I really wanted to go right back to it. You know, once you get a taste of that, there is no higher level of job satisfaction than literally being a phone call away from the President of the United States. It trickles down through the real Delta Force Commander, and before you know it, you re on a plane within a few hours headed to God knows where to solve some problem. That level of job satisfaction just doesn't exist anywhere else that I know of.

So, I was really excited to get back, but my wife was obviously not. She was absolutely sick of it all, hated it all, and wanted to be a civilian. We ended up, by the grace of the big cheese upstairs and some folks at the Pentagon who were fooled by some silly IQ test, being considered a good candidate to go to graduate school. So, you and your taxpayer dollars paid for me to get a master's degree at the Colorado School of Mines, so thank you for that.

I ended up going out there to study robotics and artificial intelligence. It wasn't quite as honorable as you might think. I wanted to be an astronaut; I always wanted to be an astronaut. I figured, well, if I go study robots this is the mid-90s, right? Pathfinder was putting rovers on Mars. I thought, well, I'm not a pilot. I had my orders revoked to go to the Navy to be a jet jockey, so maybe if I'm a robot geek, NASA might be interested in that. Since we're going to be wandering around on Mars, you're going to need somebody who knows how to navigate on the land.

Now, look, I did some of the first testing of portable GPS for the DOD, and I'm convinced it was only because I was lost more than anybody else. They thought, hey, we ll give this guy the gizmo, and he makes it onto the beach and back up to his objective. Yeah, maybe there's something to it. So, that's what I originally went to grad school to study. That took a serious right-hand turn after Timothy McVeigh blew up the Murrah Building on April 19, 1995, because I ended up volunteering to go there.

[37:11 -> 40:51]
Dr. John Blitch:
I had taken my flat aptitude test to become a Navy fighter pilot about ten years ago. I ended up down there helping to pull part of the Bucket Brigade. You probably saw this during the World Trade Center recovery as well; there were buckets, and we were delicately picking apart the rubble because there could still be people trapped inside. It s a very tedious but also very surgical process. The problem in both instances was that it was considered a crime scene, particularly during the Oklahoma City bombing. Only individuals with security clearances could volunteer; everyone else was kept outside, which was unfortunate.

I came back from that experience very emotionally affected, and I decided to dive into rescue robotics. At that time, it really wasn t a well-established field. That s how I got into the robotics world. This was at the Colorado School of Mines. As a military student, I had to justify the military funding. It s not the military's job to rescue people after a collapsed building; that s the fire department's responsibility. So, I had to find a different angle.

I wanted to be an astronaut, and it turned out that Dan Golden had just taken over as NASA Administrator, promoting the idea of "smaller, better, cheaper" technology. At that time, it cost about $300,000 per ounce to put something into orbit, so smaller robots seemed like a good idea. Little robots are also useful for sneaking under barbed wire and infiltrating objectives as part of our reconnaissance efforts.

From the Oklahoma City incident, the major takeaway was the horrific decision an Incident Commander has to make when transitioning from a rescue effort to a recovery effort. This means bringing in cranes and bulldozers to remove large chunks of debris, which poses a significant risk. If someone is still trapped, they could be crushed in the process of trying to rescue them.

I came away with the niche of slipping down into the rubble, using a tether to tow an air hose, water hose, or microphone to communicate with the victims. This way, we could inform everyone that there was still someone alive down there. This approach turned out to be beneficial enough for the Department of Defense to get excited about it. Special Operations Command became interested because it allowed us to search for weapons of mass destruction in places where people were hiding them. Eventually, DARPA also became interested as it presented potential for future technology development.

After a couple of years at SOCOM, I had a fabulous boss named Doug Brown, who was a one-star general at that time.

[40:54 -> 44:37]
Matt Ford:
You ended up rising through the ranks as you should have and became a four-star general. From there, I ended up at DARPA. That's how I got

to DARPA.

Dr. John Blitch:
Got it. Okay, so Dr. Blitch, before we get into the whole Jake Barber situation, which is why we're primarily here, I have to ask: when you were on active duty, did you ever see any UAPs, especially being part of a nuclear intermediate-range system?

Dr. John Blitch:
No, no UAPs or anything there. Let me see... I've had some interesting experiences throughout my lifetime, but just to be clear, I was in uniform when I was at DARPA. I was a lieutenant colonel at DARPA as a program manager, and I retired out of DARPA. Interestingly enough, this is the source of a lot of conspiracy theories. There are people who claim that I was trying to cover up evidence at Oklahoma City because I was a Special Forces major. They wonder what a Special Forces major is doing mixing with all these firefighters. The truth is crazier than it seems; I had taken an inter-service transfer and a flight aptitude test there, blah, blah, blah. So anyway, I was on active duty at DARPA, I retired out of active duty at DARPA, and I signed out from the Pentagon on the 10th of September 2001.

Matt Ford:
Got it. In terms of your work in the government, were you ever part of any UAP-related program?

Dr. John Blitch:
No. I was read in on a number of SAPs Special Access Programs. There are operational SAPs and technological SAPs. An operational SAP is obviously intended to protect that operation, and when the operation is wrapped up and done, that doesn t mean you stop protecting it because it might involve assets or people that are still undercover. Technological SAPs tend to last longer. Look at the F-117; that stuff was developed back in the 70s, and we used it in the Gulf War 20 years later with wonderful effect, arguably reducing that from a two- or three-year war to 100 days. That technology played no small role. So, there are technological SAPs and operational SAPs, but none of those that I was read into had anything to do with UAPs that I know of.

Matt Ford:
Okay, let's jump into the heart of the matter: whistleblower Jake Barber. This is you on News Nation with journalist Ross Coulthart. Let's watch.

[Video Clip]
Ross Coulthart:
One of his most fervent supporters is Colonel John Blitch, a Renaissance Warrior who holds a doctorate in psychology, was a Delta Force sniper, and is one of the few men to hold doomsday in his hands, commanding a nuclear weapons battalion. So, you believe Jake Barber is telling the truth?

Dr. John Blitch:
Absolutely. There has been a cover-up lasting 80 years. Absolutely, we

have recovered craft.

Matt Ford:
That was fantastic. So, how did you get involved in the entire Jake Barber story?

Dr. John Blitch:
Yeah, so actually, Dave Grusch reached out to me. Gru or Grusch I mean, I used to call him Dave Grusch; now folks call him Dave Grou. He's like, "Call me whatever." He's such an...

[44:38 -> 48:39]
Dr. John Blitch:
Jake Barber is an approachable guy; he's a wonderful man and, again, a hero by any standard in my mind. However, Dave was struggling, obviously, after all the folks that came after him, and he suffered horribly as a result. Even post-PTSD, he wanted to encourage others to come forward, not just to benefit himself. It helped him because a lot of the criticism against him was, "Hey, look, you didn't see a craft; you didn't see an alien. How can you be claiming this?" It's just the classic narrow-mindedness of people who can't embrace information outside their limited perspective.

Dave reached out to me and mentioned that he knew some other individuals who had firsthand knowledge, but they were very worried about the potential backlash against them. He told them that they just needed a little bit of leadership and to hear some of my stories to convince them that it would be okay. The alternative is far worse. We see this all the time where people keep things bottled up for years, and then on their deathbed, they come clean. It's a horrific situation.

He asked me to meet with these individuals because at least one of them was a tier-one operator. I want to be very clear here: Jake Barber never told me he was a tier-one operator. He never claimed to be an active Combat Control Team (CCT) operator. He did claim and showed me documentation that he went through the pre-training to enter the CCT pipeline.

Now, what is CCT? CCT stands for Combat Controller, which is the Air Force's rough equivalent of a Green Beret. They wear a different color beret, but none of us wear it into the field. All those pictures of people in berets are laughable because it's not very functional headgear; it doesn't even keep the rain off you, for crying out loud. Boonie hats are way better for keeping the rain from falling down your neck. That's a running joke.

Anyway, these operators parachute behind enemy lines and essentially act as air traffic controllers. If you have more than one aircraft orbiting over an area to support troops on the ground, you need someone independent of those aircraft to direct them telling one to turn right and the other to turn left. They will do their best to deconflict, but it becomes especially complicated when you have a fast mover, like a fighter jet, coming in with a C-130 dropping

paratroopers.

It gets complicated, especially when you add a third or fourth aircraft. Think of the multi-body problem from astronomy the three-body problem, where it becomes really complex. Those guys are air traffic controllers who also double as marksmen and are scuba and HALO qualified, capable of doing pretty much anything we did. As I said before, nobody is better than the others; they just have different jobs. That's what a CCT does, and then you have PJs, or Pararescue Jumpers.

[48:41 -> 52:46]
Dr. John Blitch:
Jumpers focus on their medics, patching together a downed pilot and stabilizing that patient until they can get to medical assistance. There are also Joint Terminal Attack Controllers (JTACs) who are focused on calling in air support. I'm familiar with that; I used to call in close air support as well. However, things have changed and become more sophisticated. You really need someone who is familiar with the type of ordinance and how to place it to guide the aircraft, ensuring they don't shoot friendly forces.

Those are the three primary specialties within the Air Force's special tactics world. Additionally, we have weathermen, who are very important, as we learned from Operation Eagle Claw in 1979 when we attempted to rescue hostages in Iran. Weather played a significant role in that mission, particularly with the dust storms that devastated the aerial insertion.

So, David Grusch reaches out to you and says he has whistleblowers with firsthand knowledge regarding UAP crash retrievals. Did he indicate that any of these whistleblowers were hesitant to come forward due to what they had witnessed happen to him?

Oh, absolutely. That was a prominent factor; they were very concerned about that. What did you do to alleviate their fears?

You know, these are courageous individuals by nature, so I didn't need to inject any courage into them. What I aimed to do was inspire them and provide a friendly ear. I reassured them that they are not alone; there are hundreds of thousands, even millions, of us who have had these anomalous experiences. We are on the verge of being dragged, kicking and screaming, into a galactic society. I think they just wanted someone who would listen without being judgmental or dismissive.

This is part of what I refer to as corrosive leadership, which is behind this 80-year cover-up. It is corrosive when you compartmentalize information and tell one person they cannot talk to another, even though they are on the same team. This breakdown of communication undermines the cohesion that our military relies upon.

The Air Force, being the youngest service prior to the establishment of the Space Force, which is a derivative of the Air Force still

operates under the Department of the Air Force. This compartmentalization is inherently corrosive. If one of my troops came to me and reported anomalous activity while on an observation post, and every time they did, I berated them and treated them like a criminal, it would create a toxic environment.

We need to be sympathetic and supportive, not punitive. Many individuals, like Terry Lovelace and Mario Woods, have been treated horribly, as if they were the terrorists. This kind of treatment severely affects our military cohesion.

[52:49 -> 56:39]
Dr. John Blitch:
It's important to be compassionate, and let's not even discuss the millions of experiences in this element, especially since they were all a cohesive team all four of them. Two of them were verified tier-one operators, and I have the validation of that. Neither Jake nor Don Paul claimed to be tier-one operators, and that's for them to deal with. However, Fred most definitely was. Dave wanted them to hear from someone at a slightly higher rank. I'm in middle management; I'm not a flag officer, but a field-grade officer is high enough in the realm to be actionable, to punish someone or to intervene in that punishment. So, Dave felt that I might be able to nudge them into coming forward.

Tell us about when you first met them. You shared this story with me, and I think it's absolutely fabulous like something straight out of a Bond movie. So, Dave got you in touch with these guys. Can you tell us about your first in-person meeting?

Well, I would say it was more like "Spies R Us" with Dan Aykroyd and Chevy Chase, but that's a great movie. It was a fascinating experience because they wanted to meet in a neutral location. They were concerned that I might be part of the military-industrial complex and could take them out. Dave told them that I was a tier-one guy, and I hate that terminology, but it is what it is, so I'll use it. They were nervous about me, and I was a little nervous about them because Dave had given me some background on them. They were worried that we might encounter some unfriendly interdiction or even lethal force.

The original plan was for Dave, who's a big guy himself I would not want to meet up with Dave Grusch and see him angry in an alleyway. The same goes for Ross Coulthart; he's a black belt with martial arts training. I idolized Chuck Norris, and both of them are really big guys. Meanwhile, I'm this stubby little Neanderthal. What am I going to do? I might pick up a boulder and throw it at them.

So, the plan was for us to meet out on the West Coast aboard my sailboat and go for a sail. I figured if I had Dave and Ross on my side, three against four, it might get a little bloody, but we could probably handle it. However, it turned out that the Congressional meetings were all spinning up in D.C., so Ross had to stay there an extra day. Dave was still worried because he was getting threats, so he wasn't going to D.C. I ended up meeting these four big guys alone,

and two of them were MMA champions. I was getting a little nervous.

I picked a place that was a bit more warming up, you know, tradecraft somewhere public. I went through the procedure of observing them from afar for a while. Then we approached, and I have to tell you...

[56:41 -> 59:57]
Dr. John Blitch:
The mannerisms and cohesion felt like I was right back on one of my teams. I mean, we instantly gelled, but I still had my guard up and wanted to be careful. The big breakthrough was that I felt comfortable enough to bring him, you know, Mar, onto the boat. But before we left the harbor, I was concerned they might slip my throat and dump me overboard for the sharks, right? Sail my boat off somewhere and scuttle it.

I wanted to do a little bona fides, so basically what I told him was, "Hey, look, one of the things that I think is lacking in the leadership you guys have been under is that leaders pull while managers push." So as a leader, I'm going to take the risk first. I laid out three forms of identification: I showed them my passport, my driver's license, and my military ID. Now, any one of those you might be able to fake, but all three? That's kind of difficult. What I was looking for was hesitation, primarily for them to look at each other like, "Oh crap, now we're screwed."

I gotta tell you, man, I was ready to dive off that boat. I thought they were going to wrestle me, take me down below, sail away, whatever. And they were thinking the same thing about me. It was a really interesting standoff. But as soon as there was no hesitation, everybody put their cards on the table. Several of them pulled out two forms of identification to match me. That s when all the tension thawed, and we went out and had a great chat. We wanted to be in a safe environment where we could share our stories without any chance of being recorded.

Don Ball turned out to be carrying a sidearm, and I think his job was probably to take me out behind the ear. He was kind of shadowing me the whole time while I was driving the boat. He was very inquisitive; he s a really sharp guy. All of them are very smart, and he was curious about the display, the chart plotter, and how to do this and that. In the back of my mind, by this time, it was only about a 5% worry, but I was thinking, "Why is he so interested? Is he the guy who's going to sail the boat back after they throw me overboard?" He was looking for a training lesson there.

It was hilarious. Then things settled down; we had a couple of beers, everything relaxed, and then it was on.

So when Jake is telling you his story, what was going through your mind as he was sharing this with you?

Well, I always look for, you know, and I used to teach my cadets to look for inconsistencies. This generation of cadets, especially Air

Force cadets, are...
[01:00:01 -> 01:03:13]
Dr. John Blitch:
We are really in a difficult position because, with the magic of the internet, you have all this information, and you have to sort out information versus disinformation. You need to go through the A1 source and the information to the F6 or whatever. It's very, very difficult.

I was looking for inconsistencies across all of them and didn't find any. Everything would track. Now, of course, one of the things that was an anomaly to me was, wait a minute, why would the Air Force spend all this money to put this guy through pre-CT training? That's four months; that's an investment. Yeah, that's a lot of money. Why would you do that and then not send him to CCT school? That's kind of weird.

And then, why give him such an unrelated job as an aircraft mechanic? All of this is in a lot of the scuttlebutt that you see. I try to ignore it. I've been coached about this for quite a while. Lou coached me on this, and Dave coached me on, "Look, you just got to ignore the naysayers and the ridiculous skeptics."

Now, there's a difference between healthy skepticism, scientific skepticism, which should not only be tolerated but embraced and addressed. However, some of this is just classic ignorance. You know, like, "Where's the dust?" There's no dust below the helicopter, dude. The helicopter's 150 feet above ground; they're out of ground effect, and the vast majority of that desert floor is scrub. It doesn't move.

I've slept on that surface; it's as hard as a rock. So, there's no dust. Come on, you're just showing your ignorance; that's all that is.

Here's the thing: he claimed to go non-official cover, and if he was groomed for that, do you think they would have left a paper trail of everything? I talked to Jake at length about this, and since that program aired, as soon as he said the word, I was like, "Yes, that captures it." Sheep dipped, right?

So, you sheep dip someone; you give them the training to get the credibility and the right skills so that when they leave the military, you can use them in what you want to use them for, which obviously was this sort of work. Yeah, they sent him to scuba school; yeah, they sent him to jump school. Don Paul never claimed he was a Green Beret, but they went through some of this training so that after they left...

[01:03:16 -> 01:06:20]
Dr. John Blitch:
The military often transitions into different lines of work that require deep cover. That makes sense, and it's what you want the world to believe. You want people to think that someone has failed out of CCT training or is washed up, because that allows them to engage in

other work. All of that, including the documentation especially the quote missing documentation that novices expect to be there shows a lack of education and experience in the field. I didn't find any inconsistencies.

This is the one area I wanted to address, where I think there are many people involved. Yes, you have debunkers who are clearly out to spread disinformation and discredit this patriot by any means possible. But regarding him working as a contractor under non-official cover, what does that mean? Why would he or anyone choose that particular path?

I want to tread lightly here, not because I'm worried about someone throwing me in jail, but because I think of my behind-the-scenes interview back in 2014, which is on my LinkedIn page. I talk about it, but I ll try to summarize. I've been in trouble all my life; that's not my motivation. My motivation is to protect others still doing this work, which is why I want to tread lightly.

As a military person, you are on roles in the military and enjoy the protections of the Geneva Convention. However, many irrational state actors or terrorists do not honor those rights. It does provide some protection depending on where you are. When you're deep undercover and have credentials that are not your real name, that's a whole different world.

From personal experience, I can tell you it isn't fun. The glorified James Bond aspect of it is a nightmare. You're constantly worried; the stress is off the charts. What if you run into someone in a crazy place who knows you? You could lose that friend. Imagine someone calling you by a name you don t recognize. You might have to say, "I don t know who you re talking about, dude. Have a good one." It could be one of my classmates from the academy, and I d have to tell them, "Dude, you ve got the wrong guy. Have a great day."

[01:06:23 -> 01:09:36]
Dr. John Blitch:
You just have to dismiss yourself, and you're constantly worried about being recognized, especially nowadays with all the surveillance technology everywhere. In any large city in the world, there are cameras everywhere. It's terrifically stressful. That's why all of those guys have taken extreme risks on behalf of our country, and yet there are people trying to tear them down. I'm not okay with that. It's an enormous risk, and you can imagine why you have to do that. If you want to stay ahead of your adversaries, you need to know where the weapons of mass destruction are. It's a real challenge. My hat is off to both Don Paul and Jake for the risks they accepted by going non-official cover. You can see that there was a mission in a "Mission Impossible" movie about the knock list and how important that is. It truly is very important.

Dr. Blitch, tell us a bit about the other individuals who were on the boat with you, the folks that Ross Coulthart mentioned who vouched for Jake and what his claims were.

So, having spent some time with them, there were no inconsistencies, and it was clear that they were a tight, cohesive unit. There was a fourth member that I'm not going to talk about now; I won't mention his name or anything about him because he needs to remain out of the spotlight. But these guys were tight their demeanor, their conversation, their body language everything about them showed no inconsistencies.

Now, look, when I did a very short stint undercover, I wasn't good at it. I could come up with a cover for action, a cover for stats, but I just wasn't good at it. These guys would have had to be like A-list actors to have pulled that off, because I didn't see anybody slip in any way, shape, or form. That was just on the boat. Then we met each other and talked at length afterward, and it just hit me solid. They're all pretty devout Christians, most of them have strong family values. I met their wives, sons, and daughters hanging out in a very relaxed setting no inconsistencies, no alarm bells.

The one alarm bell was the documentation regarding the CCT. Why would you do this without sending a guy? Well, when I went through scuba school, before the Army would send anybody down to Key West to go through the combat dive course, which is very challenging, I'd say it's one of the most demanding.

[01:09:39 -> 01:12:30]
Dr. John Blitch:
The most challenging courses involve the fear of drowning. Humans inherently dislike the sensation of drowning, and during these courses, you are on the edge of that experience, much like the training at BUD/S. It can be horrific; we all fear drowning, which is why waterboarding is effective, unfortunately.

Before the United States Army would send soldiers to the vacation paradise of Key West, they ensured that the soldiers were adequately prepared. Some of the training methods were quite extreme. For instance, there was a grueling exercise called a crossover, where you had to hold your breath. The average person can manage about four of these breath-holding exercises, which are very intensive.

Rumor had it that when you got to Key West, you would need to complete 11 or 12 of these exercises. So, the personnel at Fort Bragg decided that if you needed to do 12 down there, they would make sure you could do 13 or 14 before spending taxpayer dollars to send you. What they didn t realize was that the training school had already calculated, down to the minute detail, how much the average human could handle.

In my PR scuba class, there was a Combat Controller who suffered a shallow water blackout and drowned. They took him away in an ambulance while I was in the middle of one of these crossovers. If you try to cheat by surfacing for a breath, the instructors, who are very agile and not wearing scuba tanks, will jump on your shoulders like in water polo and push you back down. If that happens, you miss your chance to take a breath before you have to turn back around.

During my 14th crossover, I attempted to sneak a breath, and fortunately, no one jumped on me. When I reached the other side, I looked around and saw an ambulance, sirens, and red lights. They were pulling this guy out of the water. He had pushed his body beyond its limits and had passed out; it s called a shallow water blackout. His legs were still moving, but his head was against the side of the pool, and he was struggling. The instructors initially thought he must still be conscious, but then someone finally realized the gravity of the situation.

[01:12:32 -> 01:15:42]
Dr. John Blitch:
They dove in and saved him, and he ended up graduating. I saw him at King Fod Airbase years later as a CCT guy; he was phenomenal. So that's why you would train this guy to ensure that you don't waste taxpayer dollars and everyone's time by sending someone through that very rigorous course of work if they weren't physically up for it. Not to mention, you're checking for injuries or physical incapacitation that the medical exams can't catch.

For example, PFOS or a heart defect may not show up until your heart is so stressed that a small opening reveals itself between the mesh of your muscle fibers. These issues can occur without any prior indication, leading to tragic outcomes for seemingly healthy athletes, whether on the side of Mount Everest or scuba diving.

So, there's a lot to it, and that explains, in my mind, why I didn't have a big issue with it. Dr. Blitch, what I would love for you to do is walk us through Jake Barber's story specifically, where he started militarily, where he ended up, especially regarding his non-official cover, and then his claims. Do you support his claims? If you could walk us through all of that.

Well, first of all, I have to be very clear. You know, let me do this, and I hate it when academics and I am one, at least for a while answer a question with a question, but I'm going to ask you a question.

Where were you born, and when were you born? Now, let me interrupt that question so you don't have to provide personally identifiable information on TV. I draw a big distinction between what I believe and what I know. I used to think that I knew I was born on March 18, 1959, in Idaho Falls, Idaho. Why? Because I was constantly told that by my parents, and I've seen birth certificates, blah, blah, blah.

But do I really know that? Could that all have been a conspiracy? Maybe I was born in, I don't know, Siberia. My memory doesn't go back that far, so I can't really know that unless I have some corroborating evidence sourced independently later on. So, I want to make that distinction.

[01:15:45 -> 01:19:00]
Dr. John Blitch:
With regard to Jake, I was never assigned to him. I was in grad school at CGSC when he arrived at Pope Air Force Base in Fort Bragg or in

that vicinity, so I was never co-located with him or assigned to him. The same goes for Don Paul and Fred. That was not my role; I was asked to show a bit of leadership and compassion and to be a good listener.

I'm not Jake Barber's lawyer, nor am I the person to verify everything about his military records. What I can tell you is that his account is consistent with my understanding of how intelligence agencies operate. Let's be clear, there are many intelligence agencies now, not just the CIA. I don't know which one recruited him, but the way he discusses his military experience aligns with how I would approach recruiting individuals.

I would want to sheep dip them, provide them with the necessary training using someone else's funding specifically, the Department of Defense's dollars and then place them into a higher role to carry out operations under deep cover. I have no issues with any inconsistencies in his story.

I want to read a few comments from others regarding this. Tim McMillan, who is with The Debrief, expressed surprise at what he wrote: "Is it confirmed that Jacob Barber was in fact a combat controller? The document shared by News Nation shows he enlisted with a CCT pipeline option, but the DD214 indicates he was in Aerospace Maintenance for the entirety of his enlistment."

McMillan continues, "I'm not saying he wasn't a CCT, but it wasn't confirmed by what was shown. Also, the NATO confidential clearances are from travel documents to Bosnia, which means nothing; everyone would have that when traveling on a NATO mission."

Travis Fields replied to McMillan's tweet, stating, "I don't see proof he was a combat controller here either. Also, back in my day, you became an E4 Senior Airman on day one of year four, and he served six, so I wonder if he should have made Staff Sergeant. I know a combat controller I can ask about this if no one else figures it out."

Tim McMillan responded, "I thought this was odd as well; all Army SOF soldiers are automatically promoted to E5 upon...".

[01:19:03 -> 01:22:13]
Dr. John Blitch:
The completion of the Q course, however, I'm not familiar if it's the same for the Air Force. Your reaction to that? Well, the last comment I don't think was targeted towards Jake; it was probably directed towards Don Paul. Don Paul served in both the Air Force and the Army, and I think because he was referred to as special forces, you know, that's an Army term that the Air Force doesn't use. The Air Force refers to their personnel as Commandos or special tactics squadrons. So, that's more of an Army term. I suspect I don t know Tim, I respect him as a fellow human, and he sounds like a pretty talented guy but there may be just a little bit of naivety there. Don Paul never claimed to be a Green Beret. Again, I sympathize with you and Ross and the media for trying to deal with these acronyms and the subtle nuances in verbiage. I think that s what that last comment was about.

What Jake and Don Paul both have in common is detailed, extensive training with MMA. How many air mechanics are MMA champions behind the scenes? If you're going to be on the president's helicopter or a four-star general's helicopter, they might want someone who can fix the airplane but can also neutralize a threat. So, you train them in marksmanship and all these other aspects. That s the concept of sheep dipping. I guess my answer to all that is, wouldn t you do the same thing if you were in charge of security for high-ranking officials? It just makes sense to me.

Another person asked, building on what I think Tim McMillan was inquiring about: the claim was made that he was a CCT and PJ. When did that occur? His DD214 displayed in the one-hour segment does not support the claim. Are there supporting facts or documents to back it up? Again, without going through the nitty-gritty of each and every aspect, I think we were all thrown in the same pot to a certain extent. Fred is unquestionably a PJ; I've seen his DD214, and he has three Bronze Stars. When you have all of us around that fire pit picking out nitpicky aspects of things, I just think that s a little bit ridiculous to go to that level of scrutiny. That doesn t seem to me to be scientific scrutiny if you don t...

[01:22:15 -> 01:25:07]
Dr. John Blitch:
I understand the rationale. Okay, let's talk about it. Let's take a list of unresolved issues that we can address scientifically and get a brilliant scientist like Gary Nolan involved in this, or very experienced intelligence operatives and managers like Lou Elizondo, Jay Stratton, and Dave Grusch. We need to engage those individuals. However, this notion of "who shot John" is somewhat misleading. I don't mean to demean anyone; we need to be skeptical, and it must go through that level of scrutiny.

I don't have any issue with the explanation provided by the pre-scuba pipeline training. It is clear that he was recruited and went through training. He presented that certificate of training, and he never claimed to be CCT. Therefore, it s a non-issue for me.

The key takeaway from what I'm hearing you say is that the career path Jake Barber described is consistent with the experiences of other individuals you ve worked with or encountered during your time in the military. They followed a similar trajectory into a non-official cover role. I won t claim anything definitive, but I will say that it is consistent.

This aligns with how the intelligence community would typically bring someone into a non-official cover position. You want it to be mundane; there s nothing extraordinary about it until they assume their other responsibilities. You would prefer the plumber to be a martial artist, as that s how it often works.

So, this path for both Jake and Don Paul, along with some law enforcement credentials, makes perfect sense. It s all part of the

sheep-dipping process to equip them with the right skill set for when they leave the military and enter this very challenging field.

Folks, if you enjoyed part one of our interview with Dr. John and want to learn more about whistleblower Jake Barber and UFOs, otherwise known as UAPs, you will enjoy this episode with National Security expert Matthew Pines. And don t forget to hit the subscribe and thumbs up buttons!

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vrfd0vZveXk
[00:01 -> 03:46]
Host:
Hi everyone and welcome back to That UFO Podcast. 2025 has certainly started off with a bang, and joining me now to carry that on is investigative journalist, co-host of Need to Know alongside Bryce Zeldin, and host of Reality Check on News Nation, among many other accomplishments, Ross Coulthart. Ross, welcome.

Ross Coulthart:
Good to be here, Andy. How are you, mate?

Host:
I'm very well. How are you? I suppose that's a more pertinent question because it's a few days after your huge exclusive with Jake Barber. The first question I want to ask is, how are you, and how is the dust settling around that?

Ross Coulthart:
I'm absolutely fine. It's been a very busy time—an nerve-racking time getting that one to air, but we got there, which is good.

Host:
You mentioned that was the culmination of a two-year piece of work from yourself. I watched your Fallout show afterwards, where you had Mike Von Remming, Tim Gadet, and former Rear Admiral Jim Sagala as part of that discussion. At the start of that show, you asked them both to be brutally honest with you about what they thought of the segment, the interview, and the context. I appreciated that because you were candid in saying you expected all kinds of reactions from the piece, especially with the video accompanying it. You seemed ready for anything. So, how have you found the online reaction from the community and further afield in terms of public perception?

Ross Coulthart:
Look, it's funny. I've learned not to worry about what the online community says about stories. If I worried about everything that people say on social media, you'd never get anything done. I think there was a small minority of people who were squawking and carping, basically saying there was no evidence. I was trying to think about it this morning; they remind me a little bit of that Monty Python knight who's had his legs and arms chopped off and is just a stump sitting there going, "Yes, yes, come on then, come on, what of it?" I think basically, it's almost like people are sitting there saying, "Okay, well, we've given you the first-hand evidence, but where's the evidence?"

I don't mean to be disrespectful to my friends and colleagues out there in UAP social media, but if you don't accept Jake Barber, then go away. The bottom line is there are people in Congress and at very senior levels of the new Trump Administration who are taking what he says extremely seriously. It's not the carping critics out there in social media UFO land that we have to convince; it's Congress. I can tell you, without giving anything away, there is a high degree of

receptiveness to hearing his allegations and dealing with them properly. I think we're at the beginning of a long reveal, and it's going to take a while.

I was quite heartened, actually—there was no deliberate collusion—but I was quite heartened yesterday to see that wonderful trailer for the Dan Farah Lou Alzando documentary, The Age of Disclosure, which is quite exciting. I can tell you that there is no organized government rollout. I love the conspiracy theorists who think there's some kind of dark state attempt to control the narrative by using journalists like myself. If people knew how hard it is to find whistleblowers like Jake Barber and to persuade them to go public and speak...

[03:48 -> 07:42]
Ross Coulthart:
Publicly discussing what they know is completely antithetical for people like him to stick their heads up above the parapet and talk. I think he should be acknowledged for the courage he has shown because, frankly, it is a lot for people to swallow—the whole idea not only of a legacy UAP retrieval program but also the fact that it has been incredibly well concealed using disinformation and influence operations for decades. Behind all of this is an extraordinary link between human consciousness and a non-human intelligence. What we're talking about here is something that the scientific establishment currently deems nonsense, which is the idea of what they call psionics or psychic connections with technology or some kind of consciousness.

Again, I just shrug my shoulders. I've seen it for myself, and I guess I'm so used to it now because I've been dealing with people who are either serving or former psionic assists for quite some time. It's very real. Ultimately, that's all I really have to say. I don't care what people say online; they can squawk as much as they like. You know, "Oh, where's the evidence? Where's the evidence?" Well, I don't care. So, there's your first drink of the episode, folks, if you're playing that game.

It's been two years, so in my head, we're going back about 18 months. You were breaking the David Grusch story, and when that story was breaking, you were already something like six months into the investigation with Jake. Is that right?

I was well aware of it, and I've made no secret of this. I mean, I've said it, and I'm as upfront as I can be. It's funny; one thing I do want to say is there are these jerks out there in UFO land—a very small minority—who think that people like me have a responsibility just to vomit everything I know out there for their entertainment and insight. That's the end of it, and I should say everything I know and let it out. What that reflects is a complete lack of understanding of what's involved in doing this kind of work.

What I'm really keen to urge, Andy, is for people to start understanding that this is beyond the UFO/UAP media community now. This is a public interest issue of international concern and import. It's going to take a while, but the world is slowly going to come

around to the idea that there is a non-human intelligence and that there has been this massive cover-up. Governments like yours in the UK, the US, China, and Russia have all been aware of a non-human intelligence for quite some time, and they're going to have to explain eventually why they've kept us quiet.

Ultimately, it's not entertainment for people out there in the UFO world. I really don't care. I'm going to be very polite and say I don't give a flying fig what they say. The bottom line is we will do what we do as journalists when we are in a position to reveal and report a story. People can squawk and call all they like; it's kind of fun. It's also fun actually watching some of the IC bots, who I know quite well, some of them quite prominent in UAP commentary, who are working as shills for the intelligence community.

[07:44 -> 11:25]
Ross Coulthart:
It's going to be very interesting when this all comes out for people to see who the influence operatives were, who the people were that were basically involved in running influence operations for covert sections of the defense and intelligence community. They have been running disinformation and influence operations on mainstream media and commentators for many decades. This is a longstanding cover-up, and while I'm not protecting it, I can kind of understand why they've done this. If you're a stiff in a suit or in a uniform and you think that the public has no right to know this because you're more important than the rest of the public, and certainly, you know, the public doesn't have the right to know about a non-human intelligence, you might rationalize that there are great weapons that can be developed from this. You might think that the country you're part of can develop superiority and dominance using these technologies, and you could justify in the back of your mind that there's a reason for keeping this secret. I find that absolutely unforgivable.

They've used, and I think government money is still being expended to this day on influence operations to stop the public from knowing this story. It's funny; you ask yourself what it takes to convince people that this is a reality. I'm not the least bit surprised that major mainstream publications like The New York Times, The Washington Post, the LA Times, and the London Times have failed to cover this issue. Even when Jay Stratton came out this week and said he's seen alien bodies, there is a very clear obstruction at the highest levels of what used to pass for mainstream media.

What we're seeing right now is that the UAP issue is going to be a tipping point for the end of the age of influence of mainstream media. It's why Elon Musk quite rightly says, "We are the media now." You are the media now, and that's because social media platforms like X and programs like yours probably get bigger audiences than the average daily circulation of a newspaper like The Times. Yet these great broadsheets, which persistently fail to cover and even acknowledge the reality of the UAP issue, have far less circulation and far less of an audience, but they carry such incredible weight and influence.

What's going to happen is there's been such a serious and egregious failure by mainstream media and many of the so-called analysts and commentators who have had the wool pulled over their eyes or who have colluded in the cover-up. There's going to be a reckoning, and you know, I'm kind of enjoying it. I'm old enough and long enough in the tooth to not get emotional or upset about what people say on social media. The general reception I've had has been incredibly positive and supportive. A lot of people are thrilled that a man like Jake has shown the courage, as have his colleagues, to come forward. I can tell you it's just the beginning; there are going to be other whistleblowers coming forward. I did say on my own podcast, Need to Know, with Bryce, that I thought 2025 was...

[11:29 -> 15:13]
Ross Coulthart:
Hell was going to break loose quite early, and this is just the beginning. Since you brought it up, indulge me for a moment. I'm going to ask you to put Ross Coulthart aside—forget about Ross and his life and everything else. If you decide this Saturday to do a News Nation exclusive, it is Ross and a camera, and you're going to spill everything you know about the UAP topic. Forget about burning sources, your career being over, or people disowning you. What impact would that have, generally speaking, on the UFO topic?

I don't know; it's funny. I mean, what degree of evidence is enough? One thing that people don't understand is that I love what we've got in the West. We have something so special; we have a functioning democracy—flawed, but we have democracies. People like me, even though there is this ridiculous National Security State covering this dirty secret up, we are still allowed to operate as independent media. That's such a rare thing on this planet, and we should treasure it and value it.

So, I wouldn't ever sit down and reveal all I know, and screw the people that think I should. I don't think the public has the right to know a lot of the information about the weaponry that could be derived from this technology. In the same way, I believe very strongly that a thermonuclear weapon is rightly and properly secured by secrecy.

If what I'm told is correct by people on the inside, one of the big reasons why this has been kept secret is that the National Security establishment, or the very small cabal of people who regard themselves as the gatekeepers, fear that even revealing the existence of a non-human intelligence would be a slippery slope to revealing technologies and capabilities that they don't want to disclose. I don't accept that; I really don't.

But in terms of whether I would ever sit down and reveal all—I mean, I know locations. I know the names of people who run the program. I am very familiar, even before I met Jake Barber, with people in a couple of very senior private aerospace companies who are heavily involved in the technology. I'm talking to people inside the program who are frustrated at the secrecy and who think that there is no good reason for this excessive secrecy.

One of the things that really shocks me, to be honest, Andy, is that I did a story on the Australian Secret Intelligence Service (ASIS), which is a bit like your MI6 or CIA, 31 years ago in 1994. One of the things we revealed was that Australian spies were involved in doing, as a Five Eyes partner, plausibly deniable favors for the Americans and the Brits. When the Brits or the Americans wanted an embassy burgled or a bug planted—sometimes in friendly nations' offices—you'd get the Aussies, Canadians, or New Zealanders to do it because, as junior partners in the Five Eyes, we were kind of above suspicion. The bad guys were often looking for the Brits or the Yanks, but they didn't look at the Aussies so much.

One of the things that really struck me while I was doing that work was the excessive over-secrecy. I spoke to a lot of spies, and it was my first introduction to the world of intelligence. I guess I'd read a lot of John le Carré and, you know, all my...

[15:15 -> 19:03]
Ross Coulthart:
I have always been fascinated by spy authors and the notion of incredible secrets being secured. However, many spies have told me that there is a ridiculous level of secrecy, with top-secret classifications even placed on newspaper reports sent back to ASIS. Often, this secrecy confounds our understanding of critical issues. I believe this was evident during 9/11. One of the things that happened was that I don't think the CIA knowingly withheld data to ensure a terrorist attack occurred on U.S. soil; it was simply bumbling incompetence. It was a group of operatives aware of alerts regarding certain suspected terrorists entering the U.S.—individuals who were behaving suspiciously, learning how to fly planes but not how to land them. All the warning signs were there, but the intelligence sharing was hindered by excessive secrecy and compartmentalization.

I don't harbor any dislike for these individuals; they are bureaucrats entrenched in a culture of secrecy that governs their lives. It's unfortunate because this focus on secrecy is what is causing problems regarding the UAP issue. I see no valid reason why the public cannot be informed about UAPs. It seems incredible that there has been such a concerted effort to maintain the secrecy of the program for so many years.

When I speak with significant figures within the program, some of whom have reached out to me from private aerospace companies, I often wonder why they don't just tell the truth. What are they hiding? I fully acknowledge that there are reasons for keeping certain information classified. For instance, if the U.S. has developed weaponry based on UAP technology that the world does not yet comprehend, then perhaps the world does not have an automatic right to know about it. I respect and understand the need for national security classifications; we implement these for very good reasons.

Hypothetically, if we could extract energy from the zero point or draw unlimited amounts of energy instantaneously from the quantum field,

and if that energy could be weaponized, it would be catastrophic if such a weapon fell into the hands of an extremist group that could use it to devastate a city or even a planet. These are the kinds of issues we are discussing. Therefore, judgments must be made regarding national security. There are dangerous individuals out there who, in the name of religion or some twisted belief system, think they can inflict harm. I understand why certain information is kept secret, and it is part of my responsibility as a journalist to navigate these judgment calls.

One of the most frustrating aspects has been the reactions from individuals on social media. I've received death threats and messages from people saying they would kill me because I am not revealing everything about the giant UFO. I have made it clear that there...

[19:06 -> 22:51]
Ross Coulthart:
There are very good national security reasons why I can't reveal the location of that object, and I never will. Ultimately, it's up to the U.S. to decide what it does. However, the public doesn't have a right to know about everything, and that's a very confronting reality. I don't accept, though, that there is any reason for the continued secrecy about non-human intelligence. I don't believe it's a slippery slope; if you reveal the existence of non-human intelligence, you inevitably reveal the existence of certain technologies or capabilities.

We are currently involved in a cold war, which is actually quite a hot little war, with the Chinese and the Russians for access to this technology, and that's really what's driving the secrecy. I think there are a bunch of individuals in suits who don't understand media, public interest, or accountability and oversight, and they've committed crimes to conceal this.

I mean, Eric Davis has come out in one of his speeches and named which presidents he thinks were briefed. Now, just think about this, Andy: if every Commander-in-Chief of the United States was not briefed—and I know that they haven't been—about this UAP topic since it was made secret by Eisenhower in the early 1950s, if there really has been a rationale based on a presidential emergency action directive signed by Eisenhower in 1954 that this should be kept secret, that is absolutely outrageous. It's an egregious breach of the constitutional rights of every Commander-in-Chief to know.

I mean, he is nominally the president, the Commander-in-Chief of the American military. What if it comes out that sections of the American military, in an act of absurd hubris, have taken it upon themselves to keep secret from presidents and from Congress the possession of technology that is not of this world? That is what we are talking about. Moreover, what if it comes out that propulsion systems, weaponry systems, and energy systems have been developed that have been kept secret from presidents?

I don't know how we can have a rational conversation with Russia or

China and expect them to behave rationally towards us if each side knows that the other is working secretly on alien technology. That's what we're discussing here. It's really interesting; my country, Australia, is looking at spending a minimum of half a trillion dollars—around 400 billion—over many years on buying Virginia-class nuclear attack submarines. It's a huge budgetary item for my country.

I've had conversations with ministers in our government where I've said, "Are you aware of allegations that the United States is secretly working on non-human technology?" They giggle nervously because they think that it's absurd for their ally to tell them this. What does it say about international alliances like the Five Eyes Alliance if it eventually emerges that no Australian defense minister and very few British defense ministers have been briefed on the UAP program? That's the broader issue here. That's what's holding the United States back from telling the truth. They've dug themselves into a hole with this lie, and they're going to cling to it with their fingernails for as long as they can.

[22:54 -> 26:30]
Ross Coulthart:
Maintaining this lie and running influence operations on the web is a challenge because they just can't bring themselves to deal with the fallout that's going to happen when this eventually explodes wide open, which it will. The reason it will is because there are patriots, like Jake Barber, who believe the public has a right to know.

Interviewer:
So, like you say, you've got Jake sitting there, and I was surprised in that interview how much came out. I thought the first ten minutes would be what I would expect in my head from a firsthand whistleblower involved in a UAP crash retrieval. Then it goes into psychic abilities and biological effects. It's almost three or four interviews in one, all in a very concise amount of time. But we're dealing with a generation, I think, where you need punchy news items. You can't do a three-hour exposé on that because people switch off. I mean, the younger generation is someone who's almost 39, so it packed a lot into 40 minutes.

Ross Coulthart:
The size of the stuff really interlinks with what you're saying about this cover-up and the concern about a slippery slope. You mentioned the weaponry falling into the wrong hands. If you come out and surely a very early aspect of any official disclosure—shout out to Bryce Ain and Richard Dolan's ad book—after disclosure, we find out and have confirmation that there is this technology, a non-human presence. The conversation comes up: okay, so humanity's got psychic abilities. How do you stop that from becoming a weapon used by extremist organizations or individuals? Is that not another reason they would look at to say this needs to stay secret? Because no matter what comes out, it's going to end up in those dangerous territories.

Ross Coulthart:

Yeah, exactly. I mean, you're helping me make my argument. There are things about the psychic program that I haven't revealed. Yes, and again, let's just have a look at the psionic program. I mean, it's a matter of public record, and I say this to people who scoff at the idea that psionics could possibly be real. I noticed the usual suspects pompously asserting on social media that it can't be, therefore it isn't. You know, it's that scientific dogmatism that says, "Oh, this can't possibly be true; therefore, it isn't true." This is nonsense. This guy's bullshitting for that very reason. If you go back and do the work—and this is the thing that I think there's a crisis happening in the world right now, maybe we've all got short attention spans and we don't read as much as we used to—just go to the CIA Library Online, put in "Stargate," and read all the Stargate archives. They do not show that there was no interest in psychic phenomena in the CIA. What they show is exactly the opposite. They show that Dr. Hal Puthoff, working with a private corporation back in the 1970s, brought in people like Yuri Geller, the Israeli psychic. It's funny, actually; I ran Yuri on my show a few weeks ago, and I had people emailing me, basically getting really angry that I was giving a forum to Yuri Geller because they said, "He's a proven fraudster. He's been proven to be a liar and a fraudster. What are you doing, denigrating your reputation by putting him up online?" Yet, if you read the CIA's own files, they show that they did a controlled blind test with Yuri Geller, where he was able to demonstrate his psychic abilities.

[26:32 -> 29:59]
Ross Coulthart:
In a controlled environment, even when he was inside a Faraday cage, there was no possibility that he could be communicated with electromagnetically. Something allowed him to connect in a way that enabled him to understand what was on those Zener cards—the psychic reading cards. This is verified in the CIA's own files. What does it say about us as a society and how manipulable we are? How easily public opinion can be manipulated? When John Ronson, an author whom I respect and admire, wrote that fabulous book *The Men Who Stare at Goats*, which was eventually made into a George Clooney movie, it rightly poked fun at the absurdities involving the CIA's and the military's secret program to create psychic warriors. You can have a lot of fun with that and take it lightly, but the simple fact is that it's real.

I had one of the early conversations we had journalistically when we first sat down and watched Jake's story. We discussed whether we should hold back on the psionic aspects because people might find it too confronting. It's funny; I think we have to be open and honest with our audience as much as possible. If we know about the psionics issue, we can't rightly withhold it just because it's so confronting. So, yes, I believe we've given people a lot to think about. There's a lot on the plate to absorb.

But ask yourself: would we really put a story like that to air unless we had been able to verify it independently with multiple sources? One of the reasons this has taken so long is that I've spent a lot of time

behind the scenes verifying, including with people still inside the program, that this psychic connection with the phenomena is very much part of the process. Just because people find it confronting—well, grow up. If you find it too confronting, go and play tiddlywinks or something. I don't care. I'm a journalist; I'm not an entertainer. I'm not here to make you feel happy about the film that you're watching. I'm here to distribute verified information so that the public can make its own judgment.

It's kind of weird, actually. It's been really nasty. I hope it was just some infantile teenage boy and not an intelligence service. To give you an idea of how vile some of the acrimony is, I logged on Monday morning after having been asleep all night after the story aired, and into my inbox flooded the most vile, awful, violent pornography. It was like—I hope it was some teenage boy in his mother's basement—but it was really awful stuff. Someone had subscribed me to hundreds and hundreds of sex sites. It was clearly designed to annoy me, but instead, what it made me feel was that if it's someone in the Gatekeepers in the Legacy program, then all it demonstrates is that they've got something to hide and that they're worried. If it's some person who's angry with me for not revealing all, then...
[30:03 -> 33:42]
Ross Coulthart:
All it does in my mind is reinforce how plural they are in their behavior. I don't think people realize that in journalism, we have to make these balanced judgments all the time about what we do and don't run. Just because the psionic thing is confronting doesn't mean that we wouldn't report it. We have an obligation, I think, to report what we can report without jeopardizing sources or methods and means. There's a lot that I haven't revealed yet about the psionic program, which I'm still working on because I want to be able to tell the story. I've stated for the record many times, and funny enough, I got a couple of emails about it. I reviewed the piece for the podcast and the interview and shared my thoughts on various aspects.

There's this idea that there are camps of people who are ready for the "woo" and people who aren't ready for the "woo." Now, I'm very much in the camp that you can't definitively say either way that no one is ready or that everyone is ready for it because both those statements just aren't correct. You can go into a YouTube comment section and see that some people are there for it, while some people aren't. I think the public would be the same; some people would accept it, and some wouldn't. But like you say, if the information is there, you have done the background checking, and you feel that's valid information to put out, I enjoy the conversation.

However, I see why some of the public steps back from it—not just your piece, but that experience or abduction conversation. I've seen that face-to-face. The public is entitled to step back from it. One of the people who appears in the story, and he's the real deal, is Lieutenant Colonel John Blitch. John talks openly in his full interview, which we'll be putting up shortly along with Jake's, Don's, and Fred's. He discusses how he was abducted, and I don't know what to make of the

abduction phenomenon. It's the first time I've ever put an abduction story up on any program.

What blew me away when I started doing this story is that I've met a lot of people in intelligence and elite levels of the defense community investigating the story. I feel very privileged and honored to have met decent men and women who are patriots and believe the world has the right to know this information. I'm profoundly struck by how spiritually affected all of them are by what they've reported and what they've seen. This is one of the reasons why they think the world has a right to know about this; they believe it's improper that the full understanding they are beginning to develop of this phenomenon isn't being shared with everybody.

The most exciting aspect of the phenomenon that I have seen to date is the psionic phenomenon. It's the possibility, as Gary Nolan has suggested in our program, that what the human mind might be capable of doing is connecting in some way—that consciousness might be non-local. What we're talking about here is the capacity to connect with something that lies at the heart of non-material science, but which is as real as material science. This notion of another realm that it is possible for humans to connect with in some way is, I think, the most beautiful and profound aspect of this discussion.

[33:43 -> 37:24]
Ross Coulthart:
I find this phenomenon absolutely fascinating and intriguing. I haven't reached any conclusions about it, but to come back to your question, the people I've spoken to in the military and the intelligence community—far beyond Jake and his team—have no idea who I'm talking to. I keep my engagements very compartmentalized. Everyone is profoundly affected by this phenomenon, and they think it's obscene that there is this continuing cover-up. However, they do believe that there are things that should be properly protected.

I may have missed the context of your question, so if I haven't answered it, please feel free to ask again. I just wanted to emphasize that what has struck me most in dealing with the people I've been talking to in order to verify this story is that you can't ignore the psionic issue; it's intrinsic to the experience of the phenomenon. Yes, it's confronting, but I'm not here to convince you. I'm just here to say, "Well, I'm sorry. Get over it. Grow up and move on."

If people think they can't deal with it, then they can choose to do something else. You don't have to understand this issue, but eventually—and I think it will come sooner rather than later—there is going to be an unveiling. I'm excited about it because of what it says about humans and our role in the universe. It goes well beyond the simple question of whether we're talking about a non-human intelligence; it perhaps goes to the explanation of who we are. Where did Homo sapiens come from? It raises questions about whether we survive death and whether there is something about the human mind that allows us to communicate externally in ways we don't yet understand.

Everyone I'm talking to is thrilled and excited by the implications of that. Even the warriors, particularly the warriors, are crestfallen that the emphasis of the superpowers is to focus on it as weaponry. Essentially, a large part of the reason why the public isn't being told about this—or why presidents aren't being informed, which I think is outrageous—is that they've tried to develop military superiority.

Without going into too much detail, and I won't be pushed beyond this point, I am aware of weaponry that has been developed which goes far beyond what we understand as our most powerful weaponry on this planet. There are terrifying implications in this technology. I can understand why a general, sitting there making a decision about disclosure or not, might very well err on the side of secrecy because it's just too hard to think about being open and public about it.

However, the mistake they've made—the crime involved in what they've done—is significant. I don't think people should be prosecuted criminally; perhaps those who have committed murder should be. But the simple fact is that the people who have conspired in the cover-up need to be understood. They did this for entirely patriotic reasons; they believed they were doing the right thing. But to withhold information from the Commander-in-Chief of successive administrations...

[37:27 -> 40:55]
Ross Coulthart:
Presidencies going back decades have chosen to withhold information that is pertinent to their understanding of international relations, particularly regarding the motivations of their Russian and Chinese rivals. To actually withhold that evidence is a disgrace, and that lies at the heart of all of this. The excessive secrecy now seems more about covering up for those responsible for the concealment than for any reasonable justification for why the public should not know.

You had Dr. Jim Sagala on the second part of that Fallout piece, and I spoke to Jim a few months ago. He's great to talk to. You mentioned, as you've kind of touched on, that you're not quite sure how to report on abductions, which is why you haven't really done so yet. It's a very difficult aspect of this topic to report on. Have you ever spoken to anyone behind the scenes who has mentioned any programs related to abductions?

Look, I've heard the MyLab allegations, the idea that there's alleged collusion between the military and non-human intelligences. If I had proof of that, I would present it. However, I've never really seen hard evidence. I can tell you, though, that I do think there is a commonality in reporting. Some people have spoken about it publicly, like Jim Sovan. I don't think it's any coincidence that people like Jay Stratton, who was the head of the UAP Task Force at one stage, and Jim Sovan, a guy who worked at the very highest levels of the CIA and took an interest in the UAP subject, have had encounters with the phenomenon that have often been quite terrifying.

These encounters often include what they would probably consider abductions. I admire and commend Colonel John Blitch for having the

courage to talk about what he says happened to him. I think there's an issue there; I just don't know the answer. That's why this needs to be investigated. The continued secrecy is absurd.

I've genuinely waited, Andy, and periodically through my research, I have conversations where I push back my chair and ask the person I'm speaking with if there is any good reason for the public not to know about this. A couple of them have said that some of it is terrifying. There is the issue of abductions, human mutilations, and animal mutilations. Clearly, not all non-humans are friendly. But ultimately, at the heart of it, why should we be withheld from knowledge of something that I personally take for granted?

I know there are people like Michael Shermer out there who are skeptical, and God bless him, Mick West, who I think serves a very useful purpose. Skepticism is important, but not debunking. I think he comes dangerously close to debunking at the moment, and he's losing credibility because he's becoming a debunker.

[40:57 -> 44:43]
Ross Coulthart:
Rather than being a skeptic, I think it's important that these people express their views. Ultimately, it doesn't really matter if they don't engage with the possibility that this is real. At some stage, there is going to be a public reckoning. I don't think the president will ever sit there and say there are non-human intelligences. I believe there will eventually be a slow reveal, a gradual admission. Even talking to people in the Pentagon or the intelligence community, I sense there is a growing realization that it's untenable for this cover-up to continue; it's becoming a bit of a joke.

One of the reasons I'm so exhausted at the moment is that, in addition to the personal attacks I've been receiving, there are literally tens of thousands of people reaching out to me, including new sources within the information community. These are people who have been involved in the psionic program and the legacy program who want to share their experiences. It's as if the floodgates have opened. I'm already in discussions with individuals at senior levels in Congress and people from the Trump administration who want to know the truth. I can hardly believe it because if anyone had told me that Donald Trump would be the agent of disclosure, I would have laughed.

However, if anything fits into his narrative—true or not—about a deep state, it's this idea of a cabal of people in intelligence and defense who have taken it upon themselves to commit crimes to conceal a monstrous secret, the biggest secret in human history. It's astonishing to me because the Trump administration does seem to be moving toward disclosure. I'm receiving signals from people in the administration who are saying they want to hear from these witnesses, they want to engage, and they want this evidence. They are going to be asking questions. It's happening.

One day, I am reserving a very fine bottle of malt whisky for that opportunity when an admission is made that firmly puts the debunkers

in their place. I believe that day is not too far away. The interview was one aspect of what was covered and shown; the other aspect was the video. We had a 12C clip revealed to us, showing a long rope object with some kind of canopy or tarp that looked egg-shaped. From a distance, you're looking at it through what appears to be some kind of night vision or green effect over the camera, depending on the sensor or equipment used.

I always say, show me a video any day of the week, and I don't care whether I can conclude what it is. This one, like most, I can't definitively say what it is, but just by its nature, it is intriguing, similar to the gimbal and go-fast videos. I will always look at an interesting video, and this one was certainly interesting. Can you elaborate on the video, Ross? For example, there are many opinions circulating, including those who think it looks anomalous or those who are unsure. Some are suggesting it could be a fuel bladder or a balloon. You've done the digging and the due diligence.

[44:44 -> 48:43]
Ross Coulthart:
What is there that you can say you are pretty certain you're not showing something like that? The bottom line is that Jake Barber has given four and a half hours of testimony to a skiff in the Senate—very, very detailed testimony. That testimony includes extraordinary detail about the so-called "egg" and the so-called "8gon." I'm leaving it at that. I'm not in a position to argue publicly about the merits of armchair critics making their criticisms. I mean, let them criticize; I just don't care. The bottom line is I know a lot of detail behind the scenes, and that detail is being provided to the right people. People are just going to have to wait and see.

What I would point you to is that the egg phenomenon has been a consistent part of UAP and UFO reporting going back many decades. It is a very well-known object and one that has been extensively researched. The United States has known a great deal about it. You can actually go into defense department archives and see reports from Los Alamos when they were testing early nuclear weapons, and scientists reported egg-shaped objects over the Los Alamos test range. This is not something new.

I don't think any of the very detailed information that's been provided to the right authorities about the egg or the 8gon is in any way a surprise. What is surprising, however, is that one of the issues with videos is that I'm skeptical, in this day and age, as to whether any video would ever satisfy anybody. In the world of AI, it's now possible to create any kind of image. I was watching one the other day where Barack Obama is sitting next to President Trump, and I think Obama starts walloping him, and they begin to have a fistfight. It looks incredibly real, but it didn't happen.

The fact that it's so easy now to render fake videos means I receive hundreds, even thousands, of them a week. I don't know what's real and what's fake. There was a guy who emailed me this morning saying he has

over 400 videos of non-human intelligence that he wants to share with me. What's the point? What can I do with that? There's absolutely nothing I can do with it. You can't verify a video just by looking at it; you have to consider the provenance and the context of what we know about ongoing operations.

What I can tell you is that full information about the egg and the 8gon has been provided to the Senate. Interestingly, the Senate has had that information since mid to late last year. One of the issues for Mr. Barber is: what are they doing with it? Why hasn't there been any movement on it? This is the issue. A lot of whistleblowers right now are sitting, talking to me and to other people, including individuals like James Fox. Interestingly enough, even though people love to hate him and he seems to hate me at the moment, Steven Greer is also involved. There are people sitting on the sidelines who are waiting to...

[48:46 -> 52:16]
Ross Coulthart:
Whistleblowers are coming forward, and they are waiting to see how Jake Barber is treated. What Jake has done is incredibly courageous because others are waiting to see whether their evidence will be taken seriously before they come forward. Frankly, at the moment, Congress has been a miserable failure. The politicians are weak—weak people who are terrified of upsetting the arms industry and the private aerospace industry. They are not willing to take risks.

What they have done is use their staffers. There is a standard strategy within Congress where, whenever people like Jake Barber come forward—and he is just a fraction of those who have come forward—they tend to give evidence only to the staffers. Often, these staffers are actually legal counsel, which provides the politicians with distance from the allegations and a degree of plausible deniability.

I recently had a conversation with a very senior senator who should know about the Jake Barber allegations, and he hadn't been informed. He was angry that he didn't know about it. I think part of the problem here is a trust issue for whistleblowers. Staffers in Congress need to realize that it is no longer good enough to insulate their politicians from this story. It is going to come out. They need to fully brief their members and let them know what they have because there is a significant amount of information that has already been provided in classified settings within Congress.

If politicians were properly briefed about it, it would be very difficult for them to say what Dr. Sean Kirkpatrick has, I believe, disingenuously asserted in public—that there has been no firsthand evidence. That is not true. There has been firsthand evidence presented by multiple witnesses, including Jake Barber, regarding the egg and the Aon. There has been very detailed information about the locations of facilities and the types of craft involved.

You have to ask yourself why there is this inertia. I think part of it is that self-interest is dictating what people do about this issue

throughout Congress. It's a kind of watching game; everyone is looking around to see where everyone else is in the race. If it appears that the tide is going to turn—which it is, especially with this wonderful Age of Disclosure documentary that I am privy to—there will be momentum. We are at that moment now in Congress where, frankly, there has been cowardly behavior from senior politicians and their staffers, along with a lack of willingness to engage because they are terrified of this UAP subject.

Part of it is that they do not want to bite the hand that feeds them, as the private aerospace and military-industrial complex provides them with enormous campaign donations. They make decisions about where to allocate their factories and bases, which benefits individual politicians significantly.

[52:18 -> 55:00]
Ross Coulthart:
People are manipulated, but they are also terrified of this subject because they fear the stigma associated with it. It's pretty clear now that this is real. As a politician, especially within the government, you can't continue denying that there is an issue here worthy of investigation. Yes, there are UAPs, and yes, there is a non-human intelligence. There is very likely technology that has been recovered by the United States.

I still wonder, for example, when David Grusch referred obliquely in his interview with me in 2023 to agreements—are there some agreements that we don't know about that are constraining the U.S. from speaking about this? Frankly, I've been waiting for someone in the National Security establishment, and I talk to a lot of people, to reach out to me and say, "Hey, look, Ross, you need to know this. This is why we can't talk about this." That hasn't happened.

Normally, I don't want to sound self-important, but when I'm working on a story, I sometimes let it be known that I'm looking into it. If there's any good reason why you think I can't report it, tell me. Quite often, I accept that there are things that are national security sensitive that can't properly be revealed. The interesting thing is that nobody has reached out, and I think it's basically because there is no dark reason for why the secret shouldn't be revealed.

Interviewer:
Ross, I mentioned I was inundated with listener questions for you, but what I've done is condense it down to about five or six main points for a quick-fire round. I promised you an hour, so I'll go a little longer, but I really do have other commitments this morning. Let's get them done.

Ross Coulthart:
Sure, let's fly through it.

Interviewer:
The Age of Disclosure trailer—just a few words from you on that.

Ross Coulthart:
The Age of Disclosure is going to be a profoundly important movie. There are people speaking out who have never spoken out before, and they are making no secret that there is a reality of non-human intelligence engaging with this planet. It shows the definitive lie to the Pentagon's position; it is now untenable for the Defense Department to maintain the cover-up.

Interviewer:
Have you come across any ideas in your research that these entities or objects are related to old gods, such as the Greeks?

Ross Coulthart:
Like everybody else, I've heard the speculation. I don't know one way or the other.

Interviewer:
When did you last have a personal experience with this phenomenon?

Ross Coulthart:
I had my first experience with the phenomenon of any substantive nature during the research for this story. I've witnessed people who are summoning craft. As for the latest leaked video, the egg video you released—was that a shot across the bow or bona fide evidence? That was a suggestion from Matthew P. with Matt Ford on the Good Trouble show.

I don't think any video is ever prima facie evidence. We live in an era where videos are frankly so forgeable and so easily hoaxed that it's kind of pointless. I think the most important evidence in this story is the firsthand evidence of Jake Barber, who is willing to put himself under scrutiny by the various oversight bodies.

[55:48 -> 59:02]
Ross Coulthart:
Committees and agencies are already reaching out to people in Congress and the Trump Administration, preparing to speak with them in detail. I think it's incredibly significant that his allegations are backed by firsthand colleagues who are prepared to publicly identify themselves. That's significant evidence. The critics over the years who have said there are no firsthand witnesses—where's the evidence? We're providing you with firsthand witnesses. Treat these people with respect because if you don't, nobody else is going to come forward. That's why you're seeing this intelligence community-driven, bot-driven attack on social media. I take a lot of what I see on social media with a huge grain of salt because people don't realize how easily manipulation is happening through algorithms on platforms like Facebook, TikTok, and Twitter. There are people in the intelligence community running influence operations through social media, trying to change public opinion, drive skepticism, and shut this story down. Let them try.

Interviewer:
Ross, what are you working on right now?

Ross Coulthart:
There are a thousand new leads that I have from this story. I've been working on other stories as well. Obviously, I'm looking very closely at the psionics issue. I'm already in contact with many people all over America and around the world who are aware of the psionics issue. I have absolutely no doubt that there is a link between human consciousness and the phenomenon, and that's where my research is taking me.

Interviewer:
Ross, I just want to say thank you for being part of the Encounter series that just went out on 1Day Plus, Apple, and Spotify. I interviewed you for that randomly, so folks can hear you on that as well. A selfish plug, but as always, mate, thank you so much for your time, and well done with the research. I look forward to seeing those extended interviews coming out soon.

Ross Coulthart:
Thank you, Andy. Those extended interviews will be posted very shortly. On the day we're talking, they should be out within a few hours. That is all for this episode. Thank you very much for tuning in. Don't forget to leave the podcast a review on your chosen platform. Apple and Spotify reviews make a huge difference to the algorithm. If you're checking the show out on YouTube, please don't forget to like and leave a comment here as well. Any sharing you do is very much appreciated on any social media platform. Finally, you can listen to the shows ad-free and sponsor-free in their glorious full versions by subscribing for less than the price of a coffee on Apple or Spotify. Just search for That UFO Podcast Premium. On YouTube, you can sign up and be a member, or you can do that through patreon.com. Thank you very much for listening.

Ross Coulthart:
Folks, it wasn't a Tic Tac and not quite a saucer—more like a hub designed by a little barrow and quite steampunk-like. It hovered right inside my window, and when I shoved out the screen, he made it an issue. I don't think he expected me to see him, but I'd had some champagne and smoked.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mZIMYXaX7P8&t=13s
[00:00 -> 03:44]
Matt Ford:
Why is the government covering this up? Last week, former Airman Jake Barber revealed in an exclusive interview with Ross Colart on News Nation that he worked under non-official cover as part of an elite team of special operators tasked with recovering crashed military aircraft and UFOs for the government. Dr. John Blitch, a former Green Beret and Special Mission Unit Troop Commander, joined us to address questions about whistleblower Jake Barber. This week, Dr. Blitch returns in part two of our interview, exploring the larger question: why has the government hidden this for decades? Let's get to it.

[Music]

Hi, I'm Matt Ford. Welcome to The Good Trouble Show. Today, we air part two of our interview with Dr. John Blitch, where we explore perhaps why the government has gone to such great lengths to hide the UAP UFO phenomenon, not only from you but from Congress. But first, please hit the subscribe and thumbs up buttons on YouTube, leave a comment, and let us know what you think about this episode. You can also find us on X at Good Trouble Show and everywhere else at The Good Trouble Show. You can also find us wherever you enjoy your podcasts. Search for The Good Trouble Show with Matt Ford. If you would like to chip in to keep the lights on, you can become a Patreon member of The Good Trouble Show by going to www.patreon.com/thegoodtroubleshow. Sign up to help us keep bringing you amazing shows like the one we have today.

Okay, here is part two of our interview with retired Lieutenant Colonel John Blitch. Enjoy.

Dr. Blitch, I understand that you are actually working on two books. What can you tell us about those?

Dr. John Blitch:
Yeah, so the first book is titled "The Killer Rescue Robot Knot," and the word "killer" is meant to convey a specific idea. It's the case for robot-assisted rescue in the modern world. This book chronicles my journey through graduate school after I left the action world and became a former action guy, funded by your taxpayer dollars at the Colorado School of Mines. It details my transition into robotics, diverging from the classic military "killer robot" narrative into rescue robotics. That's what the first book is about.

I ended up having to split it into two books because there was so much content, and my editors and coaches advised me that I had bitten off more than I could chew. The second book picks up after I turned over my robots to Sergeant First Class Dave Platt, another phenomenal hero, at the World Trade Center. That's the second book.

The other book deals specifically with the UAP subject, focusing on the abduction phenomenon. It's called "The Abduction Amnesty Knot." The word "knot" in both titles symbolizes the attempt to capture very

complex problems, as knots can be intricate and difficult to untie. They can be used to take a life with a noose or to rescue a life with a bowline knot.

[03:47 -> 07:26]
Dr. John Blich:
The bowline knot is unquestionably the knot that has saved more lives than any other because it doesn't slip and compress on your chest when you lift someone up off a cliff or into a C. So that's what they both are. The second point I think I've already made during this interview is that the government has to come clean. They haven't been able to protect us, and that's why this is all a secret. Once they do come clean, I'm willing to forgive them; I'm willing to grant them amnesty, but they have to admit that they weren't able to do what they were supposed to do.

So, let's talk about Jake Barber's claims regarding what happened to him and what he observed, including the physical injuries that he and his teammates were subjected to during this incident. What about his story do you find the most disturbing?

Oh, well, this gets right back to the whole corrosive leadership aspect of things, where you hang your guys out to dry. You separate them so they can't commiserate. One of the most important aspects now I'm getting into the cognitive neuroscience side of things is resilience, and one of its key components is cohesion. When the Air Force separates these guys, when AFOSI separates people and harasses them or exposes them to what seems pretty clear to me to be a radiological issue, I defer to the experts like Gary Nolan. His point was spot on from a scientific basis.

You have four guys who are suffering; it's not just one individual who got too close to a directed energy source. That's a little too easy to dismiss in terms of quantum assessment or probabilities. It is detestable to me that someone allowed them to carry that equipment and set it up.

What Jake tried to say was that his higher headquarters, or whatever, must not have known since he was a civilian at that time. I'm not as willing to let them off the hook. As a five-time commander, it's your responsibility to ensure your troops are safe. There are all sorts of ways to use robots to put a detector on that equipment and set it up before sending humans in. The technology is laughably cheap, relatively speaking.

So, I hold his chain of command accountable for his injuries. That's what I'm blowing the whistle on the corrosive aspect of this where we are intervening and interfering with the...

[07:28 -> 11:06]
Dr. John Blich:
The natural development of a cohesive unit is another example of good leadership, and Amalala is calling that out. I think the world of him and his courage for putting his career at risk with his testimony.

That's the kind of leader we need in today's environment, not someone who covers their tracks. We need leaders who interrogate, separate, and get the most out of their teams. I find that abhorrent in whatever little piece of leadership training I ve encountered.

It boggles my mind that the Department of Defense, the intelligence community, or anyone for that matter would throw American veterans under the bus. Let's take anomalous health injuries as an example. I'm good friends with Olivia Troy, who worked for Mike Pence. She is a victim of what people refer to as Havana Syndrome, or what we now call anomalous health injuries. During the entire past administration, they said there was nothing to see here. I believe the CIA put out a report, or perhaps the ODNI, stating that there was nothing going on, while all these individuals who have worked for the State Department and various three-letter agencies have been injured by this phenomenon. Olivia still suffers from this to this day.

Finally, at the very end of the last administration, the Biden administration acknowledged that maybe there is something to it. However, from my perspective, this seems to be standard operating procedure when our veterans are injured, whether it s from being close to UAPs or whatever this Havana Syndrome or anomalous health injuries are. It appears that when the people who serve our country are physically harmed, our country turns its back on them. These individuals have given everything to serve, some have given their all, and I just don t understand it.

I don t know if it s a cancer that has infected our democracy or our leadership. What do you think? I can t wrap my head around it. That s the reason I wrote this book, Matt, to try to answer that question. It s one approach, one potential answer. A lot of folks, especially in the ethology world, once they get in there and start making money, they think they have all the answers. I have one potential answer, and there are many very good people in government and in the military-industrial complex who are dedicated to defending us. However, there is a threat, and it s a very narrow slip.

[11:11 -> 15:01]
Dr. John Blich:
The vast majority of these interactions are benign, less than malevolent. I believe that as far back as 1947 and beyond, as Carl Nell said, it is well known within certain circles of the program that we have been visited by a wide variety of other species. Let's be clear: if you can bend space-time to travel faster than light, then you can also bend time. Einstein showed us that the fabric of space-time can be manipulated. If you skip from one point to another, you are bending time.

There are plenty of Star Trek episodes where they accidentally go back in time while warping along. The reason I bring this up, Matt, is that I do believe there has been an undeclared state of war. The phenomena surrounding World War II and the Foo Fighters were discovered, especially in the context of the abduction phenomena. I don't know how much time you have to discuss that, but to get to the point: there are

lights in the sky and even interdimensional beings. Some of these objects might be carrying beings or non-human intelligences (NHI).

This shift from the term "extraterrestrial" or "interdimensional" to NHI is to draw a bigger circle around the concept. The diagram needs to be larger because we must consider various entities, including robots and artificial life forms. In the back of Richard Dolan's most recent book, "Agendas," he devotes a couple of pages to descriptions of these beings. Many have common features, while others are radically different, which is what I would expect given the trillions of stars and galaxies.

Here's the thing: all it takes is one abduction to be real, and that is terrifying. There is nothing I can do to prevent my kids from being taken out of their bedroom or off their bike in the middle of the day. The sleep paralysis excuse is ridiculous; it might cover about 5% of cases, but it doesn't explain incidents like Travis Walton being gone for five days and returning with a beard. There are many aspects to consider.

I want to give the military-industrial complex some credit, but for me to forgive them, they must admit that they have been involved in these phenomena.

[15:03 -> 18:44]
Dr. John Blich:
We are incapable of preventing abductions from occurring around the globe. It's not just us. We knew when the Wall came down that we had the former Soviet Union and all those similar situations. Their nuclear weapons were shut down; they were put on short countdown and then stopped at the last minute. The abduction phenomenon really breaks it wide open for me. The irony, or what I call the paradox, is that for humanity to forgive them, they have to come clean and say, "Hey, kids." A lot of folks, like Michael Shellberg, have expressed that they are sick and tired of being treated like children by the shadow government or whatever it is. I'm sick of it too.

It feels like we are now the renegade teenagers. Thanks to the internet, we have access to a lot of information, and we are not going to be kept in the dark anymore. You have to come clean. People do get raped in college; people do get murdered. We've been protecting you, saying there's nothing to worry about, and 90% or 99% of people go away to college, get their first job, or move across the country and get married, and they're fine.

But that 1%, the victims of rape and abduction, you have to address it. You don't send the USS Enterprise out without photon torpedoes and phasers; that's ridiculous. I hope that helps you make sense of it. Good people, morally straight people like Eagle Scouts, for instance who took all those oaths, they stayed good people. Then they were exposed to this notion that, "Oh crap, we're being kidnapped; we're being used as lab rats."

Then you feel justified in saying, "Okay, sorry taxpayer, we have to

charge you $3,000 for a toilet seat on a C-177." That's how this stuff gets funded. Now you start to realize, what if I were in that same position and I was given irrefutable proof of abductions while they were occurring, with video or body parts from human beings collected after a firefight? That sounds pretty horrific to me.

Maybe treating these people to keep this secret even going so far as to eliminate some folks I can see where people might rationalize that as collateral damage in this sort of intergalactic war we've declared on anything flying up there, which is ridiculous. It's a bad way to go, and we could talk about that some other time. But that's my take, and I'm hoping that helps you resolve it a little bit. Good people can do bad things with the rationalization that the needs of the many outweigh the needs of the few. So, the one or two people that you treat...

[18:46 -> 22:27]
Dr. John Blich:
There is a significant concern regarding collateral damage, and I wanted to touch on that. You mentioned earlier the case of David Grusch, who had his life threatened. You also mentioned that Jake and the others who came to meet you on your boat were worried that perhaps you were part of the military-industrial complex there to take them out. In your mind, is there a difference in the motivations of those involved in the Deep Legacy crash retrieval program, particularly contractors like Northrop Grumman or Lockheed Martin? What would their incentive be to threaten the lives of these former special operators if you were in their shoes?

A lot of this boils down to compartmentalization. The big secret is the abduction phenomenon. If you are not read into that, if you haven't been given evidence of it, and you're just told that this person is a traitor with a dossier to support that claim, you might think, "Here s their oath, and they violated it; take them out." I can see how someone could justify that.

Imagine if you were in a situation similar to the My Lai Massacre in Vietnam, where someone said, "I was just following orders." That mindset can lead to a justification for immoral acts. This is why compartmentalization is such a problem; it allows individuals to carry out horrifically immoral actions while believing they are morally justified.

That s why I am a strong advocate for disclosure. I am also willing to grant amnesty if they come clean. The difficulty lies in the fact that senior Department of Defense officials or scientists working on weapons meant to protect our global citizens must admit failure. They have to acknowledge that they have not been able to prevent abductions.

For instance, Travis Walton was taken, and Linda Napolitano was abducted from her 13-story apartment in downtown New York City. These are horrific realities to confront. Admitting failure reflects a lack of leadership. Good leadership involves vulnerability; it means

saying, "You know what, I made a mistake. I should have done better." That kind of accountability is crucial. This is why I continue to emphasize the issue of corrosive leadership, especially when individuals commit heinous acts without understanding the context behind them.

[22:30 -> 26:19]
Dr. John Blich:
It is completely reasonable to assume that security contractors or individuals in the government, in order to protect this secret and this program, would resort to kinetic options. I think we all recognize that there are many military decisions where collateral damage has been rationalized. I believe the individuals who ordered the hit on Dave, Lou, and others considered them collateral damage.

If I heard you correctly, you were suggesting that Lou, Dave, and others were on a kill list. I find no inconsistency with that claim. I can imagine, and I try to put myself in the shoes of my fellow military personnel now retired who are part of the military-industrial complex or this so-called cabal. I want to be compassionate towards them. There s a Christian perspective that resonates here, with the idea of forgiveness, as in, "Forgive them, God, for they know not what they do."

I want to empathize with them and give them an opportunity to come clean. If they could just explain why they were doing this why they were reverse engineering these technologies, why they were even shooting these objects down it would help. They might argue that they need to capture these technologies to defend ourselves.

I think the reason why Lou and Dave are still alive may be that these reverse engineering programs have been partially successful. Perhaps we can shoot some of these objects down. The question now is, how do we identify friend or foe? Who are the bad actors that are abducting people? I don t have the answers.

Neuroscientifically, I can tell you that I was not a great scientist. After I graduated, I went to work at the Air Force Research Lab, and I lost all faith and confidence because I became aware of certain truths. When I arrived at Wright-Patterson, I wasn t granted access to the big vault or allowed to read in on all the classified information. I was shoved into a corner. In fact, I discuss this in my book. I was encouraged to work from home this was three years before COVID. They suggested I stay at home and come to the base occasionally.

There s much more to that story that needs unpacking, but my underlying premise is that I am willing to forgive those in this cabal, but they need to come clean.

[26:22 -> 29:59]
Dr. John Blich:
It speaks volumes when these special operators come to meet you and they are concerned for their lives. You know, Lou or Dave are concerned for their lives. These individuals are not civilians; they

possess Counter Intelligence training. Do you feel that if they can all be touched?

In terms of fighting the fear, here's the thing I would tell anyone coming after me: number one, use the Jason Bourne analogy. Look at what they do to us. Who's coming after you? When do you lose your utility? It only takes two pounds of pressure to pull a trigger. I could be 85 years old and still take someone out. So, who's coming after you down the line?

Here's the thing: if you threaten me or my kids and tell me that I have to stop doing what I'm doing or you're going to kill my kids, that is on you. You're not going to use me to guilt-trip me into doing something harmful to my kids or my brothers and sisters in arms. When you meet your maker whatever that is, whatever concept of a grand deity or your next lifetime you will be accountable for that.

That's what I told them out on the boat, and it seems like that might have had a positive impact. So, for anyone out there who is doing the hitman-for-money thing, I can understand how they can justify it, but it's a justification; it's not an adequate rationale. They will have to deal with that somewhere down the line.

Have you heard of instances where not only the person on the program was threatened, but it was also made explicitly clear that their family would be a target as well?

Absolutely, yes. Many on this side of the UFO literature have reported that. You believe that would certainly be true?

Yes, but again, there's a ratio of good to bad that you hear in the media and see in these books. Here s the statistic, Matt, and I hope your readers look this up themselves: less than 1% of violent crime across the board not just in the United States, but globally is committed by less than 1% of the population.

Now, I had it wrong earlier; it s actually 99% of violent crime is committed by less than 1% of the population. There s also less violent crime, where you re just ripping people off. That s not good either; thou shalt not steal. So, it s not good, but it s not the violent stuff. You literally have to be a...

[30:01 -> 33:43]
Dr. John Blich:
It takes a certain kind of psychopath to do that type of thing, or you have to have been brainwashed or so wounded psychologically that you can rationalize in your head that this person deserves to die. We have to push back against the fear; the only fear is fear itself. So let's bring the discussion down here a little bit.

The fact of the matter is, Lou is still alive. You're talking to him tonight, right?

Matt Ford:

Right. I talked to Dave yesterday, I think it was, so I'm talking to him today.

Dr. John Blich:
But there are others who, unfortunately, got escorted into their next life a little prematurely, and whoever did that is going to have to face it somewhere down the line.

Do you think that former special operators, whether they were working as private contractors or still working for the government, have any hesitancy to come forward due to fear for their own safety?

Matt Ford:
Oh, sure. Yeah, I think there's plenty of that going around. Dave and Lou and others have related that to me, but it's not a high percentage; it's few and far between. It's just an unfortunate aspect of humanity and spiritual evolution that this stuff is going on.

I want to talk about the military and defense complex, particularly the private contractors. I had always thought that one of the primary reasons for the level of secrecy around all of this was the entire legacy crash retrieval and reverse engineering program. I always imagined a good bit of corruption between the government and these defense contractors.

How much of the overall problem is due to these defense contractors or that relationship? The fact that you have leadership in the Pentagon exiting and then going right into working for Northrop Grumman how much of that aspect is it? Is it greed? Is it Northrop Grumman doing some not-so-good things to ensure they have the advantage in terms of the crash retrieval program? Any thoughts on that?

Dr. John Blich:
Again, I just want to emphasize that even though when I left, I took a vice president position at SEIC, and they're rumored to be part of the cabal, they've since split, and now it's part of L3 or whatever. Look, the vast majority of people in those positions are good, morally straight folks.

Sure, part of free market enterprise is competition, right? This is part of the problem with communism; it looks good on paper, but it just doesn't work. People are naturally competitive, and the notion of being an entrepreneur I've got no issues with that at all. But again, when it gets...

[33:46 -> 37:41]
Dr. John Blich:
To the point of using lethal action or deplorable activity against other human beings, other American citizens, under the guise of defending America, what are we doing? Are we rotting more on the inside while pretending to protect ourselves? You can rot from the inside as well, and that's why the phrase "enemies foreign or domestic" is so significant. Those folks are keeping us technologically advanced against potential adversaries, but here's

another conundrum that I wonder about as we zoom out into this galactic society.

Let me ask you this: if Minnesota had nuclear weapons, or let's say a red state had nuclear weapons and a blue state had nuclear weapons, are we going to start a nuclear war inside the United States? Are we going to nuke each other? Now, let's back up one or two levels outside the solar system to our galaxy. Are we going to let that happen? At some point, the red states and blue states have to start being friendly to each other.

I go back to Reagan's famous speech with Gorbachev: wouldn't our differences seem trivial if we took a step back from a galactic point of view? At some point in our human evolution, we're going to have to make friends with China, Russia, and whoever the enemy du jour is. Look at the last 20 years of American warfare who were our allies? Germany, Japan, Poland. It's such a shifting setup that we need to temper it all down.

This is part of the rationale from my book: there is an opportunity here to use this issue to unify everyone not just red states and blue states in our country, but everybody. If we come clean about the notion that some entities out there are kidnapping us and treating us like lab rats, that has the potential to unify us and encourage us to play nice as kids on the same playground, the little blue marble we call Earth.

I really want to inspire with this book to get the military-industrial complex involved. And let's be clear, it's not just one country's military-industrial complex; we have folks making weapons on both sides. There's an opportunity here to address this, and the longer we keep the core of this secret the abduction phenomenon the longer we continue this infighting among humans. It's a silly thing, and I feel certain that it is the abduction phenomenon, not the fact that we're reverse engineering this technology and knocking UAPs out of the skies.

[37:44 -> 41:15]
Dr. John Blich:
In your opinion, is the abduction aspect literally the third rail? The reason they have kept this so incredibly locked down is, yes. To elaborate a little bit, it's the reason why you can get high-level scientists and morally straight, good American military personnel and government officials to carry this malevolent streak into suppressing the truth. That's because money alone doesn't do it. Money can buy you a lot, but on your deathbed, the reason you're going to keep it a secret is for the benefit of humanity. We have to shoot these things down and reverse engineer the technology so that we can defend ourselves because they are way more advanced than us. That's the only way we can do it.

That's not the only way we can do it. Another aspect that I propose in the book is that we need to get the Department of Defense out of charge of this matter. I'm very excited that a disclosure-oriented

individual like Marco Rubio may end up as Secretary of State because we need to start this negotiation process. We have to quit shooting down these objects. One of the best and most heartwarming things I heard at the Soul Conference, which Gary and Peter Skish and others put together, was phenomenal. You and I linked up briefly before I had to leave, but Ryan made a statement that I think is the most important I've ever heard from him. Another true American hero, just like David Fravor and Alex Dietrich, all of them risking their entire careers with this type of thing.

He said the reason he uses the word "threat" is not because the UAPs are threatening us; they are so advanced. It's because our reaction to them is a threat. He mentioned that it's never a good idea to shoot down something that you don't know what it is. The key point he told me during lunch was that we are the threat; the way we act towards them is the real danger. If you have two F-18s that run into other F-18s, it's like atoms inside a nuclear core, and then you start this cascade of collisions. It's the way we react to them that poses the threat. We need to settle that down, calm the situation, and come together in unity with what we're doing.

I do believe that we now have some of this allowed to trickle out. We're still probably trying to squash some elements that haven't gotten the word. Again, this is part of the compartmentalization. There's always that percentage that didn't get the memo, like, "Hey, we're having a birthday party for Joe this week." Right? Well, I love Joe. How come I didn't know?

[41:17 -> 44:56]
Dr. John Blich:
It seems that someone didn't get the message that we're not targeting people anymore. We're not suppressing information or tampering with emails anymore. We're in that thaw, the trickle thaw that Carell has mentioned. Another great American patriot and hero in this world. I happen to disagree with the pace; I want it now. I want a Boston Tea Party. Let's come clean. We couldn't protect all of you; sorry, it wasn't us, it was them. We couldn't defend it.

I went to Sergeant Major Robert Odin in 2008 and confronted him at a ufology conference. He became famous for discussing an assessment report he read while on staff duty at SHAPE headquarters back in the 60s. He was falling asleep while a full colonel, who was in charge of all military activity in Europe at the time, was supposed to be resting. The sergeant major had to stay awake all night, determining whether to wake the four-star general or if it was only a two-star problem.

It was boring duty, and you'd rather pull that shift on a weekend because at least you might get a call about someone who was drunk and got a DUI, allowing you to bail them out of jail or call their commander. One night, while he was bored out of his mind, the colonel went into a triple-locked safe, pulled out this report, and slapped it in front of him, saying, "Here you go, Sergeant Major. Maybe this will keep you awake for a little while." It was a summation of our

relationship with various species over centuries, even millennia.

He became a well-respected ethologist and had been pushing for disclosure. I really wanted to talk to him; he was a Naval aviator. So, I approached him with a heavy heart because I had heard about these military abductions. I asked him point-blank, "Is our military involved in any of this?" I expressed my concerns, and I have to tell you, Matt, he was a magnificent old soul, a gentle guy. He was the kind of person I expected to see on an altar giving a sermon every Sunday.

He had a powerful presence, and when he looked at the floor, I was not expecting that. He usually looked me straight in the eye. Then he looked up at me, stared straight into my eyes, and said, "Yes."

[44:58 -> 48:42]
Dr. John Blich:
You have to understand, we had no choice; they were going to do it anyway. They allowed us to be observers just to ensure that their claim of never permanently harming anyone was upheld. They had to perform some uncomfortable procedures, similar to what my Labrador Retriever experienced at the vet. Vets have to cause some pain to identify the problem because dogs can't communicate verbally. We haven't developed our telepathic capacity yet, despite the peer-reviewed science that supports the existence of telepathy. That's nothing new; I think Ross mentioned that.

In any case, it was spot-checking, and we were partial observers, not the ones performing the procedures. I just can't wrap my head around it. Here you have these men and women signing up to sacrifice their lives in defense of this country. I struggle with that, but it is defensible if we need to align ourselves with some of these individuals to help protect us against other threats.

Having the Department of State involved is a positive step. They are starting to engage, at least to sort out whether we want to negotiate with certain countries or align ourselves with others that do not share our values. I am really optimistic for the future, and I hope the Department of State will get involved, if not take over the entire situation.

And it's not just me saying this; listen to H. Maed and others who claim we are on the verge of being dragged kicking and screaming into a galactic society. There are many smarter people than I am making these claims. The data leads us in that direction; it connects all the dots.

One thing I was told early on was about the targeting of individuals to protect the Deep Legacy program. This was not primarily done by JCK but was farmed out to security contractors working for defense aerospace companies. If that is true, is it done to create a level of separation between the government and a security contractor that might be engaging in questionable activities? Are they essentially using the contractor as a cutout?

I'm way out of my lane on that, Matt. I was only at SEIC for six months, and then I had to take a leave of absence to return to work for DARPA as a GS-15 civilian.

[48:46 -> 52:33]
Dr. John Blich:
When I returned from Afghanistan, I resigned my position with them. I was never privy to any of that information. I do have friends and former colleagues who left special operations and went into security firms, but I have strong feelings that these are morally upright individuals with strong values. I find it hard to believe that they would engage in such activities. I simply don't have any knowledge in that area.

In terms of high-value targets and eliminating what some might call "evil" individuals, I want to express compassion for the 1% of psychologically damaged people. I would much prefer to use a Star Trek phaser, which allows for the resolution of moral dilemmas by simply setting it to stun. However, stun isn't effective if you're dealing with someone protected by a K5 plate that it can't penetrate. We don't have that option.

I want to be compassionate and understand that if someone isn't privy to all the information, perhaps that person isn't as bad as they seem, and maybe they don't deserve to die especially if you haven't personally observed their actions. My heart goes out to those involved, but I lack direct evidence either way.

Logically, it makes sense to maintain plausible deniability. If you offload responsibility to a contractor, it's akin to money laundering in the Caymans it's a way of dodging accountability. I have a real problem with that. I discuss this extensively in my robotics book, expressing concern that robots insulate us from the consequences of our actions.

As a combatant, I used to choke people out and hit them directly; I knew exactly who I was hurting and at what level to apply pressure. You would let go so they could regain consciousness, and you were held accountable for your actions. Now, if you hire a robot to do it, you may not even be aware of the consequences. The real danger lies in the possibility that the robot could decide to act on its own.

This is the slippery slope we are currently sliding down, and it's part of my rationale for writing that book to warn us that we need to apply the brakes more forcefully. I don't have any direct evidence of these concerns, but I understand the justification or legal reasoning behind actions taken against someone, such as a scientist involved in the Deep Legacy program who threatens to speak out. I can certainly see the rationale for that.

[52:37 -> 56:27]
Matt Ford:
At the very least, there are threats involved. Personally, as a

journalist, I've heard Jeremy Corbell speak about this, and I think Ross has alluded to it as well. When journalists are threatened or intimidated, it raises concerns, especially for those who are independent and not affiliated with the CIA or any other organization. They are clean, independent journalists.

I'll share a story with you, and I honestly don't remember if I've shared this publicly before, but it serves as an example of what I experienced. In November of 2023, myself, Josh Boswell, and Christopher Sharp from The Liberation Times worked on a story for the Daily Mail regarding the Deep Legacy crash retrieval program, particularly focusing on the CIA's Office of Global Access, which had been highly secretive. At that time, you might have found only one reference to it online; it was very locked down.

We spent months interviewing various sources, specifically discussing how the DSNT and the CIA's Office of Global Access were heavily involved in the recovery of these vehicles of non-human origin. We were extremely careful about our communications and did not speak to anyone outside our vetted sources.

On the morning the story was published, a friend of ours who had worked in the government warned us that this would anger many people in the intelligence community. He advised us that from that point forward, even if we were alone in a hotel room, we must never mention our sources again. The CIA and DOD would want to know how we obtained our information. We later learned that there was a significant uproar within the CIA when we included the Office of Global Access in our reporting.

The story went live on The Daily Mail at 7:00 a.m. Pacific Time. That morning, I aired a pre-recorded interview featuring Josh, Christopher, and myself. After that, I flew to Las Vegas to work on a project. I landed around 11:00 a.m., just a few hours after the story had gone live.

I checked into the Waldorf Astoria on the Strip, a five-star hotel that I wasn't paying for. It was a quiet hotel with no casino. After checking in, I went up to the bar to respond to some YouTube comments. I don't drink alcohol, so I was just having a soda. The individual who had warned us earlier about our sources approached me and said, "Look, there's a very high chance that AFOSI, CIA, or FBI will try to honey trap you because they want to know how you found out what you found out."

[56:29 -> 59:59]
Dr. John Blich:
They will want to know your sources. So, I'm sitting at this bar, and there were literally only eight people at the bar maybe ten at the most. It was very quiet, this kind of U-shaped bar. Then, this lady comes in and starts chatting me up. She was in her mid-30s, attractive, and very well-spoken. To be honest, at the time, because I was so laser-focused on taking care of work, I kind of blew her off.

So, I'm sitting there working, and this heavyset gentleman, maybe in his late 50s, comes and sits at the opposite side of the bar. I noticed him out of my peripheral vision, and I saw that he was talking with the lady who had been trying to chat with me. Instantly, my hackles went up. I'm sitting there typing, and I can hear the guy. He wasn't being loud, but his voice was carrying. All of a sudden, I hear him say, "UFO," and I stop typing.

I'm thinking to myself, what are the chances of me sitting at a bar with only 8 to 10 people in it at this five-star hotel? It s not like you have riffraff or a casino downstairs or anything like that. So, immediately, my alarm bells go off, and I decide, you know what, I'm going to engage this guy. I look up at him and say, "Excuse me, sir, I didn't mean to be eavesdropping, but I heard you talking about UFOs." I asked him, "Did you happen to read that article that came out in the Daily Mail this morning about UFOs?"

He looks at me and says, "No, but that article about Lou Elizondo being a liar sure sticks out in my head." I m sure I completely froze. What s going through my mind is, number one, Lou hadn't been in the media in well over a year; two, who even knows who Lou is unless they track this topic? And three, what are the chances of me just happening to run into somebody at some bar with only 8 to 10 people in it?

So, I blurted out, "Well, you should look up the story." I went back to my computer, and my heart was racing. All I could think about was, what is going on? We were super secure in how we spoke about everything; our communication security was locked down. All I could think about was, how did whoever this is find out where I was going to be? I didn t publicize that I was going to Vegas or anything of that nature. I was very careful not to say anything.

Hours later, this guy is sitting across from me, and I was thinking about it. I actually messaged a couple of people on Signal and said, "Hey, this is what's going on. Do I need to be worried?" Folks said, "Yeah, you know this..."

[1:00:02 -> 1:03:30]
Dr. John Blich:
This doesn't smell right. So, I waited about 10 to 15 minutes later, and he was still there, talking to the girl. I finally decided to engage him again. I looked up at him and said, "Hey, did you ever find that article about the Daily Mail?" He stood up and started fumbling with his cell phone, looking a bit awkward. While he was doing that, he said, "No, but that article about Lou Elizondo being a liar sure sticks out in my head." He used the exact same phrase, then got up and left.

For the longest time, I wondered why he was messing with his phone. It looked like he didn t know what he was doing, but then I realized he was taking my picture. It was clearly a message of intimidation. The frightening part is that there s no way a private investigator or someone else could have known what we were doing and tracked me to Vegas, following me to the bar.

To be honest, it made me mad, and at the same time, it made me sad that this kind of thing goes on. Luckily, nothing has occurred since then that I'm aware of, and I m very careful. It just goes to show that there are some bad actors out there. I was in Vegas for about a week and a half to two weeks, and I have to tell you, everywhere I went, I was constantly looking behind my back. I never saw the guy again, but it was intimidating.

At the end of it, I was mad as hell. I thought, "You re not going to intimidate me into stopping what I m doing." That was just my hopefully one and last experience. It was classic tradecraft intimidation.

So, that absolutely does not sound like a private investigator or someone like that. You never know, though. I don t know. Like I said, I wasn t good at that stuff and didn t really get into it. I had some training in it, but I just couldn t pull it off. I would never have made it in Hollywood.

One issue we were discussing was the whole NH SHY thing and the ethical decisions being made that may or may not be ethical. You know, the motivations for these folks who may have done some things that are not so good. I had National Security expert Matthew Pines on our show last Sunday, and one issue I brought up with Matthew was that Jake was talking about these craft.

[1:03:33 -> 1:07:33]
Dr. John Blich:
There are reports of individuals with psionic abilities being able to summon these crafts. He spoke about these vehicles either landing or being brought down, but he didn't go into specifics about how that occurred. One aspect of his story that really resonated with me was when he described retrieving one of these crafts. He experienced an overwhelming emotional connection with the vehicle, and he mentioned feeling a profound sadness emanating from whatever intelligence was associated with it. This raises an interesting question: if these non-human intelligence (NHI) crafts are being lured into appearing by psionic operators, and then subsequently forced to land or brought down, one would naturally assume that there are likely occupants inside NHI pilots or others piloting these crafts.

If we are indeed bringing these crafts down or forcing them to land, it leads me to wonder: what happens to these occupants, and how can that be considered ethical?

I have many thoughts on this. Firstly, returning to the abduction amnesty or paradox, I believe that every species has a right to defend itself. If we were to go after a polar bear cub from its mother, that polar bear has every right to defend its young, even if it means attacking us. It's an inherent aspect of life to protect oneself and one's family.

Now, here's the issue: if I am convinced that a silver disc is coming

down to abduct my children from their beds, I might feel justified in doing everything I can to prevent that, including shooting it down. There is a rational justification for shooting down unidentified objects if you believe they pose a threat to you or your loved ones.

However, all flying saucers look alike. Even if we assume they are piloted by similar beings, we cannot know for certain if one particular craft is friendly or hostile. Just because they appear similar does not mean they should all be treated the same. One craft might be piloted by a benevolent entity, while another could be a threat. Additionally, we cannot rule out the possibility that some crafts are controlled by robots. The uncertainty surrounding these encounters complicates the ethical considerations involved.

[1:07:35 -> 1:11:07]
Dr. John Blich:
Graves made a very pithy and profound statement: it is never a good idea to shoot something down if you don't know what it is. If you believe we have the technology to take these things down, I believe we do have the capability to take some of them down. However, this is a belief, not knowledge. This belief is based on all the documentation that I've read.

Now, there are those who claim that if it's not a peer-reviewed journal article, then it's rubbish. I don't agree with that. What I told my cadets is this: if you want me to give you credit on an exam question that you don't believe was right or that had an alternate answer, I'm not going to give you those points back unless you come to me with three peer-reviewed journal articles to refute that statement. I did this several times, and several cadets went out and did more research, putting in more effort to answer that one question than they did throughout the rest of the course. But it was worthwhile because I was teaching them the scientific method.

That said, this doesn't mean that a bunch of books that aren't peer-reviewed journal articles are worthless; they still contain evidence. Sure, it may not be strong evidence it's on the A1 scale versus the F6 scale, probably down near the bottom but it is still evidence. Stories are evidence. Every scientific experiment you conduct tells a story about what you observed with these devices and so forth. Your interpretation of that radar data becomes the evidence.

When we return to the topic of morality, the compartmentalization within our government is a real problem. If our government knows who the good guys are and who the bad guys are, or if individuals with psionic or telepathic abilities can discern who is good and who is bad, perhaps we should be tapping into that to figure out how to deal with these situations. Shooting everything down by default is not the answer.

I often talk about bees in some of my other presentations. Gary Nolan loves to use the analogy of angry monkeys, which I find brilliant. The reason I use bees is that our planet and our food supply depend on

them for cross-pollination. Many crops are highly dependent on bees, so we need these bees, which have stingers that can harm us, to help feed us. It's a symbiotic relationship that we develop with these bees.

Now, when Africanized bees, or "killer bees," made their way into North America, there was a significant human outcry, and we were inspired in this country to eradicate them. So, if we start shooting down every single...

[1:11:09 -> 1:14:37]
Dr. John Blich:
Visitor under the offices are not all bad guys just because a few conducted some of these experiments. We risk eradication, and I do agree with those who talk about catastrophic disclosure. However, I don't think it's catastrophic; it's a catastrophic response to declare war on all of them just because it's our airspace. This notion of airspace is ridiculous. It's like elephants declaring their airspace. What are you talking about?

We risk, with that aggressive behavior, moving from a tolerated and, in fact, supported stance. Look at what we do; we move beehives from Northern California all the way to Southern California and all over the place. I got involved in this when I was with DARPA, training bees to sniff explosives talk about that another time. We not only tolerate them; we support them. We move them around. How do we know that the bees aren't saying, "Yes, I got free fire miles"? You think your hive went all the way to the West Coast? Mine went from the East Coast to the West Coast and back.

So, we don't know what that looks like, but if we're overly aggressive in our response, we risk eradication. It's clear that from the technologies that have been demonstrated, they could do it at least one of them, for sure.

Do you feel that the American public is prepared or can handle being told that the government cannot control our skies and cannot protect us from things coming into our rooms at night? Do you think they are ready for it, and do you think they deserve to know this? Absolutely, positively, yes. One of my favorite things that Jake said on his program we talked about this at length, and we are in vigorous agreement, as we are on almost everything was that he said, "I don't agree with..."

Oh, what was the phrase he used? I'm trying to recall it tip of the tongue syndrome. Yeah, it wasn't catastrophic disclosure; it was... oh, I'm drawing a blank on it. I want to re-record this section once I figure it out. But the notion was absolutely... oh, ontological shock. He said, "I don't believe that this is going to be an ontological shock." It's been 80 years. He didn't say that; I'm saying that we have had 80 years to warm up to this.

So, we are way beyond having this catastrophic ontological shock. I don't think that's the case at all. The other important thing about

what Jake said regarding his emotional response was that it wasn't just sadness; he felt an overwhelming sense of love. I have heard that time...

[1:14:41 -> 1:18:25]
Dr. John Blich:
Time and again, from experiences across the board, it's a sadness mixed with love, like with your kids. You're sad that they're going to have to get punched in the nose on the playground to realize they can't shove other people around. You kind of don't want them to be hurt, but you recognize the inevitable need to go through that. That's how I felt in some of my experiences, and I know it's a really common feature across the board.

So, I don't think we are in an ontological shock. I use the notion of the angry teenagers: "Quit treating us like we're fifth graders, for crying out loud! We are teenagers, and we know it all because of the internet." It's time to let us go and understand that we can handle it.

I think he said something along the lines of he doesn't believe it's going to be an ontological shock; he thinks it's going to be something else. I love that. Speaking of whether folks are ready for this, I want to read you a post on X that really addressed it. When Ross's story came out and they touched on the Sigh aspect, I thought, "You know what? We shouldn't be talking about that." I've often operated from the perspective of focusing on the nuts and bolts; we can't talk about abductions or any of that kind of stuff.

A mutual friend of ours, who will remain nameless, brought something up to me, and I'm going to read it here because it really answers the question I posed to you. I said, "Today, I thought that perhaps the Sigh aspect of the phenomenon should have been left out of Jake Barber's interview. I thought people were not ready for it, and it needed to be a conversation at some point far down the road, perhaps even years later."

Then a friend who has worked in the government on the UFO problem said to me, "Just because people aren't ready for it doesn't mean they don't deserve it. If what Jake claims is true, which appears to be the case and is replicable, I think he did exactly what he should have done."

I ended the tweet by saying, "And this is true; it was like a light bulb went off in my head today." I realized that my thinking that this aspect of the phenomenon needed to be postponed to another time is the exact same thinking that the Legacy program gatekeepers and administrations have used for decades to avoid confronting this problem. The very thinking I have publicly railed against. I was being hypocritical and didn't even realize it.

All this time, I've been railing on the government, the intelligence community, and the Department of Defense for not coming out here, and I found myself saying, "You know what? People can't handle this."

[1:18:27 -> 1:22:18]
Dr. John Blich:
Let's talk about the nuts and bolts. A few years later, maybe we can discuss abductions or all of that. Just because people aren't ready for it doesn't mean they don't deserve it. I closed the tweet by saying the fact is you can't cherry-pick telling the truth; the public deserves to know. It's all or nothing.

So first and foremost, Matt, be kind to yourself. This is part of the forgiving process. The subtitle of my book is "A Path to Forgiveness in the Disclosure Age," and I mean that very sincerely. We're all struggling with this, and I think that's why I'm so vigorously in agreement with Jake's point about ontological relief. I love that sound bite.

Here's the thing: you're never ready. You're never ready as a graduate student to defend your thesis; you're never ready to defend your dissertation. They're going to hammer you with questions every which way but loose. As a commander, on five separate occasions, I had to take into account what the intelligence analysts were telling me. Intelligence officers advise commanders, and we have to decide to go. If we waited until we had a 90-10 ratio or even a 60-40 ratio, we would guarantee that we would never go into battle. Human beings would never have left the cave to take on a saber-toothed tiger.

This is why the command world gets big egos. We have to be overconfident. Firefighters would never run into a burning building unless they were overconfident that their equipment was good enough to protect them. There has to be a balance; you have to rein in your ego, but you still need enough confidence to undertake these high-risk endeavors.

I could not agree more with Jake that we're never going to be fully ready. The problem right now is that we have human beings brothers from the SEALs and Green Berets killing each other, just because they're retired and working for different companies. This is madness. We need to fix that, and this is an opportunity to do so. This new administration has a chance to heal us rather than continuing this divide.

I am optimistic by nature, and I hope they will take advantage of this opportunity for ontological relief. Finally, someone is going to come clean and say yes.

[1:22:22 -> 1:26:03]
Dr. John Blich:
We want to join this Galactic Society, as Hmed stated, and as Paul Hellyer mentioned. These are high-level defense ministers, and we re going to ignore them just because they re from Israel and Canada? For crying out loud! Of all the things that Jake came forward with, it conveys a deep, profound sense of love mixed with sadness at the divisive nature of us and the corrosive leadership that we continue to propagate. Instead of bringing Tim Gallet into this and fixing it, I

mean, he has already served; the guy deserves a break. I hope he gets involved and stays involved.

We have to dismiss the analysts and intelligence personnel who say, "I love them, I love them like the brothers they are, the heroes they are," but I disagree with you. We are ready. Yes, we are ready now. We need to be as ready as we can be. We re never going to reach 99%, so let s give up on that and do it now before we continue to corrode. That s my message to those guys. I love all of you Jay, Str, I hope you listen to this, Lou, Dave, all of you.

But we re never going to be fully ready; we have to act now. I couldn t agree more. My final question for you, and thank you so much for coming on our show, is that this has been one of the most gratifying interviews I ve ever done. Do you think more members of the Special Forces community will see other operators, like Jake or yourself, come forward and speak up? Do you think this will encourage other members of our military and Special Forces community to whistleblow and really try to right this ship?

I absolutely hope so. I think it s already happening. Randy just came out; I talked to him a year ago. I m certainly not alone in this. You know, Dave wanted me to play a similar role with him as I did with Jake and his guys. He just came out and did a podcast, discussing his experience as an 18 Bravo. Now he is a verifiable Green Beret who had a fascinating experience at the Crane Naval Special Warfare facility, where he was brought deep down into a very sophisticated facility and was incidentally exposed to a very similar-looking device that Bob Lazar talked about back in 1988 a sphere, sort of thing.

So he s come forward, and I hope that it blooms with my brothers and sisters in the Special Operations community and elsewhere. I do agree with Danny Sheehan, a legal expert, about the use of a non-disclosure agreement that was intended to prevent us from revealing secrets to our...

[1:26:04 -> 1:29:26]
Dr. John Blitch:
Adversaries, right? So, when you're a military person, you agree not to give up secrets that could enable the enemy to defeat us. That's clear, and it makes all the sense in the world. However, to use that to say that you can't talk about this anomalous thing that we can't even acknowledge exists is ridiculous. That is a bastardization, a misuse, and an abuse of a democratic legal system in an immoral sense. It's not just illegal, as Stephen G says all the time; never mind legality, it's immoral to do something like that to control a society. This flies in the face of the democratic notion that this country, and many others, were founded on.

I hope this blooms, and that many of us realize that we haven't declared war on extraterrestrials. So, that NDA you signed does not apply to this. Yes, that's my hope. I'm eternally optimistic, as you know, Matt, and I think that is going to happen. It will be an ontological relief, just like Jake says. Now, that doesn't mean we

have to be broadcasting to China how to defeat our weapon systems. I mean, that's ridiculous. For someone to claim both of those things is concerning. Eventually, hopefully, we get to the United States of Earth, and we treat China like our next-door neighbor state, but it'll take some growth before we get to that point, I think.

Absolutely. Well, Dr. Blitch, thank you. Firstly, thank you for your service to this great country. Thank you for speaking up, and thank you for what you've done in terms of being a major part of this story from whistleblower Jake Barber. And finally, when might we expect your book?

Yes, so I'm still polishing up the manuscript. I have a cover all ready to go, and we're spinning up a website. I don't want to give timelines because that's sort of like predicting disclosure it's more of a process. But it'll be coming soon, and I'm still in the review process for my robotics book, so I kind of want to get that under my belt before I do this one. As soon as I can, Matt, I guess that's probably my best answer.

Fantastic! Well, I look forward to meeting back up again when you're in the LA area. We had a fabulous dinner a couple of months ago. But again, thank you very much for what you're doing for this disclosure process and for what you've done for this country. We look forward to having you back on the show.

Okay, well, thank you, Matt, for the risks you continue to accept on behalf of your fellow humans. Have a great night, folks. If you enjoyed part one of our interview with Dr. John Blitch, do us a favor: please hit the subscribe and thumbs-up buttons, and click here for part one of our interview with Dr. John Blitch.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mZIMYXaX7P8&t=13s
[00:00 -> 03:44]
Matt Ford:
Why is the government covering this up? Last week, former Airman Jake Barber revealed in an exclusive interview with Ross Colart on News Nation that he worked under non-official cover as part of an elite team of special operators tasked with recovering crashed military aircraft and UFOs for the government. Dr. John Blitch, a former Green Beret and Special Mission Unit Troop Commander, joined us to address questions about whistleblower Jake Barber. This week, Dr. Blitch returns in part two of our interview, exploring the larger question: why has the government hidden this for decades? Let's get to it.

[Music]

Hi, I'm Matt Ford. Welcome to The Good Trouble Show. Today, we air part two of our interview with Dr. John Blitch, where we explore perhaps why the government has gone to such great lengths to hide the UAP UFO phenomenon, not only from you but from Congress. But first, please hit the subscribe and thumbs up buttons on YouTube, leave a comment, and let us know what you think about this episode. You can also find us on X at Good Trouble Show and everywhere else at The Good Trouble Show. You can also find us wherever you enjoy your podcasts. Search for The Good Trouble Show with Matt Ford. If you would like to chip in to keep the lights on, you can become a Patreon member of The Good Trouble Show by going to www.patreon.com/thegoodtroubleshow. Sign up to help us keep bringing you amazing shows like the one we have today.

Okay, here is part two of our interview with retired Lieutenant Colonel John Blitch. Enjoy.

Dr. Blitch, I understand that you are actually working on two books. What can you tell us about those?

Dr. John Blitch:
Yeah, so the first book is titled "The Killer Rescue Robot Knot," and the word "killer" is meant to convey a specific idea. It's the case for robot-assisted rescue in the modern world. This book chronicles my journey through graduate school after I left the action world and became a former action guy, funded by your taxpayer dollars at the Colorado School of Mines. It details my transition into robotics, diverging from the classic military "killer robot" narrative into rescue robotics. That's what the first book is about.

I ended up having to split it into two books because there was so much content, and my editors and coaches advised me that I had bitten off more than I could chew. The second book picks up after I turned over my robots to Sergeant First Class Dave Platt, another phenomenal hero, at the World Trade Center. That's the second book.

The other book deals specifically with the UAP subject, focusing on the abduction phenomenon. It's called "The Abduction Amnesty Knot." The word "knot" in both titles symbolizes the attempt to capture very

complex problems, as knots can be intricate and difficult to untie. They can be used to take a life with a noose or to rescue a life with a bowline knot.

[03:47 -> 07:26]
Dr. John Blich:
The bowline knot is unquestionably the knot that has saved more lives than any other because it doesn't slip and compress on your chest when you lift someone up off a cliff or into a C. So that's what they both are. The second point I think I've already made during this interview is that the government has to come clean. They haven't been able to protect us, and that's why this is all a secret. Once they do come clean, I'm willing to forgive them; I'm willing to grant them amnesty, but they have to admit that they weren't able to do what they were supposed to do.

So, let's talk about Jake Barber's claims regarding what happened to him and what he observed, including the physical injuries that he and his teammates were subjected to during this incident. What about his story do you find the most disturbing?

Oh, well, this gets right back to the whole corrosive leadership aspect of things, where you hang your guys out to dry. You separate them so they can't commiserate. One of the most important aspects now I'm getting into the cognitive neuroscience side of things is resilience, and one of its key components is cohesion. When the Air Force separates these guys, when AFOSI separates people and harasses them or exposes them to what seems pretty clear to me to be a radiological issue, I defer to the experts like Gary Nolan. His point was spot on from a scientific basis.

You have four guys who are suffering; it's not just one individual who got too close to a directed energy source. That's a little too easy to dismiss in terms of quantum assessment or probabilities. It is detestable to me that someone allowed them to carry that equipment and set it up.

What Jake tried to say was that his higher headquarters, or whatever, must not have known since he was a civilian at that time. I'm not as willing to let them off the hook. As a five-time commander, it's your responsibility to ensure your troops are safe. There are all sorts of ways to use robots to put a detector on that equipment and set it up before sending humans in. The technology is laughably cheap, relatively speaking.

So, I hold his chain of command accountable for his injuries. That's what I'm blowing the whistle on the corrosive aspect of this where we are intervening and interfering with the...

[07:28 -> 11:06]
Dr. John Blich:
The natural development of a cohesive unit is another example of good leadership, and Amalala is calling that out. I think the world of him and his courage for putting his career at risk with his testimony.

That's the kind of leader we need in today's environment, not someone who covers their tracks. We need leaders who interrogate, separate, and get the most out of their teams. I find that abhorrent in whatever little piece of leadership training I ve encountered.

It boggles my mind that the Department of Defense, the intelligence community, or anyone for that matter would throw American veterans under the bus. Let's take anomalous health injuries as an example. I'm good friends with Olivia Troy, who worked for Mike Pence. She is a victim of what people refer to as Havana Syndrome, or what we now call anomalous health injuries. During the entire past administration, they said there was nothing to see here. I believe the CIA put out a report, or perhaps the ODNI, stating that there was nothing going on, while all these individuals who have worked for the State Department and various three-letter agencies have been injured by this phenomenon. Olivia still suffers from this to this day.

Finally, at the very end of the last administration, the Biden administration acknowledged that maybe there is something to it. However, from my perspective, this seems to be standard operating procedure when our veterans are injured, whether it s from being close to UAPs or whatever this Havana Syndrome or anomalous health injuries are. It appears that when the people who serve our country are physically harmed, our country turns its back on them. These individuals have given everything to serve, some have given their all, and I just don t understand it.

I don t know if it s a cancer that has infected our democracy or our leadership. What do you think? I can t wrap my head around it. That s the reason I wrote this book, Matt, to try to answer that question. It s one approach, one potential answer. A lot of folks, especially in the ethology world, once they get in there and start making money, they think they have all the answers. I have one potential answer, and there are many very good people in government and in the military-industrial complex who are dedicated to defending us. However, there is a threat, and it s a very narrow slip.

[11:11 -> 15:01]
Dr. John Blich:
The vast majority of these interactions are benign, less than malevolent. I believe that as far back as 1947 and beyond, as Carl Nell said, it is well known within certain circles of the program that we have been visited by a wide variety of other species. Let's be clear: if you can bend space-time to travel faster than light, then you can also bend time. Einstein showed us that the fabric of space-time can be manipulated. If you skip from one point to another, you are bending time.

There are plenty of Star Trek episodes where they accidentally go back in time while warping along. The reason I bring this up, Matt, is that I do believe there has been an undeclared state of war. The phenomena surrounding World War II and the Foo Fighters were discovered, especially in the context of the abduction phenomena. I don't know how much time you have to discuss that, but to get to the point: there are

lights in the sky and even interdimensional beings. Some of these objects might be carrying beings or non-human intelligences (NHI).

This shift from the term "extraterrestrial" or "interdimensional" to NHI is to draw a bigger circle around the concept. The diagram needs to be larger because we must consider various entities, including robots and artificial life forms. In the back of Richard Dolan's most recent book, "Agendas," he devotes a couple of pages to descriptions of these beings. Many have common features, while others are radically different, which is what I would expect given the trillions of stars and galaxies.

Here's the thing: all it takes is one abduction to be real, and that is terrifying. There is nothing I can do to prevent my kids from being taken out of their bedroom or off their bike in the middle of the day. The sleep paralysis excuse is ridiculous; it might cover about 5% of cases, but it doesn't explain incidents like Travis Walton being gone for five days and returning with a beard. There are many aspects to consider.

I want to give the military-industrial complex some credit, but for me to forgive them, they must admit that they have been involved in these phenomena.

[15:03 -> 18:44]
Dr. John Blich:
We are incapable of preventing abductions from occurring around the globe. It's not just us. We knew when the Wall came down that we had the former Soviet Union and all those similar situations. Their nuclear weapons were shut down; they were put on short countdown and then stopped at the last minute. The abduction phenomenon really breaks it wide open for me. The irony, or what I call the paradox, is that for humanity to forgive them, they have to come clean and say, "Hey, kids." A lot of folks, like Michael Shellberg, have expressed that they are sick and tired of being treated like children by the shadow government or whatever it is. I'm sick of it too.

It feels like we are now the renegade teenagers. Thanks to the internet, we have access to a lot of information, and we are not going to be kept in the dark anymore. You have to come clean. People do get raped in college; people do get murdered. We've been protecting you, saying there's nothing to worry about, and 90% or 99% of people go away to college, get their first job, or move across the country and get married, and they're fine.

But that 1%, the victims of rape and abduction, you have to address it. You don't send the USS Enterprise out without photon torpedoes and phasers; that's ridiculous. I hope that helps you make sense of it. Good people, morally straight people like Eagle Scouts, for instance who took all those oaths, they stayed good people. Then they were exposed to this notion that, "Oh crap, we're being kidnapped; we're being used as lab rats."

Then you feel justified in saying, "Okay, sorry taxpayer, we have to

charge you $3,000 for a toilet seat on a C-177." That's how this stuff gets funded. Now you start to realize, what if I were in that same position and I was given irrefutable proof of abductions while they were occurring, with video or body parts from human beings collected after a firefight? That sounds pretty horrific to me.

Maybe treating these people to keep this secret even going so far as to eliminate some folks I can see where people might rationalize that as collateral damage in this sort of intergalactic war we've declared on anything flying up there, which is ridiculous. It's a bad way to go, and we could talk about that some other time. But that's my take, and I'm hoping that helps you resolve it a little bit. Good people can do bad things with the rationalization that the needs of the many outweigh the needs of the few. So, the one or two people that you treat...

[18:46 -> 22:27]
Dr. John Blich:
There is a significant concern regarding collateral damage, and I wanted to touch on that. You mentioned earlier the case of David Grusch, who had his life threatened. You also mentioned that Jake and the others who came to meet you on your boat were worried that perhaps you were part of the military-industrial complex there to take them out. In your mind, is there a difference in the motivations of those involved in the Deep Legacy crash retrieval program, particularly contractors like Northrop Grumman or Lockheed Martin? What would their incentive be to threaten the lives of these former special operators if you were in their shoes?

A lot of this boils down to compartmentalization. The big secret is the abduction phenomenon. If you are not read into that, if you haven't been given evidence of it, and you're just told that this person is a traitor with a dossier to support that claim, you might think, "Here s their oath, and they violated it; take them out." I can see how someone could justify that.

Imagine if you were in a situation similar to the My Lai Massacre in Vietnam, where someone said, "I was just following orders." That mindset can lead to a justification for immoral acts. This is why compartmentalization is such a problem; it allows individuals to carry out horrifically immoral actions while believing they are morally justified.

That s why I am a strong advocate for disclosure. I am also willing to grant amnesty if they come clean. The difficulty lies in the fact that senior Department of Defense officials or scientists working on weapons meant to protect our global citizens must admit failure. They have to acknowledge that they have not been able to prevent abductions.

For instance, Travis Walton was taken, and Linda Napolitano was abducted from her 13-story apartment in downtown New York City. These are horrific realities to confront. Admitting failure reflects a lack of leadership. Good leadership involves vulnerability; it means

saying, "You know what, I made a mistake. I should have done better." That kind of accountability is crucial. This is why I continue to emphasize the issue of corrosive leadership, especially when individuals commit heinous acts without understanding the context behind them.

[22:30 -> 26:19]
Dr. John Blich:
It is completely reasonable to assume that security contractors or individuals in the government, in order to protect this secret and this program, would resort to kinetic options. I think we all recognize that there are many military decisions where collateral damage has been rationalized. I believe the individuals who ordered the hit on Dave, Lou, and others considered them collateral damage.

If I heard you correctly, you were suggesting that Lou, Dave, and others were on a kill list. I find no inconsistency with that claim. I can imagine, and I try to put myself in the shoes of my fellow military personnel now retired who are part of the military-industrial complex or this so-called cabal. I want to be compassionate towards them. There s a Christian perspective that resonates here, with the idea of forgiveness, as in, "Forgive them, God, for they know not what they do."

I want to empathize with them and give them an opportunity to come clean. If they could just explain why they were doing this why they were reverse engineering these technologies, why they were even shooting these objects down it would help. They might argue that they need to capture these technologies to defend ourselves.

I think the reason why Lou and Dave are still alive may be that these reverse engineering programs have been partially successful. Perhaps we can shoot some of these objects down. The question now is, how do we identify friend or foe? Who are the bad actors that are abducting people? I don t have the answers.

Neuroscientifically, I can tell you that I was not a great scientist. After I graduated, I went to work at the Air Force Research Lab, and I lost all faith and confidence because I became aware of certain truths. When I arrived at Wright-Patterson, I wasn t granted access to the big vault or allowed to read in on all the classified information. I was shoved into a corner. In fact, I discuss this in my book. I was encouraged to work from home this was three years before COVID. They suggested I stay at home and come to the base occasionally.

There s much more to that story that needs unpacking, but my underlying premise is that I am willing to forgive those in this cabal, but they need to come clean.

[26:22 -> 29:59]
Dr. John Blich:
It speaks volumes when these special operators come to meet you and they are concerned for their lives. You know, Lou or Dave are concerned for their lives. These individuals are not civilians; they

possess Counter Intelligence training. Do you feel that if they can all be touched?

In terms of fighting the fear, here's the thing I would tell anyone coming after me: number one, use the Jason Bourne analogy. Look at what they do to us. Who's coming after you? When do you lose your utility? It only takes two pounds of pressure to pull a trigger. I could be 85 years old and still take someone out. So, who's coming after you down the line?

Here's the thing: if you threaten me or my kids and tell me that I have to stop doing what I'm doing or you're going to kill my kids, that is on you. You're not going to use me to guilt-trip me into doing something harmful to my kids or my brothers and sisters in arms. When you meet your maker whatever that is, whatever concept of a grand deity or your next lifetime you will be accountable for that.

That's what I told them out on the boat, and it seems like that might have had a positive impact. So, for anyone out there who is doing the hitman-for-money thing, I can understand how they can justify it, but it's a justification; it's not an adequate rationale. They will have to deal with that somewhere down the line.

Have you heard of instances where not only the person on the program was threatened, but it was also made explicitly clear that their family would be a target as well?

Absolutely, yes. Many on this side of the UFO literature have reported that. You believe that would certainly be true?

Yes, but again, there's a ratio of good to bad that you hear in the media and see in these books. Here s the statistic, Matt, and I hope your readers look this up themselves: less than 1% of violent crime across the board not just in the United States, but globally is committed by less than 1% of the population.

Now, I had it wrong earlier; it s actually 99% of violent crime is committed by less than 1% of the population. There s also less violent crime, where you re just ripping people off. That s not good either; thou shalt not steal. So, it s not good, but it s not the violent stuff. You literally have to be a...

[30:01 -> 33:43]
Dr. John Blich:
It takes a certain kind of psychopath to do that type of thing, or you have to have been brainwashed or so wounded psychologically that you can rationalize in your head that this person deserves to die. We have to push back against the fear; the only fear is fear itself. So let's bring the discussion down here a little bit.

The fact of the matter is, Lou is still alive. You're talking to him tonight, right?

Matt Ford:

Right. I talked to Dave yesterday, I think it was, so I'm talking to him today.

Dr. John Blich:
But there are others who, unfortunately, got escorted into their next life a little prematurely, and whoever did that is going to have to face it somewhere down the line.

Do you think that former special operators, whether they were working as private contractors or still working for the government, have any hesitancy to come forward due to fear for their own safety?

Matt Ford:
Oh, sure. Yeah, I think there's plenty of that going around. Dave and Lou and others have related that to me, but it's not a high percentage; it's few and far between. It's just an unfortunate aspect of humanity and spiritual evolution that this stuff is going on.

I want to talk about the military and defense complex, particularly the private contractors. I had always thought that one of the primary reasons for the level of secrecy around all of this was the entire legacy crash retrieval and reverse engineering program. I always imagined a good bit of corruption between the government and these defense contractors.

How much of the overall problem is due to these defense contractors or that relationship? The fact that you have leadership in the Pentagon exiting and then going right into working for Northrop Grumman how much of that aspect is it? Is it greed? Is it Northrop Grumman doing some not-so-good things to ensure they have the advantage in terms of the crash retrieval program? Any thoughts on that?

Dr. John Blich:
Again, I just want to emphasize that even though when I left, I took a vice president position at SEIC, and they're rumored to be part of the cabal, they've since split, and now it's part of L3 or whatever. Look, the vast majority of people in those positions are good, morally straight folks.

Sure, part of free market enterprise is competition, right? This is part of the problem with communism; it looks good on paper, but it just doesn't work. People are naturally competitive, and the notion of being an entrepreneur I've got no issues with that at all. But again, when it gets...

[33:46 -> 37:41]
Dr. John Blich:
To the point of using lethal action or deplorable activity against other human beings, other American citizens, under the guise of defending America, what are we doing? Are we rotting more on the inside while pretending to protect ourselves? You can rot from the inside as well, and that's why the phrase "enemies foreign or domestic" is so significant. Those folks are keeping us technologically advanced against potential adversaries, but here's

another conundrum that I wonder about as we zoom out into this galactic society.

Let me ask you this: if Minnesota had nuclear weapons, or let's say a red state had nuclear weapons and a blue state had nuclear weapons, are we going to start a nuclear war inside the United States? Are we going to nuke each other? Now, let's back up one or two levels outside the solar system to our galaxy. Are we going to let that happen? At some point, the red states and blue states have to start being friendly to each other.

I go back to Reagan's famous speech with Gorbachev: wouldn't our differences seem trivial if we took a step back from a galactic point of view? At some point in our human evolution, we're going to have to make friends with China, Russia, and whoever the enemy du jour is. Look at the last 20 years of American warfare who were our allies? Germany, Japan, Poland. It's such a shifting setup that we need to temper it all down.

This is part of the rationale from my book: there is an opportunity here to use this issue to unify everyone not just red states and blue states in our country, but everybody. If we come clean about the notion that some entities out there are kidnapping us and treating us like lab rats, that has the potential to unify us and encourage us to play nice as kids on the same playground, the little blue marble we call Earth.

I really want to inspire with this book to get the military-industrial complex involved. And let's be clear, it's not just one country's military-industrial complex; we have folks making weapons on both sides. There's an opportunity here to address this, and the longer we keep the core of this secret the abduction phenomenon the longer we continue this infighting among humans. It's a silly thing, and I feel certain that it is the abduction phenomenon, not the fact that we're reverse engineering this technology and knocking UAPs out of the skies.

[37:44 -> 41:15]
Dr. John Blich:
In your opinion, is the abduction aspect literally the third rail? The reason they have kept this so incredibly locked down is, yes. To elaborate a little bit, it's the reason why you can get high-level scientists and morally straight, good American military personnel and government officials to carry this malevolent streak into suppressing the truth. That's because money alone doesn't do it. Money can buy you a lot, but on your deathbed, the reason you're going to keep it a secret is for the benefit of humanity. We have to shoot these things down and reverse engineer the technology so that we can defend ourselves because they are way more advanced than us. That's the only way we can do it.

That's not the only way we can do it. Another aspect that I propose in the book is that we need to get the Department of Defense out of charge of this matter. I'm very excited that a disclosure-oriented

individual like Marco Rubio may end up as Secretary of State because we need to start this negotiation process. We have to quit shooting down these objects. One of the best and most heartwarming things I heard at the Soul Conference, which Gary and Peter Skish and others put together, was phenomenal. You and I linked up briefly before I had to leave, but Ryan made a statement that I think is the most important I've ever heard from him. Another true American hero, just like David Fravor and Alex Dietrich, all of them risking their entire careers with this type of thing.

He said the reason he uses the word "threat" is not because the UAPs are threatening us; they are so advanced. It's because our reaction to them is a threat. He mentioned that it's never a good idea to shoot down something that you don't know what it is. The key point he told me during lunch was that we are the threat; the way we act towards them is the real danger. If you have two F-18s that run into other F-18s, it's like atoms inside a nuclear core, and then you start this cascade of collisions. It's the way we react to them that poses the threat. We need to settle that down, calm the situation, and come together in unity with what we're doing.

I do believe that we now have some of this allowed to trickle out. We're still probably trying to squash some elements that haven't gotten the word. Again, this is part of the compartmentalization. There's always that percentage that didn't get the memo, like, "Hey, we're having a birthday party for Joe this week." Right? Well, I love Joe. How come I didn't know?

[41:17 -> 44:56]
Dr. John Blich:
It seems that someone didn't get the message that we're not targeting people anymore. We're not suppressing information or tampering with emails anymore. We're in that thaw, the trickle thaw that Carell has mentioned. Another great American patriot and hero in this world. I happen to disagree with the pace; I want it now. I want a Boston Tea Party. Let's come clean. We couldn't protect all of you; sorry, it wasn't us, it was them. We couldn't defend it.

I went to Sergeant Major Robert Odin in 2008 and confronted him at a ufology conference. He became famous for discussing an assessment report he read while on staff duty at SHAPE headquarters back in the 60s. He was falling asleep while a full colonel, who was in charge of all military activity in Europe at the time, was supposed to be resting. The sergeant major had to stay awake all night, determining whether to wake the four-star general or if it was only a two-star problem.

It was boring duty, and you'd rather pull that shift on a weekend because at least you might get a call about someone who was drunk and got a DUI, allowing you to bail them out of jail or call their commander. One night, while he was bored out of his mind, the colonel went into a triple-locked safe, pulled out this report, and slapped it in front of him, saying, "Here you go, Sergeant Major. Maybe this will keep you awake for a little while." It was a summation of our

relationship with various species over centuries, even millennia.

He became a well-respected ethologist and had been pushing for disclosure. I really wanted to talk to him; he was a Naval aviator. So, I approached him with a heavy heart because I had heard about these military abductions. I asked him point-blank, "Is our military involved in any of this?" I expressed my concerns, and I have to tell you, Matt, he was a magnificent old soul, a gentle guy. He was the kind of person I expected to see on an altar giving a sermon every Sunday.

He had a powerful presence, and when he looked at the floor, I was not expecting that. He usually looked me straight in the eye. Then he looked up at me, stared straight into my eyes, and said, "Yes."

[44:58 -> 48:42]
Dr. John Blich:
You have to understand, we had no choice; they were going to do it anyway. They allowed us to be observers just to ensure that their claim of never permanently harming anyone was upheld. They had to perform some uncomfortable procedures, similar to what my Labrador Retriever experienced at the vet. Vets have to cause some pain to identify the problem because dogs can't communicate verbally. We haven't developed our telepathic capacity yet, despite the peer-reviewed science that supports the existence of telepathy. That's nothing new; I think Ross mentioned that.

In any case, it was spot-checking, and we were partial observers, not the ones performing the procedures. I just can't wrap my head around it. Here you have these men and women signing up to sacrifice their lives in defense of this country. I struggle with that, but it is defensible if we need to align ourselves with some of these individuals to help protect us against other threats.

Having the Department of State involved is a positive step. They are starting to engage, at least to sort out whether we want to negotiate with certain countries or align ourselves with others that do not share our values. I am really optimistic for the future, and I hope the Department of State will get involved, if not take over the entire situation.

And it's not just me saying this; listen to H. Maed and others who claim we are on the verge of being dragged kicking and screaming into a galactic society. There are many smarter people than I am making these claims. The data leads us in that direction; it connects all the dots.

One thing I was told early on was about the targeting of individuals to protect the Deep Legacy program. This was not primarily done by JCK but was farmed out to security contractors working for defense aerospace companies. If that is true, is it done to create a level of separation between the government and a security contractor that might be engaging in questionable activities? Are they essentially using the contractor as a cutout?

I'm way out of my lane on that, Matt. I was only at SEIC for six months, and then I had to take a leave of absence to return to work for DARPA as a GS-15 civilian.

[48:46 -> 52:33]
Dr. John Blich:
When I returned from Afghanistan, I resigned my position with them. I was never privy to any of that information. I do have friends and former colleagues who left special operations and went into security firms, but I have strong feelings that these are morally upright individuals with strong values. I find it hard to believe that they would engage in such activities. I simply don't have any knowledge in that area.

In terms of high-value targets and eliminating what some might call "evil" individuals, I want to express compassion for the 1% of psychologically damaged people. I would much prefer to use a Star Trek phaser, which allows for the resolution of moral dilemmas by simply setting it to stun. However, stun isn't effective if you're dealing with someone protected by a K5 plate that it can't penetrate. We don't have that option.

I want to be compassionate and understand that if someone isn't privy to all the information, perhaps that person isn't as bad as they seem, and maybe they don't deserve to die especially if you haven't personally observed their actions. My heart goes out to those involved, but I lack direct evidence either way.

Logically, it makes sense to maintain plausible deniability. If you offload responsibility to a contractor, it's akin to money laundering in the Caymans it's a way of dodging accountability. I have a real problem with that. I discuss this extensively in my robotics book, expressing concern that robots insulate us from the consequences of our actions.

As a combatant, I used to choke people out and hit them directly; I knew exactly who I was hurting and at what level to apply pressure. You would let go so they could regain consciousness, and you were held accountable for your actions. Now, if you hire a robot to do it, you may not even be aware of the consequences. The real danger lies in the possibility that the robot could decide to act on its own.

This is the slippery slope we are currently sliding down, and it's part of my rationale for writing that book to warn us that we need to apply the brakes more forcefully. I don't have any direct evidence of these concerns, but I understand the justification or legal reasoning behind actions taken against someone, such as a scientist involved in the Deep Legacy program who threatens to speak out. I can certainly see the rationale for that.

[52:37 -> 56:27]
Matt Ford:
At the very least, there are threats involved. Personally, as a

journalist, I've heard Jeremy Corbell speak about this, and I think Ross has alluded to it as well. When journalists are threatened or intimidated, it raises concerns, especially for those who are independent and not affiliated with the CIA or any other organization. They are clean, independent journalists.

I'll share a story with you, and I honestly don't remember if I've shared this publicly before, but it serves as an example of what I experienced. In November of 2023, myself, Josh Boswell, and Christopher Sharp from The Liberation Times worked on a story for the Daily Mail regarding the Deep Legacy crash retrieval program, particularly focusing on the CIA's Office of Global Access, which had been highly secretive. At that time, you might have found only one reference to it online; it was very locked down.

We spent months interviewing various sources, specifically discussing how the DSNT and the CIA's Office of Global Access were heavily involved in the recovery of these vehicles of non-human origin. We were extremely careful about our communications and did not speak to anyone outside our vetted sources.

On the morning the story was published, a friend of ours who had worked in the government warned us that this would anger many people in the intelligence community. He advised us that from that point forward, even if we were alone in a hotel room, we must never mention our sources again. The CIA and DOD would want to know how we obtained our information. We later learned that there was a significant uproar within the CIA when we included the Office of Global Access in our reporting.

The story went live on The Daily Mail at 7:00 a.m. Pacific Time. That morning, I aired a pre-recorded interview featuring Josh, Christopher, and myself. After that, I flew to Las Vegas to work on a project. I landed around 11:00 a.m., just a few hours after the story had gone live.

I checked into the Waldorf Astoria on the Strip, a five-star hotel that I wasn't paying for. It was a quiet hotel with no casino. After checking in, I went up to the bar to respond to some YouTube comments. I don't drink alcohol, so I was just having a soda. The individual who had warned us earlier about our sources approached me and said, "Look, there's a very high chance that AFOSI, CIA, or FBI will try to honey trap you because they want to know how you found out what you found out."

[56:29 -> 59:59]
Dr. John Blich:
They will want to know your sources. So, I'm sitting at this bar, and there were literally only eight people at the bar maybe ten at the most. It was very quiet, this kind of U-shaped bar. Then, this lady comes in and starts chatting me up. She was in her mid-30s, attractive, and very well-spoken. To be honest, at the time, because I was so laser-focused on taking care of work, I kind of blew her off.

So, I'm sitting there working, and this heavyset gentleman, maybe in his late 50s, comes and sits at the opposite side of the bar. I noticed him out of my peripheral vision, and I saw that he was talking with the lady who had been trying to chat with me. Instantly, my hackles went up. I'm sitting there typing, and I can hear the guy. He wasn't being loud, but his voice was carrying. All of a sudden, I hear him say, "UFO," and I stop typing.

I'm thinking to myself, what are the chances of me sitting at a bar with only 8 to 10 people in it at this five-star hotel? It s not like you have riffraff or a casino downstairs or anything like that. So, immediately, my alarm bells go off, and I decide, you know what, I'm going to engage this guy. I look up at him and say, "Excuse me, sir, I didn't mean to be eavesdropping, but I heard you talking about UFOs." I asked him, "Did you happen to read that article that came out in the Daily Mail this morning about UFOs?"

He looks at me and says, "No, but that article about Lou Elizondo being a liar sure sticks out in my head." I m sure I completely froze. What s going through my mind is, number one, Lou hadn't been in the media in well over a year; two, who even knows who Lou is unless they track this topic? And three, what are the chances of me just happening to run into somebody at some bar with only 8 to 10 people in it?

So, I blurted out, "Well, you should look up the story." I went back to my computer, and my heart was racing. All I could think about was, what is going on? We were super secure in how we spoke about everything; our communication security was locked down. All I could think about was, how did whoever this is find out where I was going to be? I didn t publicize that I was going to Vegas or anything of that nature. I was very careful not to say anything.

Hours later, this guy is sitting across from me, and I was thinking about it. I actually messaged a couple of people on Signal and said, "Hey, this is what's going on. Do I need to be worried?" Folks said, "Yeah, you know this..."

[1:00:02 -> 1:03:30]
Dr. John Blich:
This doesn't smell right. So, I waited about 10 to 15 minutes later, and he was still there, talking to the girl. I finally decided to engage him again. I looked up at him and said, "Hey, did you ever find that article about the Daily Mail?" He stood up and started fumbling with his cell phone, looking a bit awkward. While he was doing that, he said, "No, but that article about Lou Elizondo being a liar sure sticks out in my head." He used the exact same phrase, then got up and left.

For the longest time, I wondered why he was messing with his phone. It looked like he didn t know what he was doing, but then I realized he was taking my picture. It was clearly a message of intimidation. The frightening part is that there s no way a private investigator or someone else could have known what we were doing and tracked me to Vegas, following me to the bar.

To be honest, it made me mad, and at the same time, it made me sad that this kind of thing goes on. Luckily, nothing has occurred since then that I'm aware of, and I m very careful. It just goes to show that there are some bad actors out there. I was in Vegas for about a week and a half to two weeks, and I have to tell you, everywhere I went, I was constantly looking behind my back. I never saw the guy again, but it was intimidating.

At the end of it, I was mad as hell. I thought, "You re not going to intimidate me into stopping what I m doing." That was just my hopefully one and last experience. It was classic tradecraft intimidation.

So, that absolutely does not sound like a private investigator or someone like that. You never know, though. I don t know. Like I said, I wasn t good at that stuff and didn t really get into it. I had some training in it, but I just couldn t pull it off. I would never have made it in Hollywood.

One issue we were discussing was the whole NH SHY thing and the ethical decisions being made that may or may not be ethical. You know, the motivations for these folks who may have done some things that are not so good. I had National Security expert Matthew Pines on our show last Sunday, and one issue I brought up with Matthew was that Jake was talking about these craft.

[1:03:33 -> 1:07:33]
Dr. John Blich:
There are reports of individuals with psionic abilities being able to summon these crafts. He spoke about these vehicles either landing or being brought down, but he didn't go into specifics about how that occurred. One aspect of his story that really resonated with me was when he described retrieving one of these crafts. He experienced an overwhelming emotional connection with the vehicle, and he mentioned feeling a profound sadness emanating from whatever intelligence was associated with it. This raises an interesting question: if these non-human intelligence (NHI) crafts are being lured into appearing by psionic operators, and then subsequently forced to land or brought down, one would naturally assume that there are likely occupants inside NHI pilots or others piloting these crafts.

If we are indeed bringing these crafts down or forcing them to land, it leads me to wonder: what happens to these occupants, and how can that be considered ethical?

I have many thoughts on this. Firstly, returning to the abduction amnesty or paradox, I believe that every species has a right to defend itself. If we were to go after a polar bear cub from its mother, that polar bear has every right to defend its young, even if it means attacking us. It's an inherent aspect of life to protect oneself and one's family.

Now, here's the issue: if I am convinced that a silver disc is coming

down to abduct my children from their beds, I might feel justified in doing everything I can to prevent that, including shooting it down. There is a rational justification for shooting down unidentified objects if you believe they pose a threat to you or your loved ones.

However, all flying saucers look alike. Even if we assume they are piloted by similar beings, we cannot know for certain if one particular craft is friendly or hostile. Just because they appear similar does not mean they should all be treated the same. One craft might be piloted by a benevolent entity, while another could be a threat. Additionally, we cannot rule out the possibility that some crafts are controlled by robots. The uncertainty surrounding these encounters complicates the ethical considerations involved.

[1:07:35 -> 1:11:07]
Dr. John Blich:
Graves made a very pithy and profound statement: it is never a good idea to shoot something down if you don't know what it is. If you believe we have the technology to take these things down, I believe we do have the capability to take some of them down. However, this is a belief, not knowledge. This belief is based on all the documentation that I've read.

Now, there are those who claim that if it's not a peer-reviewed journal article, then it's rubbish. I don't agree with that. What I told my cadets is this: if you want me to give you credit on an exam question that you don't believe was right or that had an alternate answer, I'm not going to give you those points back unless you come to me with three peer-reviewed journal articles to refute that statement. I did this several times, and several cadets went out and did more research, putting in more effort to answer that one question than they did throughout the rest of the course. But it was worthwhile because I was teaching them the scientific method.

That said, this doesn't mean that a bunch of books that aren't peer-reviewed journal articles are worthless; they still contain evidence. Sure, it may not be strong evidence it's on the A1 scale versus the F6 scale, probably down near the bottom but it is still evidence. Stories are evidence. Every scientific experiment you conduct tells a story about what you observed with these devices and so forth. Your interpretation of that radar data becomes the evidence.

When we return to the topic of morality, the compartmentalization within our government is a real problem. If our government knows who the good guys are and who the bad guys are, or if individuals with psionic or telepathic abilities can discern who is good and who is bad, perhaps we should be tapping into that to figure out how to deal with these situations. Shooting everything down by default is not the answer.

I often talk about bees in some of my other presentations. Gary Nolan loves to use the analogy of angry monkeys, which I find brilliant. The reason I use bees is that our planet and our food supply depend on

them for cross-pollination. Many crops are highly dependent on bees, so we need these bees, which have stingers that can harm us, to help feed us. It's a symbiotic relationship that we develop with these bees.

Now, when Africanized bees, or "killer bees," made their way into North America, there was a significant human outcry, and we were inspired in this country to eradicate them. So, if we start shooting down every single...

[1:11:09 -> 1:14:37]
Dr. John Blich:
Visitor under the offices are not all bad guys just because a few conducted some of these experiments. We risk eradication, and I do agree with those who talk about catastrophic disclosure. However, I don't think it's catastrophic; it's a catastrophic response to declare war on all of them just because it's our airspace. This notion of airspace is ridiculous. It's like elephants declaring their airspace. What are you talking about?

We risk, with that aggressive behavior, moving from a tolerated and, in fact, supported stance. Look at what we do; we move beehives from Northern California all the way to Southern California and all over the place. I got involved in this when I was with DARPA, training bees to sniff explosives talk about that another time. We not only tolerate them; we support them. We move them around. How do we know that the bees aren't saying, "Yes, I got free fire miles"? You think your hive went all the way to the West Coast? Mine went from the East Coast to the West Coast and back.

So, we don't know what that looks like, but if we're overly aggressive in our response, we risk eradication. It's clear that from the technologies that have been demonstrated, they could do it at least one of them, for sure.

Do you feel that the American public is prepared or can handle being told that the government cannot control our skies and cannot protect us from things coming into our rooms at night? Do you think they are ready for it, and do you think they deserve to know this? Absolutely, positively, yes. One of my favorite things that Jake said on his program we talked about this at length, and we are in vigorous agreement, as we are on almost everything was that he said, "I don't agree with..."

Oh, what was the phrase he used? I'm trying to recall it tip of the tongue syndrome. Yeah, it wasn't catastrophic disclosure; it was... oh, I'm drawing a blank on it. I want to re-record this section once I figure it out. But the notion was absolutely... oh, ontological shock. He said, "I don't believe that this is going to be an ontological shock." It's been 80 years. He didn't say that; I'm saying that we have had 80 years to warm up to this.

So, we are way beyond having this catastrophic ontological shock. I don't think that's the case at all. The other important thing about

what Jake said regarding his emotional response was that it wasn't just sadness; he felt an overwhelming sense of love. I have heard that time...

[1:14:41 -> 1:18:25]
Dr. John Blich:
Time and again, from experiences across the board, it's a sadness mixed with love, like with your kids. You're sad that they're going to have to get punched in the nose on the playground to realize they can't shove other people around. You kind of don't want them to be hurt, but you recognize the inevitable need to go through that. That's how I felt in some of my experiences, and I know it's a really common feature across the board.

So, I don't think we are in an ontological shock. I use the notion of the angry teenagers: "Quit treating us like we're fifth graders, for crying out loud! We are teenagers, and we know it all because of the internet." It's time to let us go and understand that we can handle it.

I think he said something along the lines of he doesn't believe it's going to be an ontological shock; he thinks it's going to be something else. I love that. Speaking of whether folks are ready for this, I want to read you a post on X that really addressed it. When Ross's story came out and they touched on the Sigh aspect, I thought, "You know what? We shouldn't be talking about that." I've often operated from the perspective of focusing on the nuts and bolts; we can't talk about abductions or any of that kind of stuff.

A mutual friend of ours, who will remain nameless, brought something up to me, and I'm going to read it here because it really answers the question I posed to you. I said, "Today, I thought that perhaps the Sigh aspect of the phenomenon should have been left out of Jake Barber's interview. I thought people were not ready for it, and it needed to be a conversation at some point far down the road, perhaps even years later."

Then a friend who has worked in the government on the UFO problem said to me, "Just because people aren't ready for it doesn't mean they don't deserve it. If what Jake claims is true, which appears to be the case and is replicable, I think he did exactly what he should have done."

I ended the tweet by saying, "And this is true; it was like a light bulb went off in my head today." I realized that my thinking that this aspect of the phenomenon needed to be postponed to another time is the exact same thinking that the Legacy program gatekeepers and administrations have used for decades to avoid confronting this problem. The very thinking I have publicly railed against. I was being hypocritical and didn't even realize it.

All this time, I've been railing on the government, the intelligence community, and the Department of Defense for not coming out here, and I found myself saying, "You know what? People can't handle this."

[1:18:27 -> 1:22:18]
Dr. John Blich:
Let's talk about the nuts and bolts. A few years later, maybe we can discuss abductions or all of that. Just because people aren't ready for it doesn't mean they don't deserve it. I closed the tweet by saying the fact is you can't cherry-pick telling the truth; the public deserves to know. It's all or nothing.

So first and foremost, Matt, be kind to yourself. This is part of the forgiving process. The subtitle of my book is "A Path to Forgiveness in the Disclosure Age," and I mean that very sincerely. We're all struggling with this, and I think that's why I'm so vigorously in agreement with Jake's point about ontological relief. I love that sound bite.

Here's the thing: you're never ready. You're never ready as a graduate student to defend your thesis; you're never ready to defend your dissertation. They're going to hammer you with questions every which way but loose. As a commander, on five separate occasions, I had to take into account what the intelligence analysts were telling me. Intelligence officers advise commanders, and we have to decide to go. If we waited until we had a 90-10 ratio or even a 60-40 ratio, we would guarantee that we would never go into battle. Human beings would never have left the cave to take on a saber-toothed tiger.

This is why the command world gets big egos. We have to be overconfident. Firefighters would never run into a burning building unless they were overconfident that their equipment was good enough to protect them. There has to be a balance; you have to rein in your ego, but you still need enough confidence to undertake these high-risk endeavors.

I could not agree more with Jake that we're never going to be fully ready. The problem right now is that we have human beings brothers from the SEALs and Green Berets killing each other, just because they're retired and working for different companies. This is madness. We need to fix that, and this is an opportunity to do so. This new administration has a chance to heal us rather than continuing this divide.

I am optimistic by nature, and I hope they will take advantage of this opportunity for ontological relief. Finally, someone is going to come clean and say yes.

[1:22:22 -> 1:26:03]
Dr. John Blich:
We want to join this Galactic Society, as Hmed stated, and as Paul Hellyer mentioned. These are high-level defense ministers, and we re going to ignore them just because they re from Israel and Canada? For crying out loud! Of all the things that Jake came forward with, it conveys a deep, profound sense of love mixed with sadness at the divisive nature of us and the corrosive leadership that we continue to propagate. Instead of bringing Tim Gallet into this and fixing it, I

mean, he has already served; the guy deserves a break. I hope he gets involved and stays involved.

We have to dismiss the analysts and intelligence personnel who say, "I love them, I love them like the brothers they are, the heroes they are," but I disagree with you. We are ready. Yes, we are ready now. We need to be as ready as we can be. We re never going to reach 99%, so let s give up on that and do it now before we continue to corrode. That s my message to those guys. I love all of you Jay, Str, I hope you listen to this, Lou, Dave, all of you.

But we re never going to be fully ready; we have to act now. I couldn t agree more. My final question for you, and thank you so much for coming on our show, is that this has been one of the most gratifying interviews I ve ever done. Do you think more members of the Special Forces community will see other operators, like Jake or yourself, come forward and speak up? Do you think this will encourage other members of our military and Special Forces community to whistleblow and really try to right this ship?

I absolutely hope so. I think it s already happening. Randy just came out; I talked to him a year ago. I m certainly not alone in this. You know, Dave wanted me to play a similar role with him as I did with Jake and his guys. He just came out and did a podcast, discussing his experience as an 18 Bravo. Now he is a verifiable Green Beret who had a fascinating experience at the Crane Naval Special Warfare facility, where he was brought deep down into a very sophisticated facility and was incidentally exposed to a very similar-looking device that Bob Lazar talked about back in 1988 a sphere, sort of thing.

So he s come forward, and I hope that it blooms with my brothers and sisters in the Special Operations community and elsewhere. I do agree with Danny Sheehan, a legal expert, about the use of a non-disclosure agreement that was intended to prevent us from revealing secrets to our...

[1:26:04 -> 1:29:26]
Dr. John Blitch:
Adversaries, right? So, when you're a military person, you agree not to give up secrets that could enable the enemy to defeat us. That's clear, and it makes all the sense in the world. However, to use that to say that you can't talk about this anomalous thing that we can't even acknowledge exists is ridiculous. That is a bastardization, a misuse, and an abuse of a democratic legal system in an immoral sense. It's not just illegal, as Stephen G says all the time; never mind legality, it's immoral to do something like that to control a society. This flies in the face of the democratic notion that this country, and many others, were founded on.

I hope this blooms, and that many of us realize that we haven't declared war on extraterrestrials. So, that NDA you signed does not apply to this. Yes, that's my hope. I'm eternally optimistic, as you know, Matt, and I think that is going to happen. It will be an ontological relief, just like Jake says. Now, that doesn't mean we

have to be broadcasting to China how to defeat our weapon systems. I mean, that's ridiculous. For someone to claim both of those things is concerning. Eventually, hopefully, we get to the United States of Earth, and we treat China like our next-door neighbor state, but it'll take some growth before we get to that point, I think.

Absolutely. Well, Dr. Blitch, thank you. Firstly, thank you for your service to this great country. Thank you for speaking up, and thank you for what you've done in terms of being a major part of this story from whistleblower Jake Barber. And finally, when might we expect your book?

Yes, so I'm still polishing up the manuscript. I have a cover all ready to go, and we're spinning up a website. I don't want to give timelines because that's sort of like predicting disclosure it's more of a process. But it'll be coming soon, and I'm still in the review process for my robotics book, so I kind of want to get that under my belt before I do this one. As soon as I can, Matt, I guess that's probably my best answer.

Fantastic! Well, I look forward to meeting back up again when you're in the LA area. We had a fabulous dinner a couple of months ago. But again, thank you very much for what you're doing for this disclosure process and for what you've done for this country. We look forward to having you back on the show.

Okay, well, thank you, Matt, for the risks you continue to accept on behalf of your fellow humans. Have a great night, folks. If you enjoyed part one of our interview with Dr. John Blitch, do us a favor: please hit the subscribe and thumbs-up buttons, and click here for part one of our interview with Dr. John Blitch.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=t37-SKj4rtY&t=43s
[00:00 -> 00:58]
Host:
Hello and welcome to Reality Check. Before we start, I want to tell you a little bit about our sponsor for this week: the iconic Chuck Norris. A guy I used to have on my bedroom wall, the martial artist hero who's still in his 80s, still kicking butt, and still staying active. What's even more shocking is that he's stronger, can work out longer, and has plenty of energy left over for his grandkids. He did this by making just one change, and he says he still feels like he's in his 50s. His wife even started doing this one thing too, and she's never felt better. She says she feels 10 years younger, her body looks leaner, and she has energy all day. Chuck made a special video that explains everything. Make sure you watch it by going to chuck.com/Ross, which is the link below this video. It will change the way you think about your health. Once again, that's chuck.com/Ross. Now, let's get on and enjoy the show.

[01:14 -> 03:58]
Host:
Good day and welcome to Reality Check. You've seen the full News Nation special investigation, "Hunting UFOs," where we detailed the allegations of a first-hand whistleblower for the very first time, telling the inside story of the retrieval of nonhuman craft. I know it's an extraordinary allegation to make, and there are millions of armchair experts out there who think they know everything about this story. Well, today, for the first time, the person who told that story to me on News Nation is sharing his full account. The full interview, for the first time, with Jacob Barber. I first met Jacob two years ago, and he's not used to the limelight. Fundamentally, he is someone who has been trained in intelligence and how to operate in the covert world. The only reason he is going overt is that he believes you, the public, have a right to know about the illegal, criminal, and improper things that he believes are happening inside what he calls "the program."

But be aware that, as George Herbert once said, "The life of aspires to know, not be known." It is difficult for any person to make the decision to step out into the limelight, let alone face the excoriating glare of many hostile individuals looking for any excuse to pull Jake down from his pedestal. The interesting thing is that he wants to be investigated. He wants the government and Congress to tackle this story and begin the slow exposition of what he knows.

It's a difficult story for a lot of people to grasp because the scale of it and the implications are immense. Not only does it mean that there is a nonhuman intelligence that has been engaging with this planet, but contrary to what the Pentagon says, we have known about it for decades. It also means that sections of the government have been lying to us and continue to lie to us, which is an egregious breach of public faith. At some stage, there will be a reckoning.

Ultimately, the big decision now for Congress and the new Trump Administration is whether they take the allegations of Jacob Barber

seriously. He wants, and we have always intended, for his full story to be told. So here today, for the very first time, is the full interview with Jake Barber, a great and loyal American who wants you to know the truth.

Hey Ross, my name is Jacob Barber, and for the last 30 years...

[04:00 -> 07:36]
Jake Barber:
I've worked for the U.S. government and its private partners in both an official capacity as an enlisted man in the United States Air Force and in an unofficial capacity for the broader intelligence community as a contractor. Over the last three decades, my work has been very diverse and has included the recovery of downed UAP of non-human origin. I am pleased to share that as of a couple of weeks ago, I have fulfilled a promise to our friend David Grusch by getting myself into a skiff with Congress, and I have provided top-secret SEI testimony on the subject matter of UAP. With this behind us, I'm pleased to be at a place where I can share information with the public. The type of information I can share will be limited by my disclosure restrictions and personal restrictions I have set in order to protect the privacy and quality of life of my friends, family, and colleagues. So with that, let's talk.

Interviewer:
So Jake, you've just made a historic revelation that we are not alone. How did it feel?

Jake Barber:
It felt pretty good, actually, to get that off my chest.

Interviewer:
You've lived with this secret for how long?

Jake Barber:
You could say more than a decade.

Interviewer:
So let's roll back. Let's tell the story of Jake Barber. Okay, you say you were an enlisted man and then you ended up working for the intelligence community as a contractor. Tell me that story. How did that happen?

Jake Barber:
Well, in high school, I was 17 years old. I was prospected into a program, an enlistment program. It was a guaranteed enlistment or guaranteed training enlistment program contract for a specific career field at the time called combat control. The career field is still called that. The contract was unique; at the time, it was a 10-year commitment to Air Force Special Operations. I finished my screening for that program in September of 1994 and shipped off to Air Force basic training. Upon completion of that, I went to a place known as Operating Location Hotel. This was Air Force Special Operations selection. I completed selection roughly four months later and then

entered into what is known as the pipeline. The pipeline is an approximately two-year specialized training schedule where you go to a number of schools. At a certain point in my pipeline, I was approached by some individuals who had a discussion with me and convinced me it might be a good idea to consider becoming an airplane mechanic.

Interviewer:
Was that to be your cover?

Jake Barber:
Yes. Yes, because I noticed your DD214, which is your release discharge document from the U.S. Air Force, which you've provided us. It says you're an aerospace mechanic.

Jake Barber:
I was a mechanic. I spent a lot of time on the flight line at Pope Air Force Base and in an obscure maintenance shop known as the -21 dual rail shop. I spent a lot of time there in my cover capacity, and I worked hard.

Interviewer:
You're constrained very heavily, aren't you, by what's called a Defense Office of Prepublication Security Review?

Jake Barber:
Correct, and that's called a DOPO for sure.

Interviewer:
And if you accidentally or deliberately reveal information that they've told you that you're not allowed to reveal, you can go to jail for the rest of your career?

Jake Barber:
Yeah, they've created quite a landmine of words to avoid, so there are certain agency names and certain designations in the military that you're not allowed to discuss.

[07:38 -> 11:33]
Jake Barber:
Let me clarify something. I was trained as a top Special Operations Soldier. Upon completing that, I was given orders to Pope Air Force Base, where I spent a lot of time working in a maintenance capacity in an obscure maintenance shop known as the D21 dual rail shop. My time outside of that was spent in a number of clubs. You could say I was a member of many clubs where I had the opportunity to do a lot of interesting training. I received extensive Special Operations training, including survival training. I was in the Parachute Club, Scuba Club, Weapons Club, Pilot Club, Spanish Club, and even the Entrepreneur Club and Paramedic Club. They were fully training me for whatever that co-role was going to be. Nobody was training me; I was there of my own free will, out of my own interest, and trained in a number of clubs as a mechanic.

You were training yourself in a whole range of different skills. I

noticed your DD214 document shows that you've achieved Sharpshooter status.

Yes, that's correct. How many mechanics have a Sharpshooter achievement? Not many. I will say that the standard for marksmanship in the Air Force is pretty low, but I did qualify as an expert marksman in a number of small arms.

I also noticed that you earned a commendation for using your combat medical skills to help save the lives of two men in a horrible accident.

That's the interesting thing; every once in a while, my club skills would show up in the ordinary Air Force. Part of what goes on when things are heavily compartmentalized is that often the left hand doesn't know what the right hand is doing. Serving in my capacity as what is known as an OPFOR, or Oppositional Force, I was deeply immersed in my club training. I soon began to be deployed as what's known as a red team member, becoming a red team expert.

What does an opposition force person do?

So, OPFOR is the bad guys. An OPFOR is a utility team, and that team's utility is in pressure testing or stress testing the effectiveness of what are known as blue forces, which are the good guys. OPFOR is trained to deploy their own intuition and innovation in order to make the regular soldiers' lives difficult. That was where I was uniquely qualified and was deployed in that fashion in a number of real-world scenarios and training scenarios.

Now, it's a very useful set of skills that you acquired in the Air Force.

Yes, I've essentially had the training of a top-tier operator, if you like, at the Delta Force or STS 24 level, which is the top U.S. Air Force elite group.

But you were not a top-tier operator?

That is correct. There is no evidence that I was ever assigned to any task force associated with those teams you just mentioned.

What were you?

I was a highly talented airplane mechanic who got to deploy on a number of Presidential support missions. I was a security escort for what are known as HVTs all over the world. I also deployed in Bosnia in support of the hunt for war criminals.

So why do countries like America have covert operations?

[11:36 -> 15:08]
Jake Barber:
The purpose of it, well, there's a lot of utility in what you could

call a hidden hand. For better or for worse, the U.S. government and its agencies tend to paint themselves into a corner, and they're bound by their own regulations and rules of play, which makes it extremely valuable to be able to outsource to folks who don't have to follow those same rules. So, you are operating outside of the normal rulebook. But to be clear, there's nothing wrong with a covert role; the U.S. government uses covert operators all the time. Absolutely, it's 100% in the best interest of everyone in this country to maintain superiority from a national security standpoint, and we play the game that way.

Interviewer:
Do you see yourself as a patriot? Do you love your country?

Jake Barber:
Absolutely, first and foremost, before anything else. And I'd like to be clear; let's do this right now. I'd like you to know exactly what side I'm on. Firstly, I'm on the side of my family and my friends. Second, I'm on the side of the American people, and I'm on the side of the government and the military, third, and only as long as they're taking care of the first two.

Interviewer:
During your training, learning all these skills, did you have any idea about aliens?

Jake Barber:
No, not at all. One way you can validate that you were doing special work is that you were actually recommended for a heroism medal, weren't you?

Interviewer:
The U.S. Air Force?

Jake Barber:
I was, I was.

Interviewer:
And you're not allowed to talk about that operation, are you?

Jake Barber:
No, there were classified missions that took place in Bosnia. But every once in a while, things showed up in my record, and at some point, I had the presence of mind to start making copies of certain things, which was a little nerve-wracking because it may have been illegal that I was in possession of certain things. But this was a letter of recommendation that calls out my particular act of heroism.

Interviewer:
Were you awarded that medal?

Jake Barber:
No, it was squashed by my case officer.

Interviewer:
Do you think it was squashed because they didn't want you to be conspicuous?

Jake Barber:
Yeah, because what the hell would an aircraft mechanic be doing chasing war criminals in Bosnia and doing so to the extent that he would find himself in a situation worthy of a medal of heroism?

Interviewer:
Now, you can't name the agency or organization that you were working for, can you?

Jake Barber:
No, I'm forbidden.

Interviewer:
At any stage during the training that you received, was anyone ever telling you that you were part of a government that was aware of the existence of non-human intelligence?

Jake Barber:
No, not at that time. And I'll have to tell you that everything changed for me right around 9/11. I left the Air Force and basically made a left turn at Albuquerque. I was never part of the global war on terror and quite literally made a left turn at Albuquerque and was sent out to California at that time to begin establishing my cover as an independent contractor so that I could serve what was coming down the line next for me. And that is the path which led to the UAP key subject.

Interviewer:
In your time in deployment, you met certain people from an aerospace company, didn't you?

Jake Barber:
Yes, I did.

Interviewer:
Now, it's also part of the constraints you operate under that you can't name that aerospace company, correct?

[15:10 -> 18:50]
Jake Barber:
There's no good reason to name them, even if I wasn't constrained. What were they doing there? Well, they were supporting us with some new weapons technology that could be used to disable vehicles and aircraft. I can disclose this: my understanding is that they were essentially using, I think it's a matter of public record, microwave weapons—pulse microwave weapons were being used at that time. Correct, there were some early prototypes that were being used, and I met individuals who were there representing the companies that were providing that service to the government. I made some friends, and those relationships led to opportunities later in the California

desert, where I began my journey.

Before we go any further, had you ever, as a child, experienced any kind of anomalous phenomena yourself?
No, this was completely off the books for me. It was a complete revelation. I was born in the 70s; I grew up a fan of Star Wars, Star Trek, and Back to the Future. It was certainly a pleasant and fun idea, but during that same time, it was also very clear that the culture was nostalgic. The subject matter of UAP and non-human intelligence—which wasn't an acronym we used back then—aliens, let's say, was 100% a fictional story. So while I was interested in it, it was purely as an adolescent, intrigued by any other fictional subject.

Before we get into the nitty-gritty of what happened after 2001, let's talk about what you perceive as the rights and responsibilities of American citizens under your Constitution. As an enlisted man, did you swear an oath to the Constitution?
I sure did. How much do you care about the ideas and principles espoused in the U.S. Constitution? Those ideas are absolutely paramount; they come above everything else. Even though I mentioned my family and friends, I cannot facilitate protecting them without the fundamental nature of the U.S. Constitution. So it is paramount to everything else.

Is it fair to say that the reason why you're speaking to us here today is because you think it's important that the American people be told about what we're going to disclose? In accordance with the Constitution, Article One enshrines the idea that all arms of government must be transparent and accountable to Congress. Is that happening?
You know, I can't really speak to that. From what we're being told, there is no evidence of extraterrestrial presence here; there is no evidence of non-human intelligence. There's a little bit of admittance and evidence that there's something anomalous in the sky flying around, and we don't know what it is. So the bad news is I can't really help further that question that everyone wants answered. The good news is I'm going to begin to be able to start answering that question, and that's the primary reason I'm here to speak to you today.

Do you think Congress is being misled or deceived about what is secretly known about non-human intelligence and alien life?
It's clear they don't have the full story.

[18:52 -> 22:35]
Jake Barber:
What's being held back? Just about everything. But there's another problem: I don't even know that they're giving it proper attention to begin with. Unfortunately, Congress is very ignorant when it comes to the subject. Very recently, the Pentagon spokesman assured reporters in a Pentagon briefing that there is no evidence of extraterrestrial engagement with planet Earth. Is that true? No, that's not true. They like to hang their hat on some key words there—extraterrestrial—so

that means outside of planet Earth, and that is yet to be determined, to my best understanding. So you're saying you know for a fact there are aliens? Yes, 100%. There are alien craft, 100%. Have you been involved in the recovery of those alien craft? Well, Ross, yes, I have. How does it feel to say that? I'm kind of over it. This has been a fact I had to get over; it wasn't easy. When it first hit me, that was years ago, and it's actually quite therapeutic to talk about it. I'm encouraged that it's becoming commonplace because that's where it needs to be for us to move forward and start gathering some evidence, which we intend to do.

So you're building up a cover in California shortly after 9/11. What are you doing? Well, I'm doing a number of things. One, trying to fill out a sensible explanation for my backstory. I mentioned one of the clubs I was in was the pilot club, and you'll speak to my good friend Don Paul here shortly, who I met during that time, but there's no record of that. So, actually, one of the first things I did was go to commercial pilot school in order to create a legitimate training record so that I could operate in an official capacity this time as a helicopter pilot.

So you'd learned how to fly as a covert operator, but they didn't give you a license for it in the military? No, because you weren't allowed to have that qualification; that would undermine your cover, I suppose. Correct. So you had to go and pretend to not be a pilot in order to get your commercial license? Yeah, that was loads of fun, actually. I found it quite challenging to pretend I didn't know how to fly.

You must have blitzed it. Well, pretending to be bad was quite easy in the beginning. The hardest part was faking a natural learning curve—how to show that I'm getting better in a progression that they've seen before. So that was fun. A few times, I took the liberty to mess with my flight instructor, but he just thought I got lucky.

This happened for what, a couple of years? Yeah, a number of things were done. One of the rules we go by is that legitimacy is the best cover. So in order to provide a certain utility to the government by way of a contractor, I have to establish a lot of legitimate businesses. I have to function in a legitimate way under existing contracts to build a cover. A cover is not a hollow shell; it has to be layers deep, and the best way to have many layers of cover is to just go do something genuine to begin with.

Let's be clear about this: you're a very financially successful businessman in your own right. You've done well in business? Yes. You are not being paid by us or anyone to do this interview? No. In fact, you're deriving no financial...

[22:37 -> 26:25]
Jake Barber:
I want to clarify that I do not receive any benefit or in-kind benefit at all from doing this interview with me. Why are you speaking to us today? Well, because I've got some good news. I know everyone has been

waiting for people like me to speak out, and we haven't been doing nothing the past several years. There's been a lot going on, and I'm very pleased to share that we are moving forward in an official and transparent capacity to explore the answers to these questions that there appears to be no evidence for. Our number one goal now is to function in a private capacity with the backing of venture capitalists and in coordination with some of the most key departments in the government in order to start answering these questions in a transparent way related to the UAP topic.

Interviewer:
Are you a front?

Jake Barber:
Am I a front? Yes, I mean, you've worked in counterintelligence; you're a trained intelligence operative. Is this some kind of disinformation or propaganda operation? No, and I'm going to say no. I'm going to tell you I'm not lying to you, but unfortunately, there's no way that I can convince you of that if that's what you're thinking. A lot of people watching this will be thinking, "Oh, you can never trust a spook." You know, there's obviously wheels within wheels; this is part of some official government disclosure operation.

Interviewer:
Yeah, is it?

Jake Barber:
No, it's not. And again, I'm not going to be able to make you believe that, but what I can invite you to do is—I'll paraphrase the Book of Matthew—you will know us by our fruits. So I'd like to invite you to stay tuned in and watch what our new project is about to do and prepare to be dazzled.

Interviewer:
So you're proposing you're part of a team that is proposing to do private retrievals of alien technology?

Jake Barber:
Yes, yes.

Interviewer:
And you've got the backing for it?

Jake Barber:
Yes, wow. So let's talk about now what you do know. When you finally secured your pilot's training in the commercial world, what happened?

Jake Barber:
While I was getting my pilot's training, I was also working to set up a number of businesses in order to pursue government contracts from the DOI, the DOD, and all their organizations and departments within those. Mostly, what we did a lot like in the '90s, you know, the cover capacity takes up most of your time. You spend a lot of time operating

in a cover capacity.

Interviewer:
So you were working in legitimate businesses, earning a living like everybody else?

Jake Barber:
Like everyone else, you're just waiting for the call.

Interviewer:
Waiting for the call? The incidental benefits of the capabilities of the companies you set up—are those benefits that are on standby to be deployed in the subject matter of UAPs?

Jake Barber:
So you've set up all those legitimate businesses. When did you get the call?

Jake Barber:
As a helicopter operator, we provide a number of services to various departments within the military-industrial complex. That includes the Department of the Interior as well as the DOI. The Department of the Interior has a number of other departments within it, and we worked mostly in those for our CONUS operations, or within the continental United States. Those operations include things like firefighting, long haul, short haul, which is our external loads for helicopters, working for the Bureau of Indian Affairs—anything you could do to provide utility. Anything that you could do with the utility of a...

[26:26 -> 29:58]
Jake Barber:
At this stage, there was no indication of any anomalous phenomena at all. When did you first start becoming aware of strange objects in the sky during your work?

Well, a lot of the work we did was on what I call "the range." The range is a particular geographical area where the U.S. government and its private partners test all kinds of things—weapon systems and resilience to weapon systems of certain craft. You see a lot of exciting things. I have to remind you that my particular role is very basic security and transportation. It gets a little broad as you drill down, but I'm a security and transportation expert, and that's the service we broadly provided to the government and its partners.

So, you start seeing interesting objects at the range. Yes, it's always interesting out there. You know, it was a process for me getting to the point until we had our first experience with something that was obviously non-human in origin. But up until that time, there were lots of interesting things in the sky. I can tell you that our technology—and by "our," I mean anything that lies within the inventory of the United States government and its private partners—is pretty spectacular. There are some cool things, and from an observer standpoint on the ground, it's hard to tell exactly what's going on. Things come in and out of sight, things disappear for a moment and

reappear, things seem to move very quickly, and things change color and shape.

A good reference for this is, I'm sure we've all seen these drone shows that are put on at the Super Bowl halftime or other places. Those are pretty amazing to see—visually amazing—but we all know that's human technology, and we accept it. But if you think about that and consider perhaps what we might be doing privately in the interest of national security, your imagination could fill in the blanks on what you might see on a test range being used by the U.S. government and its partners.

I mean, I guess we all expect that there's technology we don't know about that's being kept confidential for very good reasons because the United States doesn't want foreign adversaries to know it has an aerospace advantage or a weapons advantage over a potential rival.

Were you telling yourself that for a long time when you started seeing anomalous objects on the range?

Yeah, because just like it is now, you kind of have to train yourself. Even once you become a believer in the UAP subject, you take some folks outside who are believers, and they look up, get really excited, and falsely identify a satellite as a UAP or something else in the sky—an airplane that you might not be able to see its position lights or strobe.

You know what Lou Elizondo has described as the five observables: instantaneous speed, incredible hypersonic speeds, transmedium travel (water to air, air to water), positive lift, some kind of propulsion system that isn't explained by conventional systems, and stealth. So, you were seeing objects that complied with those weird observables.
[30:01 -> 34:08]
Jake Barber:
When you talk about the transmedium, one of the characteristics that would raise your eyebrow is the transmedium aspect itself. Objects would come from the ground, like from a ridge or a mountain, and could pass through. They would emerge from a ridge, yes. That is clearly technology that is certainly not known. Now, again, that is the visual understanding of what you're saying. Was that human technology? I just don't know. At that time, I did not know.

There came a moment, though, when I began to realize that this was something else. Let me add that we also know of circumstances—my teammates can speak to this—where we did recover things that were exotic in nature in the sky. We know they were classified craft, and we recovered them and took them back to where they belong. That was a regular occurrence. There are things we are trying to protect that are far beyond what we know publicly.

Much like everything in my story, 80 to 90% of the time is very ordinary and boring. Once you acclimate to the lifestyle, the remaining 5 to 10% is the most outrageous and exciting time of your life. However, the majority of what we see and do is explainable,

ordinary, and known by many people. It is not classified. But there is a certain percentage that is extremely sensitive, which includes what we know as our own man-made advanced technology.

When we look at a recovery mission or a crash recovery team, you don't assemble a team like that with the intent of recovering nonhuman craft. That team is trained and provided with the necessary training, tools, and technology to conduct a recovery mission for a number of objects and craft. In the rare or hypothetical occasion that something of nonhuman origin were to interface with the ground, you would deploy that same team. That is how we got involved with this particular subject.

So, tell me about the moment when you realized that you were involved in the retrieval of nonhuman technology—alien tech. It became very clear the first time we recovered something that was non-human in origin. That was precisely what we were dealing with. Our communication process and procedures were modified. It was also clear to everyone on the team that what we were dealing with was unexpected rather than expected.

Visually, looking at the object on the ground, you could tell that it was extraordinary and anomalous. It was not human. How close did you get? I was a helicopter pilot, operating with a 150 to 200-foot long line, so I got within 150 feet of this object. What did you see? I saw an egg—a white egg. How large was the object? I would say approximately 20 feet, plus or minus a little bit, about the size of a large SUV. Did it have any seams? No. What color was it? It was pure white—like pearly white, the best I can assess.

[34:10 -> 37:34]
Jake Barber:
Describing sounds something like something out of a TV show. Well, fortunately, I'm going to share some images with you, and you can see one of these flying in the sky if you like, in broad daylight. So, you actually saw it in the sky before?

Interviewer:
No, not this one, but in our new venture, we've already begun work and we're capturing data of the exact same craft flying in the sky.

Jake Barber:
How did you know that that egg-shaped object was nonhuman in origin? Well, visually, after seeing all kinds of things in my past, it was clearly something that looked ridiculously inconsistent with anything I had ever seen before. I can also tell you that the reaction by my team, who were very experienced, was atypical. We modified our entire process for recovery that night. Our communication process changed; we all knew we were dealing with something extraordinary.

In addition to that, it has also been verified by me through high-ranking members of the UAP task force that what we recovered that night was, in fact, known as a high craft. The implications of that are actually quite shocking. It means the government is lying to us,

which shouldn't come as any surprise. But we are being lied to. I can't comment on that because I don't know what the government knows about this operation.

Interviewer:
Do you think it's possible that there are even senior people in the Pentagon who aren't aware of what you know?

Jake Barber:
Absolutely. There are senior people in all branches of government who are unaware of what's going on at the bottom. So, when Air Force General Pat Ryder speaks at a press briefing in the Pentagon and says there is no evidence of extraterrestrial visitation or engagement with planet Earth, he might actually be telling the truth because he hasn't been read in.

Interviewer:
Correct. Now, you have what's called, I understand, a top-secret SCI clearance. You have compartmented intelligence clearance to certain programs.

Jake Barber:
My clearance is given on an as-needed basis. So, it's not like I go around with a record of having top-secret clearance anywhere, but I do get dis...

Interviewer:
Well, there is because in the documents that you've shown us, there is one document that shows a NATO top-secret clearance.

Jake Barber:
And what does that mean?

Interviewer:
That means you are cleared to the highest level that you need to be in order to function in the capacity that you've been assigned on a particular mission. It's quite a high clearance.

Jake Barber:
Yes, it's a very high clearance. The best effort was done to secure your cover, and as part of protecting that cover, it wasn't allowed to be known that you had high clearances. But what you have been able to demonstrate to us is that you were, in fact, given high clearances as part of your role.

Jake Barber:
Yes, the clearances kind of accompanied me like a shadow. If I got deployed on a particular mission, let's use an HVT mission for example—high-value target. I spent a lot of time in the '90s living out of a hotel room at Pope Air Force Base with a pager; that was our technology back then. So, I'll give you a short story that'll tie this together. A typical situation for me...

[37:36 -> 40:54]

Jake Barber:
I was told to stay at the lodging on base, and I could travel to the chow hall and the gym, but I had to remain within that response area. We would have a sealed aircraft. A sealed aircraft is one that has been configured and swept, and then a metal seal is placed on the door. If that door is opened or broken, we know someone has entered the craft in an unauthorized capacity. So, we would have a sealed aircraft there. If we got dispatched, I would go to an aircraft, and there would be manifests that accompany that aircraft, listing all the crew members, their security clearances, and a rough description of the mission.

At those times, the crew would be briefed, and security clearances would be issued to that crew. Anytime I was on a high-value target mission that was highly sensitive, I operated under what is known as a NATO top-secret security clearance. There are a few documents that I was able to make copies of that show that, and indeed, the document you showed us clearly indicates that you and the pilot were the only people on board the plane with a NATO top-secret clearance.

The likely reason he was assigned is that I was there. Not to sound arrogant, but the fact that I was being deployed on that mission meant that the aircraft commander also needed to be read in to a certain degree, which required that clearance. This is part of the reason why they keep your clearances secret in that covert role, so they have plausible deniability if you ever decide to speak publicly.

Here's the funny thing: the U.S. government can create a bunch of rules to maintain order, but they also make rules to break them, hack the system, and get around them. It provides great obscurity to create rules that separate the known from the unknown. I want to add one comment here: when we talk about circumstances like the first time we recovered a UAP, which was the flying egg, regardless of where you were or what level of security you were operating under at the time, when that shows up, you are instantly in a top-secret classified situation, regardless of people's clearances.

Before you flew out that day to recover the egg, what were you told?
I was told that we were going out to do our normal thing, providing range security and transporting crew, and that we were doing our regular range work.
You weren't told that this was an anomalous craft that was clearly of non-human origin?
No, and I genuinely believe no one else knew this was going to happen either. I think it was a shock to everyone. At no stage were you ever subsequently told that the craft was of non-human origin?
No.
So how do you know it wasn't human? How do you know that we don't have top-secret technology that is shaped like an egg?
Well, I'll tell you that because of the reaction of my leadership structure and my experience working in that capacity. Everyone involved was convinced that what we were seeing and dealing with was, in fact, exotic in its origin.

[40:57 -> 44:31]
Jake Barber:
During this process over the last couple of years, it has been confirmed to me by ranking members of the UAP task force that what we were working with that night was, in fact, an HII, and it was not a unique experience. The object, as we're referring to it, is known by many others who have encountered this type of craft in our atmosphere and outside of it—broad daylight, nighttime, all over the place.

Interviewer:
You got a good look at this object, didn't you?

Jake Barber:
Yes.

Interviewer:
Was there any visible propulsion system on it?

Jake Barber:
No, not what we would know. There was no engine, and there was no thermal signature. I was operating at night when I finally came in to pick it up, so I was using night vision goggles at the time. It was quite clear. I flipped them up and down and looked at it a couple of different ways.

Interviewer:
Was there a thermal signature?

Jake Barber:
There was not a thermal signature, so it wasn't hot.

Interviewer:
Now, you know general laws of thermodynamics. If an object has been flying or driving an engine, it's going to show heat, isn't it?

Jake Barber:
Yes.

Interviewer:
So how do you think that object was being propelled?

Jake Barber:
Well, that's a great question that we're looking forward to exploring with our new venture.

Interviewer:
So when you went back to base, was it the sort of job where you could go to your bosses and say, "Hey, listen, what was that object that I picked up, that strange-looking egg thing?"

Jake Barber:
No, that's not quite how it works. When I go back to what you would call my base, when I go back to our facility, that's back to my normal working business, and the people that are involved there have really

no idea what's going on when I'm holding myself out for work for the government. This is how the compartmentalization system works; it's a need-to-know basis. There was no need for the people that you were working with to know, so they weren't briefed in.

Interviewer:
Yes, and you only found out incidentally because you saw it on the range, right?

Jake Barber:
Yes.

Interviewer:
So let's keep on going. That piqued your interest for the first time you've seen something that does appear genuinely anomalous. When was the next occasion when you became aware of anomalous non-human technology?

Jake Barber:
There was another craft that we recovered that I can talk about, and that craft was what we referred to as an "8-gone." An 8-gone is basically a disc-shaped object that, when viewed from the top, has eight different symmetrical sections delineated by some form of ridge. So it's an octagon-shaped disc.

Interviewer:
By any chance, was there a nickname for the egg?

Jake Barber:
Yes, we actually called it "Nanus," like from the "Mork & Mindy" show from the '70s, because it's ridiculous. That's exactly what it looked like. It's funny when you look back at what may have been the motivation for the 1970s, because where else would they get the idea of an egg? It's a ridiculous idea for a guy from Ork, right? So you have Mork from Ork who flew to Earth in an egg, and it surely gives credit to the idea that some like to think that perhaps there was a PR program that utilized our fascination with Hollywood and television to paint pictures of actual fact as fiction, making it now some weird hybrid you could call "faction."

Interviewer:
So what time of day or night was it when you came across the octagonal object?

[44:34 -> 48:21]
Jake Barber:
We did recovery on that both day and night, so talk me through it. What happens? You're in your chopper?

Interviewer:
Yes, I'm in my chopper.

Jake Barber:
What sort of chopper? At the time, it was a Bell 22, which is the same

as an N1. It's a twin-engine, twin-bladed Bell Helicopter.

Interviewer:
You're flying at night?

Jake Barber:
Yes, flying at night.

Interviewer:
What time at night?

Jake Barber:
Oh God, I don't remember, like after midnight.

Interviewer:
Have you been given any pre-flight briefing?

Jake Barber:
I would be the one to give the briefing, but we are given a loadout on the particular object when you're flying an external load. We designate two different sites: one is called the pick site, and the other is the drop site. You could say the pick site is where the payload is that you're flying in to pick up. As you're making your first trip into the pick site, the payloads are already rigged and manifested for you to prepare them for flight. When you're en route to that object, you're given some basic information like weight estimates and size estimates.

There are two objects that I'm here to talk about: one was the egg, and the other is what we called an 8-gon. The 8-gon was essentially a flying disc with what looked like eight delineated sections when viewed from above. I can tell you what it looked like from looking down because that's primarily how I saw it, being a helicopter pilot.

We did recoveries with the 8-gons; there was more than one that made its way to the ground during this particular operation. The recovery was done at night, and then more was done in the day because of where we operate. Sometimes things can make their way into steep terrain. The terrain where we operate is the highest mountain range in the continental United States. So, the decision was made to wait until daylight to recover some of the objects or some of the material that was brought down or made its way to the ground that night.

During the recovery of the object at nighttime, I made my way in the helicopter from where we configured the line, and we flew to what we call the pick site. There are two locations; we designate them as the pick site and the drop site. At the delivery location, we have a crew both at the pick site and the drop site on the ground. The pick team configures the cargo for flight, so whatever it is, the craft is sitting in a sling waiting for your helicopter.

Yes, it's sitting there. We have these things called pumpkins, which are like rubberized bags or containers. From my point of view, it was

covered in a pumpkin, at least from the bottom and the sides.

This is one of the most profound experiences I've ever had in my life, and I'm about to share it with you, so bear with me. This is when it became clear for me. As I get closer to this pick site, I start feeling odd. I start feeling extremely emotional.

Interviewer:
What do you feel? What's the emotion?

Jake Barber:
I feel a sense of my mother. I don't know how to explain it. I feel this intense hybrid of sadness and happiness and beauty.

[48:25 -> 52:32]
Jake Barber:
It was very disruptive to me because I had a very practical responsibility at the time to operate a helicopter in the mountains at night. I began wondering what was wrong with me. It was late, and I thought, "Am I just stressed? Am I tired? Am I marinating in cortisol and estrogen?" I don't know. But as I came over this object for the pick, there was this overwhelming sense of emotion. What was the object saying? Tell me what you're feeling.

It was an overwhelming sense of sadness and happiness. Is it your feeling? Are you suddenly having a mental breakdown on the job? No, I felt like something connected with me. I felt like something had tuned into me and my soul, providing me some sense of guidance on what to do and how profound my actions were. It was so overwhelming that I began to cry. I can't imagine crying at night with night vision goggles; it's not the healthiest way to fly a chopper.

I was confused as to why I was crying at the same time. Should I abort the mission? I just did my best to focus on my particular cues while doing this type of work. I got the load hooked up and began flying away. Once it was just me and that object between the pick and the drop site, which was about 20 miles apart, I felt like something was inside of me. I felt like I was possessed by the most beautiful spirit I had ever encountered.

Was it loving? Yes, it was loving, but there was a sense of sadness at the same time. Had you ever had an experience like this before? No, it was a very feminine energy. I'll tell you that. It felt like the spirit of God, but not in any masculine sense that I had ever felt. It was like the feeling of my mother and my daughter, and beauty. It was like a frequency that I was connected with.

Was it scary? I don't know if it was a thing. I'm still trying to figure out why that happened. I don't want to say that because I have a pretty good idea of what's going on now. Whatever that force was, since that night, it has stayed with me. As crazy as it sounds, it's what's guiding me now and providing protection for me.

It's changed your life? Yes, it's changed my life. Do you think it's

made you a better person? That experience has made me a more sensitive and aware person, which I think would make all of us better people. So that was a moment when you became aware of, at the very least, a technology that had the capacity to touch you remotely?

Yes, remotely. Telepathically. Now, telepathy—we are told—is nonsense, a fiction. What happened after you flew the object in the sling to presumably the drop-off zone? Once I made the drop, before I got there, I was really scared that I was going to have to stop flying and punch this thing off because I was so distracted. But then I thought, "Well, crap..."

[52:35 -> 56:19]
Jake Barber:
Now, we've got another recovery site. I'm going to have to emergency land. I don't know. It took everything I had in me at the time to get to my drop site, and I delivered this. Oddly enough, no one in my crew reported having similar effects from this. I do not believe there was a being in that craft. I've come to understand now, after being involved with other parts of the programs that are tested on the Range, that there is a known, researched, and implemented emotional or psychic, or what we call a psionic component to the research and development of the UAP program.

Now, Jake, I know it's going to be very confronting for our audience to hear this, but you think that you've discovered something potentially about yourself as a result of that experience—that you might, in fact, have abilities that you were never aware of. I know when I look back to my childhood, I sincerely believe that the screening I went through in high school, you know, my grandparents... The reason I joined the military is really simple: I wanted to jump out of airplanes, kill bad guys, and make my grandparents proud. My grandparents were the most amazing people I've ever met. They grew up during the Great Depression and dealt with World War II. My grandfathers, particularly, were the strongest and most sensitive men I've ever met, all wrapped up into one person.

We can call them Navy Grandpa and Army Grandpa, let's say. Navy Grandpa was a very influential naval officer who was actually a member of the SEALs' predecessors, the Scouts and Raiders. Army Grandpa was an Army captain and a salesman. They both founded our neighborhood church and literally set the cornerstone to our church together. Unfortunately, their children—my parents—were very loving people but made a lot of bad decisions. This led to stepfamilies for me at a very young age. To get away from the abusive environment, I spent a lot of time outside. I would tell my mom I was going to my dad's house, and I'd tell my dad I was going to my mom's house, and I would just bug out and live outside.

You were living in the bush somewhere?
Yeah, essentially I was homeless, but I just told myself I was a wild commando survivalist, and that gave me pride in what I was doing instead of shame. I refined a pretty high level of independence at a young age. My grandparents were always there, making sure I had some

outlet for certain things. They got me involved with an art program and a music program. I'll have to tell you, my Navy grandfather was the superintendent. He got his doctorate in education, and by the time I graduated high school, he had retired as the superintendent of our school district. He was a very influential man, and I understand he ran the gifted and talented education program for that school district. You could say he was the ambassador for this area for the GATE program, which was a program for...

[56:21 -> 59:56]
Jake Barber:
My personal experience with gifted and talented programs was great. I was pulled from a normal class a couple of times a day, at least twice, to go to a private classroom where I had one-on-one time with a staff member. We played a lot of games together, both inside and outside, and ran a number of creative classes. Additionally, after school, I attended sessions with a private art teacher and learned music. I felt like I had a unique educational experience as a kid. My grandfather also got me involved in water polo and swimming; he was a diver and a swimmer. Water polo became a great outlet for me. I found that the competition and physical exhaustion were like great medicine for me.

Looking back, do you think there might have been something special about your selection into the gifted program?
If we look into my high school years, unfortunately, my senior year, I got into a lot of trouble when I did show up to school. I was a bit disruptive in class, had a smart mouth, and enjoyed being the class clown. I got into a lot of fights, and by the time my senior year came around, I was the varsity goalie on our water polo team. However, five weeks into my senior year, I got expelled for fighting again. At that time, I was on a disciplinary contract due to my accumulation of bad behavior throughout high school, which was interesting because it was a one-strike-and-you're-out situation.

Five weeks into my senior year, I was expelled. At this point, my grandparents decided to hold an intervention. I don't even think my parents or stepparents know, but the two men got together, met with me, and decided to research military programs that would be available at that time. We made several trips down to UCLA to an office nearby, and I began going through a series of tests—physical, physiological, psychological, and creative tests. We assumed that all of this was pretty typical.

Now, don't you know that the Air Force has been secretly testing people through the gifted program for certain psychic abilities?
Yes, I was tested. Do you think that might have played a part in what happened to you that night when you were recovering that craft?
Yes, and I don't know that the Air Force realized that. I'll tell you, I know others I've met through the program who were in a psionic program and have a very similar backstory. But for whatever reason, I was not used in that way. My creativity and intuition resulted in being used for creative war games, being very innovative in that context. If we make a spectrum and put intuition on the conservative

side, let's say the right side of the scale, on the more far-out side...

[1:00:00 -> 1:03:49]
Jake Barber:
There might be something that resembles telepathy or telekinesis, which we refer to as psionics. I knew I was intuitive, creative, and had a good imagination. Looking back, it was clear that becoming a red team expert required those tools—my intuition and creativity were what made me successful in that capacity. I'm quite struck by how many senior elite soldiers, especially in Special Operations, admit that in combat situations, where they face imminent harm, there's often a moment where they talk about intuition or precognition. They knew something was about to happen. Does that happen to you?

Yes, I would say I have a keen ability to make good decisions, both short-term and long-term. I also find comfort in chaos; I see things more clearly in chaotic situations and tend to make very wise decisions. Is that a quality you recognize in many of your colleagues in Special Operations?

You might be interested to know that many elite operators are left-handed. A lot of them were artists as kids or still are—very good drawers, illustrators, and musicians. If you were to describe their personalities, you might find yourself using some oxymorons like "peaceful warriors" or "sensitive savages." That's a real thing. I'll add one more: we are a bunch of dead serious goofballs. There's this dichotomy between the feminine and masculine at the extremes. I don't know if you could say that the big blue Air Force and the big black Department of Defense know what they're doing, but they seem to be prospecting for these kids at a level and planning a long-term, well-thought-out path. What you will find is that we end up making our way there.

Something made you connect with that machine that night.

Yes, it chose to connect with me, and reportedly no one else on my crew. When you got back to base, did you report the fact that you had that extraordinary experience?

No, I didn't.

Why not?

Because I didn't know what happened, and I didn't want to carry the responsibility as a pilot. You know, in this process, I've moved from being a very pragmatic person with a tremendous amount of responsibility in day-to-day operations to a place where I've had to take into account and incorporate things that are essentially metaphysical. I had to find a balance between the two while maintaining the trust that I could still do my pragmatic work. This was something pretty new to me that night. The last thing I wanted to do was go back and tell my team that I almost had to eject because I was connected with a goddess that was making me cry.

So, you've had those two anomalous incidents with craft?

Yes. Clearly, these are objects that are being recovered and do not appear to be human technology. This is a good thing to discuss because what we're dealing with is either nonhuman intelligence, if we want to...

[1:03:51 -> 1:07:47]
Jake Barber:
To use those words or that phrase or that acronym, it's either that or we need to dramatically redefine what we think human intelligence is. Because this object, whatever it was, was capable of communicating with you in your mind. That object, or whatever was controlling that object, was also connecting to me through my mind. Now, you learned, didn't you, that this was the tip of an iceberg? It wasn't just you, correct? What did you learn?

Well, my work, coincidentally, shortly after that, led me in support of another particular part of the program, which deals directly with looking at this piece right here. Is there a component on the summoning side that we call, and the operation side of these non-human craft, that has to do with consciousness, or at least a field of consciousness that perhaps humans can tap into? Maybe all beings in the universe can tap into.

What is a psionic? A psionic person is someone with a predisposition for, you could say, extra-temporal abilities and sensitivities—someone who has fantastic intuition. What did you discover with the psionics being used for in the program?

In the program, there was certainly a desire to explore the idea that perhaps UAP could be summoned, could be communicated with, could be controlled, and could be persuaded to land—all by deploying people with psionic abilities to interface and communicate with the UAP.

And did you see that happen?

Yes, I did. So there were people who were purportedly psionically gifted who were brought into the program?

Yes, there were. Where did they come from?

They came from all over. And by all over, I mean from outside the United States as well as within.

And how were they detected? How were they picked?

You know, I don't really know. You'd have to ask somebody else. I'm told that there are particular indigenous communities around the world—Native Americans, people in different third-world countries—who apparently have enhanced psychic abilities.

I think most people could grasp the idea that perhaps people from

other cultures, you know, our culture has a lot to be desired when we look at the contaminants that exist in our food, the contaminants that exist in our drugs, and the contaminants in our culture. Some of these contaminants, it turns out, aren't great for your spirituality, your consciousness, or your psionic abilities.

Those who live a particular lifestyle or live in a culture where they have a more natural or direct way of life with nature and their surroundings seem to have a slightly higher demographic of those with psionic abilities. So these people are sought out and are used to contribute to the research that might be going on with the psionics.

I want to put something to you. I've spoken myself– you haven't told me this, but I've spoken myself to people of Native American background, indigenous Americans, who say that this is a particularly popular...

[1:07:49 -> 1:11:32]
Jake Barber:
There is a thing for recruitment from the program to happen in Indigenous American communities. Yes, I know that for a fact. There are also people from countries in the third world where these abilities are recognized and not treated with stigma as we do in the Western world. In fact, there is no stigma; if there is any stigma, it's if you're the one who's not playing along—you might be the outcast in those cultures. So the irony is that part of your discovery inside the program is that there genuinely are people who can actually make a mental and spiritual connection to technology. Yes, 100%. Was that a revelation to you? It was fun. It was actually fun to learn. That's one of the things in our work that was like, "Oh my gosh, there's more to life." It answered certain questions and intuitions, if you will, that we have going back to our childhood. If anything, that was most present in your childhood, which is very satisfying because, you know, as a child, you have this particular feeling, and it kind of dies out as you become more mature and have to take on more responsibility. So to be able to nurture that and accept that that might be the case, and to see organizations as serious as those we worked with taking this seriously, was actually a heck of a lot of fun.

This is an analog shock, I think, for many people watching this because we're all being told, firstly, there's no such thing as aliens visiting this planet, and secondly, we're all being told there's no such thing as telepathy or psychic abilities—it's rubbish. I don't think there is going to be an ontological shock. I think people are going to react to it the same way I did. I think it's going to be an ontological relief because I think everyone deep down knows that there is more to life than what we are being told and experiencing. To know that there might be something like a sixth sense that has a spiritual connection, and that that might actually have a good nature and application behind it—like, not only is it not shocking, but it should be welcomed, I would think.

Host:

It’s beautiful. Isn’t that the message you got from whatever that overwhelming sense of beauty?

Jake Barber:
Overwhelming love.

Host:
And it’s funny hearing an elite soldier talking about love.

Jake Barber:
Yeah, and benevolence. You know, what fuels every elite soldier is a preservation of love or something that they love. That’s what’s behind all the strength.

Host:
So let’s go through the psionic program. When a psionic operator engages with technology, how do they do it?

Jake Barber:
Using their mind, something like meditation. I need to preface this by saying this is stuff that I’ve come to know recently. The night that I had this experience, there was another team involved. Come to find out, it was a psionics team, and they were also working that night.

Host:
Do you think it was them that had attracted the object in the first place?

Jake Barber:
Yeah, I do.

Host:
And so how did the object come to land?

Jake Barber:
I think it was invited to land by the psionics team.

Host:
Is that always how they make the objects land?

Jake Barber:
No, there’s a...

[1:11:33 -> 1:15:10]
Jake Barber:
I have to tell you that weapon systems are tested out there. I know this from other sources. High pulse microwave weapons are being used to bring down some of these craft.

Now, how often is it done where the objects land on their own accord, and how often is it done that weapons are used? I can't tell you how often, but I can tell you they are brought down both ways. They are invited to land by the psionics team; other times, they are brought down by high-powered microwave weapons, which can disrupt the flight

pattern of the object. Sometimes it is an object, and sometimes it is not, which can result in that aircraft being forcibly brought down.

When they did the attraction of the objects, was it purely psychic, or was there machinery used as well? There are five components to our operation, which might answer a lot of your questions. The first component is a calling phase. Calling is either through man or machine-based summoning systems. We have man-based systems, which are our psionic assets that make a psychic connection through intuition or consciousness with the object and can invite it in. The other is a machine-based calling system, which uses many systems that I'm not going to go into detail about. You could refer to them as a dog whistle or a lure, and certain types of UAP seem to be specifically attracted or responsive to our machine-based calling systems.

Once they're brought in, we communicate with them. The CICS can have a dual role because in this communication phase, we do a lot of data collection. This verifies that what we are seeing is something tangible and that it is responding to our efforts. We might invite it to move left or right or position our particular machine-based systems in a specific direction and then measure the response.

The implication of what you're saying is that this intelligence behind these objects, whatever it is, seems willing to share. Yes, I think it ultimately is working for us. It is commonly talked about that what we are getting, even when we weaponize it, is a donation—a gift. Even when we deploy equipment, when there's a combined operation with the psionics team and a machine-based team, all the equipment has to be blessed and brought in when it's a psionic-led operation for summoning.

A lot of people watching this, Jake, are thinking, "I'm sure this is crazy. This guy is making this up. This can't possibly be true—alien vehicles, psychic techniques to engage with technology. This is disinformation, fabrication." Well, we intend to prove that's not the case, which has a lot to do with the timing of why I'm coming out now. We plan to produce that evidence in our new venture with our teams that we've used for years in the Legacy program. We've now gotten out, pulled our resources, and we're backed by venture capital. We're also working in coordination with the most forefront government agencies that you would want involved with us on this subject matter.

[1:15:12 -> 1:18:53]
Jake Barber:
We're going to start producing evidence on all of this, and we're going to share it. But CR, you know it works because you've seen it done. Yes?

CR:
And so have your colleagues.

Jake Barber:
That's correct. What would you say to people who might argue that there's no way a secret like this could have been kept for so long? I

mean, you're describing an operation that, in your experience, has been going on for at least 10 to 20 years.

CR:
Yeah, do you know how long the program's been doing this?

Jake Barber:
I'm sorry, but I don't know what else to tell you. It can be kept a secret.

CR:
Oh yeah, secrets can be kept. There are lots of secrets, some kept for good reasons.

Jake Barber:
Let me briefly summarize the implications of what you're saying: aliens are real.

CR:
Unfortunately, we need to get really specific with the semantics. What do you mean by "alien"?

Jake Barber:
Something nonhuman and intelligent, built craft that you have been involved in recovering.

CR:
Yes.

Jake Barber:
Something that is inconsistent with our idea of what a human is is involved with these craft. Are these intelligences—whatever they are—extraterrestrial? Are they from somewhere else, or could they possibly be living here?

CR:
I don't know. I have to remind you, I'm a security and transportation guy who has been involved in this program, really within the purview of those two categories. So you're going to have to ask someone else.

Jake Barber:
I presume you got a chance to talk to the psionics. When they mentally and telepathically engaged with whatever this intelligence was, what did they tell you about it?

CR:
Well, they refer to it as "they" in a very spiritual nature, something like angels—angels and demons. Let's say there are certainly good energies and bad energies, but it's talked about in a very nebulous way because no one really knows what it is. No one, especially on the psionic side, we're still trying to figure that out.

Jake Barber:

We're still trying to figure out exactly what it is, and there are probably a number of things going on. There might be biological entities, and we use the word "biological" for a very specific reason.

CR:
Biological.

Jake Barber:
We limit it to that because we aren't here to imply that it is sentient or has consciousness. We really don't know that. There is some evidence that shows it might be the case that these are something like soft tissue drones or lab meat that was programmed in order to operate craft.

CR:
Meat robots.

Jake Barber:
Yeah, okay. So it really begs the question. But ironically, while some of the biological cargo that comes with these craft is not conscious, consciousness itself is how you interact and pilot the craft. This is ironic because, for the first time ever, the biological creatures inside are not conscious, but the craft is.

CR:
The other implication, too, from what your experience shows, is that whatever and wherever the intelligence is behind those craft, it seems friendly.

Jake Barber:
Benevolent.

CR:
Benevolent, yeah. In fact, loving. If you want to connect with it, if you want to have a relationship, and if you want to see stuff flying in the sky, you need to be channeling a loving energy.

[1:18:55 -> 1:22:33]
Jake Barber:
Energy, um, that's the way it works.
Beautiful, yeah, it is. In fact, there can be individuals in the group that throw off that vibe, which can mess up the vibration of the psionics work and their communication with the beings. Then you aren't as successful. Have you, in any of the work that you've done—and I know you're being very limited in what incidents you're prepared to talk about—ever come across malevolent intelligence that is non-human?

I have not. I personally have not. You'll have to ask my colleagues.

Interviewer:
Okay, the implications of what you're telling us are incredible. We are not alone; there is a non-human intelligence that has been engaging with this planet. To your knowledge, is it just the United

States that is having these encounters?

Jake Barber:
No, no. I can tell you for a fact that my team has been sought after for our unique service that we provide by organizations outside the United States. But let's be clear, I don't want to put you in the position of implying that you might have been helping unfriendly countries. None of the countries that you worked with are foreign adversaries?

Interviewer:
No, not at all.

Jake Barber:
When I say that, I use the word "organizations" instead of "governments" because there are many other folks that may be operating in a private capacity. So let me say this: this situation is not unique to the U.S. To your knowledge, are Russia and China doing retrievals of non-human craft?

Interviewer:
I have no idea. I imagine they are.

Jake Barber:
Why would you say that?

Interviewer:
Well, because this particular activity is not unique to the United States. I know for a fact that members of my team have been asked to provide our expertise and service for organizations outside the U.S.—not China and Russia—but, you know, the U.S. government does not have a monopoly on the UAP subject matter. I mean, the skies are not classified; your consciousness can't be redacted. The non-human intelligence can connect with whoever they want, and whoever they are can connect with non-human intelligence.

This lends itself to a particular situation or formula that you can imagine exists, which is if you have a belief that this is a worthwhile venture—which ironically the government has made extremely clear—there are many people, myself included, and our new organization that is not waiting on the government to say this is something you should invest time and energy in. That's become abundantly clear in the last two years. But prior to that, anyone that had the will to believe that, and the money to invest, and the tools, techniques, and talent to do it could set up their own communication, crash recovery, and reverse engineering program anywhere in the world. The non-human intelligence does not hold itself out exclusively for the United States.

Interviewer:
Why has this been kept secret from all of us?

Jake Barber:
Oh God, I don't know. I really don't know. I imagine, you know, I have

to trust—be careful what you wish for—there might be very good reasons. I imagine that some of what we see—I know that some of what we see is our own advanced...

[1:22:35 -> 1:26:25]
Jake Barber:
It's absolutely imperative that we maintain a competitive advantage over our adversaries. As a patriot, that is paramount. We need to be able to defend ourselves, and unfortunately, you can't disclose information to the public without also informing your adversaries. Therefore, for their own good, the public will be kept in the dark on certain subjects. There is a distinction between secrecy and privacy, and I emphasize this because we need to be able to navigate between the two.

Privacy protects the responsibilities and dignity of those who require it, while secrecy typically conceals vices, amoral intentions, and crimes. For the most part, what we are dealing with regarding our government is a matter of privacy, not secrecy. If you want to look at it that way, someone discovered that these operations were being recorded in secret. There are videos of these operations that were captured illegally and are out there.

You became aware, didn't you, while conducting these retrieval operations, that there was concern from a private aerospace company regarding certain computers that had gone missing?

Yes, those computers were Panasonic Toughbooks, correct? Five Panasonic Toughbook 6s.

What happened?

Well, we were deployed on a specific task to recover what we call high-value targets, or HVTs. Those high-value targets were Panasonic Toughbooks, and whatever was on these Toughbooks was highly sensitive material. This situation arose after 2018.

It is quite possible that the sensitive material on these Panasonic Toughbooks pertains to sensor data and video that was illegally captured during some of these operations, some of which may be incriminating or classified. I understand that many people watching this may feel indignant, but there are valid reasons why certain things are classified in the U.S.

So, you were tasked with retrieving these laptops?

Yes, because the concern was that they could compromise the secrecy of the entire program. I can't speak to the implications of this sensitive information being released. My job is straightforward; I am assigned a specific task with a narrow scope. After doing this for 20 years, that's sufficient for me. Everything I've done until now has been well managed, and I trust the process by which we operate.

I mention this because, by the end of this operation, for the first

time in my career, we began asking questions we had never asked before: Who are we working for? What is going on? And why did it turn out that there were two seemingly adversarial parties trying to employ us to go after these HVTs? So, what happened?

[1:26:29 -> 1:29:59]
Jake Barber:
My team and I worked in coordination with our contact for this particular task. There was obviously another team involved, which was an intelligence team. Most of our intelligence came by way of human intelligence, which is essentially the reporting of information by a human based on their own observation and experience. We recovered our first two tough books; they were in the high Sierras, I'll tell you that. We had first deployed and began reconnaissance on that particular target during the wintertime, and there was tons of snow. So, we continued to observe that location to keep tabs on who might be coming and going. It's very remote; the only way to get there would be by foot, horse, or helicopter. We conducted a couple of snow surveys, and at the time, the snow was over nine feet deep. We waited for the thaw before we would deploy to retrieve these first two.

Are you and your men armed?
Yes, we're always armed. Whoever this was could potentially be very dangerous. I'm armed when I go to Starbucks, so that's not saying much, but we were armed.

So, what happened?
We recovered our first two tough books and returned to our base location. We went through them and took photographs, discovering that the hard drives were missing, which we expected could be the case. We then took pictures and detailed reports of the tough books and the contents of the casing we found them in. We delivered them to a very familiar facility consistent with the company we were working for, and then we went back to task and waited for more instructions on where to look next for the missing components of these computers, as well as the other tough books that were thought to have been out there.

The next piece of intelligence that came through led us to a high-altitude lake, where we found the hard drives in a sealed steel container, 25 feet underwater.
Wow.
Yeah, so someone is going through a lot of effort to hide these things. But you can't blame them; I mean, this is worth a lot of money if you sell it to the right buyer. Depending upon what's on there, it was clear that whatever was hidden was highly sensitive to the employer of the task we were on and to whoever was in possession of these at the time they were being hidden.

At any stage, did you find yourself under threat?
Yeah, so we didn't get much past that mission. We never recovered any more. The very next time we went out, things got strange for me. My Spidey senses—my intuition—were on high alert because our intel contact changed. The rhythm and style of our intel changed; I'll leave it at that. When we deployed to the next location to begin our

reconnaissance and prepare to look around, the intelligence began to change last minute as well.
[1:30:01 -> 1:33:06]
Jake Barber:
We were given a partnered air asset instead of using our own, and we took it in good faith. As we got closer to the day we were going to deploy, the intelligence kept changing, which raised my concern. We ended up going anyway, and when we got there, the high-value targets were gone. It was clear that shots had been fired, and I'm going to leave it at that.

When I got back to our home base after that, I made contact with our dispatcher and said, "We're out, we're done," and I needed space to breathe. We then deployed our own air assets and got the hell out of there.

You felt you were in significant danger?

Yes, and I was concerned that we were being set up. We were being prepared for the one thing we've always offered employers: plausible deniability. I feared that we might be the hidden hand that gets severed and that perhaps we were the ones responsible for capturing that sensitive material on the front end.

Do you think you and your team had come under suspicion for the videos? Is it as simple as that?

I think that's very likely, or we were a suitable scapegoat. I do have to put one thing to you because it's an incident I've been told about from 2004 by multiple people. I've been informed that a private aerospace company was testing one of its own vehicles, what's referred to as an alien reproduction vehicle, or ARV. This vehicle crashed somewhere south of New Mexico, possibly in Mexico.

I'm told that a team from that private aerospace contractor was deployed, and while they were there, another team arrived from the U.S. military. They had innocently been deployed by their government to intercept what they thought was a potentially anomalous craft. In the course of that encounter, I am told two men were killed. Can you comment on that incident?

There's nothing I can add to that story or comment on. If I did have something to add or if I had knowledge of that, it would certainly be something I would expect to get squashed by higher-ups. Quite possibly, if I was involved in something like that, I probably wouldn't share it for one of my other reasons, which is maintaining the privacy and quality of life of my friends and family.

Is it too dangerous for you to talk about potentially?

It certainly would be, yes.

Can you speak more broadly, without referring to that particular incident? Speaking broadly about the implications from the program, do

you believe people have been hurt or killed as a result of attempts to protect the secrecy of this whole venture?

Yes, it is my understanding and belief that, unfortunately, that is true. People have been murdered; that seems to be the case.

You were in a situation where what you were doing was plausibly deniable. You felt under threat. Who were you working for?

For the first time in my career...

[1:33:50 -> 1:37:30]
Jake Barber:
Throughout my entire career, both in the Air Force and as a contractor afterwards, I have been very well taken care of. I was given time off to get my head right when I needed it, and I was given time to take care of my family when my wife had twins. I have been very well compensated and trusted with everything that needed to be laid out before me when assigned certain tasks. It was as advertised and as promised. However, all of that changed in 2018. I had to cut ties and get rid of everyone on my team, building a brand new team from scratch, just as I always had. We cleaned house and I went back to recruit through very reliable sources, the type of team members I always have from the places I always have. We set out to determine exactly who the adversarial parties were that were interested in these laptops.

It became clear to us who the employer was, but they were now in question in my mind as being part of the problem we encountered. After working in this world, it became evident that perhaps it wasn't this company; maybe there was someone in mid-level management or someone completely external masquerading as representatives of this company, and they liked wearing their merchandise.

So, we devised a plan. I decided we wouldn't ask questions down here; we would go to the top. I reached out to the director of security for the particular organization and a sister organization, setting up a meeting with them. I spoke to them directly about our operation to find out what was true. In my mind, there were only two possibilities: either they were complicit or they were compromised. This meant that either their company and chain of command were compromised, meaning the top had no idea what was happening at the bottom, or they were complicit in this mission that we were tasked with, which was directed from the top down.

The question in your mind was whether this was a rogue operation. What did you find out? Well, I discovered that the people at the top claimed to know nothing about it and did not want to touch it with a ten-foot pole. They advised me to leave it alone. This leads to the possibility that this was a rogue operation, where someone was tasking us to do dangerous things that put our lives and the lives of our team members in danger.

This serves as a good example for everyone watching of how something

like this could be kept secret. You could think of me as just a security and transportation specialist. Everything else I speak to and know about I have gained from my own knowledge and interest in being in this environment. I discuss many things that aren't necessarily my specialty. I operate in the shadows by design. I am at the very fingertips of this situation, and I have firsthand accounts of working with these crafts and in these organizations. They are at a higher level, like our friend David Grusch, who has worked at a very high administrative level. He didn't know who I was.

[1:37:32 -> 1:41:21]
Jake Barber:
I found myself going through the process, and there are things that the shoulder is intending to do. If we're going to use a joint analogy, that ends up being facilitated by individuals at the fingertips. There's another joint in between—that's the elbow. This is that mid-level where something could be dramatically redesigned and repurposed at the elbow joint, to the point where the mission of the fingertips is not consistent with the mission of the shoulder.

When you confronted the head of security for that unnamed private aerospace company, what was his reaction? Was he nervous?

Oh, he was nervous. I mean, it's quite unusual for you to go and knock on the door of a very senior person in such a huge organization. He welcomed me, and this was something we had made arrangements for. But was he perhaps freaked out or frightened when you confronted him about what you told him?

No, I like to think I know how to come across as disarming. He told you to forget it? We had a lovely talk, actually. It was very personable, very congenial, and he shared concerns. I would go as far as to say he had more concerns than I did. He was at a point in his career where he didn't want to deal with this anymore. He was making changes in his career to try and distance himself. If he had any knowledge at all, it was clear he was trying to distance himself from it. He didn't want to get involved, but he did provide some advice on where we should go.

If you look at the Inspector General function of any organization, that is certainly a place he recommended we go. However, he made it clear that there were some Inspector Generals that may be a better place to go than others. Is that what you did?

No, I didn't do that.

Why didn't you go to the Inspector General?

Because these are the oversight agencies that the U.S. government has set up to properly investigate these kinds of abuses of power. At that point, you have to remember, I had lost all faith in everything. I was starting at ground zero. I even fired my whole team and was rebuilding my own network from the ground up. At this top level, I'm a fingertips guy, so my knowledge of the shoulder was limited. I didn't know who to

trust. Even going to an Inspector General, the expectation would be for me to just spill everything. I hadn't done my homework enough to feel comfortable going to an Inspector General, and I wasn't sure that was going to provide me the outcome I wanted.

So what did you do?

The first thing I did was begin expanding the list of people whose opinions I was going to ask. Through that process, I found myself reaching out to the one thing that was always my "get out of jail free" card, which was knowing that if anything went bad, I could go to members of Congress, particularly the Senate Intelligence Committee, which monitors the CIA, and plead my case and ask for help. So we started there, and unfortunately, that was a very disheartening experience because my going there asking for their help very quickly turned into them...

[1:41:24 -> 1:45:19]
Jake Barber:
Asking for my help? You're kidding. No, no. That was extremely disappointing, to say the least. They were scared. They were scared and they were running into their own problems, being in fear of harassment and afraid for their own personal safety. People investigating this UAP Legacy program for Congress were feeling threatened. They were feeling threatened, and other people they were speaking to as sources were being threatened as well. So, very quickly, after learning who I was, they began asking me for personal protection and for strategies to mitigate the threat. But you're not getting the help you need? No, I came to you. That was a devastating moment where I thought, "Shoot, we're all alone." Unfortunately, it was a much more grave situation because it indicated that our Congress was not only ignorant of the subject, but they were neutered—neutered by what they could do about it. Why? How? I mean, it's in your Constitution, Jake. The Constitution enshrines the idea that Congress rules everything and is accountable to Congress. Congress needs an enforcement arm; laws without enforcement don't exist.

Hearing your story about a psionic program inside the Legacy UAP retrieval program makes me think back to cases like the 1980 Bentwaters incident, where at an RAF base in the United Kingdom, a craft allegedly landed in an adjacent forest—the Rendlesham Forest. What do you think happened there?

That's one of my favorite cases. Once I began looking into the history of this thing, it stood out to me, especially when you think about where we are now. I'll tell you this: if Bentwaters happened tomorrow, this thing would be over with because they couldn't conceal it. Everything we're looking for has already happened. Your book, for example, "In Plain Sight," if you just look, it's so obvious. That one case has everything we need, and I don't know how anyone can say there's no evidence of extraterrestrial life. Maybe they're hanging their hat on the idea that we have non-human intelligence, trying to slip through this narrow place, claiming that things are not from outside the planet. I don't know how they're doing that, but whatever

the case, what went on at Bentwaters has everything we need. It has firsthand experiencers—people who made physical contact with the craft—the most reputable people in the Air Force at that time, working on a nuclear facility. The Office of Special Investigations (OSI) shows up immediately. That's a U.S. Air Force office, and people are sworn to secrecy. It happened on multiple occasions, and there's multiple sensor data that goes along with the firsthand witness testimony.

What do you speculate brought that craft down?

Well, I'm certainly speculating, but after my experience, I think what may have happened in that case is that it may have been a craft attracted to a psionic asset or was being operated by...

[1:45:23 -> 1:49:15]
Jake Barber:
If that craft was ours and it was being operated psionically, there's this thing called link loss. Link loss is a common phrase used in drone operation. Drones, or UAVs as we call them—unmanned aerial vehicles—or UAS, which are also called unmanned aerial systems, are operated remotely. In the event of a disconnect between the operating system or the transmitting side and the receiving side within these crafts, it's referred to as link loss. When that happens, our man-made systems are designed to return to base or go to designated landing sites.

That same system would be deployed because commonly what happens with a psionic asset, who is using a form of intuition and consciousness to connect with a craft, is that there's a disconnect, which we call link loss. I can tell you that when we run exercises using psionic assets in an intentional environment to get samples to the ground, we intentionally disconnect the psionic asset so that the HPM systems can be energized. There are potential issues that can arise if the psionic asset is still connected to the craft when it's hit with an EMP or other HPM.

I'm told that part of their consciousness can remain in the craft. It seems to be the case that a human being can suffer some form of cognitive injury by still being connected to that craft when it is de-energized. There is a case at the RAF Bentwaters incident in the United Kingdom involving a man named John Burroughs, who was exposed to what we now know was an extraordinary amount of gamma radiation—dangerous radiation—and he suffered quite terrible injuries. However, he had a difficult time persuading Veterans Affairs that he deserved medical assistance because he couldn't prove that this was an occupational exposure to radiation.

I came to know the name John Burroughs because I have been seen by the same doctor as him, Dr. Gary Nolan, for the exact same reason. So, what happened to you? We're not quite sure, but the first time I got exposed to what, in hindsight, is obviously a radiation exposure of some kind was when we were transporting high-value targets. At that time, it was a large sealed container. I was near the continent of

Africa, and after this particular mission, my team and I became extremely sick—physically ill and hospitalized—within weeks.

How sick? I lost every hair on my body, which they call alopecia—migrating alopecia. I also lost the skin on my arms. The skin was actually falling off my body. Yes, it was lopping off within a couple of days, like a severe sunburn. This led to a series of autoimmune issues. I ended up developing a severe heart murmur that I never had before, which is the same thing that John Burroughs had. Throughout my career, I would experience recurring autoimmune dysfunction when exposed to other things. I ended up recovering from that at the time, and because of the nature of my work...

[1:49:17 -> 1:52:42]
Jake Barber:
The VA was only dealing with symptomology, not causation in the treatment of my injuries. I didn't even really understand that it was radiation. So, were you treated for radiation poisoning? No, I was not. Are you being treated now? No, I'm not being treated now for radiation poisoning. But you're being investigated for what sounds like radiation exposure? Yes, because I had other occurrences later in my career after 9/11 when I left my enlisted capacity and went to work as a contractor. I've had other episodes where I've had other illnesses, and I've lost the hair on my body and patched out several times. To me, I don't know if this is my fear; it's that there's something permanently broken that might show up in the form of cancer or God knows what later in life, and we're going to be way behind in trying to figure out how to deal with it.

I did leave the service with a service-connected disability through the VA, and so I'm getting treatment through the Palo Alto VA under the supervision of Dr. Gary Nolan right now. He's dedicating his expertise and his clout to get me the best treatment I can get. Professor Gary Nolan is one of the world's top immunologists, a hugely respected scientist who, as I understand it, has spoken publicly about being involved in a program for an intelligence agency that's investigating Havana syndrome.

Does it give you some comfort that you're now getting the medical help that you should have received all those years ago? Hell yeah, it does! Plus, he's got the best bedside manner I've ever experienced. But clearly, because of the covert nature of the job you were doing, you weren't told what you'd been exposed to? No, we transported what we called mystery boxes all the time. I have no idea; it could have been empty. It probably wasn't empty, but we don't know what was inside the boxes.

What were you told if someone tried to seize that box? What were your orders? Oh, we maintained the authority to use lethal force if anyone tried to seize those boxes. So whatever that box was, it was extremely valuable to the U.S. government. That's correct. I have to say, Jake, the idea that you would be so recklessly exposed—you're a person who's clearly patriotic, someone who's been a highly trained operator at the elite levels of Special Operations in the U.S. military—it offends my

sense of decency that employers would expose you to something so recklessly.

Can I put on my speculative hat for a minute? Sure. Okay, so if the Air Force, let's say, knew that what we were working with that night had any type of radiological signature or could turn on or turn off, there's a whole set of processes that we were trained in on how to deal with that from a Hazmat perspective, a containment perspective, and a measurement perspective on our person to detect the level of risk that might be associated. The fact that none of that was apparent that night tells me they didn't know what was in the box, and it got turned on or turned off, which leads me to believe, in hindsight, all these years, that it may have been something of non-human origin or EXO.

[1:52:45 -> 1:56:31]
Jake Barber:
Let's just say it may have been something exotic that activated or still had some fallout, let's say that they were unaware of. Now, are you the only person that you're aware of inside the program who's suffering health effects?

Interviewer:
No, not at all. How many are there?

Jake Barber:
More than me, and probably 51% or greater, I would say, are suffering some form of health effects. Yeah, I have a half. I'll just say that, just so you know, it's more common than it's not.

Interviewer:
That's heartbreaking. I mean, we ask our men and women in service to do so many things. The idea that because of a program being secret, you wouldn't get the help you need...

Jake Barber:
I'm going to push back on that a little bit. I don't think this is something that's unique to the program, as we keep saying. I would say 51% or more of the people that come out of the military have suffered health effects. They sacrifice their bodies for good reason; that's part of what we're willing to do. I mean, the ultimate sacrifice they say is death, which we've all agreed to do—to give up our life. So anything less than death goes in with that obligation that we gave up voluntarily. The military at large, or anyone that works in this capacity in the broader service of our nation, they get injured, especially doing it for 30 years in the course of your high-value target investigation.

Interviewer:
You met Dr. Steven Greer?

Jake Barber:
Yeah, I did.

Interviewer:
What was the purpose of meeting Dr. Steven Greer?

Jake Barber:
Well, first of all, I'd like to say that you can say what you want about Dr. Greer, but the guy's been 30 years ahead of everyone else on a lot of the details of this subject. A lot of what he says is correct. To me, he's like the Bentwaters incident; we had everything we needed in 1980, and now we're fishing around for morsels of that. This guy, he's been ahead of everyone. What I find quite funny is the one thing he gets the most flak for is the one thing he's probably most right about, and that is his CE-5 protocol.

Interviewer:
What is CE-5?

Jake Barber:
CE-5 is when he uses meditation, and he claims it engages telepathically with the phenomenon. Yeah, that's his term for it. We don't use CE-5; we don't use Dr. Greer's protocol, nor do other people in the program or subsequent programs. So, CE-5 is real. If we're going to use his term, I'll tell you what is real: the ability to go into something like a meditative state and summon UAP (Unidentified Aerial Phenomena) and pilot UAP—that is real. That's 100% real.

Interviewer:
Wow, I have to process that for a moment because that is just the most extraordinary claim.

Jake Barber:
Yeah, well, we hope to prove it soon.

Interviewer:
On what basis did you approach Dr. Greer?

Jake Barber:
My understanding was that whoever was in illegal possession of these high-value targets may have the intention to expose that sensitive material. As a whistleblower, you were concerned he might take it to Dr. Greer?

Jake Barber:
Yeah, I was still working in my official capacity of protecting the sensitive data that was on these high-value targets. That was my job at the time. Dr. Greer was scheduling a whistleblower conference in D.C. and was holding a large event at the Press Club in Washington, D.C. So we reached out to him. One of my teammates made a bump with him in the Virginia area and shared with him some information.

[1:56:33 -> 1:59:59]
Jake Barber:
To pique his curiosity and to also establish ourselves as someone he should consider listening to, we set up a meeting. I explained to him upfront what our intentions were: that we believed there were

whistleblowers who might come forward with sensitive information that may not be appropriate to disclose. I asked to be put in a position of authority with him where I could vet his whistleblowers. We offered our services to help vet whistleblowers at the time, thinking that whoever had these HBTS might show up, but they never did.

What was interesting during this trip was that we were contemplating our own whistleblower path forward because of what had happened. During this event, a young Marine named Michael Herrera came forward with a very particular story and spoke of an operation that we were aware was taking place, or operations like it. I saw this young guy get up there, and it just spoke to me—call it my intuition. I was blessed that night; I made contact with non-human intelligence for the first time, and my intuition was on high alert. When he spoke, I knew what he was saying was true, even though no one else believed him. He was wrong about a few key things he was speculating on, so I made the decision to go to Dr. Greer and ask to speak with him privately.

Let me summarize very quickly what Michael Herrera alleged: he was on a relief mission in Sumatra, Indonesia. He and his small patrol were deployed to a particular area to help find people who needed assistance. In the course of that patrol, they were carrying weapons, which has been vigorously disputed by some of his colleagues, but he is adamant that he was carrying weapons. They stumbled across a gigantic craft, many meters wide, hovering in the air. Below that craft, there was a platform with Ford 150 trucks and containers that he described. He mentioned that the paramilitaries pointed their weapons and threatened to kill the Marines, stating that they were American. They took their guns from them and basically threatened that they were going to be killed.

That's exactly what he talked about. Eventually, they were released and told to walk away. Their weapons were unchambered; there were no rounds in the weapons, and they were instructed to walk away without looking back. I can't speak to all that, and let me be clear: that is not the type of group I would ever volunteer to be a part of.

[2:00:01 -> 2:03:43]
Jake Barber:
I know that there are individuals out there who get involved in these programs. The particular aspects that struck me were two things. One was the description of his craft; although the size was enormous, I have no experience with a craft that size. However, the craft he described was precisely the same type we had encountered in our operations within the United States. It was a disc with an octagonal construction when viewed from above.

The other thing he mentioned was the containers. His initial speculation was that they must have been moving drugs because they had something on top that resembled some form of HVAC system. I recognized immediately that those were the same types of containers used by the site team when they were operating and being transported. So, what are these containers used for? They are used for the transportation of the site team and also serve as a mobile operating base.

This quickly went off the rails because, for some reason, the term "human trafficking" got introduced into the narrative after I became involved. So, what did Michael Herrera think? He assumed they were either gun running or drug running, didn't he? Yes, he thought they were running drugs. I knew that those types of containers, when associated with that craft, were used for the site team, which is why they had mini-split HVAC units on top. These units provide comfortable environments inside for either transportation or operation. You can operate inside those containers, and they are sealed, functioning somewhat like a Faraday cage in that regard. This allows you to concentrate on your work as an asset or to mobilize.

Clearly, whoever those men were, they were American private military contractors, and they weren't very friendly. Do you feel they pose a threat at times to the legitimate operations of the program? Yes, I think it doesn't take many bad apples to ruin the batch. You're at pains to emphasize that, generally, this is a well-run operation that operates within ethical boundaries. Yes, moral boundaries. My primary message that I want to convey is that this mass vilification of what is referred to as the military-industrial complex is a very bad idea. There are very good people working in this field—not just good, but the best and brightest that America has to offer. The goods and services provided by those organizations that fall under the military-industrial complex are what make our country the greatest in the world. We benefit from those on a daily basis.

To mass vilify it is not only a crime in itself but also a shame. People are so eager to quantify where their threats are coming from; we want a bad guy. This is not an entire cabal of bad guys. There are a few bad actors, like in any field, that operate within the military-industrial complex. Unfortunately, their operations tend to get the spotlight, so you decided that Michael Herrera's account...

[2:03:45 -> 2:07:26]
Jake Barber:
From what I knew about the program, I told them what I believed was going on. I knew that there were operations occurring outside the continental United States in third-world countries to recruit and train psionic assets. Whether this was an opportunity to recruit or provide job opportunities to new people, or if they stumbled upon an operation where they were currently deployed and had to evacuate due to a natural disaster, who knows? But that's all speculation.

There are two implications that arise from this. Firstly, we're recruiting psionics from Indonesia, a foreign country. Are those individuals participating voluntarily? It's my understanding that they are very well taken care of. You have to consider that the idea of human trafficking was an unfortunate turn in Michael's story, especially after my involvement. Now, we have this narrative that there is a human trafficking operation, and when we talk about human trafficking, we often think of the sex trade and other nefarious activities. This is not the case at all.

It is my understanding that the programs conducted to recruit psionic assets in third-world countries involve individuals who come willingly. If you were to go down there and attempt to liberate them with any type of liberation army, you would be liberating people who do not want to be liberated. They are well taken care of, they are fed, and there is actually a sense of pride, especially within the Native American communities involved in this program, that cooperate outside of the continental United States as well.

There is a sense of pride associated with this skill and ability. Again, this is another example of how it seems we want there to be darkness in this area, but there is not. I can tell you from my experience that nonhuman intelligence itself does not operate that way. The individuals whose skills are nurtured are basking in a glow of light, and I would assume that most of the time when they are doing their work, it is a very positive experience, spiritually engaging with this phenomenon.

That's what you say—they're basking in some divinely beautiful frequency in order to perform their work properly. The implications of what you're saying suggest that if Michael is telling the truth, if he really did see a gigantic octagonal craft floating in midair with no visible means of propulsion in Indonesia, in Sumatra, in 2009, it suggests that a private military contractor working for an aerospace company was operating some kind of reverse-engineered vehicle.

Yes, it's certainly possible. I would say that especially based on what I saw, I dealt with a much smaller version of an octagonal saucer. This was a bigger one, and I could certainly see that being possible. Can you answer me this question: have you seen any alien reproduction vehicles or replica vehicles made from non-human technology?

I honestly can't tell you with certainty. Once we get into that space, I can tell you what I know has been human and what has been non-human. When it comes to hybrids, I just don't know. Can you tell me how many retrievals you've been involved in?

No, that's classified.
Yes, can you tell me if the remaining four hard disks were ever recovered?

[2:07:29 -> 2:11:21]
Jake Barber:
To my knowledge, we stopped at two, so there are still four hard disks containing potentially incriminating material at large somewhere. Or perhaps someone else was hired to recover them. Is it a question mark in your mind that maybe it was a foreign adversary trying to procure this incredibly sensitive information? That's certainly possible.

Was there a name for the psionics? Was there a term that you used for them?
There's a term we used, which is the P3 program.

Why the P3?
There are three Ps: psionic predisposition potential.

Now, that's not an official program name that you'll find anywhere, which is why I'm able to say that. From your understanding of the kind of people who were capable of psionic ability, there are obviously individuals of Native American background and people from third-world countries who are deeply spiritual and appear to have this capacity to engage with the phenomenon. Was there any other group that was especially susceptible to engaging with this technology?
Yes, children.

Children?
It's been suggested to me that left-handed gay men are particularly good at it.

That certainly seems to be the case. There is a larger distribution of the predisposition among children and gay men for whatever reason, compared to the general population. Within the program, gay men, children, and females are more often seen as having the predisposition for psionic ability.

I'm again exploring the boundaries of where I can go, and you might want to say "no comment" to this, but I'm going to put it to you anyway. I have another source inside the program who has told me that one of the aerospace companies holding this technology at one stage relinquished a craft to the U.S. government, claiming they hadn't been able to successfully reverse engineer it. It has been suggested to me that this was a lie and that they actually have a fully flying, intact, reverse-engineered craft.

I've heard the very same thing from a very reputable source, so I would believe that's true. The implications of this are that there's a whole secret program involving potentially energy technologies and anti-gravity technologies that are mind-blowing.

It's fun, isn't it?
It is fun.

I mean, I guess the message I'm getting from you is one of optimism. I'm very pleased to be at a place where this is becoming fun again. You know, when I started this journey 30 years ago as a 17-year-old kid, my intentions were very simple and clear. I wanted to jump out of airplanes, kill bad guys, and make my grandparents proud. Somewhere along the way, things got very confusing and complex.

We're now at a point where things are very simple for me again, and I see clearly what I want to do with the rest of my life. I have my "why," and fortunately, because of my experience, I have the "how." I have the capability. Over the last two years, while we've been hiding out, we've been working to find a way to bring this operation out of the shadows and into an open environment where we can build a public-private partnership with full transparency.

We aim to explore all of these things that have been investigated in secret in a way that allows us to start from scratch. We may be 60 or 70 years behind what everyone calls a legacy program, but I'm telling you, we're going to catch up.

[2:11:23 -> 2:15:31]
Jake Barber:
Very quickly, the reason it's going to work is that we have all of the people like me who have been working there. I call it the League of Extraordinary Gentlemen, but we have been recruiting individuals from within the program, both men and women, as well as those associated with the program, in a very open way. We are forming a new team in coordination with government agencies. The two agencies we would want the most coordination with are coming on board with us, and we also have funding through venture capital.

Interviewer:
Can you name those agencies?

Jake Barber:
Not at this time, but I hope to very soon. We have some really important meetings next week, and I hope that after that, I will need to ask permission out of good faith with those folks to see how much they want to be involved.

Interviewer:
A lot of people watching this might be worried that you're getting sucked into an intelligence agency or a military agency that is going to try and shut you down.

Jake Barber:
Well, it could be that we are just the Legacy Program 2.0, and this all goes underground again. I give you my promise right now: our organization is called Skywatcher. We are part of what has been defined as the Explorers Club, and it is full of people who have the best intentions in mind. There is going to be accountability; there will be transparency. I know that sounds like a lot coming from a guy like me with my background, but I won't be able to prove it to you in this conversation. However, I will say, pay attention. I'll paraphrase a quote from the Book of Matthew: you will know them by their fruits. So stand by and judge us by our fruits.

Interviewer:
You've been really touched by this experience, haven't you? It's touched you spiritually.

Jake Barber:
Yes, it has. There was a gathering at Eseleem, and a lot of very high-net-worth individuals who were interested in the suppression of non-human intelligence attended.

Interviewer:
What happened?

Jake Barber:
I think something epic happened. If it were fully documented and understood, it would go in the history books. I had a feeling last week that it was historical; it felt absolutely historic. What you had was a combination of the world's leading frontier scientists, top experts in their fields, and a variety of fields that was outrageous. We had neurosurgeons, doctors, physicists, and high-net-worth individuals in the billions who were extremely open-minded and had a frontier attitude towards all this. We had mystics, psychic kids, and children from Germany who could harness and showcase their ability to perform telekinesis. They could psychically see things with their minds and were able to prove that to all of us.

We also deployed some of our psionic assets that we've used and are part of our new team with Skywatcher. We summoned UAPs, and they came to fly around, visit, and hang out with us before taking off. I have to say, I saw it too.

Interviewer:
And it was?

Jake Barber:
Profound. It's a spiritual experience. What you're trying to do now is replicate in the private sphere what is being suppressed in the public sphere.

Interviewer:
You know, we're not looking back.

[2:15:32 -> 2:19:23]
Jake Barber:
We can leave the bad actors of the past with their lies. We have no need for that; we're looking forward. I am absolutely confident that we are capable of generating the type of high-quality sensor data, photography, and film evidence that everyone is wanting. I'm also confident that if we continue on the path with our government agencies, we are going to get a craft and material in hand. I know it's possible; it's just a matter of working out the logistics.

I'm just exploring this with you. The implications are that there is one part of the black world of the U.S. government that is being hidden from Congress and some presidents. I know they are working on retrieved alien nonhuman technology, and it's being kept from the knowledge of the American public, even though they are being funded with taxpayer dollars—billions of taxpayer dollars, if you believe it.

What do you think of that?

Interviewer:
Well, it doesn't sound right, does it? But you could probably tell that same story around a number of other things that have nothing to do with aliens. Unfortunately, that's the government and the world we live in. But the exciting thing is, the thing I find breathtaking from

what you're saying is that you're coming from that black world.

Jake Barber:
Yeah, screw the secrecy. I want to say it a little differently: the U.S. government's monopoly on the subject matter is not respected by the non-human intelligence. Again, the skies are not classified, and your conscience cannot be redacted. Ultimately, why I feel safe and optimistic about the future is that I know the non-human intelligence is guiding us that way.

Can you explain how it is that you're not authorized to do this interview, but you ended up applying for the DoD process? I think our audience might be confused; they might think that this is somehow an authorized interview and that there are people in the Defense Department pulling the strings, telling you what to say, and that this is some kind of disinformation operation.

Jake Barber:
Yeah, that makes a lot of sense to me. But for what it's worth, I can tell you that's not the case. I mean, the DoD process is very interesting. It's way more casual, especially at the onset, than you might think when you take into account the ramifications for violating the mandates that come out of it and the subject matter that it's supposedly protecting.

But they get put in a really tough spot, and this is part of something we aimed to take advantage of, I guess you could say, by interrogating the DoD system. One of the things I haven't shared yet is that in our effort to find out who we were looking for, one of the ways we came up with to do that was to query for who might want to redact statements we intended to go public with through the DoD process.

So, you fictionalized some of your experiences for the DoD?

Jake Barber:
Yeah, any author or anybody contributing to an author to put something in writing or to share it orally like this has to go through the DoD process if they have ever held a security clearance while working for a government agency in the subject matter they intend to go public on. So right off the bat, there's a tell right there: the fact that I had to go through the DoD process tells you I...

[2:19:26 -> 2:23:11]
Jake Barber:
I had a security clearance on the subject matter, right there, so there's their first failure of Pokerface. That's exactly what we set out to exploit. We came up with a reconnaissance mission. The reconnaissance mission looked like this: we were going to write a detailed manuscript as fiction through a ghostwriter. When we completed that, it was about a 120,000-word manuscript. We broke that manuscript into actual sections that we called episodes because the Department of Defense (DoD) wants you to complete or submit the entire document. We submitted our first 20,000 words, our first 10,000 words, which was 20 pages. Within that first chunk of information, we

included four types of information, and that information's purpose, again, was to inform us as to what they considered sensitive.

These are the Subject Matter Experts (SMEs) that they were outsourcing the information to. What they do in the DoD process is send it out to all of the agencies that might be affected by you going public on something, even in a fictionalized manuscript. They ask them if there is anything in here that needs to be redacted to protect national security. That's correct. It could be presented to them as fact or fiction; it doesn't matter the context. More importantly, if it's protecting national security and it's redacted, it has to be a legally authorized program, doesn't it? Yes, it does.

So this is what we used to set up our four categories of information that I want to get through with you. Category one included information we knew was classified and that we were privy to. We knew that it was patently classified on a very high level. Information category number two was classified information that we knew only existed within the hearts and minds of the operators at the fingertips of that operation.

Information category number three was classified information that, you may be surprised to learn, was already in the public domain and open source because of the nature of the internet. There are things that the DoD and its partners would say shouldn't be out there, but it is anyway. So we put some of that in there. Then we had our fourth category of information, which was the most fun. This was where we took a little liberty and went fishing. We took our information that we knew was compartmentalized, connected it with other information that were these fact islands we were aware of—because of our, I won't say how—and then we took our own creative liberty at connecting those two dots through a particular pathway as to why that might exist and filled that space with other fictional classified information.

What happened six months later? It took six months. We came back with seven pages of redactions across all four categories. Wow! So one, that confirms there is a classified program relating to this information. Wow! Now, there was a counterplay here. Although that was informative, I have to tell you, when they came back, our situation was now that the reconnaissance mission, having been fruitful, was now going to inform how we went back and edited. Remember, this was the first 20 pages of a multi-page manuscript; I would say the first 10,000 words of a 120,000-word manuscript. We were then going to take that information and write around it in order to extract more intel through the DoD process.

[2:23:12 -> 2:26:38]
Jake Barber:
Running a counter-surveillance operation against the very organizations—that's a red team's best tool right there. You would do op four opposition; you play three, four, five-dimensional chess. So, you play multi-dimensional chess. Even though they know you and your team are thinking about publishing something, they don't know that you're speaking publicly on national television about the Legacy

program.

That's a good question. No, they don't, but it doesn't mean I was limited to that. I have to tell you about the counterplay. Although they have no poker face, they're in a really tough spot because not redacting information means that if they just chose, "Hey, this is sensitive, but we're not going to redact it," well, now obviously that makes it into the public domain. But by redacting it, they prove that it's sensitive. So, they have no idea as to how I acquired the information. They know based on my security clearance and my background because it had to be submitted under my official name and social security number and my record in order to get triggered.

That's how they know what SMES to send it to—the SMES I worked for squashed all kinds of stuff. Then it went to one of the other SMES I worked for, and they squashed their stuff, and it came back with all these squashes in the form of black ink. Now, let me keep going because the counterplay for them would have been to let certain information go so that it could be formally categorized as not sensitive and the fictional liberty taken by an author of a fictional book.

They could have done that, but we didn't really see that. What they did do, however, was they got a sense—they figured out what we were doing and said, "Stop! You cannot submit anything else; you have to submit the whole manuscript." We told them, "Well, that is the whole book. We're going to release this like episodes of a special. This is the whole season, but we're going to release it in episodes." So, we have to send it to you 10,000 words at a time because that's how it's going to be released. They were kind of caught there, and they didn't quite know how to respond.

I can tell you that since then—and this was in 2012, I believe in January when we first submitted the manuscript—they haven't gotten back to us on the rest; it's still sitting there. Do you think that there are people in private aerospace who think that it's inevitable that eventually the story is going to come out?

Yes, I know there are. We have a lot of people in private aerospace that are part of our Skywatcher program who have come on our side, just echoing the point you made earlier that the military-industrial complex is not one big monolithic organization that has the same view. There are people I know inside the military, inside the intelligence community—and you've been one of them—who basically think it's implausible to try and keep this secret. Moreover, the public has a right to know.

Yes, it's going to come out. It's all coming out, probably like this year. So, you think the public has a right to know this information?

Not all of it.

What sort of things need to be protected, do you think?

Let's see... anything that affects national security. We have to be careful.

[2:26:40 -> 2:29:57]
Jake Barber:
We need to be careful about what we wish for. We don't know what we don't know. Even I don't know what I don't know. If we reach a point where we have so little trust in our government, there's a reason it's called catastrophic disclosure. Catastrophic is not good for those calling for heads, nor is it good for those whose heads are being called for. As a public, we do not want catastrophic disclosure. What we need is to recognize that there are a few bad apples, but we must maintain our institutions. We shouldn't get rid of them; instead, we need to find a way to promote the right people to positions of power and trust.

We already have that; it's called The Gang of Eight in Congress. They are a significant part of that right now, but it's not functioning as it should. We can't change the structure, but we need to get the right people into power and into positions. We should start looking into it.

You don't need to rely solely on the government; the information is already there. What we need to know is that we are not alone. We need to understand that there is a profound spiritual component to our existence. This is important not only for our own fellowship as creatures sharing this planet but also because there is an immense amount of utility in our abilities that has been suppressed for far too long.

If we could learn how to harness that, it would be beneficial. For those keeping secrets, maintaining secrecy is not an effective way to study something. We need an open-source approach; we need all hands on deck, all brains on deck, all consciousness on deck to discover how we can best utilize this knowledge.

For example, consider Z Point Energy. Until we do this, we will remain stuck with the same problems we have faced throughout civilization, battling for limited resources. If we could solve that problem through something that might emerge from disclosure, that is something I believe should be disclosed. However, things related to national security? No, we can't trust ourselves. We can't simply say, "Give us all the information," because we don't trust you. We need to place trustworthy people in positions and allow them to make those decisions.

Let me ask you this: Do you think the public has the right to know that we are not alone?
Yes, I would say yes to that. The most shocking thing, however, is that the most beautiful and exciting aspect you've described is what I believe our audience will struggle with the most: the idea that human beings have the capacity to do things with their minds that have been suppressed.

I just smile because that makes it more entertaining for me; they will be shocked. But you know what? I don't think that's necessarily the case. I believe there are more people who believe it; they just aren't talking about it. I can tell you for a fact that even within our world of hardened operators at the highest level, those still in the field behind closed doors, we all know it's true. We have the most emotional discussions, almost like we're part of Oprah's Book Club. It's true, and right now, we are in a moment where the truth is being obscured.

[2:30:00 -> 2:32:01]
Jake Barber:
The truth is twisted, but I'll tell you this: our weapon is our willingness to accept the truth, no matter how profound or earth-shaking it may be. When we do that, we move into a position of power, and it's going to liberate this subject. You know what? How fun would it be if we're right? I'm not saying it would be different if the government were hiding the fact that there was an asteroid on a collision course with Earth, one that we couldn't redirect or defend against, and that we were all going to die in the year 2020 or whatever it is. That's not what's being hidden. What's being hidden here is something extremely positive, something we've all hoped for. It's the one thing we all look for in the religions we celebrate across the world. It's the common thread among all religions. That's what's being hidden, and that's what we're going to find out. You can't bottle it up.

The implications of what you're saying also suggest that there is potentially some capacity to draw incredible amounts of energy from the quantum vacuum. I've seen it; you've seen it. There's also the capacity to use propulsion systems that are far beyond what we're currently describing. Yes, that seems to be the case as well—anti-gravity. They know about it. Anti-gravity and zero-point energy—those secrets are being suppressed from our knowledge. I've seen demonstrations recently that prove the government doesn't have a monopoly on this. While we know they have been working on it, they seem to have done a good job of keeping others from making their own independent progress. But that time is changing. Independent progress is happening right now, and it's coming out. That's why I'm so confident.

[2:32:03 -> 2:34:16]
Jake Barber:
We need to rely on our body of evidence. Let's say we don't need to rely on that; it's here. The shift has already happened. There are people in Silicon Valley and around the world who are financially independent, intellectually independent, and yes, emotionally and psionically independent. They don't need the government to tell us these things, but we still have to work through that. Do you think the aliens, the non-human intelligence, want humanity to know about their existence?
Yes, I do believe that's the message we're receiving. You felt that message yourself, and there are others I know who are receiving it directly, and they are extremely confident.

So, Jake, in early October, you made the decision, at the invitation of the Senate Select Committee for Intelligence, to go to Congress and provide evidence.
Yes, I did. How was that day?
It was great. It was nice to finally get it done. By the time I went, I had held out for so long that a lot of people had already done the heavy lifting, like Dave and others. They were like, "Okay, we know, we don't know," and we know that we haven't proven to be the most credible. They know that they can't offer whistleblowers the protection we know they need, which was my primary objection the entire time. They offer protection, but they have no way of providing it. They've got no enforcement power; they can't protect me. So, things had certainly changed.

What was the reception in the room? What kind of comments did you hear?
For the most part, it was fantastic. There were eight or nine people present. I'm not going to say it was versus me, but it was a one versus nine situation where it was me, and then I had at times up to ten people. A few had to come and go because we went for four and a half hours, but everyone was all ears, listening. David Grusch and Commander...

[2:34:19 -> 2:36:30]
Jake Barber:
David Fravor provided evidence to Congress along with Ryan Graves. The two F-18 pilots sat with David Grusch and testified under oath last year. They insisted on doing so to emphasize the veracity and truthfulness of their statements. If you were asked, would you be prepared to do the same?
100%. I told Congress during our time in the skiff. What impact do you think you had on the people listening in the room at the Senate Select Committee for Intelligence?
Well, we laughed, we cried, and we had a lot of serious discussions. If anything, I believe I came across as sincere. Keep in mind, we were scheduled for a two-hour block, but we went for four and a half hours. They were definitely engaged and seemed sincere in their effort to find out what's going on. Why hasn't someone like you, with firsthand knowledge of the program, come forward so definitively before?
That's a good question, and that's what I kept waiting for—more people to come forward. I feel uniquely qualified to come forward at this time because I know we have this private venture coming, and I've already begun negotiating with those government agencies that I thought might come after me, let's say, if they had ill will. It has been proven to me that they don't.
There are government agencies that don't know about this program but are aware of the obvious illegality of parts of it. I don't know if it's that every department has a responsibility to deal with what's flying around in the sky that we don't know about. Just look at the FAA, for example, which is Ryan Graves's particular case. He's trying to advance the idea that there is a safety of flight issue here. There is an aircraft separation issue and a collision avoidance issue in the air. If there are things flying around in the sky and the FAA doesn't have a way of managing that or producing safety reports on it, we've

got a big problem, and the problem gets much bigger from there. While we like to speak of the government as...

[2:36:32 -> 2:38:45]
Jake Barber:
The government is not one big cohesive machine that works together. Every department, like the FBI, the Department of Homeland Security, Border Patrol, and the Department of Defense, has its own niche responsibilities to ensure that things in the sky aren't our adversaries carrying payloads or causing safety of flight issues. They also have a responsibility to figure out whether or not aliens are visiting the planet.

Interviewer:
And you think they are?

Jake Barber:
Yeah, I do. The truth is out; the truth has been out for a long time. What would you like to see happen? What's your dream? You've seen this technology, and you've felt the sincerity of the intelligence that you were engaging with. What would be your aspiration for the future?

Jake Barber:
You know, what would be really cool is to be driving down the highway, look up, and see a billboard advertisement for the United States Air Force recruiting kids into a career field for UAP research and study, for psionic exploration, for piloting technology to other galaxies. That would tell me we've arrived and that we are at a place where clearly the public and the government are working together.

The suppression of this secret is not just about suppressing technology and weapons, which, as much as I don't like the idea of it, I can understand why someone might have made that decision. It's suppressing spiritual knowledge that I believe the public has a right to know.

And here's where I would say we need to respect the fact that we don't know what we don't know. Imagine weaponizing consciousness. We don't think of that in this story we're telling; we're assuming consciousness is the good, benevolent, harmless thing that should be disclosed. Everything we've researched and understood leads us to consider: what if consciousness could be weaponized? What if people like the President of the United States could be...

[2:38:47 -> 2:40:57]
Jake Barber:
Under mind control, that's a huge problem. Imagine if someone could independently develop this. We already see this problem with drones; we are so out of control with the drone issue that drones can fly around with a payload and cause mass casualties because we don't have it under control. The FAA doesn't, and unfortunately, we don't have the Code of Federal Regulations and the people hired to deal with something like drones. Imagine if we had to manage and police the benevolent use or the weaponization of consciousness; that could be a

really big problem.

Have you done any operations yourself, retrievals or operations involving the Legacy program in Arizona?

No. Have you seen the rubber duck video, which was shot by Border Patrol from a surveillance plane over parts of the Arizona desert?

Yes. Do you know anything about that object?

I don't; I don't know anything about it. Are you aware of any underground bases?

Yes. Have you visited any?

Yes. So they exist?

They do. Can you tell me any more?

No.

Ross:
You can't blame me for trying.

Jake Barber:
No, I don't blame you. Do you know anything about the Phoenix Lights?

Ross:
I'm very familiar with that story. I remember hearing about it when I was a kid and thinking, "Oh, that's interesting," but not believing it. But now, knowing what I know, it seems very clear to me that that was a legitimate non-human intelligence that had flown some sort of craft over Phoenix, which raises the question: all over the United States, U.S. Air Force bases are being buzzed by what they call drones.

Jake Barber:
Yeah, and funnily enough, nobody's seen one of these and been able to recover one because so-called electromagnetic pulse weapons don't work on these objects, whatever they are. Not all UAP respond to the same weapon systems. It raises the question: is the phenomenon making it more overt? Do you think it's trying to wake us up?

Ross:
It certainly is to me, in my experience, but you know, it's hard to say. I don't know how to look at it.

[2:40:59 -> 2:43:01]
Jake Barber:
From a completely objective standpoint, I don't know how much this has shown itself over the decades and centuries. A lot of people watching this, sad to say, are being pushed up against a barrier of doubt, indecision, stigma, and taboo that has been attached to this subject for 80 years. A lot of people aren't going to believe this. What do

you say to those people?

I'm not here to make anyone believe anything; it's exhausting. Either you're going to get it, or you're not going to get it. In our own capacity, we've operated Skywatcher. My team and I, on our very first outing, summoned a white egg in broad daylight. While I have pictures of it, I'm happy to share with you. We calculated this object to be traveling, depending upon the slant range distance, anywhere between 3,000 and 11,000 miles per hour based on its size. We're still analyzing the data we collected from the range, and we're happy to show that to you.

So, what else could that be? There's another way of looking at this: we can present all this information before you, and you could say it's not this, it's not that, it's not this. We're at a point now where the amount of information is so overwhelming that the probability of any alternate explanation for its existence is significantly less than the probability that what I'm telling you is exactly what is going on.

You want this investigated, don't you?

Yes, I want to do the investigating; that's what we're doing. Would you quite like to see, as a result of this story, yourself questioned and held to account for evidence and tested on your allegations?

Yes, we have an opportunity right now to work with the U.S. government as a private partner. The place where we operate right now, we've been successful before in conducting our whole operation, all five phases of it. The U.S. government has shown an interest; they know we're capable.

[2:43:02 -> 2:45:08]
Jake Barber:
We've pulled out of the sky. It's consistent with the egg and everything else I've told you about. What they're offering to do now is give us exclusive access to facilities because they don't know what's going on. There are departments within the broader branches of the three-letter agencies that aren't being read into what other sections are doing. They want us to help because we're one of the few groups of people that have experience, and we provide them a path to redemption.

So, there's a real message here of incredible optimism about what this could represent. This is why I know disclosure is coming, and guess what? It's going to come out in the most beautiful way. I believe the United States government, in the next 12 months, is going to use me and my team as a peaceful, non-judgmental path forward to disclosure. They're going to help us conduct our operations and gather evidence in order to present this subject matter to the public so that these questions can be answered once and for all.

All the questions I'm talking about include: What do the craft look like? How do they operate? Who is operating them? How are they being operated? And where are they coming from? We should be able to answer

those questions probably entirely in the next 12 months.

Can I put to you that there is a dark state? There are people who don't have good intentions who want this secret to remain suppressed, aren't there?
It seems that way, yeah. Are they going to win? No, they're not going to win. We've passed a tipping point. When you're talking about these people, they aren't organized. You become a dark person by being filled with more vice than virtue. Virtuous people win; people full of vice lose. They're dividing amongst themselves; they're on a sinking ship. All of the good people that are in these organizations realize they're in a...

[2:45:10 -> 2:47:25]
Jake Barber:
They need to make a decision as to which side they're on, and despite having all this leverage and threats held against them, they're making the decision to move forward. Are there people inside the program who you know will come forward when you speak up?

Yes. I know I'm going to serve as a huge catalyst, and that's going to be an exciting day. The things I'm talking about are going to be... you know, Dave did a great job of paving a path. I'm going to come along and try to improve upon that path and widen the road. I expect the next groups of folks who come down this path are going to blow it wide open.

Do you worry for your safety or your family's safety?

No, I don't. I think I'm being protected, believe it or not, by the NHI. Also, I don't put up with harassment. I don't believe in the boogeyman; I am the boogeyman.

You know how to help yourself?

Yes, yep. So if somebody comes after you, they'd better watch out. It would probably be the worst decision they ever made in their life. I intend to make it that way.

Clearly, at the heart of all of this, there's been an 80-year cover-up where we've all, outside in the normal world, been told that this is nonsense—aliens aren't real, telepathy is nonsense. How do you deal with that? How do we reverse decades of stigma and taboo?

You inform yourself. Why are we relying on these people who have been keeping the secrets to let us in on the secret? Why don't we make their secrets obsolete by capturing the evidence ourselves and becoming firsthand experiencers of the phenomenon and the technology? Because that's possible.

And from what you're saying, Jake Barber, that's a beautiful experience.

Yeah, the most beautiful experience I've had in my life. Would you

like to see your kids one day doing that work?

My kids already are, believe it or not.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZRLmTBOOzlI&t=10s
[00:02 -> 04:12]
Ross Coulthart:
Welcome back to Reality Check. This week, in our continuing series of interviews with people featured in our UAP crash retrieval story, we have Don Paul Bales. He is another interviewee with an impeccable military service history who backs the credentials of our primary interviewee in that story, Jake Barber, who served at the elite level of the U.S. military. Mr. Bales has 25 years of combined service in military and law enforcement. He served with the 82nd Airborne, the U.S. Army's elite specialist parachute assault infantry division, and he also served with the U.S. Air Force. As he tells me in this full-length interview, he met Jake Barber in 1996 and soon realized very early on that Jake was far more than the aircraft mechanic described in his service history. He confirms that Barber was diverted from his Tier One combat control training into a covert intelligence role. Crucially, Don Paul Bales also later worked as a member of Jake Barber's team on the range. He corroborates the work they did and the anomalous phenomena they witnessed there. He was also present when Barber confronted a senior executive with the private aerospace company that had deployed them on the operation that went wrong. Here s Don Paul.

[01:49 -> 02:20]
Don Paul Bales:
Thank you so much for joining us. Can you just tell me a little bit about yourself? I have a kind of interesting backstory. I ve done 25 years of combined service in military and law enforcement. I grew up in Arkansas, and I am currently running an MMA gym, which I have done for about a decade since I left being a full-time police officer. That s about it.

[02:20 -> 02:36]
Ross Coulthart:
Okay, so when did you first meet Jake Barber?

[02:24 -> 02:29]
Don Paul Bales:
In 1996, when I was serving at Fort Bragg, North Carolina, with the 82nd Airborne Division.

[02:29 -> 02:36]
Ross Coulthart:
We are constrained because of your duties regarding the units that you were working for. We can't specifically name them, but basically, you were both working as special operations elite soldiers.

[02:36 -> 02:44]
Don Paul Bales:
Yes, I was with the 82nd, and prior to my service with the Army, I was with the Air Force. I met Jake in that official capacity, and soon after we met, I started finding out that he was involved in different levels of service beyond the surface units he appeared to be a member of.

[02:44 -> 03:01]
Ross Coulthart:
So, Jake Barber tells us that he was essentially diverted out of what was the normal track program for a combat controller. Normally, I guess he might have been put into something like Delta Force or one of the elite U.S. Air Force groups, but he ended up getting diverted, he says, into a covert role.

[03:01 -> 03:26]
Don Paul Bales:
Yes, with an intelligence agency that we re not going to name.

[03:26 -> 03:29]
Ross Coulthart:
Yes, you can confirm that?

[03:29 -> 03:30]
Don Paul Bales:
Yes, I can.

[03:31 -> 03:41]
Ross Coulthart:
Are you happy to share, if necessary, your DD214 with us to give us an idea about your service?

[03:34 -> 03:41]
Don Paul Bales:
Oh yes, I ll have two one from the Air Force and one from the Army.

[03:41 -> 03:43]
Ross Coulthart:
Right. So there s no question at all in your mind that Jake Barber is the person he says he is and that he has had the service he claims?

[03:43 -> 03:48]
Don Paul Bales:
Yes, I have served with him, and I have also been close friends for decades.

[03:48 -> 04:01]
Ross Coulthart:
The DD214, the release document, the discharge document for Jake Barber, though, only shows him as being an aerospace mechanic. Doesn t that mean that s not the case when you re recruiting someone for programs that exist outside of public knowledge?

[04:15 -> 07:58]
Ross Coulthart:
Knowledge needs to be verifiable and explainable in a more mundane way. At first, when I met him, I thought he was simply part of a conventional military unit. However, I found out that was not the case. Working in that capacity serves as a perfect cover story for the things he was actually doing for the unnamed three-letter agency. So,

he's not just an aircraft mechanic; it's a great cover.

Let's talk about Jake Barber and his character as a human being. In Special Operations, you're often in tough situations where you have to rely on each other. Is Jake Barber a person you would trust?

Don Paul Bales:
Oh yes, he is someone I would and have trusted with my life. If he says something, which he has made extremely detailed allegations and comments about the program, can we take it to the bank that what he is telling us is true? Absolutely. The capacity in which I worked with Jacob was unique compared to the other people involved with the program. He is in a leadership role, as you'll find out during this interview. I served in a specific capacity for that program, but having both a military and extensive law enforcement background, I've seen the extensive credentials he maintained. These are not credentials that can be fabricated artificially. Given my experience within law enforcement and the military community, I've seen orders and credentials. He is a highly credible person who has functioned on multiple levels within covert operations.

Ross Coulthart:
What do you think of his morals and ethics?

Don Paul Bales:
In my opinion, the very fact that he is coming forward in this way exemplifies his character. Many people who disclose information have their character assassinated, which puts their well-being in jeopardy on many levels. There are people who have lost their lives and careers for coming forward. Luckily, I think Jacob is smarter than the average bear. He is a very diverse person; he has functioned within his capacity in the covert community and is also a very successful entrepreneur. He has made a small fortune for himself with multiple businesses he owns and operates. Since you asked about his character, when you have a comfortable life with everything to lose and nothing to gain, I believe there have been some crackpots who have come forward over the years seeking fame and fortune. He has fortune and no need for fame.

Ross Coulthart:
What do you think about his decision to be a whistleblower on what's been going on inside the crash retrieval program?

Don Paul Bales:
I think he is very strategically wise in his timing. There have been people involved with the program he is part of who have lost their lives. At this point, it is a good inoculation against any nefarious actions against him to come forward and share what he knows. There are now enough people with pedigree within the government and military very high-ranking officers and multiple Special Operations service members. There is actually a small army of people coming forward, and I think he feels compelled to support them.

[08:02 -> 11:31]

Don Paul Bales:
These people are being attacked both professionally and personally, and I believe it's a good defense for himself. It's in defense of the greater good and the truth. This is an important time in history, and I think he feels compelled to do what he's doing. I've often said that the story of the suppression of the existence of a non-human intelligence engaging with this planet is the biggest story in human history.

Ross Coulthart:
Oh yes, do you agree with that?

Don Paul Bales:
I do. It's super important to me on both a personal and professional level. I'm not an overtly religious person, but I believe strongly in God. I'm a patriot, and I believe strongly in my country. That doesn't mean I believe in my government. I believe in the founding principles of our community and our country. I believe in our country's people, but I also believe that there are bad actors. When I swore an oath to defend our country, it was against all enemies, both foreign and domestic. I think some of the greatest enemies we have, unfortunately, are bad actors within the Deep State who are preventing, let's just say, the growth of humanity the maturing of humanity in the context of some things that most of us know now, while others will instinctively and fundamentally doubt. But the time is coming when that will not be an option. There will be too much information; it's like drinking from a fire hose at this point with the amount of imagery and the number of whistleblowers. I think we are coming to a quickening in history.

Ross Coulthart:
You gave me a shiver up my spine when you talked about swearing an oath because there's Article One of the US Constitution. You understand, don't you?

Don Paul Bales:
I do.

Ross Coulthart:
What does Article One of the US Constitution enshrine?

Don Paul Bales:
Well, the legislative branch, in particular, is supposed to have oversight over all activities that are done in the name of our country and in the furtherance of its interests, especially its protection against threats. In particular, the way we spend our money there are massive amounts of money that are so large that when the average citizen hears that the Defense Department can't account for billions of dollars or more, they think, "Wow, that's crazy," and then they just go about their daily lives. The fact that members of our own government have not been read in or advised of the truth of these matters that presidents are referred to as temporary employees is not just immoral; it's unconstitutional. It's not lawful, and it's not in the best interest of our communities and our country.

Ross Coulthart:
Can I just tell you, Don? I just had a sense then that what you just said was historic. I don't think anybody's ever said that before.

Don Paul Bales:
Well, it's unfortunate because I worry. I'm very much a family man; I love my wife and children more than anything. Our country is historically positioned to set the standard for personal freedoms. Our Constitution was developed in a unique time in history. We've been a warlike people, at war with each other since the beginning of recorded history, and we wanted to create a government of the people and for the people. In doing so, the founding fathers had the foresight to create checks and balances to prevent the very sort of things that we see happening now. And those checks and balances, from your knowledge of what's going on, are not working.

Ross Coulthart:
No, they're not working. A former president warned us that the greatest threat to our country was the development of the military-industrial...

[11:34 -> 15:10]
Don Paul Bales:
I partially agree that we need a strong military-industrial complex to defend our country. However, when that very organization promotes wars for the sake of wars and withholds important technology specifically advanced technology that is reverse-engineered from extraterrestrial sources it could literally change the lives of every American citizen. We are a standard bearer for the world, and if these technologies were brought forward and shared, it would be life-changing. Instead of worrying about my children's future, I would know that whenever my time comes, there is a brighter tomorrow. Unfortunately, there are many obstacles standing in the way of that.

Let's go through the details. When you were in the service, before you got involved with Jacob Barber, what did you think about UFOs or UAPs?

I grew up like many kids; I was one of those who was into science fiction. One of the first movies I saw as a child was Star Wars, and I watched Star Trek. Beyond the pop culture aspect, I was also into Hot Wheels, GI Joe, and Transformers. I enjoyed a British show, Doctor Who, when I was a kid; I thought all of that was very cool. However, beyond pop culture and science fiction, I hadn't thought much about it until after my military service and after meeting Jacob.

I've always believed that it's a big universe. The idea that we are the only ones here seems mathematically improbable; I think it's impossible. I haven't had much interest beyond a passing fascination with science fiction.

Was there a moment when you discovered for the first time that we are not alone as humans?

I will say this: before I began doing some of the things I have done for Jacob and before I witnessed some of the things I saw, I had a predisposition to think that it was probably true, but I wasn't certain. However, when you witness things there's a lot of imagery out there now that has been available for years of crafts, UAPs, or UFOs, whatever you want to call them that move in ways that defy normal flight characteristics and physics.

The problem is that we live in an age of CGI and manipulated imagery, so you can see things that may not be real. Even the things I have seen myself at a distance, becoming directly knowledgeable about the programs and what they could mean, raise questions: Is this extraterrestrial or advanced technology? Is it reverse-engineered human technology? That presents a unique threat to us as a nation.

I don't believe our government does only good and noble things under our defense; there are bad actors. I think the same is true for other countries as well. When you start to see and experience things firsthand, it matures your understanding. You realize there is something beyond what is publicly known, especially when it comes to flight characteristics.

You can watch shows and documentaries; it's a longstanding fact that there are observable phenomena that cannot be explained. But when you start to see it and then get involved with people who are directly responsible for crash recovery and other matters, you begin to understand the weaponization of these technologies. That is a very human issue. Once you experience it, it changes your perspective.

[15:11 -> 19:11]
Ross Coulthart:
This is awesome. Oh wow, this is all real. What are we going to do with this? It's like splitting the atom. Can I just explore with you? There's obviously been a very successful cover-up for decades. We've all been taught most people watching this, I think, believe that UFOs and UAPs are complete rubbish. That's the default. I think it's a self-defense mechanism of human psychology. It is much easier to think that everybody who talks about this is crazy than to accept the fact that, A, we're not alone in the universe, and B, UAPs are real. What does that mean for us? Are they a risk to us? Are they, in some ways, an asset that could create a brighter tomorrow? I think both are likely true, but for most people, it's easier just to say, "Ah, that's a bunch of crazy people. That's fake. It's not real." It's kind of a default self-defense mechanism.

When you combine that with bad actors within certain levels of government, they can fuel that by spreading disinformation. They can paint people who are honest in exposing what they've seen as unreliable. You see everybody from high-level military operators to ordinary people, even school children, seeing things by the dozens. It's very easy to explain things away with lies when people's default reaction is doubt.

To your knowledge, have people been killed to protect this?

Don Paul Bales:
Yes, they have. That's horrific. It is horrific, and it's evil and unlawful. I'm just pondering for a moment the significance of that. People who presumably wanted the secret to be told well, I hate to say it, but again, as much as I love my country, there have been times when we have done things that, in hindsight, were not just wrong but morally reprehensible. Unfortunately, people have been killed for much lesser reasons than something as significant as this. If you think about the amount of profit that can be leveraged against this technology, unfortunately, there's that old biblical idea: man cannot serve both God and Mammon. When there's so much profit at stake, it is unfortunately not surprising to me that people have been killed to cover up which particular elements of government or which particular commercial entities have access to this technology and biologics. I think it's unfortunately very easy to understand that people would be killed because of the amount of profit involved.

One of the problems, I think, the mistakes that we in the media often make is we tend to see the military or the intelligence community as one big monolith that all thinks the same way. I've been quite struck not just because I've met you guys by the number of people who have contacted me from within the legacy program for years, deeply concerned about the fact that this is being kept secret.

You have to think also, going all the way back to the development of the atomic bomb, there's an instinct within the intelligence community to compartmentalize knowledge and access to evidence and documents. The single best way to make someone appear to be lying or in some way crazy is to not give them the tools they need to prove their claims. Even people I believe you've interviewed, like Lou Elizondo and others who have come forward, such as David Grusch, if you can compartmentalize it to the point that they cannot present the evidence...

[19:13 -> 22:49]
Don Paul Bales:
There s a lot of stuff on your phone now that looks real, and it s something somebody made up with software. The easiest way to maintain the idea that this is all rubbish, I believe, is the term you would use, is just when you have everybody compartmentalized. But those same people know what they've seen; they know what they've done. They have seen evidence, they have taken part in crash recovery activities. And then you add to that, okay, there s not just this great lie being told to our countrymen, but their patriotic brothers and sisters have died in the service of it. At the end of the day, they re called liars, and they try to discredit and debunk them. That s a deeply painful thing for people like my friend Jacob, who has literally given decades of his life in service of this. Ultimately, what you re assessing there is that Jacob is being the true patriot, honoring the terms of your Constitution.

He is well, again, love for one s country does not mean love for one s government. I m sure we can all pick politicians on both sides of the

aisle that we think did great things for our nation and some that we think were horrible bad actors. The truth is, most are human beings, and they ve done both good and bad things. I was a big fan of Reagan; you could list a number of things he did which were crucial to what we re discussing now. You could also describe actions that he took that some would consider villainous. No one individual is either fully good or bad.

So now, like you said, it s not a monolithic entity. There are organizations within organizations that may be performing similar functions that don t even know the other actors exist, and that creates quite a bit of risk. Obviously, there may be weapons that could be developed or maybe technologies that could be developed from this alien technology. And as I say the word "alien," my head explodes with the implications of what we re talking about here. We re talking about nonhuman intelligences intelligent beings from somewhere else. Yes, and we have their technology. That s what we re saying here.

Yes, yes, that s my belief. What do you say to the people watching this who are thinking, I don t believe this ? Well, I saw a meme that said something about conspiracy theorists doing pretty well right now. In terms of everything we say, within five or ten years, you re considered ridiculous, and then you re vindicated when something comes down the line. It is more and more this is the big thing it s got to be tangible evidence that can be given to credible evaluators of tangible evidence. Because imagery alone is not enough anymore. Even testimony you re just going to have one person after another discredited, and that doubt reflex will kick in from whomever is viewing.

But when things get to a point where so much information is coming forward and it can be supplemented with tangible evidence, there will come a time when you can no longer deny it. And again, I fear that disclosure there are those who want to disclose for very good and noble reasons, and those who want to disclose for evil and nefarious reasons. Similarly, I think some who have kept it hidden feel like they re being compelled to do so by good and moral reasons, while some have kept it hidden for very evil reasons. So you have a very complicated issue, and I think it s exactly what you said, Mr. Coulthart. It s literally going to change.

[22:53 -> 26:24]
Ross Coulthart:
Humanity will never view our place in the universe the same again. This will be the most significant moment in human history, and it's happening right now. So, is there one moment that you can tell me about when you saw this technology for yourself for the first time?

Don Paul Bales:
Honestly, it's kind of not that big of a deal. Seeing them in the distance is one thing. I'll tell you about one moment that aggravates me because I didn't see it when my cohorts did. It's one that exists outside of the doser review. We're now moving into a stage where I'm functioning as the same asset I've been, but publicly for an

organization that's being funded publicly. Some members of my team saw an object pass directly over my entry control point at a site I was at. It was at such a range that I was like, "Man, I'm here facing this direction, and the thing passes over me in a direction I didn't see." It's not the ones I see that are cool; it's the feeling of being left out of the one that I can publicly discuss, which is quite irritating.

Ross Coulthart:
What types of craft have you seen? Have you seen the egg?

Don Paul Bales:
The egg was the one. I'm going to go back in a time period, which is not... and I'll say this too: part of what I did for Jacob is I performed a lot of ferial duties, movement of people and things. Something as mundane as I have a Hazmat driver's license. I've protected people and things and moved people and things, but I'm not a pilot. My function on the team, again, is that I have communicated with high-level security officials on behalf of and with Jacob. Direct communications are dangerous because people have lost their lives. It's probably more my law enforcement background that made me a good asset to Jacob, and still does, than my military background. Jacob is kind of hard because he's also my close friend, and he's risking quite a bit to do this. I was surprised but not surprised surprised because of the risk, not surprised because of his character.

Ross Coulthart:
It takes an act of courage, doesn't it?

Don Paul Bales:
Oh, it does, and he has more to lose, Ross. He's a businessman, a very wealthy businessman, a creative entrepreneur in music. He has a beautiful family and a lot of interests outside of this, literally risking everything. He's not the average citizen; the fame is not going to be an asset to him.

Ross Coulthart:
Let's talk about psionics. I hadn't even heard that word until about two years ago. That's crazy, isn't it?

Don Paul Bales:
There was a scientist in the program who said to me that if I needed to understand what was going on, I needed to understand consciousness. Yes, and it's true, isn't it? This is consciousness.

Don Paul Bales:
Well, I've had the pleasure of meeting multiple psionic assets. I've seen them function, and I know that they're capable of doing what they're doing. This is funny I told you about the incident that I was present for that happened since the classified stuff, but that's completely public. That brings me to a funny story. So, Fred and other members of the team see this thing. Gary has the psionic assets doing their thing, Jacob's over there eating some food, and I'm watching all this going, "This is very cool, very interesting, this is great,"

because of the proximity. Because of my normal duties, I'm right there, ready to rock. So, I go down my entry control point and I come back, and everybody's all, "Oh my God!" Fred tells me, and they're going through all this...

[26:28 -> 29:58]
Don Paul Bales:
I'm flying back to my normal life, and I'm sitting in an airport, having a drink. I start receiving a massive amount of information; there was a significant amount of reporting on social media in the immediate proximity of the work of the psionics. The technology-based way of communicating with non-human intelligence and UAPs is one thing, but the psionics man, the amount of activity in the area immediately proximal to what we were doing was amazing, even for me.

So, you can definitively say that you believe there is a capacity in the human mind to somehow psychically or telepathically connect with them?

Yes, and Dr. Nolan has done a good job. I don't fully understand it; I don't even want to try to use the anatomical part of the brain because I mess it up every time I attempt to explain it. However, there are physiological signs that can be measured. Dr. Nolan is quite good at this. I don't know if it's a byproduct of something they were born with or if it's a response to interaction if it's a product of communication in some way that they were chosen and communicated with, or if it's something they were born with, a genetic or congenital trait. But there are certain people who are quantifiably and verifiably capable of this.

I think a lot of people watching this might be able to come to terms with the idea of non-human intelligence. I do think many people are going to have trouble with the concept of psychic abilities being real. I mean, we've all been told for years that psychic abilities are fiction, that it's nonsense. Again, that doubt is the default mechanism until you've seen it or experienced it. I believe that's probably the psychologically safer way to view things.

Having seen it actually function is one thing, but the amount of activity on social media is a funny thing. Because, you know, if we say that this incident occurred, that's one thing. But if you go back and see people all around the geographic area starting to report and see things the old saying from the country is, "the proof is in the pudding." When you see these things manifest a response from UAPs, is that a coincidence? Given the physical size of our galaxy and solar system alone, the likelihood that this is not a direct reaction to an overt attempt to communicate is just as unlikely.

It's exciting; it is exciting. It's kind of interesting; it's very interesting. It's spiritual in a way. I believe it's very spiritual. I mean, I'm not a good enough scholar to reference it, but there are biblical references that talk about wheels in the sky and such. Throughout history, you've seen images and paintings that hint this has been something mankind has been aware of since even before

recorded history as we know it.

Are you a man of faith? Do you believe in God?

I am a Christian by definition.

Do you find the concept of believing that aliens are real and that we can psychically engage with them contradictory to your belief in God?

No. Now, some of my, I'd say, believing brothers and sisters whether they be Christian or Muslim
[30:00 -> 33:12]
Ross Coulthart:
Some may find it antithetical, but to me, that's a knee-jerk opposite reaction. If you think about God as a prime mover, a creator of the universe, to consider that a universe, which we now know through modern science, is expansive it's said that we will have something significant being established next year in 2025, which could be one of the most powerful ways to view our universe that we've seen in our history. We know that we have a very expansive universe. To think that we have, and Christians believe, Muslims believe, Jews believe, that we have a creator of the universe who created this much space for one self-aware species seems to be a lot of waste of space. It's said arrogance, really; it is hubris.

Let's talk about the concerning things that happened during your work with Jake. You and Jake were tasked to recover what you referred to as high-value targets, specifically six Panasonic Toughbooks. We don't know for sure what was on those Toughbooks, do we? It may have been video or some kind of data, but the private aerospace company that we are not naming, which you were working for in that particular job, wanted those books back.

Don Paul Bales:
Yes, and technically, I did not work for them; I worked for Jacob. You would refer to me as a subcontract employee.

Ross Coulthart:
So what happened?

Don Paul Bales:
I'm not going to discuss any of that. My activities have not been reviewed by DOSER.

Ross Coulthart:
Can you confirm this? Were you involved in any of the retrieval attempts?

Don Paul Bales:
Yes, and out of respect for Jake, I'm not going to go into the details of what happened, but I am aware that a dangerous incident occurred. Let's leave it at that.

Ross Coulthart:
A concern arose, didn't it, in your group, in your team, that as a subcontractor, your whole operation was plausibly deniable?

Don Paul Bales:
Yes.

Ross Coulthart:
Was there a concern that you were being set up?

Don Paul Bales:
Potentially. Yes, "setup" is a mild term. You touched on earlier the fact that people have lost their lives involved in this type of activity, and the lengths to which people have gone to suppress politically uncomfortable truths, let alone world-changing facts, indicate that there is risk involved. Because I'm a unique element in service of Jacob and the overarching program, unlike the others, I've been present when we've attempted to make direct contact with superiors within the program to clarify exactly what's going on.

Ross Coulthart:
And to find...

Don Paul Bales:
To get to a place where we felt comfortable within the context of what we were doing became more and more difficult. Both of you came away I won't name the private aerospace company involved but I think Jake spoke to the director of security for a private...

[33:15 -> 36:11]
Ross Coulthart:
You spoke with an aerospace company, and neither of you came away with the sense that you were getting a straight answer?

Don Paul Bales:
No, we spoke with more than one individual at a very high level of authority. I don't know what Jake said, but I'll tell you this: I say this based on my law enforcement background. When the people who are supposed to be at the highest levels are afraid to discuss what you're doing, that's a clue. When they are worried about their well-being and the well-being of their families I'm talking about individuals at the highest levels that the public would be aware of within these unnamed organizations when those people are afraid for their physical well-being and their families and say, "The smartest thing you can do is to let this go, don't ask questions, walk away," when they're afraid, then there's cause for concern.

Ross Coulthart:
Who's running this cover-up?

Don Paul Bales:
Oh, that's a good question. I mean, there's incredible power behind what we're seeing here. Somebody's pulling the strings. You touched on this earlier, Ross, that there's likely more than one program, so

there are likely multiple cover-ups. If there are more than one organization within our government, let alone other governments, if there are organizations that are controlled not by the government proper but by private industry that operate at a high level and recruit and contract legacy assets within the government's programs imagine something more valuable than oil, more valuable than any precious metal, something that could potentially be the most valuable technology in the history of mankind. How much time and money would they invest in trying to collect that?

Then you have multiple private organizations and multiple government entities, all of which may exist sometimes in cooperation but often not. It's compartmentalized, so you don't just have one cover-up; you have a culture that is inherently invested in making sure that what they're doing is not known because you don't want competition within the industry or foreign adversaries. The default response of multiple entities would be to try to hide everything: deny, deny, deny.

Can you think of any good reason why? I can accept that perhaps we, in the public, shouldn't know how to make nuclear weapons. I can accept there might be technologies that could be quite dangerous from alien technology, but can you think of any good reason why the public can't know that we are not alone?

I'm going to play devil's advocate and say yes, because I have a service background. Our economy is based on natural resources, oil being a prime example. It's like hydraulic despotism; the value of an economy is based on your ability to acquire a particular thing. What if, as some have speculated...

[36:14 -> 39:12]
Don Paul Bales:
Technology exists to recruit energy right out of the ambient surrounding environment. Is that true? Yes, well, okay. Zero Point Energy if you have Zero Point Energy, what is the basis for the global economy? Everything of value instantly disappears. So now you have this war for control of that technology. For one thing, it would fundamentally destabilize the economy of the entire world. That s a concern. We already have nuclear weapons, and we have foreign adversaries that possess hypersonic weapons, and we're supposedly behind them. That s not the case; we all have hypersonic weapons.

Now, imagine a weapon that can fire a device so fast you can't even measure it by the standard concept of acceleration of a solid rocket fuel-based weapon. If you could fire, and you talked about my faith, there are people who have very radical views of this world and God who want to wipe their enemies off the face of the Earth. Would you want to put a propulsion system in the hands of those people if they could, with the touch of a button, annihilate massive numbers of people?

As I said, there are people who want to not disclose for reasons they feel are noble and good. What do you personally think? Are we ready? Yes, because here's the thing: even having played Devil's Advocate and said all that, and I don't know how or if this is edited, but I'll say

this in the context of everything I just said: you could keep all of that totally protected. I doubt there are very many people out there who could construct a nuclear bomb even if they had access to the plans.

But you could also disclose, yes, we've been in communication with non-human intelligence. Yes, there are multiple species out there. That fact in and of itself is not going to create doomsday weapons, and it's not going to destabilize the economy. It's going to cause the maturing of an entire people. Maybe we'll get to a point in history where, instead of being adversarial, we realize that because we're part of a much bigger universe, we need to resolve some of our historical conflicts and start thinking about our place in the universe not just our place in the world, not our country and our government versus this country and this government, but how we, as a species, are being evaluated by other species.

All of you gentlemen Jake, Fred, John all of you have fought wars as patriots for your country for much of the last 30 to 40 years. The big message in all of this is that there is a technology that makes that whole idea redundant. Yes, that s the word I was going to use, but you beat me to it. Not just redundant, but not just counterproductive. Sadly, many wars are fought for profit. It s not a good long-term strategy. Peace and prosperity should be the focus and the goal, and many governments, including mine...

[39:14 -> 42:16]
Don Paul Bales:
Many seem to have lost sight of the disclosure of non-human intelligence. I would like to think that it would make people take our place in the world more seriously. We exist; we have our popular culture, our sports teams, our musicians, and people like me who are into politics, rooting for one team versus another. All of that would be somewhat muted in the context of a greater truth. People of faith will be challenged to look more closely at their beliefs, not just accept what they were taught when they were young or what they experienced in life. We need to think very seriously about God, our mortality, and our place in the universe because it is a bigger, more complicated universe than many of us realize.

There is a splendid anarchy in what Jake is proposing; you guys call it skywatching. Tell me about it.
I think it's unique. I know there are some other people attempting similar things, like the Galileo projects. There are people trying to collect evidence, and evidence is exactly what you need. It can't just be imagery. There is imagery now that we know is true, collected by military organizations, and there are demonstrable flight characteristics moving in and out of the atmosphere and the ocean. Things can't move like that, but your doubting Thomases will say, "Hey, that's probably propaganda, misinformation, or CGI." If you can get tangible physical evidence, you can send craft or biological samples to multiple universities and higher learning institutions. Then, there would be no room for doubt anymore; people would have to take a hard look at the truth of the subject.

You talked earlier about the issues with compartmentalization, meaning it's very hard to know how big the program is the left hand doesn't know what the right hand is doing. But there's another problem too, isn't there? Good science depends on peer review and sharing ideas. Yes. My understanding is that there are materials science breakthroughs that haven't happened because of people's secrets. The great thing that could happen is that I was so excited by Skywatcher because one of the greatest periods in American history was the Apollo Moon program, where we said, "We will send a man to the Moon," and we did. The potential behind what Skywatcher can do is incredible. It might force the hand of some of the bad actors if you produce physical evidence and technology as a result of that physical evidence. There is already physical evidence and technology in the hands of certain organizations, both public and private. If you produce that...

[42:18 -> 45:27]
Ross Coulthart:
Evidence is forcing them at this point to engage in a disclosure arms race. Well, yes, you know this, and you know that, but we know this and that. So, at some point, it would be mutually beneficial for everyone to finally put all their cards on the table. I think they'll be forced to do so. I'd be very surprised, Don, if the Russians and the Chinese aren't already trying to do the same thing.

Don Paul Bales:
Oh, they are, yes. As David Grusch said to me when I interviewed him in 2023, there is a secret cold war going on about this technology absolutely. And again, for good and bad reasons on both sides of the equation. But with Skywatcher, particularly, I appreciate the involvement of Dr. Nolan. We have psionics; we have technological assets that most people don't know exist. The dog whistle the ability to contact and collect tangible evidence it would be a game changer. I believe in your interview with Louie, you said it's not an event; it's a process. We may go from a process to an event that is a game changer. Right now, unfortunately, we're on the precipice of possibly World War III. We have multiple hotspots around the world, which we seem determined to destroy ourselves over. We probably need a game-changing event; we need something to make us stop, reflect, and work collectively toward a greater good.

Ross Coulthart:
I appreciate that some of what you've seen is classified for good reason; that's completely understandable. What I'm looking for here is the excitement, the "aha" moment. I'm looking for that moment when you suddenly realized, "Oh my God, this is real." Can you give me a sense of that emotion?

Don Paul Bales:
Well, you think it would be wonder, but it's more like, "I wonder what those who are involved with all of this are going to do with this." I don't know what event you could explain and compare it to. Let's say I'm from the country, and you're a kid who gets old enough to safely handle a weapon. Okay, so you're taught how a pistol and a rifle work,

and it's very powerful. It's an "aha" moment, and then you think, "Oh good Lord, if mishandled, I could kill myself or someone else." There is both wonder and weight with knowing versus believing. I believe in God; I have no proof, but I choose to believe in my particular faith. I believe in God, but when you know certain things exist, then you think about the weight of this in the hands of humanity, who has done both glorious and wonderful things throughout history, as well as woeful and horrible things. So, the wonder and the "aha," yes, but seeing the craft I'll tell you one thing: when I was briefed in on all of the differing types of craft, one thing that I did not know, based on my personal experiences up to then, is that I had had experiences before then, but when exposed...

[45:29 -> 48:25]
Don Paul Bales:
I don't think I can discuss exactly in detail these classifications, but let's say that some were actual craft UAP, and others in my mind appeared to be more like an actual non-human intelligence, like an organism. You wouldn't describe it as a ship, more like a whale in the ocean. These non-human intelligences are able to move about our natural universe much like we walk around on land, and you know, whales swim in the ocean. But there's another dimension too, literally and metaphysically, that some of these entities seem to be transdimensional. They can move in ways that, I mean, they're there and they're not there. That's what David Grusch suggested.

When you think about a thing that's there and then it's not there, okay, well, where did it go? Did it move at such a speed that I can't see it? Because I'm not a scientist, my mind's like, well, what is that? When you see the classifications of it, I felt like a long time ago do you remember the 1984 movie Ghostbusters? Sure, when they brought the guy in and hired Winston Zeddemore because paranormal activity had increased so much. The first thing she says is, "Do you believe in UFOs, ghosts, yada yada yada?" He says, "Lady, if there's a paycheck in it, believe whatever you want." Then he goes out and starts seeing all this stuff, and then it's just his job. Like every other job, it has some parts that are really cool and other parts that have you worrying and scratching your head.

When you see how many different types of UFOs if you even watch some of the documentaries on the phenomenon you see a lot of different types of imagery that most of us involved with this know. Yeah, that's legit; that's bogus. Well, disregarding the bogus, there's so much diversity in the legitimate footage that is out there. I think that's my "aha" moment. When I'm not just doing a particular thing, I'm saying, "Hey, you show up here at this place, this is your loadout, you do this, this, and this." That's great.

When you're read in fully to just how much is known, how many various types of crafts and beings what I would refer to as non-human intelligences that's my "aha" moment. It's not just getting handed the gun; it's being told the details of this is a lot bigger than even you, who have experienced the same things on your own. Buddy, it's bigger than you could even imagine.

The overwhelming sense I get from you and all the guys that have stepped forward is optimism. This is incredible. Yes, again, I'm a patriot, I'm a person of faith, and I'm worried for my kids' future. This is interesting; I like being interviewed, but none of it's more important to me than my children and their future. We're at a very, very dangerous time in history.

[48:27 -> 51:25]
Don Paul Bales:
You asked me about our government and how our legislative branch is supposed to have oversight of things. It s failing; it s non-existent. The only few people who seem to be read in are those who want to suppress information and tell everybody else to shut up, saying there s nothing to see here. That doesn t give me hope for my children's future. What gives me hope are people like Jacob, who are willing to risk everything and say, Yeah, not only is it real, I ve been involved in it for decades, at great personal risk to his health, wealth, and well-being. It could have cost him his life on several occasions; it has cost him his health, and now he s risking his entire life and livelihood to share this.

I hope all those who watch this and doubt take into consideration what these men are risking to share this with you. Why would they do that? There is a lot to lose, and the only thing to gain is the betterment of mankind. I would not have risked this myself if I had not been asked by Jacob to come forward and share this.

People can t realize in their day-to-day lives what he s risking, as opposed to a normal asset. Normal assets are not millionaires; they don t own multiple businesses. One of their greatest allies is their anonymity because if you re a person of means, everybody wants something from you. One of Jacob s greatest assets up to now is that nobody who meets him thinks he s a multi-millionaire involved in all these things. He s a true renaissance man; he plays music, and he and I have had our share of bouts combatively. We do martial arts together. He s a very interesting dude with a prestigious pedigree; he trained with me in martial arts back in the day in the military and since then. He s trained with world-renowned instructors and has given up and risked quite a bit for this.

If it weren t for knowing his character and why he s doing this, the amount of hope I have that the people watching this would realize how much he s risking to share this knowledge is significant. One of the things I take away from this is that you ve emphasized there are good people very good people in the military and the intelligence community who do want this story told. Yes, but there are bad people; there are malign people. What are they going to try and do? How do you think they ll respond?

Well, how did they respond to David? How did they respond to Lou Elizondo? Because it s compartmentalized, I know some of these people, but I don t know a bunch of them. So I have to do the same thing that your viewers will do: Is this person legit? Are they full of it? It s

hard to tell. I do know this: those who are disclosing often face knee-jerk reactions that discredit their mental health and label them as liars.

[51:28 -> 54:12]
Don Paul Bales:
A military official later stated that Zando was in charge of exactly what he claimed. You can believe what you want about everything else he says; that was his position, and he did perform that job. These individuals are risking so much when they come forward. I think that s the epitome of evil when members of your own community your own military, your own government, your own private organizations are attacking you, engaging in character assassination, and potentially having people killed. That s a horrible state of affairs. This isn t just a story about UAPs; it s also a story about human nature and restoring American democracy.

You asked me about that, and that s very true. I m going to reference another historical event: the assassination of Kennedy. Everyone knows there are things that are not being disclosed. Let s use that as a metaphor for this situation. Why is it that everyone involved is dead? You re not exposing anyone to individual harm, so why are you still refusing to disclose the truth? If you intentionally withhold that information from the American people, you re potentially discussing the killing of a duly elected president for some nefarious reasons. If that s the case, then democracy does not exist.

If you can simply say, No, you re not allowed to know the truth about why this president died, after all these years, why has that not been disclosed? We re not talking about something that could change humanity; we re talking about the assassination of a president. Why is that not being disclosed? In the absence of complete transparency with the American citizens, I believe the quote from one of the founding fathers is, You have a republic if you can keep it.

Now, if we don t disclose information, I m going to go off on a tangent here: yes, we need to disclose information about UAPs and NHIs. We need to tell the truth about what happened to that president. There are many undisclosed matters that need to be revealed to the American citizenry. It s not that we re losing confidence in our government; we have no confidence in our government. I have friends who are hardcore conservatives and Republicans, as well as friends who are hardcore Democrats and liberals. One of the few things everyone agrees on is that we re not getting the full story from our government, and our government is not always acting in our best interest.

[Music]
Thanks for watching. Go to joinnn.com to find NewsNation on your television provider, and please don t forget to click that red subscribe button to ensure you receive more of NewsNation s unbiased and fact-driven news coverage.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dnnpyNuPdXs&t=1885s
[00:00 -> 03:44]
Host:
For thousands of years, people have been seeing objects in the sky that they can't identify. Today, we have someone who has actually retrieved a UFO firsthand—a firsthand witness. The energy is just really good; it's perfect. How are you, dude?

Jake:
A whole lot of sleep for either of us. We just... you guys didn't sleep? I didn't sleep at all.

Host:
No way! Why?

Jake:
I'm just pumped. We have five people standing behind cameras right now, and nobody knows why we're here.

Host:
You didn't tell them?

Jake:
No.

Host:
No?

Jake:
No, not at all.

Host:
Okay, everybody, can we have everyone here? I just want to let everybody know what we're here for. First, I want to thank everyone for coming on such short notice—36 hours. For the first time in all these years of doing interviews with UFO-related people, today we're going to be talking to a firsthand witness. So it's no longer just the guy who talked to the guy; it is the guy himself.

Jake:
Part of why I'm valuable is because I am at the fingertips of this thing. People have heard from high administrative leadership, and this is super dangerous for him right now. We're in a limbo because the story is supposed to break out on Newed Nation, hopefully this week. Every day that it doesn't go live, there's more danger in his life.

Host:
Tell me about the moment when you realized that you were involved in a retrieval of nonhuman technology.

Jake:
I was trained as a pilot a long time ago, and for the last 20 years,

I've served more as a pilot than I have as a ground crew member or in any other capacity.

Host:
Good morning, Jake. Have you retrieved a nonhuman craft?

Jake:
Yes, I have. For everyone that's getting exposed to this right now, humble yourself from the perspective of what you consider believable. Can you describe the retrievals end to end? What happens? Where are you?

Jake:
I sit back at a separate location. I get GPS coordinates, and at the very last second, I get dispatched. I go there, and then in route, from very short range out—maybe five minutes—it's out. I get a description of what it is I'm picking up.

Jake:
It's so real when you look at it. It's not like in the background you're hearing, "Oh, and there's this light." This is an epic moment where's the crescendo. It's like, no, there's just a helicopter flying over the ridge, and there's an egg sitting there with dudes sitting around on the ground flashing you, calling you in. It doesn't register as exciting, you know, in that format.

Host:
I don't know. When I'm hearing the story, a big giant 20-foot egg—how do you know that's nonhuman intelligence?

Jake:
Since we've left the program and reorganized and are now working with Arrow, I can make the egg show up. We have demonstrated it post-program, and we did it in August.

Host:
Oh my God!

Jake:
In broad daylight, at the moment we elicited the egg, it flies by in dead silence, moving at 10,000 mph.

Host:
Alright, one more guy in the light. You know, everyone likes to ask why I'm not afraid that someone's going to try to silence me with death. There are people that have died.

[03:48 -> 08:13]
Jake Barber:
There were people coming out and speaking about our activities. Can you say anything more? No, because there's an investigation happening right now. I'm not afraid of the boogeyman; I am the boogeyman. You don't want to show up at my house thinking you're going to be successful with brute force because that's going to go south for you

really quickly. It's got this cold military-political stigma attached to it, but that's not it. It's not about flying equipment, like, "Oh, can we get in a flying saucer and get to London in two minutes?" or "Could we get there yesterday?" Because now we know—screw all that. The real implications are way more powerful. It's realizing that there is something else out there that may not be extra at all; it's something that's part of us. It's like outer space versus inner space; it's all the same.

[05:04 -> 05:10]
Jake Barber:
Different parts of the brain have different activities. Well, you know that, don't you? Maybe you interview me.

[05:30 -> 08:13]
Interviewer:
What's up, boogeyman? For thousands of years, people have been seeing objects in the sky that they can't identify. For maybe the last 80 years, we've been speculating about American government and other governments' involvement with UFOs. Today, we have somebody who has actually retrieved a UFO firsthand—a firsthand witness. We have this amazing crew; by the way, we have Omar present, we have Logan present, and while we've gotten to know you a little bit over the last month, we don't have a ton of context regarding what exactly you experienced. So, we want to really get down to the basics and maybe just start with who you are. Let's bring it all the way back to your childhood.

Jake Barber:
Yeah, well, first thing I should say is, looking back, it's clear that the intelligence community looks for kids like me. I had what you could probably call the "four eyes": imagination, intellect, intuition, and instincts. My grandfathers were the best men you could ever imagine. We could call them Navy Grandpa and Army Grandpa. Navy Grandpa was a very influential naval officer; he was actually a member of the Navy SEALs' predecessors, the Scouts and Raiders. He and my other grandfather, Army Grandpa, laid the foundation for our family. They literally put the cornerstone in and had their pictures taken in the 50s laying the cornerstone of our family church. Up until the point my parents divorced, I had a pretty good childhood, but when stepfamilies entered the picture, things became hell for me.

Interviewer:
How did they become hell?

Jake Barber:
The environment in the stepfamily at my mom's house, where I spent most of my time, was abusive—physically abusive, specifically because of my stepfather and stepbrother, his son, who was much older than me. What sort of abuse? I mean, really all three: physically, verbally, and borderline sexually abusive. So, I just chose to live outside basically, and by the time I got to high school...

[08:15 -> 11:44]
Jake Barber:

My Navy grandfather encouraged me to get into swimming and water polo. I also continued to pursue art and music, and I entered a science contest where I won a state science fair based on a project I had done. The project was called "Color Chords." In my music class, we learned at a rudimentary level about something called the circles of fifths, which is essentially a pie chart that helps you break down and learn the intervals of harmonies.

From any root note, there's what's called a perfect fifth, and that fifth rings a harmony that is pleasant. There's also a third, and in a major chord, you have a root, a third, and a fifth. In a minor chord, you have a root, a minor third—which is a half interval down—but you keep that major fifth, which creates what people refer to as a sad sound. Both are beautiful, but one is more of a happy beauty, while the other is a deeper, dramatic beauty.

The understanding of harmony in the sound world is when wavelengths overlap in a way that forms some geometry that enhances rather than degrades the independent waveforms. You get something like harmony, and your ear can pick that up, causing your emotions to react in various ways. I thought, if photons create waveforms, are there color combinations that can have that same complementary interplay? When colors combine, can they be pleasant and elicit the same emotions?

It was really cool. I did well in our local area, then I went on to the county level, and eventually, I received an award at the state level along with ten other kids for that project.

Now, you mentioned trauma being a part of what the intelligence community was looking for. Let's get into the juicy stuff, which is the Air Force recruiting. How did they recruit you? How did you get in touch?

When I got expelled my senior year, my grandfather pulled some strings and got me into another high school. My parents were involved in that process, but unbeknownst to them, I also had a secret intervention with both of my grandfathers. They came together and began exploring military opportunities for me. They saw that's what I needed, and for me, I was all in. I wanted to jump out of airplanes and take down bad people; I didn't want a desk job or to cook.

They made phone calls, and looking back, I don't think they had any idea what they were getting me into. I didn't either, and I don't blame them. It's not like they were complicit or part of some deep state conspiracy, putting their grandson up for offering to the program. It wasn't like that at all.

I took some initial screenings in our local area, and then we traveled down to UCLA, where I went through a series of tests over multiple visits. They included all the basic stuff—physical and physiological tests—but then I also went through psychological and creative testing. The first time I took an IQ test, I remember knowing exactly what I got because I was...

[11:46 -> 15:23]
Jake Barber:
I was really interested to find out, and it was like 138. I thought, "Oh, that kind of sucks. That's not very high." It's pretty high, but I was supposed to be smarter than that—hundreds average. Then they do this second thing, which is a stress IQ test. You take the same test, but I put on this oxygen mask that sealed my face with no air coming to it. I took that test and I tested at 152. No way! I scored way higher under stress, and that's when my concept of comfort in chaos began to form. I realized I was a different person; I could see things more clearly. Under stress, it was like things made more sense to me.

Anyone watching this is probably thinking this is a very unique recruiting process for the military. This isn't like going online and submitting an application. What did you end up getting recruited for?

So, I ended up getting recruited for a brand new program called GP, which was a Guaranteed Training Education Program, I believe is what the acronym was at the time. For me, this was a 10-year contract that would fast-track me through Special Operations training in the Department of the Air Force, specifically in a career field called combat control. It's still called combat control.

What's interesting for people to know, and keep this in the back of your mind moving forward, is that the Air Force has straddled the blue-black line since its inception in 1947, just two weeks after the Roswell incident. You have the National Security Act, the formation of the Air Force, and the CIA at the same time, along with the Department of Defense. The Air Force has been that agency that straddles the blue-black line ever since.

Just to be clear for the audience, the blue-black line: the blue represents the open-source, white world, while the black world consists of highly classified, sensitive information. Is that right?

Yeah, I think the best way to think about it is that the black world we operate in is like a four-dimensional projection into a three-dimensional world. It has its presence here, and there are indications of it, but you're not going to get it because so much of what you're seeing is tied and connected to other things through another dimension you're not paying attention to. That's how this exists, how we exist, and how we manipulate reality and truth to get away with things that people don't understand.

That is unbelievable. You sound like Carl Sagan describing a platonic ideal of an elite military unit.

What I need to make very clear is that although I was prospected into combat control, I became something very different. That was simply my gateway into the program. I signed a contract for combat control in 1994 and shipped off to basic training in Texas. After completing basic training at Lackland Air Force Base in Texas, I was then shipped out to a place called Operating Location Hotel, which we called OLH. This was a selection for Air Force Special Warfare: combat control and

pararescue. Although I had been pre-screened and signed this crazy 10-year contract that guaranteed this 17-year-old kid two and a half years of specialized training, to understand how incredible that is...

[15:24 -> 18:58]
Jake Barber:
Historically, for Special Warfare or Special Operations across the military, you don't get into those programs without first being enlisted, earning your selection, and being recommended for special units. Even once you're in those special units, the specialized schools you attend are not guaranteed and happen over a period of time. After I graduated from selection, which is the initial phase of weeding out candidates—a four-week course at [location]—we had a class of about 89 people. I remember that nine of my original classmates graduated together from that class, and then we shipped off to what we call the pipeline, which consists of our trade schools where we learn our craft.

Somewhere early on in the pipeline, I was approached by two individuals who convinced me that it would be a good idea to become an airplane mechanic.

Interviewer:
Can you say anything more about those two individuals?

Jake Barber:
One of them was clearly gay, which was interesting because I quite enjoyed the conversation; I thought it was fun. For some reason, I've always been really compatible with gay men, much to their disappointment, as I'm very straight and narrow regarding my sexual orientation. So, there's that. They sold me on the idea, and ultimately, I knew I was doing something different and special. You have to understand that in the 90s, the operational tempo was really low. Everyone kind of knew that you would go through your training, but a lot of guys, once they made it to their teams, were like, "Dude, let's go to war," and there was no war. Many people were getting out, tired of just training.

What was sold to this young, crazy kid, Jacob Barber, was that I would get to do things others wouldn't get to do. There was a lot going on that they wanted to use me for, but first, I had to learn how to be an airplane mechanic. So, I thought, "Okay," and then I shipped off to airplane mechanic school. Upon completing that, I reported directly to Pope Air Force Base in North Carolina. While I was there, I spent a lot of time turning wrenches on the flight line as a mechanic, but outside of that, I spent all my time training.

Interviewer:
Was that a hazing ritual, or would that come in handy with what you were ultimately going to do, like just learning to become an airplane mechanic?

Jake Barber:
No, it was my cover. My cover was working as an airplane mechanic. I

came in during the Clinton era, and that's no coincidence; it had a lot to do with this program. What I came to find out was that I spent a lot of time deploying on those types of missions in support of President Clinton. I can explain and go into detail there a little bit. I was involved in Bosnia; I deployed to Bosnia and was involved in the liberation of Kuwait. I had a lot of combat time, but ultimately, what I was being refined for was to become a red teamer. I became a red team expert. You could think of a red team as an opposition force—in fact, that's exactly what we call it: OPFOR, or opposition force. The red team's job in any scenario is to exploit all the weaknesses of the blue team and find the vulnerabilities.

[19:01 -> 22:35]
Jake Barber:
In an exercise, we would participate where the military's blue forces, or traditional forces, would deploy their tactics. We would be set out to play the opponent or the enemy, and we were given boundless liberty on how to disrupt their operations—essentially, to make their lives hell. It was a lot of fun; we got to be creative, think outside the box, innovate ways, and find holes in our systems. We would go out and completely disrupt the standard operating procedures of units.

In exercises that were global and closely related to other subject matter concerning UAP, we were involved and had to come up with innovative ways to be adversarial towards our own forces. I found out that my four eyes, which I explained earlier, were tools of war. Anyone who has read "The Art of War" knows that the first rule is deception.

Do you know what the first rule in "The Art of War" is? Yes, it's deception. Deception is the first rule in "The Art of War"—to deceive and always act like something you're not. Now, I think about it, and that might be alarming coming from me right now. You might ask, "How do we know you're not red teaming us right now?" Well, you don't. You really don't know that.

That was an honest answer. All I can do is expect to get asked that a lot. I'll paraphrase a book from Matthew: "You will know me by my fruits." Just pay attention to what has happened, and you can evaluate the impact of what I'm doing.

That's an amazing message for the audience too, which is that they should corroborate the things you're saying through their own open-source research. If it validates, they should think for themselves and not just take our word for it willy-nilly.

You mentioned other things being sort of adjacent to UFO or UAP retrievals. What were the other things you were doing as part of this group? While enlisted, I did a lot of security and escort of high-value targets, or HVTs. I helped with NATO top-secret security clearance when needed and was manifested to classified flights where we would be dispatched to secure targets, transport them, and deliver them to other places, handing them off to other teams.

These targets were often sealed containers; we called them mystery boxes. I couldn't tell you what was inside. It could be a variety of things, with sizes ranging from something small enough to fit on a helicopter to something large enough that it had to be put on a C5 Galaxy. Sometimes it had to be trucked, and sometimes it had to be taken in pieces, but it was always put in those little mystery boxes.

One thing that should be noted is that during one of these missions I was involved in, I got very sick afterward. I lost every hair on my body, my skin peeled off my arms, and I was nauseous. In hindsight, it was obvious that I was most likely exposed to some form of...

[22:37 -> 26:21]
Jake Barber:
Radiation really upsets some people when I tell this story, especially friends like Dr. Gary Nolan, who helps me with this issue now. Just for the audience, Gary Nolan is a Stanford microbiologist and a Nobel nominee every year. He is tenured there and is incredibly knowledgeable about the UFO subject. In fact, he claims to have, and I have seen, crash retrieval parts from his friend, who is a long-time figure in UFO research, a guy named Jacques Vallee. Gary conducts mass spectrometry on these parts, but he was also approached by the CIA around 2016 regarding people who have encountered UAPs and the biological effects they have incurred. That's the nature of my relationship with Gary.

Dr. Nolan, I am still suffering from that experience, most likely due to subsequent experiences. However, it is only now that I am getting proper care. I want to clarify that I don't want to portray the Air Force as an evil, irresponsible entity. As much as they may be, they also aren't in this one circumstance. I don't believe they knew what we were dealing with. Looking back with hindsight, it's likely that incident was also related to the UAP topic. Had we known what was in that box, we would have had a protocol for dealing with nuclear Hazmat, and none of that was in place. I still got exposed.

I think something in that box was emitting radiological energy. I believe it got turned on at some point, which raises an interesting question: how did it turn on while we were in the air? Did it turn on or off, or was there some level of fallout? I really don't know.

Interviewer:
What makes you think that it was a UAP or UFO and not like an electromagnetic pulse, like some of these exotic weaponry that emit radiological energy?

Jake Barber:
Well, EM pulses are different. A lot of the high-powered EM pulses are safe to be around. You're not going to get high doses of gamma radiation by exposing yourself to an EM pulse. But I would just say, let's not get too deep into this mission without getting into trouble. I don't blame the Air Force or anyone for how that was handled, except for the fact that I couldn't seek medical treatment afterward. I

couldn’t talk about what had happened, so causation was really difficult for doctors to determine. There were symptoms without causation, and I was treated for things that weren’t necessarily consistent with radiation poisoning. I eventually healed from it.

Interviewer:
What were all the symptoms that you experienced?

Jake Barber:
Now, I have a heart murmur that I never had before. My heart is messed up. I lost all the hair on my body; I look like an albino lizard. I have no pubic hair, no eyebrows, and no nose hair, which I wish was still the case. But whatever, one benefit is that I have no ear hair or nose hair. I had rashes, and my immune system seems compromised in some way that I can’t explain.

[26:23 -> 29:58]
Jake Barber:
I have random autoimmune disorders, and this is where Gary has come in handy. He has reviewed my medical records, and we're trying to make sense of 20 years of things that have happened. My concern is that there might be some hidden issue that will show up later in life, and we will be unprepared to deal with it, whether it be cancer or something else. I hate to throw that term around—knock on wood.

But to get back to the subject, can I ask you one more question about what happened when Gary took a look at your physical chart and the symptoms? Did he say they corresponded with other UFO experiencers?

Yes, he did. Specifically, he mentioned John Burroughs from the Rendlesham Forest incident in 1980, along with Jim Penniston. John had similar symptoms to mine and faced similar issues with VA records. He also had scarring in his dorsal striatum and his caudate nucleus.

Did you have that?

I haven't had a comprehensive MRI yet, so I can't confirm that. However, I do know that John had a heart issue, and we both have the same heart issue that didn't exist before. That was one of the things Gary shared with me.

For context, the Rendlesham Forest incident occurred in 1980 at RAF Bentwaters in Suffolk. Jim Penniston and Burroughs, along with others, saw a light in the forest, right?

Yes, that incident is significant. We were discussing earlier how things can get lost over time in this environment and how keyed up we are right now for proof. There is a lot of attention on this topic. If the Bentwaters incident happened today or tomorrow, the case would be closed immediately. That single incident has all the proof and evidence needed to confirm that this phenomenon is real, from a public perspective, firsthand witness accounts, and government response and cover-up.

Lord Norton, who was the head of the naval fleet for the entire UK, stated that this incident did happen. However, there are no good ministers, particularly in the Ministry of Defense, who are willing to acknowledge that anything took place that December night in Suffolk. Colonel Charles Halt conducted an investigation afterward, and everything checked out. OSI did not show up, but plane escort teams did, and they took everything away. People were sworn to secrecy, and some were drugged during interrogations. They were given truth serum, like sodium pentothal, to provide an explicit account of what actually occurred.

This didn't happen in a vacuum. There is a great book called "UFOs and Nukes" by Robert Hastings, which discusses 167 ICBM security personnel, radar operators, and others who worked at nuclear bases across the United States and joint bases in the UK and other places. They all experienced these orbs and discs.

[30:01 -> 30:48]
Jake Barber:
There are plasma balls and other strange phenomena. In certain cases, they claim to have boarded crafts. If anyone out there is looking for a good starting point to delve into this subject matter, I recommend checking out the Citizens Hearings from 2013. It's available on YouTube and consists of a full week of what is essentially a mock Congressional hearing. They brought in over 20 hours of testimony across five days from individuals in academia, government, military, and enthusiasts—people with expertise and documented experiences. They testify in a very professional and formal setting before former and current Congressional members, laying out the entire history, including the Bentwaters incident.

Something about this situation is irritating because it seems like people are waiting for whistleblowers to come forward. They have come out; they have already spoken. If you want to form a strong argument against this subject, you need to put in the work to look at the evidence. For instance, Ross's book, "In Plain Sight," contains a wealth of information that is already available.

Jake, have you retrieved a non-human craft?
Yes, I have.
Okay, let's get into that. What's the story behind it?

[30:48 -> 31:25]
Jake Barber:
So, after 9/11, I returned to California and began working on the next chapter of my life as a contractor for the broader intelligence community. While everyone else was focused on the East with vengeance, the Special Operations Community went into overdrive, which served as the perfect distraction for my work. Nobody was looking domestically or out West, so we continued our operations here. I quite literally took a left turn at Albuquerque—quote Bugs Bunny—when I should have taken that left turn.

We had a cover contract through the Bureau of Indian Affairs at a

sovereign nation close to the White Sands Missile Range in New Mexico. To address any skepticism about this left turn into Indian reservations, there is a long history of American intelligence activity on these lands. You can watch a popular Netflix show called "The Octopus Murders," which involves various activities with private security contractors on Native American lands. It's somewhat common.

It's a good place to operate because there is a lot of security and ambiguity from a structural standpoint. An important point to understand is that legitimacy serves as the best cover. This is something we say all the time. If I'm going to present my public face or structured identity, it has to be legitimate work, and I spend most of my time doing that. I specialize in security and transportation, primarily in aeronautical operations, with a specific focus on helicopters. I'm essentially a helicopter operations and security expert.

[33:53 -> 37:19]
Jake Barber:
I worked with helicopter operating companies and held many contracts with the Department of Defense, the Department of the Interior, the State Department, and other local agencies. Although the majority of our time was spent on day-to-day operations to keep the business running, our real purpose was to make ourselves available for the calls that would come in, and we would be put to work on missions for the program.

Because of my experience as a red team member, and having already obtained the necessary clearances and proven myself, certain individuals were passing my business card around. It requires a lot of effort to maintain proficiency and currency in a variety of skills, as well as legitimate civilian licenses and credentials.

Interviewer:
What's your title at this point? Do you have a title? Are you a full-time ghost?

Jake Barber:
I'm a lot of things, so I don't know how to answer that. At this point, dealing with the Department of the Interior, my job title is Director of Operations for a helicopter company.

Interviewer:
Wow, that's crazy!

Jake Barber:
Yeah, it's interesting. So, I'm the Director of Operations for a helicopter company, the Program Director for Gracie Jiu-Jitsu NorCal, and I'm also a flight instructor for airborne law enforcement agencies. I hold a lot of titles. Additionally, I'm the president of a corporation that manufactures patented wheelchair parts for the Veterans Hospital. I service fitness centers on several key military bases that, if I named them, would make a lot of sense to you. So, I'm involved in many different areas.

Interviewer:
You're like an Uber driver in LA, but way more badass!

Jake Barber:
I think I'm more badass than an Uber driver at this point. There are some incredibly skilled multi-hyphenates out there, but having all these different potential covers is actually serving me well. I could be a flight instructor at this company or just directing operations for some transportation.

Interviewer:
Can you say anything about the companies that you worked for in the context of these UFO crash retrievals?

Jake Barber:
Look, if you took two guesses, you'd get both of them right on the first try. At the end of the day, I'm just a security and transportation guy, especially when it comes to the UAP subject. We did a lot of helicopter work, law enforcement, and security work. It's not like we're sitting there as a crash recovery team with our little CR patch on our chest and alien-themed dice hanging from the rearview mirror of the helicopter, ready to roll when the alien phone rings. We're doing other work, and we do a lot of recovery—not just of exotic craft, but all kinds of things.

[37:35 -> 41:33]
Jake Barber:
A call would typically come in, which meant we were going out to what I call the range, located in the desert of California. We would be there to support operations from a security and transportation standpoint. The range was a giant playground for the Department of Defense and its private aerospace partners, used for testing weapons technology, radar technology, and experimental craft, as well as assessing the resilience of these crafts to radar and weapons technology.

During this work, I had two different experiences with exotic craft that were non-human in origin. The first experience I want to discuss is when we recovered what we referred to as "the egg" because that's exactly what it looked like—a giant white egg. The night this happened, we weren't expecting an egg; I wasn't briefed on it. It was a surprise to everyone involved, including my leadership structure, which was most concerning, and everyone on my team.

When this occurred, we had to alter our communication protocol and security protocol. It was clear to everyone that what we were dealing with was something extraordinary. My job that night was as a helicopter pilot; I was not on the ground. I was called in to transport the egg via an external load, which is a long line—150 feet, sometimes 200 feet—that hangs below the helicopter. It has a remote hook that can be attached to hardware securing the load, and then we fly that load away to a drop point, from where it goes wherever it needs to go for secure transport.

Did you see this egg?
I absolutely saw it. What did it look like?
It was probably around 20 feet, plus or minus 10% from my point of view. I was about 150 feet from it, hovering right over it with the 150-foot long line attached. It looked like a giant, longitudinally spherical object, approximately 20 feet long, with a slightly asymmetrical three-dimensional shape. It had a pearly white appearance; I'm not sure if it was wet or moist, but it wasn't flat white—it was more of a pearly white.

Keep in mind this was at night, after civil twilight, so it was dark. I was flying under night vision goggles (NVGs), but there was enough lunar illumination that I could see it with the naked eye.

What's an NVG?
Night vision goggles are enhanced electronic systems that take into account infrared light. I could see it outside; I could flip my goggles up to see it and then flip them down again. It was secured with nets—basically, it was wrapped in nets.

Who secured it with nets?
Someone on the ground team wrapped the nets around it.

Did they touch the craft while they were wrapping the nets?
Yes, the team must have touched it. Did they experience any effects?
I didn't talk much with them; it was compartmentalization on steroids that night. I didn't debrief with the ground crew extensively. There are a few guys on the ground crew that I've talked to since, but a lot of the details of everyone's experience weren't necessarily shared.

[41:35 -> 45:32]
Jake Barber:
There were no core details about the craft, no symbology on it. I mean, it was smooth and seamless, with no markings. I can tell you it weighed less than 5,000 pounds because that was the capacity of my helicopter at that time. I don't remember the exact weight, but when we fly in to recover a load or to pick something up—regardless of what it is—I receive a briefing on the way in. This briefing includes the approximate weight, dimensions, and a Hazmat disclosure regarding whether there are any hazardous materials or life forms on board. That night, there was no disclosure for Hazmat or any souls on board, which we refer to as "SOBs." It was just an object.

I took it to a drop point about 15 miles away and set it down very carefully. It was then hauled off somewhere, and that was the end of it.

Interviewer:
Why do you think it landed on a human flight testing range? I could see a skeptic in the audience saying, "Oh, how convenient that you're standing right next to wherever you guys are operating."

Jake Barber:

Well, it wasn't next to it; it actually went off the range, which added to part of our challenge. I can't tell you where it landed, but it was tracked and intercepted over the range. By the time it finally interfaced with the ground, it was off the playground, which complicated our recovery.

Interviewer:
Could another possible answer to that be that UAPs are attracted to sensitive military sites? We know exactly what they're attracted to.

Jake Barber:
Yes, we intentionally attract them. When I say "we," I mean anyone involved in this kind of work knows there are specific things that attract them. Whether that was intentional that night, I don't know. I can tell you that there was another team present that I know of, which deals with the summoning component of data collection. That team's intention is usually associated with summoning or getting a UAP or nonhuman craft to show up.

Interviewer:
Question from the audience: Was the egg sitting upright when you pulled up on it?

Jake Barber:
Oh, it was flat—horizontal.

Interviewer:
Did it appear crashed, or did it look like it landed?

Jake Barber:
It didn't look messed up to me, and there was no disturbed earth around it. It wasn't like it had dug in or anything.

Interviewer:
I guess just—when I'm hearing the story about a big giant 20-foot egg, how do you know that's nonhuman intelligence?

Jake Barber:
That's a great question. I have three different reasons or criteria for determining that it was nonhuman. First, I rely on my expertise—not only in what flies around in our skies but also in the extreme advanced technologies and capabilities within the U.S. government at the highest levels and in private industry. I've seen those things fly around and operate at the range all the time. This is why it was a process for me, up until very shortly after the egg incident. Even on the night of the egg, everyone was a little nervous, but when you look at it, it's so real.

[45:35 -> 49:12]
Jake Barber:
It's not like in the background you're hearing, "Oh, and there's this light from heaven," like you grew up on movies. It's like this is an epic moment. Where's the crescendo? It's like, no, there's just a helicopter flying over the ridge, and there's an egg sitting there

with guys on the ground flashing you in while you're flying your hook. I'm like, where's all the intensity? It's so blatant and in your face and so real that it can almost not register as exciting, you know, in that format. Now, I'm sure the experience of running into an alien in your bedroom is not that way, but I've never had that experience, so I don't know.

What I'm getting at is, for me, it was a process leading up to that because so many things we see in the sky out at the range are fantastical. You see things change shape, change color, come into sight, go out of sight, travel at extreme speeds, and appear to come from the Earth or the mountain or a ridge. You just assume most of the time that what you're seeing is our own advanced technology, cloaking systems, projections, or light artifacts of weapon systems.

Just from being outside at night in the desert, which I invite anyone to do, you can spend some time looking up with your human eyes, and you're going to see some weird stuff. We've seen a lot of things, and that night, number one, it was not expected. If it was human, there would have been a much different response to it. There would have been some expectation that what we were working on that night may not have responded well to the radar that was being thrown at it, and we would have expected a crash. We would have been trained on how to deal with that, but no one was trained on how to deal with it, and everybody acted really weird.

So then there's that localized response that was anomalous. If there's any human on Earth that's going to know what that is and how to deal with it, it would have been me and my team, and we didn't know. There was no one else saying, "Oh, I need to call in the specialized team." Look behind me; I see a picture of myself. That's it. Okay, so I'm the guy.

Subsequent to that, it was also confirmed to me by ranking members of the UAP task force that that is exactly what we ran into that night—that that was non-human intelligence. They told me bluntly that, yes, that is a non-human anomalous craft.

The last or the third reason I'll give you is that since we've left the program and reorganized and are now working with Arrow, I can make the egg show up, and we have demonstrated it post-program. We did it in August, oh my God, in broad daylight.

Really? So you can summon that?
Yes, we can summon the egg. That is absurd. It seems absurd, but we've tracked it in broad daylight, the egg moving at 10,000 miles per hour based on range. It comes at precise timing when we've asked for it. We're doing demonstrations for the new director of Arrow. They've fully seen our videos, our data, and our report. Arrow is the All Domain Anomaly Resolution Office.

We have classes of UAP—there are a number of classes and categories that we...
How many?

More than eight.
Do you know more than eight?
Yes.
Can you list...?

[49:15 -> 53:02]
Jesse:
Maybe soon we can go back to the retrieval. I'm saying this and not you because you don't want to reveal anything classified. I've done deep dives on American anti-gravity research and exotic electromagnetic propulsion. I even just interviewed the former Deputy CTO of Northrop Grumman, who was part of the UAP task force, a representative from the Army named Carl Nell. I asked him if any of this crazy UFO stuff is investable or actionable. We were speaking to a room full of entrepreneurs, and he said, "Just watch Jesse's video on Thomas Townsend Brown."

To me, that is as much confirmation as we're probably going to get for a little bit, at least on the idea that we do have exotic propulsion human craft in our possession. Is there anything else that gives you conviction? Let me go into the analysis that was presented to Arol and why they consider it non-human intelligence.

The best way to explain this is that we set out specifically to summon the egg and gather sensor data on it at the moment we expected or elicited its appearance. It showed up moving so fast that we couldn't see it with the naked eye. Another object also appeared at that time, which our top observer saw. This object burned the sensor in his camera, but luckily, we had another camera recording. Where the first object showed up, the sensor was damaged. Behind that, the egg flew by in dead silence, made a turn, and came around to land, disappearing behind the house.

That wasn't done during our most recent exercise; it wasn't done on the range in coordination with the Department of Defense or any private partners. It was done with me and the team we've assembled since then. We have all the tools, talents, and techniques that we had in the legacy program, and now we're doing them on our own.

When you say "legacy program," are you referring to the legacy UFO program? Yes, maybe "legacy" is kind of a loaded term, but I would say that in everyone's prior scope of work and career fields, they have worked in capacities where they had these experiences. Now, we are doing it on our own, having left those jobs. I'm no longer doing that work or connected in the same way I was. Since then, we've formed our own organization, and we have all the tools and talent that we had back then, but we have it in a private venture.

We're doing our own exploration. Who's "we"? We is Skywatcher Technologies, a company we formed. What you're basically saying at a fundamental level is that one of the biggest critiques of the UFO phenomenon is that it's completely ephemeral, and you're saying it's actually repeatable.

Science needs to be repeatable. Not to wrap your knuckles on it, but some of the stuff is like, I'm not kidding. Like a physical craft—if I drop this pen, it's going to hit the ground. What you're saying is that every time you do these summoning techniques, there's a consistent outcome.

[53:03 -> 56:29]
Jake Barber:
There is a more physical version of Luis Elizondo, a whistleblower who was involved in the ASAPP program. He writes in his book that they contemplated baiting UFOs with nuclear material. That's something that's kind of open source and in public record. It's not all tangible; you drop the pin, and it hits the ground. That's why there are eight or more classes of UAP in RW, because it ranges from the supernatural, like ground-based paranormal phenomena, to what looks like objects in the sky.

Gary Nolan, who is on our team, has been there in the desert when we've summoned the egg, and that happened in broad daylight. So, you brought Gary Nolan out? Yes, he's part of our new team. A Nobel-nominated Stanford professor has witnessed this occurrence. He didn't see it with his own eyes because it was moving too fast, but he has seen the reports and our sensor data afterwards. All this information that I'm sharing with you, including our reports, has been given to Arrow. We can get into that, but this incident and the future incidents that have happened over the last five years have all been disclosed.

I've spent a lot of time speaking about this. One thing I wanted to do was to approach this the right way, giving our institutions and authorities a chance to hear this information first and to reconcile it, doing the right thing with it. They primarily guide me on what to do with it moving forward. Everything I'm telling you, and more, has been shared with Congress in a secure compartmentalized information facility, or skiff, in a top-secret environment where I've given testimony.

A skiff is a secure compartmentalized information facility, basically a Faraday cage—no signals in or out. And then there's TS, which stands for top secret. What's funny is, I mean, I'm a kid from the '70s, but if you remember the show "Mork & Mindy," Robin Williams had one of his first roles where he wore suspenders. The story goes that they show it in the preview of that show, and it's part of every episode: this white egg he flew to Earth in. At night, I think he would go to sleep in this egg, and she'd say, "Good night," and he'd respond with "Nanu nanu" before going to sleep in this egg.

I often wonder how much of our culture is influenced by this. The egg as a form has shown up a few times in what you might call ufology. You have Lonnie Zamora in Socorro, New Mexico, in 1964, where this police officer sees an egg actually land and observes burnt vegetation in the area. James Fox made this amazing movie called "Moment of Contact," where everyone in this little town in Brazil reports seeing an egg land, which seems to emit a sort of ammonia-like substance and even

appears to have caused harm to a doctor. It's pretty crazy.

So, the egg phenomenon does show up. That's one thing I wanted to mention. Sometimes I feel like truth is actually stranger than fiction, and often these tales and stories are influenced by something we've seen in real life or heard about, which sounds too crazy to actually believe. One thing I've been looking at in this space is the commonalities between what people are seeing, and you just described that.

[56:31 -> 59:58]
Jake Barber:
There are eight or more different types of UAPs, and the egg is something we've seen throughout history, appearing in multiple sightings. Glowing eggs, egg-shaped objects—it's the cosmic egg. Fellow alchemists, it's a new year, and that means it's time to get organized and take control of our financial wellness. For me, Rocket Money has been an absolute game changer. It helped me uncover all sorts of subscriptions I totally forgot about, like a few I had no idea I was paying for months after I stopped using the services. With Rocket Money, I canceled these in just a few clicks, saving money instantly.

Rocket Money is a personal finance app that helps find and cancel your unwanted subscriptions, monitors your spending, and helps lower your bills so you can grow your savings. Seeing all your subscriptions in one place is such a relief; you know exactly where your money is going. For the ones you don't want anymore, Rocket Money can help you cancel them—no awkward phone calls, no hassle, just savings.

Rocket Money also gives you a clear view of your expenses across all your accounts. You can create personalized budgets, track your monthly spending trends, and even set goals to save automatically for big purchases, paying off debt, or just building your savings. It makes staying on top of your finances easy and stress-free. Rocket Money has over 5 million users and has saved a total of $500 million in canceled subscriptions, saving members up to $740 a year when using all the app's premium features.

Cancel your unwanted subscriptions and reach your financial goals faster with Rocket Money. Go to RocketMoney.com/Jesse today. That's RocketMoney.com/Jesse.

Okay, so can you help me bridge the gap between this summoning that you're describing and your crash retrieval endeavors? I'm having trouble understanding how a helicopter pilot is also involved in this other thing and what the correlation is.

So, within the 10-plus years since I first retrieved a craft of non-human origin, where I was just serving as a helicopter pilot, I was wondering, "What the hell is going on?" A lot has happened since then. I mean, we've had the disclosure movement kick off. The recoveries that we could talk about were very emotional for me because there was a consciousness component to that. I felt there was

something conscious about the craft during the recovery process that had tapped into me and was disruptive in the most beautiful way to my ability to operate a helicopter. It almost led to me crashing this craft, creating a whole other crash scenario in between when I picked it up and dropped it off.

What is this instance you want to describe? This is the other one I can talk about called the "eight gon," which is basically a disc—a flying disc with eight individual sections when viewed from above. On this particular night, I got my normal readout for the load, and this time it included a Hazmat disclosure for biologics. That typically meant, you know, souls on board, which is a disclosure I would get if there were living bodies.
[01:00:02 -> 01:03:58]
Jake Barber:
Who is giving that readout as to whether there are bodies on the ground? The guys that manifest don't say "bodies"; they just say "Hazmat." Have you ever seen a body? Not an alien body, but I've seen plenty of human bodies and recovered human bodies in the same way. It's a standard disclosure. So when I was flying in, I thought that's what I was dealing with—oh, the pilot crashed on the range, and we're hauling off body parts. Your colleagues have seen non-human intelligence bodies; you'd have to ask them.

In this case, I see this octagon-shaped craft. As I'm flying towards it, I get the readout on what it is. I was trained as a pilot a long time ago, and for the last 20 years, I've served more as a pilot than as a ground crew member or in any other capacity. The primary thing I've done is been a helicopter air operations expert. So on most of these missions, I'm working from the cockpit of the helicopter. The ground crew is a separate team that gets dispatched; they deal with Hazmat security, containment, setting up a perimeter, and an entry control point. They handle observation while I sit back at a separate location. I get GPS coordinates at the very last second, and then I get dispatched.

In route, from a very short range out—maybe five minutes out—I get a description of what I'm picking up. I get an approximate size and dimension, and then I get a "Negative Souls on board" and a Hazmat disclosure for biological hazards. I think, "Oh great, somebody crashed; someone's dead." As I'm getting close to this craft, how big is it again? It's not that big—probably 25 to 30 feet. It's like a disc, maybe the size of this concrete slab here. This one's dark gray, like a dark matte gray or black from what I could tell. I was getting very little light signature off of just the hard angles of the sections, but the eight sections—like the intersection between the spine and some of the texture that existed in those panels in between—still, there's nothing about this that inherently suggests it could be one of our crafts.

Where is this, by the way? California desert. Okay, similar location? Very similar. As I'm flying and getting closer to this craft, it's, well, first of all, it's quiet. When I say quiet, it was quiet on the radios at that time, but my mind wasn't quiet. I start having this

very emotional experience. It's almost—I haven't explained it this way before—but it's like when you feel like you're about to throw up, but you're not sure. You're like, "Am I going to throw up? Oh no, I'm good. No, it's going to happen." It was like that, but not nausea; it was an emotional escalation that started inside of me that seemed completely foreign. The closer I got to the object, the more emotional I became.

Was it sad or ominous? How would you describe it? It was beautiful. It was a beautiful feeling, but it's so weird. It's like that minor chord; as a kid, it's like that sad beauty. It's like a first, a minor third, and a fifth. It was beautiful, but there was a sadness to it. Did it communicate?

[01:04:02 -> 01:07:48]
Jake Barber:
I didn't receive any message other than the feeling that I was in the presence of my mother. It was a feminine energy that was both loving and sad. I don't know what it was, but the closer I got, the more intense it became. As I was flying back to the drop site, I was completely overwhelmed; I was crying my eyes out while piloting a helicopter at night, trying not to crash into mountains. I thought to myself, "Oh my God, this is not good." I didn't want to tell anyone about this because I feared it would mean I wasn't fit to fly. I questioned whether I was stressed or if I had too much cortisol or estrogen pumping through my veins.

As I got closer, I made it there safely, and once I dropped off the payload, the feeling went away. I want to paint a picture for people here: you're flying a helicopter at night using night vision, in pretty intense terrain, carrying something that is affecting your consciousness while you're operating one of the most complex machines ever made. Have you ever been in a situation where something interfered with your consciousness? It doesn't feel like a common experience.

What disturbed me the most was that I pride myself on being comfortable in chaos. I've dealt with all sorts of distractions and life-or-death situations while flying at night, and I usually handle it well. But this experience really messed me up. There was a psionics team working that night, and I don't know if it was one of two things: either there was something with a very powerful talent inside that object connecting its soul or spirit to me as I was flying, or one of the psionic assets had its consciousness trapped in that thing and was somehow communicating through me.

So, what are psionic assets? When we look at collecting data, one area we explore is through a psionic asset, which is an individual trained in remote viewing, psychic abilities, and telekinesis. Through various protocols, they can become highly sensitive to their environment from the perspective of consciousness. This is not a woo-woo topic; it's a matter of fact to me. It's akin to saying "night vision goggles"—it's real, we use it, and it works.

This is utilized on both the summoning side and the data collection side. You're saying humans can summon these UAPs? There are two types of summoning that we've proven to work and are still working with. There are machine-based summoning systems and man-based summoning systems. Machine-based summoning systems involve signals and energy systems that can be activated to summon UAP activity or attract UAPs. And I want to reiterate what UAP means...

[01:07:50 -> 01:11:34]
Jake Barber:
The term "unidentified anomalous phenomenon" is quite broad. It encompasses a wide range of occurrences. To effectively serve as a psychic asset, you can think of consciousness as a field. It's not just a superficial concept; it's akin to the worldwide web or the internet. It's always present, filled with information and exciting possibilities, yet we can't see it directly. To access this field, you need something like a Wi-Fi signal. Individuals who possess this gift have been trained and have the talent to act as a Wi-Fi connection, tapping into the consciousness field where everything in the universe seems to exist.

Once you can do that, you enter an environment where, while we are experiencing various forms of sensory data, if a UAP appears, it registers in the consciousness field that our psychic asset is connected to through this Wi-Fi. This connection is established through mindful meditation.

In this process, two things occur: lowering intellect and increasing intuition, followed by reintroducing intellect at a higher level as an observer rather than a driver. How do you achieve this? You might either be born with this gift or spend decades, like 30 years as a Shaolin monk, learning to do it the hard way. There are easier methods, or "cheats," that have been experimented with, but some of these have not ended well for those involved in the program, including children.

So, how do we heighten intuition? One effective method is through stress inoculation, which triggers our fight-or-flight biological systems. The human mind and body are already remarkable, and we don't fully understand how they work. However, if you can trigger the fight-or-flight response, many systems activate, including intuition. Stress inoculation is one approach; you need to engage the amygdala to heighten intuition while suppressing intellect.

To subdue intellect, you can use disassociation. There are chemical methods to induce disassociation, as well as proactive approaches like meditation. These are the two primary strategies to reach that state. Children are naturally more visceral in both intuition and intellect; they tend to have lower intellect and heightened intuition.

Additionally, you must satisfy your instincts to enter this state. This means ensuring comfort—you're not hungry, tired, or worried about survival. Your body temperature must be regulated; you can't be too cold or too hot. All these factors must be addressed so that the

limbic part of your brain isn't in a state of panic while the prefrontal cortex, which helps connect to the consciousness "internet," is functioning properly.

Satisfy your instincts, suppress intellect, heighten intuition, and then reintroduce intellect at a higher level. Once you achieve that, you're in the zone.

[01:11:37 -> 01:15:17]
Jake Barber:
We can achieve this through hardware. You could use software or chemical drugs to facilitate stress inoculation and disassociation, but hardware is another option. One of the members of our team has recently made a breakthrough with a non-intrusive ultrasound system that targets the brain. This system can help ease the transition into that state, reducing the struggle involved. He is part of our new organization, which is dedicated to reproducing and demonstrating the advancements that have occurred over the decades in the program, despite the baggage and secrecy that accompany it. We aim to leave all that behind and operate in a clean and free environment, where we have autonomy as a private organization working in partnership with government entities and educational institutions. Our goal is to present this information transparently.

In this effort, we believe this approach is much more humane. Everyone involved is participating on a volunteer basis. The ultrasound technology works by penetrating the skull to target specific areas of the brain, facilitating a transition from intellect to intuition. The experimentation and testing to identify suitable candidates for this role are conducted using a random number generator. The researcher runs a random number generator program while the subject sits there, and I hope I'm accurately describing this process. The ultrasound equipment is then applied to the brain, activating consciousness by heightening intuition and lowering intellect. This allows the individual to influence the random number generator running on a computer, enabling them to cause the number to increase or decrease.

For context, a random number generator is essentially a graphical interface that displays ones and zeros. It operates as a binary computer and is linked to phenomena that are conventionally considered random in quantum mechanics, such as radioactive isotope decay or a photon bouncing around in a confined space. Over a long enough time scale, you would expect to see an equal distribution of ones and zeros, with only a small standard deviation.

If we assert that through stress inoculation and dissociation, or via this hardware technique, someone can statistically influence the outcome, this is referred to as a z-score in the context of a random event generator. This means that the individual can produce more ones or more zeros, indicating that they possess a greater ability to shape their reality—essentially demonstrating a mind-over-matter effect through consciousness. They can affect the reality of the random number generator, which is astonishing.

The intriguing aspect is that even if the test results in a zero or flatline, which represents the baseline reality, any deviation—no matter how minuscule—is incredibly significant. This is because the test is designed to show no deviation; thus, any deviation at all is remarkable. What happens is fascinating: once the subject realizes they are successfully influencing the outcome, they become aware of their ability to move the numbers.

[01:15:20 -> 01:18:47]
Jake Barber:
As they drop back down, they have to go back into it, and then you'll see the spike, the trend, the vector of the trend moving up and down. When they come out of it and realize they're moving, it goes back down, and then it almost becomes exponential. They just blast it out of proportion. A close friend and mentor of mine used to specialize in this experiment at Princeton's psychology lab, or the Pair Lab, where they studied all sorts of anomalous phenomena. He would call this the rebound effect. You can analogize it to playing basketball; when you're making a bunch of shots, you become conscious of it, and then you experience this snapback effect. In life, generally, people have these upward momentum streaks, and then they come crashing down. There's always this local mean reversion.

It's wild to see that actually exemplified in the form of an experiment. As fun as that is, and we could sit here and talk about it all day, there is a real practical application for this. I'm saying this to emphasize that this is a real phenomenon. When you put someone out there who is trained to do this and support them with the right system and environment, while also providing the right data collection equipment, you can monitor their experience to ensure their safety and health. You're also correlating it to your other measures.

This whole concept of taking a psionic asset and putting them in our work suite, which is like a Faraday cage, is to add a sixth or seventh piece of equipment. When this phenomenon shows up, does the random number generator in here move when it also shows up on infrared over our radar? It's not that we're going off the deep end; our whole world isn't solely about psionics and remote viewing. It's literally correlating with observable phenomena. You're looking for multisensory corroboration in any scientific process, and it works the other way sometimes.

The intent can be to have the psionic assets summon the phenomenon, and the rest of the equipment is turned down. We want to isolate where we're having successes. They ramp up, activate, summon, and invite it in, and then the rest of the equipment becomes a measuring tool for their summoning efforts.

So, it sounds like the U.S. government has used children as psionic assets. Does that bother you at all?
Yes, that bothers me. It does bother me. Do you have a model of what these crafts are and what's inside them?

Interestingly enough, this particular craft, the octagonal one, is

exactly what Michael Herrera reports seeing in India. That's the one time I've ever heard this brought up. He describes it as matte black, calling it "phantom black," and he says it has an octagonal shape. I heard Michael Herrera's story in Washington, D.C. In 2023, I believe there was a whistleblower conference shortly after the Whistleblower Protection Act was activated. This was hosted by Steven Greer at the National Press Club, where several whistleblowers decided to come forward.

[01:18:55 -> 01:22:38]
Interviewer:
We were actually sent there on behalf of our employer to look for whistleblowers that we were trying to report to the FBI and get arrested. At that time, I was in this weird place between becoming a whistleblower or rounding up the whistleblowers and shutting them up. There are good reasons and bad reasons to be a whistleblower. So, you were at this press event, red teaming, basically?

Jake Barber:
Exactly. We were trying to gather intel on people who might become a liability for the program. I heard Michael Herrera give his testimony, and I wasn't prepared for that. I'm sorry, because you had already seen the Aon, and then he talked about what he had experienced firsthand. Everyone was like, "This guy's full of it." But his testimony was the craziest from that event. Basically, he said that in 2009, he was deployed with his Marine unit to the Philippines. A small part of that Marine unit, about eight or nine people, got moved to Indonesia. Is that right?

Interviewer:
Yes.

Jake Barber:
There was a seven-point earthquake that had just occurred in the area, so it was a disaster zone. He saw this octagon-shaped craft, described as blacker than black, hovering above the treetops. His unit started to close in because he was like, "What is this thing?" There was a humming sound around it, not a traditional engine. As they moved towards it, what he described as an elite, possibly Department of Energy paramilitary unit with technical gear came and apprehended him and his team. They had bioscanners and technical gear, and they were threatening this team of Marines, saying it was easy to get lost in the jungle.

Interviewer:
Right.

Jake Barber:
Two of the guys were actually talking about whether they should take out these Marines right then and there. They kept saying that, which only fed into the fear at that point. I didn't know who these guys were, but I knew they had American gear and American sidearms. If these guys had taken an oath like we had, why were they going against people like us and even willing to kill servicemen who just stumbled

onto this? It blows my mind, and it's very sick.

Michael Herrera came back to the U.S. shocked. He did a little bit of press around this because he thought it was ridiculous that this was being hidden from the American public. Then, someone backchanneled to him from the program and said the reason that elite squad was there next to that craft was that they were transferring psionic assets for the program. This was a human trafficking operation where they were taking people, putting them in pods, loading them onto these crafts, and then that craft was taking them back to the U.S. for use.

Interviewer:
You backchanneled that?

Jake Barber:
That was us. We backchanneled that.

Interviewer:
Oh my God.

Jake Barber:
When that happened, we were able to corroborate at least three key things. One, those types of paramilitary units do exist because guys from our group get recruited and offered jobs to exercise our skills elsewhere outside the U.S. I have never done it; I've never been part of those teams.

[01:22:40 -> 01:26:15]
Jake Barber:
The description of the craft is exactly what I had seen before, and my team had seen before, except he claimed this one was about 300 feet in diameter. I had never seen one that big. The other thing was the containers he described at the time as being used for transporting drugs. He was speculating; he wasn't lying, but he assumed they must have been transporting drugs because he saw these units on the roofs. Those units are consistent with the workstations we use when we're out on the range. There are many split HVAC systems used up there because, as I explained, that's where we have our data observation team. Most of the time, those are the Faraday cages for the psionic assets.

We also know that there are operations outside the continental United States where prospecting for psionic assets takes place, and they are highly coveted. The interesting thing is that I hear in those programs there is something valuable about the life experience in third-world countries. It seems that people who live a simpler life, who are outdoors more and have fewer contaminants in their food, drugs, and culture, seem to be a little better at disassociating and heightening their intuition. They come willingly because of the economic imbalance between where they come from.

What I really don't like about how that came out is when Sha Ryan was there with us in D.C. when this happened, and we talked about this shortly after. That is when Herrera went on his show, and the conversation took a dark turn because the term "human trafficking" was

slapped onto this situation. When you hear that, you think of slavery and sex trafficking, and all these things. As I understand it, that's not at all what goes on in these operations. It's no different than someone setting up any other type of business, and the people come willingly.

The people that work in these programs find it to be a great job; it's fun. Some of them have known their whole lives that they have this intuition or special talent, and they may be socially awkward or dealing with other social pathologies. It seems that this somehow plays into it. Not all the people who are talented in this way are normal in every other aspect. It's like God created some balance. When they can come and get involved in this program, have food and shelter, be treated with respect, and make money, it's a positive experience for them.

If you went down there on your high horse, representing the United States and morality, and tried to liberate these people, they would likely say, "Get out of here; we don't want to be liberated. This is our job."

Can I play devil's advocate for one second? I think in the Michael Herrera testimony, he thought that whatever organization this paramilitary group was from was taking advantage of the fact that Indonesia was a disaster zone, right? Some of these people could go missing easily. Yes, and to me, that sounds like one theory. Or perhaps the hurricane, the natural disaster, was actually threatening a workspace for this operation, and they needed to get the hell out of Dodge.

Got it. I have no firsthand experience with that operation, nor direct contact with anyone involved with it. I know of that type of operation.

[01:26:17 -> 01:29:59]
Jake Barber:
I know about those containers and the operations that sounded like them. The whole point of this is that I saw a good Marine up there. When I saw Mike, I saw a brother in arms doing his best, now put in front of a microphone in a suit and tie in Washington, D.C., when he's cut out to be carrying a saw through the jungle. He's doing his best, and he's an honest, good guy. I wasn't going to let him hang, so I spoke with Greer and a bunch of other people and said we need to talk about this. I thanked Michael and have been loyal to him throughout the process, trying to help him as much as I can while also staying low-key to figure out where the problems and landmines are with this issue.

This entire incident was brought up with my cooperation, and my additional information was presented to Sean Kirkpatrick, the head of Arrow. He patently denied it and dismissed it as anti-intelligence, claiming there was no evidence. However, I know for a fact that Sean Kirkpatrick has admitted outside of the Arrow office directly to members of the Senate Intelligence Committee that it did, in fact,

happen exactly as reported by Michael Herrera, along with my additions.

That's absolutely wild. The implication of this story is that we can fly these objects because we were transporting these psionic assets in this octagonal-shaped craft. I would think—and again, I'm stepping way outside my bounds—I have no idea how or who operates it, but something like a reverse-engineered version of the craft is probably what you were suggesting.

This brings up maybe the most fundamental question: why has the U.S. government, prime aerospace contractors, and international organizations been systematically using these psionic assets and other kinds of machinery-based attraction techniques to attract these UFOs? What are they doing with the UFOs that land? For example, what do you think was done with the egg that you retrieved?

First of all, I don't know, but I believe it was taken somewhere to a secure facility. It was either destroyed, hidden, or buried because they have so many of them now that it's not interesting to them, or it was researched with the utmost secrecy. A senior EG&G engineer described to my great uncle that it was egg-shaped, about the size of an SUV. They tried to penetrate it, to create an opening, but they couldn't. They said they were able to take some very small samples of the material.

I'm not an expert in chemistry, but I guess the isotope ratio or the mixture of elements they concluded was not made on Earth. So, I mean, it sounds like we have, by your account—and again, this is fully speculation—I realize you don't know this, but like thousands of UFOs. The problem is saying "we." That's a big problem because who's "we"? Most of this stuff is kept in very niche private aerospace, and this is why the government doesn't quite...

[01:30:00 -> 01:33:39]
Jake Barber:
I have a handle on this stuff, and the only reason I can say this is because I know how our operations work. Nobody knows what I do on a daily basis, not even my middle leaders. I could go out on the range and have a particular day or have an interaction, and nobody in Washington, D.C., knows what I did that day. It doesn't matter how profound it is. Why is there not a clear chain of command? Why is there not some sort of central authority? You hear rumors from people like my buddy Walter Kern, a journalist I introduced to David Grusch. He mentioned that Grusch told him that Cheney was the gatekeeper for all legacy UFO programs. There are people who seem to be at the top, and you hear rumors about Kissinger.

Ignorance is the assumption that there's some fun structure in place, but there isn't. Why isn't there? Because private aerospace holds a lot of the power and real estate in this space, and the U.S. government lost control of it a long time ago. My sense is that in the 1950s, when the Atomic Energy Commission was set up, all this stuff was going down around Los Alamos and other sensitive nuclear sites.

You had basically the overlaying of nuclear secrecy on top of UFO secrecy.

If you look at the special definition of nuclear material, it's basically anything emitting alpha, beta, or gamma radiation. Any sort of material with radiological properties is born secret upon retrieval. If you're the U.S. government and you retrieve this stuff officially, you're in big trouble because you don't have plausible deniability; you've committed a crime in this open-source science. However, if you get a prime aerospace contractor to do it, you're much safer and more insulated.

We have this whole Cold War secrecy era, and what we have now is kind of a cargo cult of that secrecy. It's a structural overhang that is completely anachronistic and makes no sense from an American national defense or security standpoint. It's not like they train you for this; I wasn't even told that this was the structure I was working under. I had to find out the hard way when the New York Times article came out in 2017. That was when things started getting really weird for us, and there was a lot of movement in our world.

A lot changed for us; many of our contract call-outs changed, new people were introduced into leadership structures, our communication methods changed, and our compensation package got creative. Just knowing how this world works is crucial because it's so heavily compartmentalized, and we operate as a hidden hand. That's one of the primary benefits of the service we provide.

The plausible deniability button that people at the top can hit to protect themselves would sever us as the hidden hand. That's kind of an ugly truth we always knew was there, but we were willing to put ourselves in that position because we're patriots. We always believed it was for the betterment of our nation and to strengthen our country. However, that belief came into question because there was so much happening, and it was obvious. We knew people started...

[01:33:42 -> 01:37:18]
Jake Barber:
Peering over the cubicles, you could say we started sharing facts and putting together the best we could to form a broader picture of what's going on here. Everyone had a little piece of the puzzle. The reason I can talk broadly about this today is that my understanding has quadrupled, if not more, in the last five years compared to the previous twenty. This growth is due to all the team building, correlation, and networking with trusted individuals, including some of our mutual friends, to try to figure out what the hell is going on.

We are trying to answer questions such as: To what extent does our country possess advanced technology? How much of it is non-human? Additionally, we need to consider how compromised our government has become and how much risk we are facing. Where are the good guys? Where are the bad guys? It feels like we are trapped on the dark side of this issue. The military-industrial complex, or the deep black state,

is not an evil empire filled with Darth Vaders; it consists of the best and brightest, the most patriotic, talented, and committed individuals.

Ninety-nine percent of what goes on in this nation benefits us and contributes to its greatness and power. This realization is quite scary. I often find myself wondering, "Are we one of the bad guys?" We are trying to determine which side of this issue we should be on.

One thing I feel extremely fortunate about at this moment is that the majority of the good guys have moved to our side. Thanks to people like David Grusch, who stuck his neck out and blazed the initial trail for disclosure, we now have a better environment to come forward. He faced a lot of criticism and may feel he made mistakes, but he has made it much easier for us. It is becoming increasingly clear that the bad guys are dwindling in number and are doomed, while our numbers are growing.

However, when I attended the conference in D.C., that was not the case. We were teetering on the edge, faced with the ultimate question: Do you do the right thing, or do you choose self-preservation? For a man with kids, the self-preservation option becomes much more complex because it involves my wife, my children, my livelihood, my quality of life, and everything we've worked for.

It was a very complicated question, but it didn't take me long to decide. I had to believe that, at the end of the day, my intuition and instincts for patriotism and good would guide me to the proper conclusion. This realization began when my teammate and I sat in the audience listening to Michael Herrera's story. I was able to understand that what he was saying was true or at least contained elements I was fully aware of. He couldn't have been making it up; if he did, someone must have planted ideas in his imagination because he accurately described what goes on.

[01:37:21 -> 01:41:25]
Jake Barber:
The moment we began to help out, what does that look like? The second you decide internally, "I'm going to choose the hard path; this program needs to be reformed. This Michael Herrera guy is actually a good guy," do you go back to headquarters and tell your boss, "Hey, actually, you were wrong to have me red team this guy," or what exactly happens there? Do you kind of move in the dark?

We went back and flipped on red team power in the ultimate fashion, and we began to black team. The black team is what we did. It was invigorating to have that clear orientation and to be that fired up about it because we went after them.

So, the first question is, who the hell are we working for, and what are their intentions? This was happening at a time when we were set out to recover some sensitive information believed to be kept on some Panasonic Toughbooks. This was in the last four years, and it was believed that these Toughbooks contained highly sensitive information

that was evidence of CR programs we were involved in.

We received human intelligence that provided us with the intel package we needed to pursue where we thought the first set of Toughbooks might be. A recovery team was sent, and we found the Toughbooks. I'm not going to say where, but we returned them to our employer at a familiar facility in the California desert. The Toughbooks were missing their hard drives, so we set out to recover the hard drives.

Our human asset led us to another location high in the Sierras, which was a glacier lake, and the hard drives were sealed in a steel container about 25 feet underwater. What exactly is on these hard drives? I don't know. Whatever it was, there were at least two adversarial parties who would do anything to get their hands on them, and we got caught in the middle.

On the next mission we went out on, shots were fired, and we called for backup. That's when we began to backtrack and set out with our own effort to find out exactly who we were working for and what they were interested in. Can you say who you were working for? No, I can't say. There are currently two investigations taking place right now, and I can't discuss them. The FBI is one of them.

When you say you called for backup and shots were fired, can you say anything about that incident specifically? No, I can't. Fair enough. I was inclined to say no, but I will tell you that we got jerked around. Our intel changed last minute, and our location changed. There were a lot of bad things happening rhythmically that didn't smell right, but we kept putting one foot in front of the other.

You almost have to at that point, whether you're playing along or not, because you need to play along to gather information and to interrogate what traps are being laid in front of you. You just hope you don't fall into them because that's helpful information.

When you say they are using you for plausible deniability just to sever the hidden hand, can you say anything more about that? Yeah, it was believed that there was evidence of crash retrievals that were proof of criminal activity on these Toughbooks and that this data was captured illegally during operations within the program in the desert.

[01:41:27 -> 01:45:13]
Jake Barber:
There are whistleblowers or a group of whistleblowers who are going to be turning this evidence in to the powers that be in order to expose the program and, thereby, expose individuals. Everyone likes to ask, "Why are you talking right now? Aren't you afraid for your life? How come people haven't been killed?" I'll tell you why. There are a couple of reasons.

First of all, if they wanted to and were capable of doing it, if they had the will and skill to do it, they would have done it already. Lou wouldn't be here, Dave wouldn't be here, and I wouldn't be here. They would have done it already. I pray that you are right. Just to play

devil's advocate for a second, Lou and Dave are of a different class than you. You've touched the metal, so to speak. Maybe not the craft itself, but you are as close as it gets to the actual retrieval.

But why is that? Why would that make me any more of a target? There's always the ability to stigmatize somebody. I know Dave, and I find him beyond reproach, but there's always the ability to say, "This guy is just an intel guy. He's gathered a bunch of secondhand information," and that's what people use to detract from his testimony.

Now, with you, I think it's kind of hard. If you were to just listen to everything you've said today, it's tough to deny. Well, that comes down to the will. So, I say it's two things that keep you alive or kill you: there has to be intent and capability. I call it will and skill. There has to be a will to take me out, and there has to be the skill, the ability to do it.

So, why is there the will? It's going to come from this place; it's not going to come from what everyone thinks is a national security issue. We need to hide this stuff. Where that's going to come from is from individuals within the organization or who have been involved in any part of these activities, who have committed crimes and want to cover their tracks. They will do anything to protect themselves, which includes taking out other people—wet works.

That makes for a very narrow group of folks that might at least have the will to do that, and you can kind of smoke those people out. Skill is the other factor: who is actually going to facilitate this, and how will that be facilitated? There are some sneaky ways to do it, and there are some more blatant, brute force ways to do it.

You don't want to show up at my house thinking you're going to be successful with brute force because that's going to go south for you really quickly. You don't want to approach me anywhere in public; you're going to have to do something creative. I'm not afraid of the boogeyman; I am the boogeyman. So, you better bring it. But that's a lot of talk when you have a wife and kids, so I'm not here to bring that on.

There hasn't been any evidence shown that anyone has the will and skill to do it, or they would have already for me. I think there are more good guys on this side than there are bad, even at the high level. Now, all that being said, I do know for a fact that there are leadership...

[01:45:17 -> 01:48:59]
Jake Barber:
Within the entities that I work for and with, there have been calls for wet works, and there are people who have died under very suspicious circumstances at the most convenient times for those who would want to silence them. These individuals were coming out and speaking out about our activities.

Interviewer:

Can you say anything more?

Jake Barber:
No, because there is an investigation happening right now, and they are looking into it.

Interviewer:
That's scary, man.

Jake Barber:
It is very scary. When we realized we were being compromised, I sent everybody home, and we began to conduct our own operation. I wanted to know if this came from the very top of our organization—was the top complicit in this attempt to sever The Hidden Hand, which is us, or were they compromised? Those are the only two options.

Interviewer:
Do you feel now like you have the proper protection in place? Because I think from an American national security standpoint, if you have these different forms where the left hand isn't talking to the right hand, you have over-compartmentalization. You have all this knowledge locked up in these disparate pockets, and you're competing with China and Russia. It's actually maladaptive from a national security standpoint. So, is somebody in authority saying, “You know, I see this Jake guy; he's actually acting in the best interest of the country. Let's protect him”?

Jake Barber:
Yes, in order to come out. That takes us to Congress. When I went to the director of security and realized he didn't have my back, I understood I couldn't trust my nebulous network anymore. I was at a loss and left with the one emergency button that I always thought I had. I punched it, and that was to go to Congress, to the Senate Intelligence Committee, and to the monitor for the CIA to spill my guts and say, “Help me, help me, help me. This is what's happened; help.”

Interviewer:
That must have been a difficult decision.

Jake Barber:
That was probably one of the most discouraging experiences of my life. Within minutes of being there and asking for help, they were begging for my help.

Interviewer:
Interesting.

Jake Barber:
They didn't understand what was going on; they had no handle on it. They were being bullied and harassed at their homes, scared for their lives, and wanted me to set up a personal protection detail to help protect them. I was like, “Fine, I guess this is where we're going with it.”

Interviewer:
So, are the rumors true? The long-standing rumors are that this is run by the CIA. In 1952, you had public-facing UFO research in the form of Project Blue Book, which was a public relations effort cleaved off from the more vital organization actually studying this stuff. That was the CIA's Office of Scientific Intelligence in collaboration with the Patel Memorial Institute and the Atomic Energy Commission. Even up until today, you have Chris Sharp writing a great article for The Liberation Times, discussing the chain of command for the UFO program. He cites Glenn Gaffney as the CIA's Director of Science and Technology as being one of the primary gatekeepers of the legacy UFO program. So, when you go to them and say all this crazy stuff is happening, super disorganized and unethical, are they really just completely unaware?

Jake Barber:
You mean Congress?

Interviewer:
No, I mean the CIA.

[01:49:02 -> 01:52:42]
Jake Barber:
What is happening is that there are multiple programs and multiple cover-ups occurring simultaneously. Not everyone is aware of this, but that is the biggest misunderstanding that everyone would benefit from resolving. This situation is not as organized as it may seem, and there isn't as much synergy at play.

The reason I know my presence here today is valuable is that I am on the ground, interacting with crash recovery efforts—not reverse engineering, which is a different group. All we've heard from is like the shoulder joint, the head of this operation, but the shoulder has no idea what's going on at the fingertips. You could get to the elbow and find some corruption and misdirection, which changes the direction and energy being sent down to the fingertips and vice versa.

It's like a gangly octopus of an organization; it's not a well-structured model. Just think about it: how could you manage hundreds of thousands of humans, each with their flaws and instincts, especially when the number one rule is deception? They are all deceiving, and yet there is supposed to be some large organization that works together. Detractors might ask why there haven't been more whistleblowers, but I believe the answer is that there have been. Steven Greer has a database of hundreds of whistleblowers.

I want to address those who may be in your position, watching this, and considering going through what you're experiencing. Is there anything you would say to them?

Yes, it’s a problem, and it’s sad, but our Congress is not in control. They are largely ignorant and neutered regarding this subject matter. Where they are not ignorant and neutered, they are corrupt and arrogant, leading to a Congress that is paralyzed by analysis. I can’t

express how sinking that feeling was for me.

When I go running, I feel nervous because I'm about to blow the whistle. The first place I go is the Senate Intelligence Committee and the monitor for the CIA. I start telling my story, and they don't question it for a second. They know it's happening. They are affirming everything I'm saying and trying to cut me off because they are so excited to finally discuss this. They ask, "What do I do?" and say, "Give me your number. Do you have Signal? I need to text you." These guys were at my house last night, and I thought, "Wait a minute, this was my moment to ask for help."

My fellow citizens, today we celebrate the mystery of American renewal. I know that my experience is no coincidence; it happened during the Clinton era. The path I took was heavily influenced by the fact that the Clintons were in office. When Clinton took office, he was...

[01:52:45 -> 01:56:34]
Jake Barber:
I was surprised to find out that he never intended to be a part of the leadership structure he was ostensibly in control of. He knew he was surrounded by lies and limited by laws, which quickly led him to take an interest in the subject. When he couldn't get answers, he started taking action. I think whatever I ended up doing was related to that and was part of the pressure put on the Department of Defense to potentially create a red team to infiltrate the black team, get into the programs, and then report back through our leadership structure about what's really going on.

A couple of interesting data points around that involve Hillary Clinton. There's a picture of her at Camp David, where she met with Lawrence Rockefeller, who was really interested in UFO disclosure. In that picture, she is holding a book by physicist Paul Davies titled "Are We Alone?" Clearly, she was getting into the topic of UFO disclosure. Additionally, John Podesta, who was their chief of staff, has been a major proponent of disclosure for the last two decades.

If you look at the timing, it's probably no coincidence that when Hillary was running for president, there was a correlation with the establishment of ATIP and what led to the New York Times article. The Clintons have always wanted to be involved with disclosure. As much as everyone likes to vilify them, I don't think there is a self-serving reason behind it, other than a general curiosity. It doesn't seem to be a power grab; there's no real benefit to being a politician associated with disclosure.

From my experiences and conversations with people who are in the know, the Clintons have definitely been key individuals and have initiated some efforts that are pro-disclosure. Trump is now promising a whole new era of disclosure. The proverbially famous example would be the JFK case. Was that somewhat of an inside job? Are there any classified details that the public should know?

I guess I have a couple of questions there. Do you think UFOs get deprioritized because they're spending all their political capital on these other disclosures? Or are there rumored dynamics where if you get JFK disclosure, you might find out that his interest in UFOs could be related to his assassination? Anyone could just do their homework. If you really looked at the information that's already been released and its credibility related to the JFK issue, there are clearly some ties.

You can look at the timeline of what was going on at the time and how our government reacted to events in the 1940s, 50s, and 60s. It makes a lot of sense and seems to be the best theory. The fact that we might find out more with Trump is exciting, but I sense hesitation even from him. If Kash Patel gets appointed as the Director of the FBI, it seems like he would certainly be well-read on everything, but is he motivated to share? When I see him speaking cryptically about it recently, it raises questions.

[01:56:36 -> 01:59:59]
Speaker:
Talking to a person who has read the entire JFK file, the things that we cannot release have nothing to do with the questions you are asking. The answers you seek are pretty much available; there is very minimal information that cannot be disclosed. There are aspects that you might not even think are related, but if you weren't connected to this subject matter, you might not pick up on them. What I hear him saying cryptically is that the JFK assassination is linked to the UAP topic, and we have a lot of corroborative data on that.

Perhaps the most important piece of data comes from a man named Harold McGrath, who served as a presidential adviser for four different presidents: JFK, LBJ, Nixon, and Ford. He mentions a test called Bluegill Triple Prime conducted in Johnston Atoll in the South Pacific, specifically in the Marshall Islands. You can even see in the deck logs from this test that anomalous material fell out of the plume of the nuclear blast. This UFO material was taken to the Atomic Energy Commission's Albuquerque facility, where Lawrence P. G., who is actually Jeff Bezos's eternal grandfather, was the director at the time.

Harold McGrath, on behalf of JFK, was taken to Los Alamos, and then JFK came about a month later and was briefed on the UFO issue. There is also a letter of somewhat controversial provenance that we should investigate further to determine its authenticity. In this letter, he writes to John McCone, the new CIA director who had just fired Dulles, stating that we need to coordinate with the Soviets on UFOs to ensure they do not mistake these UFOs for acts of American aggression, especially since they were flying around sensitive airspace.

I believe a lot of research aligns to suggest that JFK was indeed involved in this matter. However, when you try to connect it to the motive for his assassination in a public place, it raises questions. Why would anyone go that far? It was likely a multitude of reasons, but one significant factor was his attempt to defang the nuclear

arsenal. You had individuals like Dulles claiming that it was an act of patriotism to eliminate him.

Now, regarding disclosures, especially under Trump, there are discussions about a UAP czar. Should someone be in charge of this? Personally, I love the idea of Tulsi Gabbard as DNI, and Cash Patel is fantastic. It's really exciting. People can say what they want about Trump, but the individuals he surrounds himself with and their intentions seem encouraging. If you need someone to clean house, all he needs to do is give Dave a hunting license to go after those who might have information to share. It would clear up a lot.

Dave Grusch is your guy; he knows what's going on and where the bodies are buried. We do need some accountability, not only to prevent history from repeating itself. I don't believe in vengeance.

[02:00:02 -> 02:03:56]
Jake Barber:
I believe in justice, and that's the subtle difference. He and Carl Nell, who is a brilliant historical and philosophical thinker, also possesses a high level of integrity. So, Mr. G, do you believe that our government is in possession of UAPs?
Absolutely. Based on interviewing over 40 witnesses over four years.
Are you one of David Grusch's 40 firsthand witnesses that he claims to have presented to the Intelligence Community Inspector General, Thomas Monheim?
No, Dave and I met after his initial complaint was filed with the ICI. Those individuals represented a different set. I don't know all 40; I know of some of them. One of them is a person who is no longer with us, and whose demise was quite conveniently timed for those who might have had something to do with it. Sorry, I didn't mean to go there, but that was a different group. I'm part of a new group that met Dave during this process. I didn't know what Dave was doing at the same time we were going through the DOSTER process. It was strange; we were both experiencing our whistleblower transitions simultaneously, but completely differently. You have to remember, Dave is way up here at the high-end administrative level of the intelligence community's program, while I'm down here at the fingertips, trying to figure out what's going on. We ended up meeting in the middle in this effort.

We should preface this for the audience: what is DOSTER?
DOSTER is the Department of Defense review process. It stands for Department of Defense Prepublication and Security Review. Because I held a clearance at one point and worked for the Department of Defense, anything I say publicly—whether it's written, spoken, or recorded in any way—has to go through this process. This allows the Department of Defense and certain offices within it to review what I plan on sharing and strike it down if they believe it risks operational security or reveals any specific knowledge I gained while on the other side of the door. If I want to talk about that publicly, everyone who has had a job like mine has to submit it to DOSTER at the Pentagon.

How did you get approval to speak to us today?

Through DOSTER, through the Department of Defense prepublication approvals. The stuff you're saying is pretty intense.
Yes, and why are they letting you? This is a great question because it helps people understand why I answered the last question the way I did. The DOSTER process is somewhat laughable compared to its intended purpose. First of all, you have to understand that people like me have become so compartmentalized that we've become detached. There isn't even knowledge of some of the things we have done that sit at a level of availability to those tasked with publication review at the Department of Defense. They could find and get their hands on it and say, "Oh yes." Everyone tends to think of this in a way too organized fashion.

[02:03:57 -> 02:07:55]
Jake Barber:
I will help you understand the adopter process because I'll tell you exactly how we manipulated it when we decided to come forward. We had some concerns about who we worked for, their intentions, and whether or not we were in trouble and going to get severed. This was due to what I refer to as "The Hidden Hand." We decided to query and run an interrogation of the Department of Defense and its private partners by writing a historical fiction novel.

I call it "faction," a mixture of fact and fiction. We hired a ghostwriter, and what we did was compile all the claims we wanted to include. We wrote a 120,000-word manuscript and submitted it in pieces to the DOD's process, starting with the first 20 pages, which amounted to about 12,000 words. Our goal with this process was essentially to red team the DOD's process to expose who the subject matter experts are—referred to as SMMEs—and determine from those SMMEs who is actually in control of this situation, who cares about what information, and why.

We aimed to push harder and assume that when we encountered walls and limitations, secret paths lay on the other side. From there, we could begin to create little fact islands and try to draw a scaffolding between them. This entire effort was conducted through our interrogation by creating a manuscript of historical fiction and submitting it to the DOD.

In the first 20 pages, we included four categories of information. Information A consisted of classified information we knew was classified at a high level, which should trigger a response and likely get redacted. Information B was classified but so need-to-know that it really only existed within the hearts and minds of the operators involved that day. It shouldn't be accessible at an administrative level for anyone to find or even be shared with subject matter experts.

The third type of information we included was open-source classified information, which might sound like an oxymoron, but it is not. This refers to technically classified information that has somehow leaked onto the internet. Context matters—how and who is using it is significant. This plays into why someone like Annie Jacobson, or

another author who has published incredible things on the subject, is not subject to the DOD's process at all.

People like me, who have held a clearance and worked directly for the Department of Defense, must go through that process. We used that as a basis to force them to review and redact our manuscript. The fourth type of information was complete fictional liberty. Here, we speculated on the who, what, why, and when of the broader picture outside what we knew through our own compartmented information and our need-to-know facts. We drew what we thought were likely reasons and filled in around those facts, connecting them to others, and went fishing to see if we could touch on something that was classified.

[02:07:57 -> 02:11:43]
Jake Barber:
We submitted our request, and it took six months to receive 20 pages back, of which seven pages were redacted across all four categories. We knew we were onto something significant. They were in a tough spot; they couldn't maintain a poker face. By not redacting something, it would pass through and become open source. However, by redacting something, they indirectly validate that it is sensitive and classified, indicating that it really happened and that someone is concerned about it.

There is a counterplay, though. They could intentionally allow classified information to leak under the guise of a fictional novel, effectively sealing it with the stamp of creative writing. This way, something that is reality gets spun into fantasy and resides in the public domain. This is a strategy we commonly use. I often say that the best place to hide the truth is in a pile of nonsense. This concept goes back to Plato, who, in "The Republic," states, "Everything I’m about to tell you is a lie," and then proceeds to write a profound musing on the ideal state, infused with metaphysical implications.

I believe much of UFO history is hidden in plain sight, often through fiction. For instance, Tom DeLonge, the frontman of Blink-182, has collaborated with Peter Lenda and A.J. Hartley to create a series I would classify as historical fiction, where they discuss interactions with executives at Lockheed Martin and other entities regarding crash retrievals. Another notable figure is Chase Brandon, a CIA liaison to Hollywood, who authored a book titled "Cryptos Conundrum," which I believe contains genuine truths.

This strategy of blending fact with fiction is age-old, and it seems you effectively red-teamed the DOPSR process.

Yes, it worked. Interestingly, they initially stated that our purpose in submitting only 20 pages was to evaluate and react, allowing us to rewrite subsequent chapters to probe for more redactions or classified information. However, they caught on and insisted we submit the entire manuscript. We explained that we were writing a series and that these were merely episodes. We intended to release only 20 pages at a time.

They asked if we wanted to release it without review, to which we replied no. Therefore, we needed them to review it, and then we would provide the next 20 pages. This isn't just one book; we plan to release it in installments over the next few months. In that regard, we were in the driver's seat because they had painted themselves into a corner with their process. We could leverage that against them, which is a classic red team strategy.

There is no distinction for them between fact and fiction. Once it's classified, you can assert that it was fact because it passed under the veneer of fiction. Context is everything. There are indeed things that got redacted, and as I mentioned, that information is now open source. I cannot discuss in detail the organizations I work for.

[02:11:45 -> 02:15:22]
Jake Barber:
I was enlisted, even though I just told you everything about what I did and where I was stationed. Everybody knows the history of who's there and what's there. I can't utter the words; I can't talk to you about my recruitment process at length and how I ended up where I did because that's redacted as well. This is why it's confusing and why Dave can say we have multiple downed crafts and have collected dead pilots. Why could you say that? It's because when it goes into the disclosure process, they distribute it. The people at the front read through and do their best job to disseminate who should we ask about, who should care.

Another thing that happens is that nobody wants to touch that subject with a ten-foot pole. No office wants to be the one that says, "Oh yeah, I have a problem with Dave saying biologics." You don't want to pop your head up and be the one who has a problem with biologics. Also, people who may have had a problem with it—this has to do with turnover in generations existing within these ancient organizations. The fact that this has been going on for seventy years means that the people whose heads need to roll may not even be alive anymore, and someone else has taken that position. This kind of gives them an easy way out; they don't have to come clean and confess the sins of their forefathers. All they have to do is allow classified information to not be redacted through the disclosure process, and they just say, "See, we have disclosure."

From this angle, I think disclosure is almost on us to realize, "Aha, they're giving us a sign." Departments—you can't think of the Department of Defense or the disclosure process again. The synergy of these institutions, the three-letter agencies, doesn't mean anything. This may be the only way the government can disclose: "I can't say it, but you can ask me questions. Ask me a yes or no question; ask me if something is true, and I won't say no." That's kind of where we're at.

So, I think the disclosure process is one of the keys to understanding this. Let's keep submitting documents and get this stuff out. The non-human intelligences do not respect the monopoly the U.S. government thinks it has on this subject. There are organizations

worldwide that understand that it's a worthwhile investment. They're not waiting on offices like Arrow or the President of the United States to tell them they should spend time and money on this. It's being done, and there are global crash recovery and reverse engineering programs outside the U.S. I'm not just referring to governments; I'm referring to hybrid organizations, organizations that are extra-governmental.

Listen, I'm not here to make anyone believe anything. Belief is a very personal thing. The problem with this subject matter is that, well, one, it's very intense because it carries such profound implications. I don't know that we have any more or less proof per se on this subject for the general public than we do on other things that we probably accept more broadly. But because of the implications of this, people want to draw very hard lines on it.

I think a good place to start is to humble yourself. For everyone that's getting exposed to this right now, humble yourself from the perspective of what you consider believable. A good measure or question to ask is: how much do we...

[02:15:25 -> 02:18:53]
Jake Barber:
I currently take wholeheartedly and believe in things that we act on every day. If I were to ask you to remove everything from your life that you believe because someone else told you, or you read somewhere, or were taught that you don't have firsthand experience with, you'd be paralyzed and speechless. You wouldn't be able to function; you wouldn't even get out of your house. If everyone had radical Cartesian doubt, where it was like, "I don't even know that that's a chair," or "What you're saying is real," you wouldn't be able to operate. This is where I just give up on trying to make people believe anything. I don't have time for that. This has been my life for a long time, and I have things I'm trying to do. Making people believe something does not interest me.

It's extremely easy to sway the public in various ways and put guardrails on their thinking without them even knowing it. There's good critical thinking, and this guy Thomas Bayes, a statistician from 18th century England, introduced Bayesian reasoning. New data that you receive should be evaluated in the context of your prior database. If your prior database is such that every time you hear the word "UFO," you think "snake oil," or you think, "I'm going to throw it in the junk pile," you're building a bad data set. That's actually bad critical thinking.

If you were just open-minded and you read this great book, "UFOs and Nukes," which nobody can read because it's so dry and hard-headed and about 580 pages long, you would have to come out with some sort of base case around UFOs being real, or at the very least, worthy of investigation. I would say the least credible thing in the equation is most people's basis for belief.

What do you mean by that? The least credible thing is their basis for

belief is not reasonable. They are not taking a reasonable approach to their criteria for belief. Their criteria for that is based on, "What are you basing that on? What research have you done?" Right? How have you disseminated fact from fiction to arrive at your current understanding? How do you know you haven't been subliminally programmed to be desensitized to some things and hypersensitized in a negative fashion to others? You probably have. So, you need to be thrown out as someone who should be making decisions about what you believe because your perspective is flawed.

It's funny to see, after this Shawn Ryan story coming out, this Las Vegas bomber, the cyber truck bomber in front of Trump Tower—this bizarre incident. I don't know how to describe it; it's like this meme warfare act. It's hard to really understand and comprehend. Then you have this guy going on Shawn Ryan's show, saying that he received an email from the Green Beret himself, the bomber, stating that there are Chinese gravitic drones flying over the Eastern Seaboard that could, if this is true, vaporize New York City or Washington, D.C. at the drop of a hat.

This is what I find fascinating. Bringing it back to the whole critical thinking thing, I've had more high-powered Silicon Valley people reach out to me about how anti-gravity might be real after that. It was just generically popular, and because of that, you have people who, honestly, I respect in many other contexts.

[02:18:55 -> 02:22:29]
Jake Barber:
Their ability to operate, make money, and do really cool things in the world has led me to think that maybe the work I did on towns and brown anti-gravity is real. It came into focus in a place where they were already directing their attention, rather than their intention being brought into where these facts exist. It's all hypnosis and magic tricks.

Yeah, the Shawn Ryan situation has really impacted us over the last two to three weeks. It has touched our community significantly, and there are many ties to that within our group of friends. It's been quite a topic of discussion.

Regarding the New Jersey incident, we were just out there. We were assigned to support the Department of Defense and the FBI task force that was being established. Essentially, we served as a hidden hand, as we normally do, dispatched to offer assistance in order to declare this a hazard to public safety or to find criminal intent or actions so that the FBI could have a basis for their investigation.

So, Jake, what did you find out?

Well, that's where I'm going. The entire world seems extremely confused. It feels like the highest levels of government are unsure about what is going on. On our first day there, we were primarily focused on the activity in and around, or above and around, Picatinny Arsenal, which is a government weapons installation.

On our first night there, we set up our operations and began watching the skies. The organization I work for now, which I am one of the founding members of, is called Skywater. We specialize in aerial intelligence. This is a completely autonomous and independent venture, filled with individuals like me who have worked in an official capacity for the government. We are investing our tools, talent, and experience into this private company to help the government where we can and also provide a window for the public into this subject and these technologies.

That being said, we arrived in New Jersey, and within 30 minutes of being on location, we observed orange orbs appearing over Picatinny Arsenal. Trying to timestamp how long they were there was difficult because they seemed to appear for about 40 to 45 minutes at a time, which is consistent with some traditional large battery-powered drones. However, we couldn't determine if it was the same drone or if it was disappearing and reappearing.

The sensational language used more often suggested that they were turning their lights off and on, which could explain their disappearance and reappearance. But, you know, they were only visible at night. For us, the activity was primarily between 5:00 PM and midnight.

The problem with the situation out there is that most of the data being collected and the reports coming in were from unqualified observers on the ground using visual spectrum analysis. What I mean by that is humans looking up with their eyes and saying, "Bro, what's that?" It's also a very busy airspace around there, with airplanes and helicopters. If I wanted to red team that situation, I would have...

[02:22:31 -> 02:26:15]
Jake Barber:
We could have put a bunch of glow sticks and helium balloons together, sent them up in the air, and watched people lose their minds because you wouldn't have been able to discern what was happening. Then, you could shoot them with BB guns until they popped and disappeared. There’s so much room for confusion in that situation that it’s hard to cut through the noise. What we were observing, as qualified observers, was concerning. There was something very alarming over Pitini Arsenal.

The first thing we considered was that these were probably large drones that we knew were available in military and some civilian inventories. They were turning off their lights while en route and then turning them back on, which is illegal. So, there’s our case for breaking laws right there. If this was in FAA-controlled airspace, when a drone leaves the ground, it must have all the FAA-approved lighting systems that any other aircraft does, and those lights must be on when it leaves the ground.

Additionally, you have to be a licensed operator, and you’re not allowed to fly above 400 feet. These drones were operating with their

lights off at over 400 feet above Pitini Arsenal, just sitting there. No one was doing anything about it, and they were there for over five hours, sometimes longer. We still don't know if the lights were just going off or if they were popping into place.

While this was happening in the airspace south of there, we were also running operations that were more attuned to UAP—unidentified aerial phenomena. We had UAP-class objects appearing to the south, coming in from the outer atmosphere, hovering into place, and then going back into space.

What do you mean you were running operations more attuned to UAP?
We were looking for that, expecting it, and making sure we were paying attention to things that fit that description. UAP is a very safe term; it stands for unidentified anomalous phenomena. I mean, I don't know how that becomes concrete if there are aliens and spaceships flying around because it's the opposite of clear or conclusive. So, UAP should be a safe term. Yes, it was UAP, and we were specifically looking for things that we couldn't identify and that clearly didn't fit into a box.

The following nights, our plan was to get airborne in our helicopter and take a closer look, legally, under the guidance of the FAA's air traffic control. What was interesting about the situation is that there was a Temporary Flight Restriction (TFR) set up over Pitini Arsenal a month prior, around Thanksgiving. A TFR turns any airspace into highly restricted airspace on a case-by-case basis.

Someone had the foresight or reasoning to set up a TFR to restrict drone activity over Pitini Arsenal weeks before Thanksgiving. We were there around Christmas or a little before Christmas, so this had been going on for a month in the area. What's interesting about this TFR is that it didn't restrict general aviation traffic; it only restricted unmanned aerial systems. This means any member of the general public with a pilot's license could fly through that TFR with no restrictions, but a drone could not.

[02:26:18 -> 02:29:59]
Jake Barber:
They were carving out space for drones. The problem is that an aircraft could have an incursion with these drones, which everyone was pretending weren't there and was ignoring. Now you have an accident or an incident, which poses a safety risk. When you get into safety risks for things that fly around in the air, things get serious really quickly. That was the biggest problem and the most significant issue that wasn't being addressed. We were trying to ensure that people were paying attention to the fact that to say there's no public risk is low-hanging fruit. It's not the most exciting detail to anchor to in this situation, but it's an anchor point where we could start digging and forcing people to provide more concise reports from the Department of Defense and local law enforcement agencies. Nobody was working together; there were over 59 local law enforcement entities operating without coordination. We had the FBI, the DOD, and private partners involved, some from legacy programs that are very popular, all doing

their own thing.

Were you called in specifically because of your UAP retrieval experience?
I wouldn't say my retrieval experience per se, but our team of guys is second to none, and I'm not being overly proud in saying that. We were brought in more so on behalf of the DOD to help take a look at things and really contribute in any way we could. However, we found that there wasn't a lot of coordination going on. The powers that be really don't know what's going on, or very few do, and they're clearly not communicating with the rest of our leadership and the public.

That's a great example of why this whole situation is so confusing. Is the New Jersey drone incident what Trump meant when he said it's probably not adversarial? We know where the garage is; we could go into the garage. Our military knows where they took off from. If it's a garage, they can go right into that garage; they know where it came from and where it went.

God, you're asking me to know what Trump means! You're just asking another guy who's watching that on his phone like you. So, what was your net assessment for the average person?
Extremely confused, based on what we know from previous incursions at McDill and Langley Air Force Base this year. There were Chinese nationals taken into custody, which is a very big problem. If they are state actors, it's an act of war. How do we respond to an act of war? There are no good options because either we look weak and vulnerable by not responding, or we do respond, and now we're at war with China, which the world cannot afford.

There's a counterplay. If I were a red team member looking at it through that lens, I would fake a Chinese invasion; it would be a false flag. I would make it look like China was doing this. There are billions of people in China, and they aren't all singing the same song. There are plenty of disgruntled people there who are for hire and work for other organizations worldwide, including criminals in other capacities that aren't aligned with China's intentions. So, I would hire a Chinese national or someone from China.

[02:30:02 -> 02:33:27]
Jake Barber:
There are concerns that these incidents could be orchestrated to make it appear as though China was causing the incursions, potentially to justify a war with the U.S. for various nefarious purposes. This could be seen as a false flag operation. The biggest issue with shooting them down is that it highlights a vulnerability we've known about for a long time. This is why there's a whole category of contracting in the U.S. called CUAS, or Counter Unmanned Aircraft Systems. Drone incursions are problematic because they often occur over highly populated areas, like Dodger Stadium, for example. You can't just shoot them down, as that would risk causing damage to people and property. So, what can you do? You really can't take action unless you can track down the operators and take them into custody.

But do you think any of these were genuine non-human intelligences, any of the drones you mentioned, including those operated by Chinese nationals?

I do believe that some of them were. The reason I think that is due to the way they transitioned in and out, along with the indications we received during our observation operations. The way we observe and collect data leads me to believe there was a non-human intelligence component involved, which complicates the situation. The biggest mystery in all of this is that there shouldn't be a mystery at all. There is something in the sky; we should be able to figure out what it is and who is flying it. This has been happening repeatedly, yet we continue to act as if we are confused. This suggests that there is something technologically superior to us, something that is ephemeral or weakly entangled with our reality, popping in and out, and not easily understood.

In cases where we are dealing with genuine unidentified aerial phenomena, we would likely deploy our state-of-the-art drones to detect them. This creates a very confusing situation, a mixed bag of information. I don't want to criticize Sean Ryan; I think he's an excellent interviewer. However, when he retweeted the idea that there might be a loose nuclear weapon on the Eastern Seaboard, it caused quite a stir. I was texting Logan, and we were both alarmed for a day. There is something simpler about that narrative, a cleaner explanation, than the reality, which is a complicated mix of non-human intelligence and human involvement. People tend to prefer black-and-white scenarios, and the situation is just as vulnerable to that as we all are.

I can assure you there were no loose nuclear weapons involved. I know this for certain because I have contacts who work on the Nuclear Emergency Support Team, as well as individuals involved with the Weapons of Mass Destruction Directorate under the FBI. They were present; their families were there. If there had been a loose nuke, they wouldn't have been casually going about their day, shopping at Target and buying churros. They were relaxed, indicating there was no immediate threat. We need to approach this situation practically.

[02:33:29 -> 02:37:00]
Jake Barber:
There is a major shift where we're realizing we can't trust our institutions; we can't trust the narrative that's being presented. People don't know how to respond to that, and I feel really bad for the Baby Boomers because they're being vilified so much. My in-laws, the sweetest old people, have trusted the news and newspapers their whole lives. They've trusted the offices of the president, and it's really dangerous because there's this calling to throw it all out—get rid of all our institutions, get rid of the government—and that's not the answer.

What this needs to be is a call to action for all brave, well-rounded people with a strong work ethic and a deep sense of meaning to start occupying positions within institutions and offices. We need to occupy

these positions of power and seize our institutions. This applies to everything from the Catholic Church to the World Health Organization to police departments.

So many good people I know who are cops are saying, "Screw this," especially after the George Floyd incident and all the fallout from the "defund the police" movement. They're retiring and getting out, and I'm thinking, "Oh great, we're just going to turn the police department over to those who would work under these conditions and these new standards where you don't have to be able to do a pull-up or run—you can be overweight and still try to protect and serve."

The same is happening in the military; many people have left because of the changing environment. The spirit of service makes sense to all good people. Every one of us in the military is here risking our lives so that you can be free to express yourself however you want, but it's being approached the wrong way. People are leaving, and that's the last thing we want.

If you're willing to sacrifice your life in some glorified way, like jumping on a grenade for your buddy and having an awesome funeral, then be willing to endure an environment that rubs you the wrong way. Your sacrifice is more nuanced than the glory of a fantastic death. You need to stay in your job, put up with political HR issues, and occupy positions of leadership to make changes. That's the only way this works.

This is a beautiful and not nihilistic message for many people who feel cheated by the establishment and institutions over decades. Often, the blame gets pinned on the Baby Boomers, sometimes fairly and sometimes unfairly. It's important not to throw the baby out with the bathwater.

The final thing I think we should discuss is the New Jersey drone incident, and then we can move on. Regarding good critical thinking, these so-called drones seem to be consistently showing up around sensitive military sites all over the world, not just in New Jersey. For instance, Pitini Arsenal is one place where they initially appeared, but you also have Lake and Heath, the Salem nuclear power plant in Jersey, and the Brunswick Duke Energy power plant in North Carolina. This aligns perfectly with Robert Hastings' work on UFOs and nuclear weapons, so I think it's important to consider that.

[02:37:02 -> 02:40:52]
Jake Barber:
To put this in its proper context, what we're seeing today, if you actually have that context, is probably similar to what we've seen historically. We have these UFO flaps, and yes, it's just ridiculous that it's happening now, and that we don't know how to respond to it or discern what it is. Even if it's drones, that would still freak people out. You could even look it up on YouTube, but if you've ever been to a tech trade show where someone like GM or Chrysler is showcasing their new prototype for a flying car, which is essentially a quadcopter, it looks incredible. It's a real thing that you could

hop in and fly right now. There's no reason why another nation or some autonomous organization based out of Mexico, which doesn't have to follow U.S. laws, couldn't put together a plan to launch a bunch of these from offshore New Jersey in the Atlantic Ocean. Some of them can launch from the water, going from the water to the sky, and it looks like something out of a movie. Are there underwater UFO bases? I would think so.

Yeah, that seems to be the case. This was part of the challenge of my journey, and it took me a long time to realize that what we're dealing with is non-human intelligence versus our own advanced technology. There is a spectrum; we've filled the gap at least from an ignorant standpoint unless you have the right way to analyze what you're observing. I use those terms broadly because there's so much more than a human looking up with ultraviolet-sensing eyeballs. There's more than that, and it's hard to discern. There's a lot of belief involved.

I want to put my CNIC hat on for a second and ask some audience surrogate questions because I think a lot of people are probably a little ontologically shocked by some of these claims. There's this conspiracy theory called Project Blue Beam. There are people out there who think that this is all being orchestrated by the Deep State, that these orbs or crafts will show up as an opportune moment to clamp down and create a surveillance state or to create some fake, Antichrist-like religious awakening that is completely a sham. What do you have to say about that?

There has been an organized and unorganized effort on behalf of the U.S. government to disclose some of this information. I mean, as early as, or rather as recent as 2015 and 2016, the ATP was certainly part of that effort. But I don't think that should be looked at negatively. Those who are the gatekeepers or those who hold the secrets or have the power to release them—first of all, it's not one group; it's multiple. What would you want them to do with it? We can't complain that they're not telling us anything or that they're putting out some organized disclosure program and soft-serving it to us. I think that has been done, and whether or not that's a bad idea, I don't know.

[02:40:54 -> 02:45:01]
Jake Barber:
A philosophical question that naturally arises here is that you are implementing techniques that seem like you're almost tapping into this sacred, other spiritual world, but you're sort of doing it on behalf of American defense. Obviously, you've shown that your loyalty transcends pure organizational expedience and you realize that this is actually detrimental to the country the way this thing was set up. But what about loyalty above that, even metaphysical loyalty—loyalty to God? If these entities are angels or celestial creatures, how do you reconcile the fact that we're moving in kind of celestial realms while using the framework of American defense?

That's a fun question, and we're all forced to consider it once we reach this point. The idea of angels and demons is a good place to

orient our understanding of what we're dealing with, because there certainly is good energy and bad energy at play. My experience has been, I would say, 100% good energy—profoundly good. For whatever reason, if this is an atypical experience or if it only represents one half of the experiences people have with the non-human intelligence (NHI) energy, that's fine, but mine has been unbelievably positive. It has been life-changing, guiding me, giving me orientation, motivation, and clarity. It feels like being tapped into something akin to a guiding light or an omnipresent God that has your best interests at heart.

However, the question often arises in our circle of friends about whether these entities or this phenomenon is benevolent or malevolent, and the answer is yes. Much like us, they possess the capacity for both good and evil. Since we're discussing this philosophically and beyond, the universe itself has a pretty consistent set of elements and structures that we've already observed. To think that concepts like good and evil exist throughout all domains, dimensions, and distances makes a lot of sense. It would also explain much of what we've heard through the echoes of history related to the phenomenon of angels and demons.

It's important not to be naive and to recognize that there is reason to be concerned about a higher life form, a more technologically advanced life form, or a more dominant life form—let's just put it that way. Whether it exists in our three-dimensional space or is extradimensional, it could pose a real problem. This is why, for better or for worse, the government is the primary custodian of this knowledge and hardware. They are the hammer that identifies everything as a nail; they are a military-based organization, so they will look for advantages for offense and defense.

It's easy to point fingers and say that's disgusting and that this should be about love, but that's not the way the world works. The freedom we experience—I'm qualified to speak on this from both sides. I'm a human; I have love in my heart. I'm open-minded, caring, and sensitive, but I'm also a trained soldier, and I understand the value of that.

[02:45:04 -> 02:48:53]
Jake Barber:
We live in the benefits provided to us by a military state, by military dominance on this planet. To take that for granted is very unwise. A good analogy is a kitchen knife; it can be used to prepare beautiful food for your family, to defend yourself, or to maliciously harm another person. Is a knife good or bad? It’s the intention of the person wielding it that makes the difference.

This brings up the next logical question regarding the AATIP program, which ran from 2007 to 2012 under the auspices of Harry Reid. Many of these individuals were digging into exotic weaponry and conducting research at Skinwalker Ranch. A lot of them reported experiencing what is referred to as the "hitchhiker effect," encountering various orbs and even paranormal phenomena. It’s as if they played with a Ouija

board and brought something home with them. It seems that what you put in is what you get out; you know, you get what you give.

I also believe that protecting the free world is not something we can take for granted. My hope is that any benevolent non-human intelligences (NHI) out there agree with that sentiment. However, I worry about antagonizing any of the good NHIs, especially if they are superior to us. If actions are taken in the name of the country, but the country does not always act in the best possible way, it raises concerns. You mentioned the term "false flag" earlier; the history of American foreign policy is not one of perfect moral alignment with the higher good. Often, the ends are justified by the means.

So, how do you think about all of this going forward?

Interviewer:
I think we should decentralize these ideas. One thing I hope comes from all of this is that we encourage every individual to start looking into answering these questions for themselves. Not only by doing research online but also by looking within. Don't just look outside; walk outside, look to the sky, and try something like praying. You don't even have to use the word "pray" if there's too much stigma attached to it. Just walk outside, look at the sky, open your heart, and ask for the good energy of the universe to show you something. It can be that simple.

You talk about the hitchhiker effect, and I've shared that most of my experiences have been positive. However, I haven't mentioned that some things have followed me home, and my family has witnessed it. We have had unidentified aerial phenomena (UAP) that we call "the angel" show up over our house. It appears to have wings, is blue, and materializes to hang out over our home. Neighbors have seen it too, and then it just shoots off into outer space.

It's reminiscent of the classic description of seraphim, an ancient kind of Hebraic angel. The first time we saw it, my son thought it was a cloud, but there wasn't a cloud in the sky. It seemed cloudy around this entity, but it had wings and was blue.

[02:48:56 -> 02:52:33]
Jake Barber:
It's more like butterfly wings than what we see. You think that somehow that's protecting you or is good, or it feels like a good energy. The energy I felt in the aircraft that night is the same energy I feel now. It brings my son to tears; he's not afraid, he's moved. It's incredible. How do you explain that?

If you want to start being your own little amateur scientist, walk outside, open your heart to the good spirit of the universe, and ask for something specific. It may seem like a silly exercise, but something happens. Let's say you see a craft; did you just summon advanced human technology? Did Edwards Air Force Base dispatch an aircraft to hover over your house? Probably not. It's more likely that there's something anomalous or non-human based in that situation.

Do you think the inner-outer dichotomy is a false one? Perhaps these experiences are excavations of what's inside you, and maybe future science is actually a more spiritual science. There's a 19th-century Austrian philosopher, Rudolf Steiner, who talks about anthroposophy, which is studying the inner to gain mastery over the outer world. That was what alchemy was always about.

I think it's all on the table now, and that's what's both fun and crazy about this. There's so much to explore. I appreciate Gary Dr. Nolan's attitude; he believes this is the greatest moment ever for science, not something ridiculous.

What's beautiful about it is that since the Enlightenment, science and spirit have cleaved off and bifurcated. If you go back to Francis Bacon, he would say he was using the scientific method—more empirical skepticism, though it wasn't called that at the time—to discover God's secrets. Now you have Richard Dawkins, who is an extreme atheist and disrespects anyone who believes. On the other side, you have religious fundamentalists who don't take science seriously and dismiss Darwin as if it isn't even an effective local theory, which it probably is to some extent.

I love that point because it reflects the beauty of why a child can ask "why" infinitely, which can annoy an adult. The fact that you can't put a lid on that line of questioning means there's something that adult is missing. That's how I see the approach of the scientific method. The pursuit of science isn't skewed against the pursuit of God. Even within the scientific method, where did that come from? Why was it created?

Why do you think it's worthwhile to have a method to objectively evaluate your environment? You would have to believe it leads to what you consider a good outcome. This leads to the next question: what is a good outcome, and why do you care about what is good? You can't escape that, even from this high horse of science.

[02:52:37 -> 02:56:32]
Jake Barber:
The scientific method is essential in the pursuit of science. If you're a scientist, it's because you love science and have a genuine interest in it. Going down this road objectively through the method is going to lead to something worthwhile for you. You eventually loop back around to understanding that you were seeking something good and meaningful. Where does that come from? Perhaps science and religion are the foundations of a pyramid, and if you ascend, you reach an omega point at the top where they converge. There's a great Meister Eckhart quote: "Theologians may quarrel, but Mystics of all traditions speak the same language."

I believe that if you are intaking information in search of the truth, that process is agnostic. Science is one method, and religion is another, but we are all seeking some sort of outcome. Jesus even says in the Book of Mark—I'm paraphrasing here—that what you measure,

you'll get more of. It's about where you direct your attention and intention. This seems consistent with the phenomena when discussing the attraction of good or evil spirits.

Make no mistake, there have been dark occurrences within certain groups, and while I won't delve into that, it doesn't mean we should ignore the negative aspects. In the UAP culture, some prefer to focus solely on the positive, believing that our behavior should change accordingly. However, it's complex; the good exists alongside the bad, and we must acknowledge the dangers associated with it.

At this point, if you're an audience member, you might be wondering about space aliens. This discussion seems to revolve around spirituality and inner or outer orbs. Do we have any evidence that these entities come from other planets? I don't know.

I can share one piece of information that may help, though it might not be the answer everyone wants. There's a reason we use the term "biologics," and there's a reason Dave emphasized that phrase every time he used it. "Biologics" doesn't necessarily mean sentient beings or conscious creatures; it could refer to something like a drone from another place. Just as we send our drones—like Voyager—our government has conducted many drone programs, including Mars rovers.

So, the "biologics" could be something akin to soft tissue drones, while some of the biological cargo that comes with these crafts may not be conscious. Consciousness itself is how you interact with and pilot the craft, which is ironic because, for the first time ever, the biological creatures inside may not be conscious, but the craft itself is.

It's interesting you mention this because DARPA is currently exploring a field called computational biology. We're investigating how to manipulate the biological world and create what you might call an anatomical compiler.

[02:56:34 -> 02:59:58]
Jake Barber:
Understanding how cells operate on a more granular level leads to the thought that some civilization, either on another planet or ours, with significantly more time, energy, and expertise, may have figured out something like that. This brings me to my next question: many people talk about being abducted by gray aliens. Those who describe these abductions often say that the gray aliens are robotic creatures, almost droid-like, sent as messengers to perform specific retrievals and insertions—such as implants and the retrieval of genetic material. Do you have any thoughts on this?

First of all, I have zero experience with gray beings or meeting something face-to-face. I would love to do it; it sounds fascinating to me—sign me up! As crazy as it sounds, the most trusted people in my circle, those I have worked with and continue to work with, have spoken about having firsthand experiences with these beings. So, it raises the question: what do you do with that? Now, I find myself in a

position where I want proof. I want to believe, but I struggle to get there. I understand that people are trying to share their experiences with me, but I just can't reach that conclusion.

It's incredibly intriguing because I believe that they genuinely believe their experiences happened. There are reports suggesting that we do have biologics in storage, and we just don't know what that entails. This is all the more reason why I'm excited to move forward with this new venture. We have created our own autonomy, and we are operating with a budget in a public-private partnership. I hope to answer all these questions.

If everything goes well—I'm trying not to specify a date—we hope to conduct a demonstration within the first half of 2025. We plan to invite key members of the public from various institutions to witness this demonstration, and perhaps even get something to the ground if we can do it legally in coordination with our government partners. We cannot just go rogue; they must be involved. However, it is likely that we will have the opportunity to do this, and it will answer many questions.

That would be absolutely incredible. Look, the skies aren't classified, and your conscience can't be redacted. So, get out there and start looking up.

Interviewer:
That's part of the reason I'm here. I just became a dad—a dad to a daughter who's three months old. I look at this little lady and feel a responsibility to help shape a positive world that progresses in the right direction. I believe disclosure is a much larger conversation that will open many people's eyes. One thing you mentioned during our first phone call is that people often talk about experiencing ontological shock, where it's like...

[03:00:00 -> 03:00:28]
Jake Barber:
It can be really hard for a lot of people to face the reality that their entire worldview is being challenged, and I certainly felt that. But one thing you said that I liked was you like to think of it as ontological relief. It's like, when I look up at the sky, I wonder, what am I? What are we? How are we here? At least there's some semblance of answers or something a little more explained that actually...

[03:00:31 -> 03:01:00]
Jake Barber:
It makes me go, "Huh, all right, it's making a little bit more sense than it was before." Yeah, and it's something like giving up atheism when you become a parent because you realize this can't be all there is. I can't be the smartest person in the room; I can't be the richest or the most influential person in the room. I can't be the most powerful person in the room. This is for the president when he goes to sleep at night. I'm not qualified; I need there to be more. I need there to be deeper meaning.

[03:01:00 -> 03:01:34]
Jake Barber:
There needs to be more connection between us. Because every person, even the bad guys that we encounter in life, was at one time just an innocent little baby. There's something sweet and meaningful in every human, and that's what this whole thing offers. As woo-woo as that sounds, that's the best thing that could be harvested by acknowledging this. It has this cold military, political, and industrial stigma attached to it, but that's not what it's about.

[03:01:36 -> 03:02:01]
Jake Barber:
Flying equipment, like, can we get in a flying saucer and reach London in two minutes? Or could we get there yesterday? Because now we know the real implications are way more powerful. It's realizing that there is something else out there that may not be extraterrestrial at all; it could be something that's part of us. It's like outer space versus inner space; it's all the same. I hope there's something really beautiful on the other side of this.

[03:02:04 -> 03:02:38]
Jake Barber:
This is why we need more people involved. We need religious communities engaged, and we need the most progressive, tree-hugging, spiritual individuals to participate in this matter. It has been controlled and locked away by some of the most tyrannical, right-leaning factions. To me, this is one of the most important stories of the millennium, let alone the century, because it should prompt everyone to question core ontological truths. As you mentioned, I hope it opens their eyes to a broader reality.

[03:02:42 -> 03:03:11]
Jake Barber:
I think this is expansive and exciting. Thank you, man. The pleasure is mine, and let's make sure we get this out there so that future generations aren't having to rediscover this thing all over again.

[03:03:01 -> 03:03:11]
Jake Barber:
Huge news, everyone! We've been sitting on a ton of unreleased footage that we will now be releasing weekly in our new series. Our first episode is a completely uncut, heated discussion between Hal Puthoff and Eric Weinstein.

[03:03:13 -> 03:03:40]
Jake Barber:
On remote viewing, yeah, you heard that right. I still can't believe I experienced that firsthand, and now I'm happy to share it with you all as our first exclusive video on [__]. Head over to wap.com and become a premium member today. By becoming a member, you'll gain access to weekly premium videos, behind-the-scenes footage, and monthly group calls where we discuss the ideas we love most. Plus, you'll get early access to merchandise drops and be the first to know about the...

[03:03:43 -> 03:04:09]
Speaker:
We will be holding an upcoming conference later in 2025. Our community will serve as a private space where curious individuals and tech enthusiasts can come together to challenge the status quo and explore ideas shaping our future. If you're passionate about exploring unconventional ideas and want to dive even deeper into our content, I invite you to join our WAP today. Head over to wap.com/AmericanAlchemyPremium to become a premium member.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PcuxnqQLuAQ
[00:00 -> 00:45]
Alex Klokus:
Do you believe that extraterrestrial intelligence has visited planet Earth? I think you can go a step further; it hasn't just visited, it's been here a long time, and it's still here. My name is Alex Klokus. I'm an entrepreneur and investor. About two years ago, I brought Dr. Gary Nolan, who is a tenured Stanford professor, to Salt. I asked him if he had to assign a probability to that statement, what probability would he assign? He said 100%. That idea—that a prestigious, world-recognized scientist would make a statement that he is 100% confident in—blew my mind. That statement seems so incredible that it's tough to believe. Maybe a lot of people hear that and don't believe it. A year later, I brought Colonel Karl Nell to Salt. Karl has had a decorated career leading our military at the highest levels, and he certainly did not mince words. Non-human intelligence exists. Non-human intelligence has been interacting with humanity. This interaction is not new, and it has been ongoing. How confident are you that that is true? There's zero doubt. If their statements are true, it completely defies our current understanding of reality. The problem, however, is that both Dr. Nolan and Colonel Nell are kind of secondhand sources. So we continued to push further, and ultimately we found those primary sources. We found folks that are actually on the crash recovery team. They have been around; they have held and transported supposed craft of non-human origin. Their claims are so bold and extraordinary that they truly are tough to believe. So we set up an initiative to go one step further. Extraordinary claims require extraordinary evidence. At Skywatcher, we've set out to prove it.

[02:14 -> 02:46]
Alex Klokus:
First, let's meet Jacob Barber. Jacob Barber is a dedicated patriot who has served his country for 30 years, working both overtly and covertly from multiple agencies within the Department of Defense, the Department of the Interior, and the private sector. Jake was recruited into Air Force Special Warfare in the 1990s and then transitioned to the broader intelligence community as a contractor in the early 2000s.

[02:46 -> 03:01]
Alex Klokus:
Hello, Jake.

[02:49 -> 02:51]
Jacob Barber:
Hello, Alex. I really appreciate you sitting down to have a chat with me.

[02:53 -> 03:01]
Alex Klokus:
Absolutely. I appreciate you giving me the invitation. So, Jake, do you believe that a higher form of non-human intelligence has been visiting this planet?

[03:01 -> 03:09]
Jacob Barber:
Well, yes, I do.

[03:09 -> 03:12]
Alex Klokus:
And when you make that claim, Jake, how confident are you that that claim is true?

[03:12 -> 03:15]
Jacob Barber:
I'm 100% confident that that claim is true.

[03:15 -> 03:17]
Alex Klokus:
Oh my goodness.

[03:17 -> 03:19]
Jacob Barber:
Oh boy, yeah, right?

[03:19 -> 03:22]
Alex Klokus:
Yeah, yeah. Well, let's dive into that a little bit. So you were involved in some form of crash recovery projects that involved frontier experimental technology from the U.S. government.

[03:22 -> 03:29]
Jacob Barber:
So the crash part of it—crash sounds like something that's unintentional, let's say. So the reason you would have a crash recovery program to begin with—a broad crash recovery program—you wouldn't set up a program like that in order to go get exotic or non-human aircraft. So teams are set up...

[03:48 -> 04:43]
Dr. Garry Nolan:
We are trained and outfitted with all the tools, techniques, and training necessary to facilitate that mission. So, if hypothetically something extraordinary were to happen, and an object of exotic nature were to crash, you would call the people who have the most experience with that, and they would be the ones you deploy. I would also add a fourth "T," which is trust. Because of our particular past and history, we had the trust of the U.S. government, and we were deployed on specific tasks that included the discovery of exotic craft of nonhuman origin.

[04:43 -> 05:12]
Interviewer:
If I could interject there for one second, what about the craft made you so certain that it was nonhuman in origin?

[05:12 -> 05:51]
Dr. Garry Nolan:

Well, first of all, it was the reaction of our entire crew and our leadership structure. It was atypical compared to how we would communicate and treat any other crash recovery. The object or technology itself was something that was inconceivable as being manmade, from my perspective and the perspective of my teammates. What made it inconceivable was that it was visually completely abstract, but abstract in a very specific way.

[05:51 -> 06:02]
Interviewer:
Jake, what does that mean? When you say abstract, are you suggesting there's something unusual about these aircraft?

[06:02 -> 06:51]
Dr. Garry Nolan:
Yes, I mean, there are certain characteristics that stand out. For instance, one of the objects resembled a metallic egg. Do you have any idea how these crafts were piloted or controlled?

[06:51 -> 07:12]
Dr. Garry Nolan:
It was evident that this crash recovery effort was coordinated with another program that explored how consciousness could interface with technology. We were also invited to be part of a program that focused on the research and development of a system where a particular human—specifically, one with a disposition and talent for manipulating their own consciousness—could interface with certain technology.

[07:12 -> 07:34]
Dr. Garry Nolan:
This effort to reverse engineer these crafts quickly led to the realization that the power plant for these systems and the interface to manipulate that power plant was not achieved through mechanical means, such as levers or switches. Instead, it was done through the mind.

[07:34 -> 07:41]
Dr. Garry Nolan:
Not only do we have people from the actual crash recovery team, but we also have another team called the psionics team. There seems to be a subset of individuals with the unique ability to summon these crafts, focusing their thoughts to make objects appear in the sky.

[07:41 -> 07:43]
Alex Klokus:
Jordan, I really appreciate you joining us here today.

[07:43 -> 07:44]
Alex Klokus:
It's an honor to be here, man. Thank you for having me.

[07:44 -> 07:46]
Alex Klokus:
So, maybe let's start by sharing a little bit about your background.

[07:45 -> 11:20]
Alex Klokus:
Most recently, I worked in the space technology sector, focusing on data science. I was involved in taking different types of imagery and trying to develop solutions for the utility industry. By chance, do you believe that a higher form of non-human intelligence has visited this planet?

Jacob Barber:
I am certain of that.

Alex Klokus:
You are certain? How certain are you?

Jacob Barber:
100%.

Alex Klokus:
I mean, Jacob, that's a pretty bold statement. Where does that certainty come from?

Jacob Barber:
That certainty comes from my firsthand experience in what I term a psionic exploitation program between the years of 2005 and 2010, where I interfaced and worked with non-human intelligence and UAP directly.

Alex Klokus:
I mean, Jacob, that is an incredible claim. For the viewer at home, what were you doing in this program on a daily basis?

Jacob Barber:
So this would involve things that many people would consider, you know, woo-woo, like astral projection or meditation. But ultimately, what we were really investigating was how far we could stretch the boundaries of human consciousness. Beyond that, we explored how we could get human consciousness to interface directly with recovery materials and recovered crafts.

What I can tell you about the craft and some of the different projects we worked on is that they interfaced with us. What I mean by that is my consciousness could project into these crafts and recovered materials, accessing a suite of commands and capabilities to perform various tasks, including piloting. But ultimately, the story is not just about piloting some UAP with your mind; this story is much more about what consciousness is and why we can interface with these things.

Do you have material that supports these claims?

Jacob Barber:
I have tons of material, including autobiographical records that will support my claims.

Alex Klokus:
And Jacob, for the listener at home, based on your firsthand experience, there are crafts of non-human origin that you have recovered, which seem to be piloted or interfacing directly with human consciousness. Is that correct?

Jacob Barber:
Yes, let me tell you a very short story. An aircraft crashed. My team was deployed. We secured the perimeter, secured that target, transported that target, escorted that target, and provided security for that target. That target was transported to a facility of a very well-known government private partner. The decision was made to bring in a team that represented a different private partner who was working on consciousness and the mechanisms by which humans could interface with technology. The two were merged, and we were involved in that process.

Alex Klokus:
You were involved in that process?

Jacob Barber:
Yes.

Alex Klokus:
Based on your firsthand experience, it sounds like we're living in a science fiction novel, and there seem to be crafts of non-human origin flying around our skies.

[11:24 -> 15:15]
Alex Klokus:
Certain human consciousnesses seem to be able to interface with these craft, and there are organizations or institutions around the world that are aware of this fact.

Jacob Barber:
Isn't it depressing that this should be shocking?

Alex Klokus:
It is very depressing. It shouldn't be shocking. Thank God, you know what I mean? Forgive me, but I'm over that.

Jacob Barber:
So, let's get past that because that is the case. It is exciting, but we have a duty here. We have a moment in time, thanks to friends like David Grusch, who has stuck his neck out and paved a trail with our government, which, for better or worse, has control over a lot of things. This is an opportunity for everyone to recognize that our consciousness has an impact on our everyday life.

Alex Klokus:
I can tell you that this is not unique to the United States, and it's not unique to the most well-known private partners of the Department of Defense and the intelligence community. There is a global effort

and interest in this, as well as a global capability in conducting crash recovery and reverse engineering. This is being done by a number of agencies and organizations worldwide.

Jacob Barber:
I know that because my team has been sought after and offered jobs to perform our services for employers outside the United States.

Alex Klokus:
There seem to be a lot of people making incredible claims. We took all of these individuals making these claims and said, "Prove it." You claim that we can summon things in the sky; prove it to us. We've got the sensors, we've assembled the team, and we've asked these folks to show us and actually verify what they're saying.

Jacob Barber:
So here we are, in the California desert, with a group of about 15 people. We have a variety of different units within the group, including a sensor and data team, an operations team, a psionics team, and a scientific team led by Dr. Gary Nolan. We are here to see what happens. We are going to spend three days together leveraging the psionics team to see if we can actually make something appear in the sky, track it, gather data, and verify these supposed claims.

Alex Klokus:
When the sensor team gets eyes on a UAP, they'll let me know its location over the city. I'll go to that place and use my soda straw camera, a very focused camera, to see if we can capture any key identifying features or details of the craft that make it unique.

Jacob Barber:
Everybody's heard of flying saucers and alien technology. This project aims to prove what has not been proven in years past. It's to gather substantial evidence to show the American populace that there are indeed substantial alien life and craft flying around.

Dan Flexar:
My name is Dan Flexar. I'm with the Air Force. I've been in special tactics for 20 years now, and I've been in charge of rescuing and recovery.

[15:18 -> 16:13]
Michael Batista:
Throughout my career, I have been involved in medical operations for specialized teams, and now I am currently transitioning into a more civilian role. I served for 20 years in the Air Force as a Special Warfare operator. I joined in 2000 as a combat controller, which is very air-centric. I was essentially a translator between ground forces and those operating above. I've witnessed many things that I cannot explain. Here we are, and to be honest, I'm not really sure what to expect. When you talk to the teams, they have unwavering conviction that something is going to happen—that we will actually see things in the sky. Not only do they believe we will see things, but they also think we will be able to track them and gather a variety of data

related to these phenomena.

[16:30 -> 17:13]
Michael Batista:
My name is Michael Batista, I'm 32 years old, and I am a former US Army Green Beret from the Third Group. Do you believe that a higher form of non-human intelligence has been visiting this planet? Yes, I do. When you make that statement, how confident are you that it is true? I'm extremely confident. Do you feel like you believe that is true, or do you feel like you know that it is true? I know that it is true. When did your experience with the phenomena begin? My first experiences began in my early teens, and they started to accelerate as I grew older. As my time in the military was coming to an end, it really accelerated at that point.

[17:16 -> 17:53]
Michael Batista:
When this happens, can you explain how it usually manifests or how you experience it? It usually manifests as something anomalous in the sky—such as orbs, crafts, or different pyramid-shaped objects—moving at extreme speeds and performing very angular movements. When you do this, are there other people around? Do they actually see you doing this? I have multiple witnesses who can testify that this is a fact. How many times do you feel like you've been able to summon something in the sky? Anytime I want.

[17:59 -> 18:15]
Michael Batista:
I think the belief of the team is very important. We have assembled individuals who have done this before, and what we are doing does not seem to be new. In fact, it appears that various groups have been engaging in this to some degree for quite a while.

[18:17 -> 18:57]
Michael Batista:
Look, there are two—look at this! Look right here, look at this one going down and this one going up. The items we saw last night could potentially be explained as satellites in some instances or space debris. However, when we started observing erratic movements, we noticed vertical tracks and tracks moving from left to right in the sky—west to east—and then back to vertical. We saw the tracks change course, direction, and speed. I believe that indicates a piloted aircraft of some sort, whether it is an intelligent being or something else.

[19:00 -> 22:34]
Alex Klokus:
Being told what to do didn't flash. It got brighter when it maybe had a reflective surface. I don't know exactly what it was. There are a few explanations for why that could be, but I see those in a varying range of places from Emporia, Virginia, to Fayetteville, to here. I've seen them everywhere. The only explanation I have is that it could be a reflection of light or particles that are in our inner atmosphere. But besides that, when they start moving and tracking in different

directions, I think we're seeing some sort of craft of some kind.

During our time together, all 15 of us saw some form of unusual lights in the sky. These lights would randomly appear, stay for a short period, and then disappear. Oftentimes, multiple lights would seem to appear at once. They would frequently move in patterns or angles that, at least to me, seemed unusual for a plane, satellite, meteor, or space debris, but I am no expert on the last day.

However, one thing did happen: we had a couple of folks who felt like it was a good time to try to communicate with potentially a craft or an entity that was out there through the methods that they use. I just took a spot up on the roof to be able to observe what was out and around us and then also have another set of eyes that were up above us. So, I got on the roof to say, you know, I'll be up here. I had my phone ready to record if something went down, and it did. The psionic team claimed to be connecting with an object, and our sensor data caught this.

[Music]

While this was happening, Fred went up to see if he could see anything with his naked eye. I could hear them talking through certain things, and then he said something like, "It's forming." As soon as he said that, I stepped out to look behind me, and that's when I saw it. Whatever it was, suddenly Fred saw a second object appear above our base camp. It was all gray, matte-like, not shiny at all. It was elongated and oval, where it looked like there was the opposite of a seam bursting out in the middle, going all the way around. So, it looked like a rim because the bottom half of it was extremely dark. It made absolutely no sound, which doesn't make sense because of its proximity.

If it was small, it was something maybe the size of this razor, then it was really close. We were talking 500 to 600 feet. If it was larger, which I kind of believe it was, you're looking at 5 to 8 meters long, then probably around 1,500 to 2,000 feet altitude, moving north to south. It looked sturdy; it looked heavy. It wasn't a bird. If it were an aircraft, any kind of conventional thing that we have now, it would have rocked us how low it was. After 20 years in the Air Force, I have never seen anything close to that. There was no observable propulsion, no wings, and no rotor. With that kind of speed, you should hear something.

[22:36 -> 26:03]
Alex Klokus:
Especially since it wasn't that close, I was shaking; it was super exciting. I mean, I'm not going to start shaking over an F-16 flying overhead or a bird diving. That was incredible. I don't know what to say. Dr. Nolan was there, and this was his perspective. I personally didn't see anything in the sky or on the ground, but what I did see was an individual who, in the midst of attempting to call such an object, exactly at the moment he said he was interacting with something, the individual on the roof who had the cameras saw

something. When we reviewed what it was that the person on the roof had seen, something showed up. I mean, it was fleeting, but it was clearly not a bug.

So, what do I have? I have a person who claims to be doing something. It was clear that he was in distress at the moment of the supposed interaction, and at that moment, something is seen. What do you have? You have a correlation. So we have an egg-shaped object that our sensors caught moving in one direction, supposedly connected to our psionics team, and a disc-shaped object that Fred reportedly saw moving in a different direction. We worked with an animator to specifically visualize the disc that Fred saw. This is a recreation from his vantage point for reference. This is what he believes the disc looked like, and this is the speed we calculated the disc was moving over the building.

Our experience raises a lot of questions that we don't yet have the answers to. I was there not to provide any conclusions; I was there to make sure that everything being done was done in a scientifically accurate way, as dispassionately as possible, and to be part of the advisory team to ensure that this was done in a way that, at some point, if it ever wanted to be put together into a scientific paper, we had collected the kind of metadata required to say, "Well, we might not have a conclusion, but here is at least a story that tells us that the data we are providing to perhaps some future event might be looked back to and said, 'Oh, well, what happened there? What worked? What didn't work? Let's try this again,' so that we can perhaps make it repeatable."

Don Paul, who was also present at the event, had this to say: "Well, I think it's pretty standard fare. There are certain things that the rational mind could attribute to it—could be this or could be that—but there are also things which move in ways that do not fit the normal laws of physics or aerodynamics. This is something that's pretty well known at this point; it goes way back in history. I have a combined 25 years of law enforcement and military background prior to becoming involved in similar endeavors in the private sector. It's very hard for people who have seen and experienced things to discuss it because even our own loved ones, you don't want people to think you're crazy and that there's something wrong with you."

[26:05 -> 29:17]
Alex Klokus:
If you've seen it on a Netflix special or read about it or seen clips on TV, seeing it for real kind of changes your mindset about things. Because of my military background, I have worked around a lot of aircraft—helicopters, fast movers. There are certain vehicles that move in ways that are not consistent with the regular physics of what we consider aircraft in the conventional sense. There's a difference between believing and knowing, and you want to work with people who know things, so there is no question about the validity. Now it's a matter of getting down to the nuts and bolts of how we produce the evidence we need to make it approachable for everyone. The flow of information now seems to be inevitable; you cannot keep the truth from

people. It seems like reality is just far more complex than people realize.

In this process, I've moved from being a very pragmatic person, having very pragmatic responsibilities, to being burdened with things that are more abstract and cerebral, while still having to keep my foot in the pragmatic world. That's a tough thing because the truth can be distracting in that way. We are in a world right now where we're battling over resources, and if we can use that technology or understand a way to interface with technology to create energy systems that can supply our basic needs, then we're all better off.

Yeah, I agree. It feels like we're on the precipice of entering a new reality, and I really appreciate everything you're doing. I'm excited to hopefully make a dent alongside you.

Let's make some dents! Let's do it, man.

During our time together, there were multiple events that caused me to seriously question certain assumptions I have about reality. The day we were wrapping up our event, something incredible happened. There was a mass UAP sighting directly in the area where we conducted our operation. There were dozens of claims on neighborhood apps from residents seeing anomalous things in the sky. The story picked up steam, and in a few days, there were thousands of tweets about the incident. The FAA even imposed a temporary flight restriction over the area where we ran our operation.

Is this causation? Did we cause this event? Is this correlation, or was it purely coincidental? We don't profess to have all the answers, but we do believe it's imperative that we find out. So, we've set up Skywatcher, and this is only the beginning. Skywatcher is not a media company; it is a robust, well-financed initiative focused on validating or invalidating these supposed claims. We invite you to join us in this initiative, and we intend to share all our findings because perhaps in order for us to actually level up, we need to acknowledge that reality is much more than it seems.